

Educational (1900) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 611

DATED 28. 11. 00.

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

*Considering it unnecessary to lay down any
standards of efficiency in riding to be attained
by the students of the Engineer & Engineer-
Subordinate classes of the College of Engineering.*

1872

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

1900
Educational (189) Department.

CURRENT No. 1359

Rules Coll. Sec. 10683
Ed. C. 883/99
80496/99
abst



From,
The Director of Public Instruction
Madras.

Order

No. 9752.

Dated 2nd October 1900.

Received 5 OCT. 1900

Registered DO

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

*Submitting proposals for
a provision in the rules of
the College of Engineering
regarding the examination
course of the students of
the Engineer and Engineer
subordinate classes.*

EP 110

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

2
CURRENT No. 1359
OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF
PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,
Madras, 2 October 1900.

No. 9752

From

THE HON'BLE MR. G. H. STUART, M.A., Barrister-at-Law,

DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,

Madras,

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

Educational DEPARTMENT,

Fort St. George.

SIR,

In G.O. No 693, Educational, dated 19th September 1894, approving of the proposal that students of the Engineer and Engineer Subordinate classes of the College of Engineering should receive lessons in riding during their practical course the standard of efficiency to be aimed at was not prescribed, Government considering it sufficient to lay down that no student will be appointed to the Public Works Department unless he has undergone the course of equitation. The Commandant of His Excellency the Governor's Body Guard, under whom these students receive lessons in riding, having reported unfavourably of the progress of two of the students, the Chief Engineer has invited the attention of this office to the absence, in the rules of the College, of any provision in regard to the standard of competency. I am not sure whether it will be possible to fix any definite standard but I think that the description of the requisite qualifications as given by Mr. Larminie, in proposing the riding course in his letter No 95 W, dated 30th March 1894 will perhaps suit the case. I would therefore suggest that a provision be inserted in the College rules to the effect that students will not be declared to be proficient in riding unless they can ride with ease, safety and comfort to themselves, keeping in view the

ordinary requirements of district work for Civilians. In

3
regard to those who fail to satisfy the Commandant of the Body
Guard, I would recommend that their promotion be stopped until
they secure certificates at their own expense. It is not
possible to require them to undergo a second course at the Body
Guard school with a succeeding batch nor would it be fair to
withhold their diplomas.

2. If the above proposal is approved, I shall submit a draft of
the necessary changes to be made in the rules of the College.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

G. H. Stuart

Director of Public Instruction.

Ex^d
n-

[E.
3]
500-10-11-00.

4 D^o C^{no} 9580
Recd
Educational DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 1359

SUBJECT.

Engineering College rules.

FOR ORDERS.

D.

Clause (1) under each of the divisions
numbered (1) & (2) of article V of the Engineer-
ing College rules, now provides that the En-
gineer and Engineer subordinate students shall
receive lessons in riding during their practical
course. No standard of proficiency is however
prescribed.

2. The Director proposes therefore to in-
sert a provision in the rules to the effect
that students will not be declared to be
proficient in riding unless they satisfy the
Commandant of the Body guard that they
can ride with ease, safety and comfort to
themselves. Those who cannot obtain this
proficiency certificate during their practical
course will nevertheless have their diplomas
given them, the only disadvantage in their
case being that if they are employed in
the Public Works Deptt. they will not
be

* i.e. tolerable
ease, safety &
comfort? Some
good horsemen are
"unsafe" riders.

D^W
W

be eligible for promotion until they obtain proficiency certificates at their own expense.

3. The Director's suggestion may perhaps be referred to the Public Works Dept. for remarks. The stoppage of the promotion of those who cannot obtain proficiency certificates will of course have to be provided for by rules in that dept. and not in the College rules which are applicable to all students including those who take work under local boards, Municipal Councils, Native States, railways &c.

11/10/00 The file is ^{very} incomplete. Where is Mr Laminie's letter of the 30th March 1894? If with the P.W.D., it should be asked for.

M.L.
14.10.1900

L.A. Jattas.

Mr. Laminie's letter of 30th March 1894 (2nd April?) is printed in P.W.D. no 1476 W of 4th October 1894 copy of which has now been obtained & put up.

25/10/00

The Coll. may see this before it is referred to the P.W.D. In sending the papers to that department, we

may

may say that there is no objection in this dept. to Mr Stewart's proposal.

11/10/00

M.L.
31.10.00

30.10.1900

I agree — but I should be inclined to say that the student must satisfy the Commandant of the Body Guard or other officer apptd. for the purpose, that he can trot and canter on horseback with ease & with comfort to himself.

Going at a walk is insufficient & to go at a hard gallop isn't wanted for district ^{work}. As for the "safety" it might be omitted.

M.L. 11.00.

~~The draft reference below may issue.~~

21/10/00

The current & these notes may be sent up to the P.W.D.

M.L.

2.11.1900

M.L. 3rd 11/10/00

Edl n.o. no 217 of 3-11-00-

P.W.D. no

No. 4515 C of 17 November 1900



Public Works Department.

The question was raised inadvertently in the P.W. Department. It is, in the opinion of the Chief Engineer, unnecessary and inadvisable to prescribe any test, because

(1) The two months' course will in most cases suffice to make the student a sufficiently good horseman for the purposes of the Department.

(2) Any case, in which a student proves to be so bad a rider as to be inefficient, can be dealt with on its merits in the Department.

(3) It is very difficult, if not impossible, to fix a penalty, for failure to pass the test, short of stoppage of promotion, which would be much too severe.

[Signature]
14.11.00
H.W.

May
To
The Educational Dept. (H.O.)

If the H.M. sees no objection, the matter may be dropped. The Chief Engineer objects to a test of any kind. *[Signature]*
20.11.00

C 22/11/00

8

[Signature] It is submitted that as the Chief Engineer writes the matter may be left alone and left to be dealt with by the Dept. No hard & fast rules putted in a notification will be of any use. It will be as it is with Civilian Selected Candidates at home - the very worst riders manage to get a certificate of some sort - although nominally the rule are very strict -

[Signature]
21.11.00
Have no objection to leave the matter to be dealt with by the Dept.

23.11.00

The draft placed below may issue.

PH
26/11/00

[Signature]

[Signature]

17.11.00

order

The Government does not consider it necessary to lay down any standard in the matter - Any case in which a student proves to be an inefficient rider can be dealt with by the Ch. Eng.

The copy of this placed below may be initialed
PH 22/11/00

28.11.00

[Signature]
27.11.00

” ” ” ” ” 190

C. No. 1359, From the Director of Public Instruction, No. 9752, dated 2nd October 1900.

” ” ” ” ” ” 190

ORDER No. 611, dated 28-11-00 190

Miss

The Govt. does not consider it necessary to lay down any standard in the matter. Any case in which a student proves to be an inefficient rider can be dealt with by the Chief Engineer.

27/11/00

28. 11. 1902

70

The D. P. 9. 1408

" P. G. D. Ad

28

desp

9/12

When given for copying _____
When copied _____ } 190
When examined _____
When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED
190 _____

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.