

Edl.
6
800-29-3-00.

Educational (1900) Department.

1
ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 570

DATED 7-11-00.

INDEXED.

(20)
(9)
[Despatch Abstract.]

Declining, with remarks, to approve the
proposal of the D.D.S. to meet the cost
of the metal work & jewellers' work
of the School of Arts from the
allotment for the annum 1899-00.

10/11/00

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Educational (189) Department.

CURRENT No. 1320

9227 4581 copy
99 16027
49



From The Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

Order

The D. P. I.

No. 9567

Dated 26 September 1900

Received 27 SEP 1900

Registered 50

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Requesting to obtain the sanction of Government for meeting the charges of the metal work and jeweller's work departments from the allotment for the aluminium department of the school of arts with effect from 1st May last.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

OF THE DIRECTOR OF
PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,
Madras, 26 September 1900.

From

THE HON'BLE MR. G. H. STUART, M.A., Barrister-at-Law,

DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,

Madras,

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

Educational DEPARTMENT,

Fort St. George.

SIR,

Under G.O.No 174, Educational, dated 10th April 1900, the Metal work and jeweller's work departments of the School of Arts were amalgamated with the Aluminium department, but owing to expenditure on account of the Paris Exhibition no funds for paying the staff and apprentices of the above departments can be placed at Mr. Chatterton's disposal from the School of Arts budget. I have the honour to request, therefore, that the sanction of Government may be obtained to meet those charges from the allotment for the Aluminium department with effect from the 1st May last. The favour of early orders is solicited.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

G. H. Stuart

Director of Public Instruction.

NOTE FOR THE

Dep^d 1-10-00

Telegram - Defured

To

The Director of Pub. Inst.ⁿ

" Your 9567 September 26.
Report what savings (are)
expected in Aluminium budget
and whether they cover proposed
expenditure of year.

J.P.P.

1-10-00.

1-10-00

From
1900
Educational (189) Department.

CURRENT No. 1367



From

*The Director of Public Instruction
Madras.*

Order

No. 9914

Dated 6th October 1900

Received 8 OCT 1900

Registered *DO*

Enclosures one

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

*Reporting, with reference
to telegram of the 1st instant,
that Mr. Chatterton says
that he can provide for
the whole expenditure
of the department added
to his charge.*

K PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No A1/3922.

4th October 1900.

To

The Director of Public Instruction,

Madras. 6

Sir,

With reference to your official memorandum No 9790 of the 2nd October 1900, I have the honour to state that during the past six months the Aluminium industry has not been making so much progress as was anticipated owing to circumstances which could not be foreseen when the budget was prepared. Sales to natives have been greatly affected by the high prices consequent on the famine and the military orders have been much below what was expected, partly because of the war and partly because His Excellency The Lieutenant General Commanding the forces in Madras has prohibited the issue of Aluminium vessels to the troops in his command.

During the first six months of the current financial year the sales have amounted to Rs.68238-14-4. During the current six months I estimate that the sales will amount to Rs.90000 at least and they may be much more. Owing to the very serious delays which occur in the delivery of metal in suitable sheets for Indian use, I am compelled to keep about Rs.100,000 worth in stock so as to be able to satisfactorily comply with all orders. The expenditure, therefore, will be much greater than the receipts and at the end of the year I shall have a large stock on hand. It is quite possible to adjust purchases so as to have a smaller stock at the end of next March, but it would have to be subsequently increased. I can easily provide for the whole expenditure of the silversmiths department and I could, if necessary, arrange to surrender possibly Rs.50000 in all, but I should prefer to wait till the end of December before surrendering any very large amount. It is quite impossible to state beforehand what is likely to be the demand for Aluminium during any given period and at least present I can do no more than say that I do not think the estimated receipts in the budget for 1900-1901 are likely to be realized. The expenditure can be adjusted to some extent as

I have endeavoured to explain.

I have &c. &c

(Signed) Alfred Chatterton,

Officer in charge of
the Aluminium Department.

/True Copy/

G. H. Stuart

Director of Public Instruction.

Ex?

m

CURRENT NO. 1367

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF

PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,

Madras, 6 October 1900

No. 9914

7

From

THE HON'BLE MR. G. H. STUART, M.A., *Barrister-at-Law*,

DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,

Madras,

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

Educational DEPARTMENT,

Fort St. George.

SIR,

Referring to Telegram, Educational, dated the 1st
R. instant, and in continuation of my letter No 9567, dated 26th
September 1900, I have the honour to state that Mr. Chatterton
reports that he can easily provide for the whole expenditure of
the departments added to his charge, though, for reasons given
in the enclosed copy of his letter No A1/3922, dated 4th October
1900, it is impossible to state definitely what savings can be
expected to accrue in the Aluminium Budget for the current
year.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

G. H. Stuart

Director of Public Instruction.

Ex?

m

8

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 570, EDUCATIONAL, DATED
7TH NOVEMBER 1900.

Sanction of Government for meeting the charges of Metal work and Jewellers' work department from allotment for the Aluminium department of the School of Arts.

From the Director of Public Instruction, No. 9567, dated 26th September 1900.

For the Assistant Secretary—

A provision of Rs. 2,65,500 has been made in the current year's budget to meet the charges of the aluminium department, but against this credit has been taken for Rs. 2,75,000 in the shape of sale-proceeds of aluminium ware. The understanding is that the actual expenditure on the manufacture should be regulated with reference to the sale-proceeds credited from time to time. The original of G.O., No. 1402, Financial, dated 22nd December 1899, and a subsequent letter from the Accountant-General stating that the receipts in the aluminium budget have been overestimated will support this statement. Both the papers are in the Financial department at Ootacamund.

2. Unless therefore we know as approximately as possible how much is likely to be collected this year and how much is likely to be spent it seems inadvisable to sanction the diversion of any portion of the allotment to other objects. It is submitted therefore that the Director of Public Instruction may be asked u.o. for information on these points.

P. T. THARYAN.
28-9-00.

Send a deferred telegram asking the Director of Public Instruction for approximate figures.

A. T. PRINGLE.
1-10-00.

From the Director of Public Instruction, No. 9914, dated 6th October 1900.

For the Assistant Secretary—

If the whole of the provision for the manufacture of aluminium ware be utilized while the receipts from sales will not exceed Rs. 1,58,000, there will be a deficit of Rs. 1,17,000 in the provincial service budget, the balance in which is already very low.

P. T. THARYAN.
8-10-00.

From the Director of Public Instruction, No. 9567, dated 26th September 1900.

For the Assistant Secretary—

The Director states that two departments of the School of Arts, viz., the metal and jewellers' work sections have been transferred to the aluminium department with a view to form a school of metal-work. This fact was mentioned in his report on the School of Arts for 1899-1900.

Page 13 of G.O., No. 458, Educational, dated 17th September 1900.

The expenditure on account of these two departments had of course been budgeted for in the School of Arts estimates—*vide* pages 140-141 of the Civil Budget Estimates. But the Director explains that owing to increased expenditure on account of exhibits to the Paris Exhibition, the expenditure cannot be met from this source and recommends that it may be defrayed from the allotment * sanctioned for the aluminium department.

* Page 188 of Civil Budget Estimate.

no
Cu 136

The Director had not discussed the changes in the Aluminium and School of Arts budgets which his proposal involved; it was not possible to say in the Secretariat with any degree of accuracy what funds were to be absorbed from the aluminium grant, and there was no information whatever as to the amount which Mr. Chatterton would surrender.

A reference was made to the Director on the 1st instant as to what savings were anticipated in the budget of the aluminium department, and whether the proposed expenditure could be met therefrom. From his letter No. 9914, dated 6th October 1900, and its enclosure, it is gathered that Mr. Chatterton can easily provide for the whole expenditure in question, but he is not prepared to surrender any considerable amount till the end of December.

There is another standpoint from which the question of curtailment of the aluminium grant has to be viewed. If the expenditure has to be regulated by the receipts, more than a lakh of rupees will have to be surrendered. According to the budget—

Receipts were estimated at	Rs. 2,75,000
Expenditure	2,65,500

But now Mr. Chatterton expects to realize by sale only about Rs. 1,58,000 and therefore expenditure ought to be less than Rs. 2,65,500.

It is submitted that it would have been better and in accordance to orders * if the Director had submitted his proposal through the Accountant-General. It is presumed that a reappropriation statement will be required. The papers may perhaps be returned to the Director, u.o., for submitting through the Accountant-General with the necessary statement of changes in the budgets.

N. H. SANKARA IYER.
11-10-00.
Secretary—

This will not do at all. Mr. Chatterton is ready to surrender, say 50, but this will affect the revenue; and the revenue is going down.

2. Sir George Wolseley has for some reason or other prohibited "the issue of aluminium vessels (!) to the troops under his command"; and this blow to the industry, combined, it is said, with the war and the famine, is affecting the returns from sales very seriously.

3. The Director of Public Instruction may be told that Mr. Chatterton must so arrange as to prevent any loss on the year's transactions, but the Financial department should see the papers at this stage.

A. T. PRINGLE.
11-10-00.

To Financial u.o. for favour of remarks.

M. HAMMICK.
16-10-00.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

In his proceedings reviewing the report on the working of the School of Arts during 1899-1900, the Director of Public Instruction stated that "since the close of the year, the jewellers' and metal-work classes have been separated from the School of Arts and attached to the aluminium department to form the proposed school of metal-work". It appears from paragraph 3 of the order marginally quoted that there is pending a question about the reorganization of the School of Arts.

150.000
27.
3179.000 R. 15-8

2. In his letter No. 9567, dated the 26th September 1900, the Director of Public Instruction reports that "the metal-work and jewellers' work departments of the School of Arts were amalgamated with the aluminium department" and requests sanction of the Government to meet the charges of the two departments so transferred from the 1st May 1900 from the allotment for the aluminium department, because he cannot make a transfer of the proportionate grant for the two departments, as he has incurred heavy expenditure from the School of Arts budget in connection with the Paris Exhibition.

3. The Director of Public Instruction quotes G.O. No. 174, Educational, dated the 10th April 1900, as his authority for the amalgamation. The Government Order relates to the deputation on special duty of Mr. Chatterton and does not authorise the amalgamation above referred to. The charges connected with the School of Arts are debited to 22. EDUCATION, while those connected with the aluminium department are debited to 26-N. SCIENTIFIC, &C., DEPARTMENTS—MISCELLANEOUS. There is no information as to the exact amount which will be required to meet the charges connected with the transferred departments, except that Mr. Chatterton reports "that he can easily provide for the whole expenditure of the departments added to his charge".

Pages 140, 141, Civil Budget Estimate.

Page 188, Civil Budget Estimate.

Director of Public Instruction's letter No. 9914, dated 6th October 1900.

4. The Director of Public Instruction should have obtained the previous permission of the Government for the amalgamation. The proper course for him when he found that the charges on account of the two departments transferred could not be met from the grant for the School of Arts was to have come up for an additional allotment.

5. Further, as the understanding is that the actual expenditure on the manufacture of aluminium ware should be regulated with reference to the sale-proceeds credited from time to time, the addition of the two departments to the aluminium department must tend to complicate matters. It will not be easy to keep the charges on account of these two departments distinct from the charges of the aluminium department proper.

It is submitted that for the reasons above set forth the transfer of the two departments from the School of Arts to the aluminium department may be vetoed.

6. The next point to be noticed is the surrender of funds from the grant for the aluminium budget. With reference to the remarks of the Educational department on pages 6 and 7 of these notes, the Director of Public Instruction may, it is submitted, be told (1) to restrict expenditure on the aluminium department to the estimated receipts, so that there may be no deficit in the transactions of the department during 1900-1901, and (2) to surrender the difference between the grant (Rs. 2,65,500) for expenditure and the estimated receipts (Rs. 1,58,000) as money is urgently required for meeting several demands which are pending.

T. HARI RAO.
31-10-00.

For the Chief Secretary—

From a financial point of view the Director's action has been very irregular.

Owing mainly to the fact that in dealing with this matter he has overlooked the fact that it is beyond his powers to transfer from the aluminium to the School of Arts' budget or *vice versa*. Since such transfers would involve reappropriations between two major heads of accounts, a function of the Financial Department. Again the Director has carried out amalgamations and increased the recurring expenditure under a budget head without considering "ways and means," i.e., he has incurred

Yes. Quite.
G. STOKES.
1-11-00
True.
A. T. A[RUNDEL].

expenditure without any regard to the primary principle of seeing that it is covered by an allotment. Such action on the part of the head of a large department is likely to lead to financial muddles, and it is submitted that Educational may be asked to impress on the Director of Public Instruction the necessity for the strict observance of financial rules. As regards the disposal of the main question here, submitted that we may agree with Educational that the aluminium transactions must be so arranged as to prevent any loss on the year's transactions. It may also be suggested that the 1.07 lakh should be surrendered (or resumed) at once in order to ensure this result and that the Director of Public Instruction may be informed that no excess should be permitted in the School of Arts' budget during the current year.

C. M. SCHMIDT.
1-11-00.

Honourable Member—

I think the attention of the Director of Public Instruction should be drawn to the serious irregularities committed in this case. I also think it is essential to maintain the position that the expenditure on aluminium shall not exceed the receipt. The only way to ensure this is to withdraw the estimated excess which I submit should be done.

G. STOKES.
1-11-00.

I fully agree with the Chief Secretary. Let me see the G.O.

The Director of Public Instruction should also be asked if he can specify savings to meet the unbudgeted expenditure from School of Arts' allotment on the Paris exhibition.

Mr. Chatterton is an enthusiast and possesses much energy, and is likely to be led into a serious financial morass if he does not adhere strictly to financial rules.

I don't understand the reference to the prohibition of aluminium by General Sir G. Wolseley. Having heard a rumour about it I asked him the day before I left Ootacamund and he told me that so far from prohibiting its use he hoped to see its use greatly extended.

A. T. A[RUNDEL].
2-11-00.

To Educational u.o.

G. STOKES.
3-11-00.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

For the Assistant Secretary—

It is respectfully submitted that after all the irregularity committed by the Director of Public Instruction is not so serious as it appears to be understood. He has handed over control of the metal work and the jewellers' work departments of the School of Arts to Mr. Chatterton. He should, no doubt, have obtained the sanction of Government for this, but provision was made under the head "School of Arts" for the expenditure on these departments which when incurred can lawfully be debited to them. As a large unforeseen expenditure had to be met on account of the Paris exhibition the Director of Public Instruction wants funds under the head "School of

This is the irregularity.

(Intld.) A. T. A[RUNDEL].

sanctions the proposal well and good, if not, some other course will have to be adopted. It is submitted that there is no irregularity in this. The two departments are existing

Then he should have sought sanction.

(Intld.) A. T. A[RUNDEL].

Arts" and therefore proposes to throw the charges of the departments named on the aluminium department. If Government institutions for which due provision has been made and the Director only wants to see whether he can utilize this provision on other objects.

As regards the proposal to spend the whole of the allotment for aluminium manufacture, when the receipts will not be more than Rs. 1,58,000, the Director of Public Instruction was not apparently aware of it.

3. The draft placed below may perhaps issue.

P. T. THARYAN.

3-11-00.

The draft may issue if the Educational Honourable Member accepts it.

A. T. PRINGLE.

3-11-00.

It is submitted that Mr. Stuart has made no serious mistake. He has a deficit on his Educational budget owing to unforeseen expenditure in connection with the Paris exhibition—and anticipating matters he has placed the metal department under Mr. Chatterton's management—it, therefore, apparently occurred to him that he might make up his deficiency from aluminium department and he asked Government to let it be Mr. Chatterton who may lead us in him do this. He has done nothing to difficulties if he does not take care; but this involve Government in any financial is a matter outside the order. difficulty, but merely suggested a way of meeting an unforeseen expenditure.

(Intld.) A. T. A[RUNDEL].

With reference to the army and aluminium vessels, I may say that I asked Colonel Fenton whether any orders had been passed in the matter and he said he thought not, but that the Bangalore authorities had, he believed, expressed their dissatisfaction with aluminium as a material for cooking vessels for camp not for cantonment. The reason was that aluminium vessels are not as strong as copper ones and get much damaged when carried on mules; the camp followers put on the cooking pots outside other packages and then rope them round with such force that they come out from the ordeal like a squashed hat!

M. HAMMICK.

5-11-00.

I think the order may issue as modified.

A. T. A[RUNDEL].

6-11-00.

Notes connected with go. no. 570 Educational
13 1 11 12
87-11-00
Est. dep.
A.C. 10

For Pres
Please send proof
to the financial dept.
A
Co.
15/11

NOTE FOR THE Assistant Secret.

A provision of Rs. 265,500 has been made in the current year budget to meet the charges of the aluminium department, but against this credit has been taken for Rs. 275,000 in the shape of sale proceeds of aluminium ware. The understanding is that the actual expenditure on the manufacture should be regulated with reference to the sale proceeds credited from time to time. The original of G.O. no. 1402 Dail. 8/22nd December 1899 and a subsequent letter from the A.G. stating that the receipts in the aluminium budget have been overestimated with support this state. Both the papers are in the Dail. department at Ootacamund.

2. Unless therefore we know as approximately as possible how much is likely to be collected this year and how much is likely to be

(a) now in the file
(b) Receipts for 1900
not received from the railway
A

seems inadvisable to
 question the diversion of
 any portion of the allotment
 to other objects. It is sub-
 mitted therefore that the
 P. I. may be asked ^{no} for
 information on these points.

8/9/10

Send a deferred telegram
 asking the P. I. for
 approximate figures.

1.10.1000
 (copy)

[L. & M.]
 200-22-1-00.

12 n8 Ed. depas

no. 1367.

NOTE FOR THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY.

This letter is in reply to a
 telegram from Calcutta. The
 telegram was apparently sent in
 connection with no. 1320.

R.

2.75
 1.58
 1.17.

If the whole of the provision
 for the manufacture of alu-
 minium ware be utilized when
 the receipts from sales will
 exceed Rs. 150,000, there will be
 a deficit of Rs. 117,000 in the
 provincial service budget,
 the balance in which is already
 very low.

8/10/10

Notes for the Assistant Secretary

The Director states that two departments of the School of Arts, viz the metal and Jewellers' work section have been transferred to the Aluminium dept. with a view to form a school of metal work. This fact was mentioned in his report on the School of Arts for 1899-1900.

202

p. 13 of G.O. 4589d (E)
17-9-00

* p. 13 of C.D.E

[C. No 1320 Ed. from the D.P.I.]

Notes for the Assistant Secretary 13

The Director states that two departments of the School of Arts, viz the metal and Jewellers' work section have been transferred to the Aluminium dept. with a view to form a school of metal work. This fact was mentioned in his report on the School of Arts for 1899-1900.

The expenditure on account of these two depts had of course been budgeted for in the School of Arts estimate (vide pp 140-141 of the Civil Budget estimates) But the Director explains that owing to increased expenditure on account of exhibits to the Paris exhibition, the expenditure cannot be met from this source & recommends that it may be defrayed from the allotment sanctioned for the Aluminium department.

The Director had not discussed the changes in the Aluminium and School of Arts budgets which his proposal involved. It was not possible to say in the Secretariat with any degree of accuracy what were to be charged from the ...

but and there was no information
whatever as to the amount which
Mr. Chatterton would surrender.

A reference was made to the
Director on the 1st instant as to what
savings were anticipated in the budget
of the Aluminium department and
whether the proposed expenditure could
be met therefrom. From his reply & enclosure

[C. No 1367 2d.]

it is gathered that Mr. Chatterton
can easily provide for the whole
expenditure in question, but he is not
prepared to surrender any considerable
amount till the end of December.

There is another stand point
from which the question of curtail-
ment of the aluminium grant has to be
viewed. If the expenditure has to be regu-
lated by the receipts, more than a lakh
of rupees will have to be surrendered.

According to the budget,

Rs	
receipts were estimated at	2,75,000.
Expenditure	2,65,500

(Please see p. 1 of these
notes)

But now Mr. Chatterton expects
to realize by sale only about 1,58,000;
and therefore expenditure ^{ought to} be less than 2,65,500

It is submitted that it would
be better to ^{in accordance} ~~be~~ ^{to} orders

Para. 2 of
No 922 Final
23-8-99 (H)

if the Director had submitted his proposal to
the Accountant General. It is presumed
that a re-appropriation Statement will
be required. - The papers may perhaps be
returned to the Director, U.O., for submission
through the A.G. with the necessary
Statement of changes in the budget.

11/10/00.

This will not do at all. Mr. Chatterton
is ready to surrender, say, 50, but this
will affect the revenue; & the revenue is
going down.

2. Sir George Wolseley has for some reason
or other prohibited the issue of alum.
repsels (!) to the troops under his command;
& this blow to the industry, combined, it is
said, with the war & the famine, is affecting
the returns from sales very seriously.

3. The D.P.O. ^{may} be told that Mr.
Chatterton must arrange as to prevent
any laps in the year's transactions, but
the Finl. dept. should see the papers
at this stage.

M.P.
11.10.1900

By To Fin. U.O. - for favour of remarks

Journal

676-R

Date 17.10.00

Educational U.O. No 249 of 16-10-1900

Financial R.O. No. 676 R.

Note for the C.A.

E
In f.o. no 458 Edo
14-9-00

In his proceedings reviewing the report on the working of the school of arts during 1899-1900, the D.P.J. stated that "since the ^{close} of the year, the jewellers' and metal work classes have been separated from the school of arts and attached to the Aluminium department to form the proposed school of metal-work". It appears from para 3 of the order marginally quoted that there is pending a question about the reorganization of the school of arts.

Page 2 of current p. 2 In his letter no 9564 dated the 26th Sep. 1900 the D.P.J. reports that "the metal work and jewellers work departments of the School of arts were amalgamated with the Aluminium department" and requests sanction of the Govt. to meet the charges of the two departments so transferred from the 1st May 1900 from the allotment for the Aluminium department, because he cannot make a transfer of the proportionate grant to the two departments as he has done in 1900.

any expenditure from the school of
arts budget in connection with the
amalgamation.

3 The D. P. I. quotes p. 174, Educ.,
dated the 10. April 1900 as his authority
for the Amalgamation. The G. O. re-
lates to the deputation on special duty
of Mr. Chatterton and does not authorise
the amalgamation above referred to.

The charges connected with the school
of arts are debited to 22 Education,
while those connected with the Alumi-
nium department are debited to

26 N. Scientific & Arts - Miscellaneous.

~~Transfer of funds between two ma-
jor heads require the sanction of the~~

~~financial department.~~ But there is no
information as to the exact amount
which will be required to meet the
charges connected with the transferred
departments, ^{except that} Mr. Chatterton reports
that he can easily provide for the whole
"expenditure of the departments added
to his charge".

4. The D. P. I. should have obtained the
revised permission of the Government
in the amalgamation. The proper course

is being when he found that the charges
of the two departments

Pages 140-1. C.B. 8.

Page 188 "

Rule 23 of the
budget rules

D. P. I. letter no
9914 & 6-10-00
Page 4 of certificate

transferred could not be met from
the grant for the school of arts, ^{was} to
have come up for an additional
allotment.

5 Further as the understanding is that
the actual expenditure on the main
factory of aluminium ^{works} ~~works~~ shall
be regulated with reference to the sale
proceeds credited from time to time,
the addition of the two departments to
the aluminium department must
tend to complicate matters. It will
not be easy to say ~~whether the~~ ^{keep the} charges
on account of these two departments
distinct from the charges of the alu-
minium department, proper.

It is submitted that for the reasons
above set forth the transfer of the two
departments from the school of arts to
the aluminium department may be
retired.

6. The next point to be noticed is the
surrender of funds from the grant
for the aluminium budget. With
reference to the remarks of the Educa-
tional department on pages 6 and 7 of
these notes, the D. P. I. may, it is said,
be told to restrict expenditure on the
aluminium department.

estimated receipts, so that there may be no deficit in the transactions of the department during 1900-1901, and (2) to surrender the difference between the grant (2,65,590) ^{for expenses} and the estimated receipts (1,58,000) as money is urgently required for meeting several ~~the~~ urgent demands which are pending.

W.H.

31-10-00 For the CS

From a financial point of view the Director's action has been very irregular. ~~What has arisen~~ ^{has arisen} being owing mainly to the fact that in dealing with this matter he has overlooked the fact that it is beyond his power to transfer from the Aluminium to the School of Arts budget or vice versa. Since such transfers would involve reappropriations between two major heads of accounts, a function of the Financial Dept. Again the Director has carried out amalgamations and increased the ~~and~~ recurring expend. under a budget head without considering "ways & means" as C.S. he has incurred expend. without any regard to the primary principle of exp. that it is covered by an allotment. Such action on the part of the head of a large ~~is~~ likely to lead to financial mistakes ~~that should be avoided~~

B

Mr. Dir. / Mr. H.A. / Mr. J.P.

imposed on the D.P. & the necessity in the strict observance of financial rules. As regards the disposal of the main question here, submitted that we may agree with Educational that the aluminium transactions must be so arranged as to prevent any loss on the year's transactions (page 7 of these notes). It may also be suggested that the 1.07 lakhs referred to at B on page 12 should be surrendered or returned at once, that the D.P. may be informed that no excess should be permitted in the School of Arts budget during the current year.

C.S.

W.H. (Fm. 1)

I think the attention of the D.P. & the D.C. should be drawn to the serious irregularities committed in this case. I also think it is essential to maintain the position that the expenditure on aluminium shall not exceed the receipt. The only way to ensure this is to withdraw the estimated excess which I submit the D.C. should do.

11/11/00.

I fully agree with the C.S. ^{Mr.} Let me see the D.C.

in order to ensure this result

D.P.I. should also be asked if
~~propo~~ he can specify savings
 to meet the unbudgeted expenditure
 from Sch acts allotted on the
 Paris Exhibition.

Mr. Chatterton is an enthusiast
 & possesses much energy, & is
 likely to be led into a serious
 financial morass if he does
 not adhere strictly to financial
 rules.

I don't understand the reference
 to the prohibn of aluminium by
 Genl Sir G. Wolseley. Having heard
 a rumour about it I asked him the
 day before I left Ood. & he told
 me that so far from prohibiting
 its use he hoped to see its ^{use} greatly
 extended.

MA 2.11.00.

Ed. 1. 11. 00.

3/11/00.

Further As.

It is respectfully submitted that after all
 the irregularity committed by the D.P.I. is not so
 serious as it appears to be understood. He has handed
 over control of the metal work & the Jewellers' work
 departments of the School of Arts to Mr. Chatterton. He
 should no doubt have obtained the sanction of Govt. for
 this but provision was made under the head "School of Arts"
 for the expenditure on these departments which when
 incurred can lawfully be debited to ~~the~~ them. As
 a large unforeseen expenditure had to be met on
 account of the Paris Exhibition the D.P.I. wants funds
 under the head "School of Arts" and therefore proposes to
 throw the charges of the departments named on the
 aluminium department. If Govt. sanctions the proposal
 well & good. If not some other course will have to be adopted.
 It is submitted that there is no irregularity in this. The
 two departments are existing institutions for which due
 provision has been made & the Director only wants to see
 whether he can ~~not~~ utilize this provision on other objects.

2. As regards the proposal to spend the whole of the
 allotment for aluminium manufacture, when the receipts
 will not be more than Rs 158,000, the D.P.I. was not apparently
 aware of it. ~~He did~~

3. The draft placed below may perhaps issue.

MA
 3/11/00

The draft may issue if
 the Educl. Bd. accepts it.

Sing

P. T. O.

3.11.00

MA
 with a draft

This is the irregularity

MA

Then he should have
 sought sanction.

MA

It is submitted that Mr. Stuart has made no serious mistake - He has a deficit on his Ed. budget owing to unforeseen expenditure in connection with the Paris exhibition - and anticipating matters he has placed the metal department under Mr. Chatterton's management - it therefore, apparently occurred to him that he might make up his deficiency from Aluminium Dept & he asked Government to let him do this - He has done nothing to involve Government in any financial difficulty, but merely suggested a way of meeting an unforeseen expenditure.

With reference to the Army & aluminium vessels, I may say that I asked Col. Feulon whether any orders had been passed with respect to the matter & he said he thought not, but that the Bangalore authorities had, he believed, expressed their disinclination with Aluminium as a material for cooking vessels for Camp use for Cantonment. The reason was that aluminium vessels are not as strong as copper ones & get much damaged when carried on mules - the camp followers put on the cooking pots outside their packages & then rope them round with such force that they come out from the ordeal like a squashed hat!

I think the order may issue as modified.

MT 6.11.00.

It is Mr. Chatterton who may land us in difficulties if he doesn't take care. But this is a matter outside the order.

MT

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Again G.O., No. , dated

190

Again G.O., No. , dated

190

Current No. 1320

of 1900, dated 26th Sept. 1900, from the D.P.S.

" " 1367

" 1900, " 6th Oct. 1900, " — do —

" "

" 190, " , "

ORDER.

No. 570 dt 7.11.00

No.

MT 6.11.00

The action of the Director of Public Instruction in transferring without the sanction of Government the metal work and jeweller's work departments of the school of arts to the aluminium department was irregular. His proposal to meet the cost of those departments from the allotment for the aluminium department cannot be approved.

2. As it now appears that the receipts during the current year from the sale of aluminium ware will be only about Rs. 1.58, the allotment for the department will be reduced to this figure and orders will issue in the Financial Department.

If the D.P.S. desires to make any change in the ^{present} financial arrangements he should submit his proposals for orders.

MT

resuming the balance of Rs. 1.07

Mr. Chatterton should ~~have~~ regulated *MA*

the actual expenditure on the manu-
facture with reference to the sale proceeds
credited from time to time. This was
the understanding when the allotment
for the current year was largely
increased, and it is clear that unless

such a
that procedure is adopted, Govt. may have to meet ~~an~~ a deficit.
may
~~will be landed in serious financial~~ *MA*.

difficulties. Under the present circumstances the stock should
be reduced as much as possible.
Even if it has ^{to} increased
largely again after the close
of the official year, & ~~every~~
~~Indian~~ steps must be taken
to ensure equilibrium of
expenditure & revenue.

3. The D.P.I. will report whether he
can specify any savings on his
budget to meet the expenditure on
the Paris exhibition which was not
originally provided for.

4. The reference ^{to the remark} in Mr. Chatterton's
letter to the Director n.s. al. 3922 of

4th Oct. 1900, to the prohibition against
the issue of aluminium ^(ware) ~~necessity~~ to the

Madras troops. ^{(as the} ~~Madras troops~~ ^{is not understood)} ~~the~~

Lieut. General Commanding the forces
(is understood
seems to be anxious to see the use

of such vessels greatly extended.

(From orders)

MJP

3.11.1900

To the D.P.I. } *MA*
in final report } 7/11

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

190

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____
190 .

, DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.