

Educational (1900) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 667

DATED 20. 12. 00

INDEXED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Requesting the High Court to give
in a list of the app'ts made in
contravention of the rules & since
1st Jan'y 1896 & the names of the
persons so appointed in regard to
their special exemption.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Judicial (1900) Department.

Enclt No. 2818 D/10-11-1900.

CURRENT No. 1544

Transferred to the
Educational Deptt.

CURRENT NO.
4327
JUDICIAL

Arduach
Under Secy

Ref. i/s/
Order

L.

SECRET
7 NOV. 1900
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

From The Registrar,
High Court of Judicature,
Madras.

Ref. on Cur. No. 2115.

Dated 5th November 1900.

Received 7

Registered 7

Enclosures Nil

Spare copies. Nil

SUBJECT.

Requesting to be informed ----
whether retrospective effect should
be given to G.O., No. 239, Educational,
dated 6th July 1900, interpreting -
note 1 to the Public Service Notifi-
cation.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
269	mis of	6-7	00
606	mis of	12-9	99
597	mis of	25-9	96
327	mis of	29-5	96
317	Copy of	9-5	94

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No. 220A 2-12-98.
1,000

CURRENT NO. ~~4227~~ 1544

High Court of Judicature,

Ref. on Cur. No. 2115 of 1900.

Madras, 5th November 1900.

No. _____

FROM

A. L. Hannay, Esquire, I.C.S.,

REGISTRAR OF THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE,

Madras,

TO

THE HONOURABLE MR. G. Stokes, I.C.S.,

Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras.

HONOURABLE SIR,

I am directed to refer to G.O., No. 239, - Educational, dated 6th July 1900, and to ask whether it is the desire of His Excellency the Governor in Council that retrospective effect should be given to the interpretation which is therein placed upon note 1 to the Public Service Notification. Since 1894, the Notification has been ---- generally understood and acted upon in a different sense, and I am to say that the High Court considers that it would be a really serious matter now to set aside all appointments made subsequent to that date in contravention of the interpretation now put upon the Notification. Each of these - appointments probably involved a series of others and it is difficult to estimate the extent of hardship and confusion that will be caused by upsetting them. Several cases have already come before the High Court from which it is evident that much hardship will be occasioned if retrospective --- effect

effect is given to the G.O. in question.

2. I am directed to request the favour of early orders in the matters.

I have the honour to be,

Honourable Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

A. L. Murray

Registrar.

[E.]
[3]

500-27-10-00.

C 18/12
R 19/12

4
EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT

C. No. 1544 of 1900.

SUBJECT

Examination rules.

AM
FOR ORDERS

The High Court enquires whether it is the desire of Govt that retrospective effect should be given to the interpretation of note 1 to the public service notification, given in G.O. no. 269 Ed dated 6th July 1900.

B
2. This interpretation given in G.O. no. 269 Ed & 6th July 1900 is not a new one but the same as that given in G.O. no 327 Ed & 29th May 1896 on a reference from the High Court.

C
3. The statement made in the High Court's letter that since 1894 the notification has been generally understood and acted upon in a different sense cannot therefore be quite correct.

4. It may be that the High Court and the officers under it have not followed the ruling in G.O. no 327 Ed & 29th May 1896 and

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

190 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED
190 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES to G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.