

Educational (1900) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 610

DATED 28 — 11 — 00

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Leaving unaltered for the present,
~~allowing to stand as it is for the~~
~~present~~ the rate of remuneration
allowed to inspecting officers for
taking part in the primary &c.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Educational 1900
Local & Munl. (189) Department.

CURRENT No. 1542



532 R
 58.
 426

Order

From
 The Hon'ble Mr. G. H. Stuart,
M.A.
 Barrister-at-Law,
 Commissioner for Government
 Examinations,
 Madras.
 No. 178
18

Dated 10th November 1900

Received }
 Registered } 12-11-00

Enclosures One statement
 Spare copies Nil

SUBJECT.

Submitting remarks on the
 question of the remuneration
 to be allowed to Education-
 al officers taking part in
 The Primary Examination

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
A. 532	2724	9-00	
A. 446	276	8-00	
640	2712	2-00	
603	277	1-99	
653	647	28-9-99	
582	"	275-9-99	

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
97	2717	2-98	
790	Copy	271-10-95	
220	"	2713-3-95	
868	2714	12-97	
645	"	273-9-92	
832	2729	10-91	

483 Copy 2725-6-91

86 2716-2-80

Miscellaneous
Form No. 3.-1,000-1-12-96.

No. 178
10

5
1542

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER

FOR GOVERNMENT EXAMINATIONS,

Madras, 10th November 1900.

From

The Hon'ble Mr. G. H. Stuart, M. A.,
The Hon'ble Mr. D. DUNCAN, M. A., D. Sc., LL. B.,
Barister-at-Law,

COMMISSIONER FOR GOVERNMENT EXAMINATIONS,

Madras,

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

Educational
LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT,

Fort St. George.

SIR,

I have the honour to submit the following remarks on the question of the remuneration to be allowed to educational officers taking part in the Primary Examination, which was referred to me for report in No 64, Educational, dated 12th February 1900.

2. The principle that Inspecting officers should not be paid for work in connection with the Primary Examination, which they would have had to perform if this Examination had not been instituted, was not lost sight of by Mr. Grigg when he first drew up the scale of fees, as is evident from the fact that he did make a difference between the rates payable to them and the rates payable

payable to others. The only question
there is, whether in fixing the rates for
the former at half those allowed to
the latter, Mr. Grigg did or did not
err in the direction of excessive liber-
ality.

3 In the notes connected with the
Order above quoted, with which I
have been furnished, it is contended
that, as in 1894, the private candidates
were only 16 per cent, and 1898-99, only
15 per cent of the total number of can-
didates examined, Educational offi-
cers would be liberally recompensed,
if instead of 50 per cent, they were
allowed 20 per cent of the rates allow-
ed to other examiners. Mr. Grigg, I
understand, did not view the matter
altogether in this light. He regarded
the Primary Examination as something
higher and more important than the
ordinary Inspecting officer's Examina-
tion for results grants. It was essen-
tially a public service Examination
and had acquired, as he said, a
non-departmental tone by being
placed under the Commissioner for
Government Examinations. Though cover-
ing the same subjects, it was intend-
ed to be of a more thorough and sys-
tematic character than the old Fourth
Standard Examination which it su-
perseded. Holding this opinion, Mr.
Grigg would have claimed that even
for the Examination of pupil candidates,
Inspecting officers were entitled to some
remuneration

remuneration in consideration of the
greater importance of the new Exa-
mination, of the longer time it occu-
pied and the additional labour it
involved. These views Dr. Duncan
appears to have shared, for in his letter
to Government, dated 24th August 1895,
no 2732, he recommended the same
proportion in the rates that Mr. Grigg
had adopted, and this recommenda-
tion was approved by Government
in its order no 790, Educational,
dated 1st October 1895.

4 All this, however, relates to the past.
The question to be considered now is
whether, regard being had to present
conditions, there is any necessity for
modifying the rates which both to Mr.
Grigg and to Dr. Duncan seemed fair
and equitable. The Notification regu-
lating the Primary Examination was
revised last year, and under this No-
tification, only pupils belonging to
schools registered for aid on the results
grant system are regarded as 'Pupil'
candidates, all others being classed
as Private candidates. The effect of
this classification has been to decrease
the number of Pupil candidates and
increase very largely the number of
Private candidates. From the statement
that accompanies this letter, it will be
seen that, out of a total of 24,949 can-
didates that appeared for the last Exa-
mination, as many as 11,736, or 47 per
cent, were private candidates. In some
of

of the larger districts, the proportion of Private candidates was very much higher: thus, in South Kistna it was 72 per cent, in North Kistna 68 per cent, in Tanjore 64 per cent, in Tirunelveli (west) 65 per cent. If, then, the percentage of private candidates and this alone is to be made the basis of calculation in determining the remuneration to be allowed to Inspecting officers, it does not appear that any change is necessary in the rules that have been in force up to now. Moreover, the statement shows that Inspecting officers do not benefit pecuniarily to any very great extent by the share they take in this Examination, the stipends they received at the last Examination being in many cases exceedingly small. On the whole, out of a total of Rs 19,104 paid away to examiners, only Rs 2,827, or about 15 per cent of the amount, went to Inspecting officers. It is true that in some instances, Inspecting officers received what might be thought to be comparatively large fees, ranging from 150 to a little over 200 Rs, but there are not more than eight such cases, and in all of these it will be found that the Inspecting officers were chairman of their Boards and that the stipends they received include the honoraria allowed to chairman for office work. The employment of Inspecting officers as chairman is recommended by the
 saving.

saving its effects, but this is not the only advantage that must result from an arrangement which places the management in the hands of competent and experienced officers, accustomed to the work of conducting Examinations.

5. After a careful consideration of the question, I find myself unable to recommend any modification in the existing rates and rules, and having regard to the fact that the Primary Examination seems now to be righting itself financially, I would suggest that it might be advisable, for the present, at least, to let well alone.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

J. H. Stuart
 Commissioner.

Statement showing in detail the remuneration paid to Inspecting officers who took part in the conduct of the Primary Examination held in 1899-1900

Number of Boards	Name of Board	Total number of candidates Examined	Number of Private candidates	Ratio of column 4 to column 3	Educational Inspecting officers who took part in the Examination	Remuneration paid to each	Remarks
1	Agency Tracts and Russellkonda Taluk of the Ganjam District	282	122	43	The Assistant Inspector of Schools, Ganjam. Vizagapatnam Division The Superintendent of Hill Schools, Russellkonda Hill Range The Sub. Assistant Inspector of Schools, Russellkonda Range Total	R ₃ 3 5 153 161	was chairman { Honorarium - 100 Stipend - 53 153
					Total for Board	306	
2	Berhampur and Chicacole Taluks of the Ganjam District	573	305	53	The Superintendent of Hill Schools, Parlakimedi Two Sub. Assistant Inspectors of Schools Total	8 8+8=16 24	
					Total for Board	587	
3	Vizagapatnam District	1123	363	32	The Superintendent of Hill Schools, Gumpur Hill Range Two Sub. Assistant Inspectors of Schools Total	7 17+5=22 29	
					Total for Board	812	
4	Bhadrachalam Taluk and Polavaram Sub-Division	56	29	52	The Senior Superintendent of Hill Schools, Gadavari Total for Board	13 151	
5	Gadavari East District	1089	312	29	The Sub. Assistant Inspector of Schools, Brianada Range Total for Board	40 802	
6	Gadavari West District	1310	711	54	The Sub. Assistant Inspector of Schools, Narasapur Range Total for Board	33 895	
7	Kistna North District	1144	776	68	The Sub. Assistant Inspector of Schools, Bandar Range Total for Board	25 748	
8	Kistna South District	1178	851	72	Two Sub. Assistant Inspectors of Schools Total for Board	5+32=37 644	
9	Kurnool District	894	320	36	The Sub. Assistant Inspector of Schools, Kurnool Range Total for Board	196 460	was chairman { Honorarium - 100 Stipend - 96 196
10	Nellore District	905	367	41	Three Sub. Assistant Inspectors of Schools Total for Board	47+26+11=84 918	
11	Madras District	823	354	43	Three Sub. Assistant Inspectors of Schools Total for Board	20+35+8=63 770	
12	Chingleput District	1015	425	42	Three Sub. Assistant Inspectors of Schools Total for Board	20+24+6=50 781	

Number of Boards	Name of Board	Total number of candidates examined	Number of Private Candidates	Ratio of column 2 to Column 1	Educational Inspecting officers who took part in the Examination	Remuneration paid to each	Remarks
13	Bellary District	636	232	36	Two Sub. Assistant Inspectors of Schools	Rs 39+31= 70	
					Total for Board	579	
14	Anantapur District	640	96	15	The Assistant Inspector of Schools, Beed District Division Two Sub. Assistant Inspectors of Schools	168 13+21= 34	* was chairman { Honorarium - 100 Stipend - 68 168
					Total	202	
15	Buddapah District	1001	411	41	Two Sub. Assistant Inspectors of Schools	Total for Board 403 25+13= 38	
					Total for Board	760	
16	North Arcot District	1060	423	40	The Assistant Inspector of Schools, Arcot Division Three Sub. Assistant Inspectors of Schools	54 82+23+16= 121	* The Sub. Assistant Inspector of Schools, Chittoor Range was chairman. Honorarium - 100 Stipend - 82 182
					Total	280	
17	South Arcot District	1371	569	42	Three Sub. Assistant Inspectors of Schools	Total for Board 510 47+36+24= 107	
					Total for Board	1275	
18	Coimbatore District	1194	608	51	The Assistant Inspector of Schools, Coimbatore Division Two Sub. Assistant Inspectors of Schools	206 24+30= 54	* was chairman { Honorarium - 100 Stipend - 106 206
					Total	260	
19	Nilgiri District	115	8	7	The Sub. Assistant Inspector of Schools, Coimbatore and Nilgiris	Total for Board 640 18	
20	Salem District	943	503	53	Three Sub. Assistant Inspectors of Schools	Total for Board 333 35+25+8= 68	
					Total for Board	743	
21	Tanjore District	823	527	64	The Assistant Inspector of Schools, Tanjore. Trichinopoly Division Three Sub. Assistant Inspectors of Schools	183 4+15+8= 27	* was chairman { Honorarium - 100 Stipend - 83 183
					Total	205	
22	Tanjore District - additional	854	486	57	Two Sub. Assistant Inspectors of Schools	Total for Board 506 45+10= 55	
23	Trichinopoly District	911	378	41	Three Sub. Assistant Inspectors of Schools	Total for Board 876 256+31+23= 310	* The Sub. Assistant Inspector of Schools, Trichinopoly Girls' Range was chairman. Honorarium - 100 Stipend - 156 256
					Total for Board	670	

Number of Board	Name of Board.	Total number of candidates examined	Number of Private candidates	Ratio of column 2 to column 1	Educational Inspecting Officers who took part in the Examinations.	Remuneration paid to each	Remarks
24	Madura District	1158	644	56	The Assistant Inspector of Schools, Madura - Tinnevely Division Three Sub-Assistant Inspectors of Schools	Rs 199 17+25+14 = 56	* was chairman { Honarium - 100 Stipend - 94 199
					Total	255	
					Total for Board	628	
25	Tinnevely East District	893	572	64	Three Sub-Assistant Inspectors of Schools	5+5+23 = 33	
					Total for Board	704	
26	Tinnevely West District	637	412	65	The Assistant Inspector of Schools, Madura - Tinnevely Division Two Sub-Assistant Inspectors of Schools	4 10+10 = 20	
					Total	24	
					Total for Board	576	
27	Malabar North District	862	266	31	Two Sub-Assistant Inspectors of Schools	15+23 = 38	
					Total for Board	570	
28	Malabar South District	746	382	51	The Assistant Inspector of Schools, West Coast Division Three Sub-Assistant Inspectors of Schools	23 44+10+27 = 81	
					Total	104	
					Total for Board	704	
29	South Canara District	713	284	40	The Sub-Assistant Inspector of Schools, Kasaragod Range	5	
					Total for Board	747	
		24,949	11,736	47	Grand total of remuneration paid to Educational Inspecting officers	2,827	
					Grand total of remuneration sanctioned for all the twenty-nine boards	19,104	

Office of the Commissioner
for Govt. Examinations
Madras, 10th November 1900

G. H. Street
Commissioner.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., NO. 64, EDUCATIONAL, DATED
12TH FEBRUARY 1900.

C. No. 1763.

[Subject.—Remuneration to Examiners.]

Dr. Duncan was told to submit a revised scale of fees allowing payment to educational officers for only so much of the Primary examination as lies outside the fourth standard.

2. In that case, he says they must be paid full fees for private candidates (at present they receive half-fees for both classes). He urges it is doubtful whether the change will save money, while it will be complicated, as public and private candidates are not at present distinguished.

3. It is easy to see that complication might arise if at each centre of examination fees were reckoned in full or half according to the numbers of private and of public candidates. But just as half fees were allowed on a fair consideration of the facts, so some other ratio could now be determined.

4. In 1894 private candidates were 16 per cent.* of the total. In 1898-99 they were 15 per cent.† or, in another quite reasonable view, shown in a P.S. to this note, they might be taken to be 11 per cent. If then educational officers, for examining a percentage of 15 or 11 per cent. were allowed 20 per cent. of the fees payable to examiners in respect of both classes, public and private candidates alike, they would be liberally recompensed.

5. The change then would be, not that suggested in the Director's letter, but the alteration of one-half fees into one-fifth fees. Applying this to money, non-official examiners get Rs. 5 a day; educational officers now get $2\frac{1}{2}$; they would get Re. 1. The saving I have worked out to something over Rs. 1,000, but it would be well to remit the proposal to the new Director.

6. *Technical Examinations.*—The Commissioner has misunderstood Government, which meant that fees for, e.g., Book-keeping, Commercial Correspondence, Shorthand, Cabinet-making, Needlework and Dress-making, might be considerably less than fees for, e.g., Surveying, Steam-engine, Agriculture, Chemistry, &c. The Commissioner may be told so.

H. TREMENEFFRE,
18-12-99.

P.S.—The Primary examination was open to three classes:—

- (a) Pupil candidates, i.e., school children compelled to come up for their results grants and paying no fee.
- (b) Teacher candidates, i.e., schoolmasters compelled by the department to come up.
- (c) Private candidates, e.g., a candidate for post of karnam or peon, often an adult.

The two latter classes pay fees.

There is no question that in principle members of the inspectorate must examine (a) free, and may get remuneration for (c). But it is quite arguable that inspectors should get no remuneration for examining (b).

Quite lately the definition of private candidate has been made to include teachers (G.O., No. 476, dated 22nd July 1899), but this change cannot affect the principle.

Taking last year's figures.

$$\begin{aligned} a &= 28,700. \\ b &= 1,300. \\ c &= 3,900. \\ \frac{b+c}{\text{total}} &= 15 \text{ per cent.} \\ \frac{c}{\text{total}} &= 11 \text{ per cent.} \end{aligned}$$

The above proposal of 20 per cent. fees will of course be open to revision should the introduction of the fixed period grant system materially affect the conditions of the Primary examination. It will probably considerably reduce the number of pupil candidates.

Honourable Member.

H. TREMENHEERE.
8-12-99.

It seems to me that the proper course would be to allot suitable fees to educational officers out of the fees paid by class (c), the private candidates. The number of private candidates at each centre must be known, or the percentages for the whole could not be given. The fees to the educational officers at each centre would depend on the number of private candidates. Possibly there is some difficulty which I do not realize. Ask the Director of Public Instruction as suggested.

A.T.A.[RUNDEL].
19-1-00.

File to Director of Public Instruction, u.o., for favour of remarks.

H. TREMENHEERE.
20-1-00.

Unless the matter is very urgent I would prefer to deal with the question of remuneration to examiners for the Primary examination at a later period, say in about a month's time, when I shall have the numbers of the pupil, teacher, and private candidates who appeared at the last examination. Owing to the introduction of the fixed grant system and the transfer of many result grant schools to that system, I expect a large reduction in the number of pupil candidates; moreover, pupils of salary grant schools are not now allowed to appear as pupil candidates; this will also cause a considerable reduction. Any conclusions drawn from last year's figures would therefore have to be so considerably modified, almost immediately, that it is no use going into the subject until fresh figures are obtained.

2. *Technical Examination.*—I have ordered the preparation of a table showing the rates of remuneration paid to examiners for this examination, and when it is completed I will endeavour to fix varying rates for the different subjects in accordance with the views of Government. The number of subjects is very large and the preparation of a scale of fees will take some time.

G. H. STUART.
5-2-00.

As directed by the Honourable Member, a u.o. reference was made to the Director of Public Instruction. Please see his reply above.

P. T. THARYAN.
6-2-00.

R. ASHE.
7-2-00.

The Director of Public Instruction may be given the time he asks.

Honourable Member.

H. TREMENHEERE.
7-2-00.

Certainly.

A.T.A.[RUNDEL].
8-2-00.

C 22/11/00
P

Cno 1542.

3 7

The Com. for Govt exams has now submitted his further report on the question of the remuneration to be allowed to inspecting officers taking part in the primary exams.

2. He states that under the revised primary examination notification of July 1899 only pupils belonging to schools registered for aid on the results system are regarded as ^{being regarded} "pupil candidates" and all others as private candidates and that the effect of this has been to decrease the number of "pupil candidates" and to increase very largely the number of "private candidates". Thus out of 24,949 candidates that appeared for the last examination 11,736 or 47 per cent were "private candidates". If then the inspecting officers are to be remunerated according to the proportion of ^{such} ~~private~~ candidates no change appears now to be necessary in the rate of remuneration (50 per cent) allowed to them.

3. The Commr. further states that the stipends paid to inspecting officers at the last examination were in many cases exceedingly small and that out of a total of Rs 19,104 paid to examiners, only Rs 2,827 or 15 per cent went to inspecting officers.

4. He therefore finds himself unable to recommend any modification in the existing rate of remuneration allowed to inspecting officers.

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

190 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED
 190 .

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO C. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.