

Educational (189) Department.
1901.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 56

DATED 3. 2. 00

INDEXED.



[Despatch Abstract.]

According sanction for Mr. R. R. A. C.
 Pramadatikarai Niyar, Asst Inspector of
 Schools, Coimbatore Dist., accepting
 a remuneration of Rs. 602 for the work
 done ^{by him} in connection with the last
 University Examinations.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No. 13598

Educational (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 1894



resp

From The Director of
Public Instruction,
Madras

Order No. 56 & 3-2-1900
mis

Sanctioned.

No 13598

9.12.99
22 00

Dated 7th December 1899.

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

note. May not the D.P. be asked to arrange for
his typewriting machine being inked so as to
produce better impressions?

8/12/99
NT
8/12/99

The writing seems *too* *clear* enough to me *noted* so
but the 2nd asst.
says it is difficult
to read in the darker
office rooms.

9.12.99

SUBJECT

Requesting sanction for
Mr. P. H. A. C. Prasad
Kara Chig. Asst. Insp.
of Schools, Coimbatore
Division, accepting a
nomenclature of Rs 602
for work done in connection
with the last Eng. Exam.

20
Madras. I. 9-12-99
- A.S. aso

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No. 13598

From G.H. Stuart, M.A., Barrister-at-Law,
THE HONORABLE MR. D. DUNCAN, M.A., D.Sc.,
DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,
Madras,

To THE HONORABLE THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
Educational Department, FORT ST. GEORGE.

SIR,

I have the honour to request the sanction of Government for M.R. Ry. A.C. Pranatartihara Aiyar, Assistant Inspector of schools, Coimbatore Division, accepting a remuneration of Rs. 602 for work done in connection with the last University Examinations. Under a misapprehension he accepted the remuneration without sanction.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

G.H. Stuart

Director of Public Instruction.

Does not the Case
fall under 91 (a)?

H T

11.12.99

yes sir. under article 91 (a) (i).

P.H.
11/12/99

A.G.
11-12-99.

Then provisions 1 & 2
Seem not to have been
met. A.S. will please
note on this

H T

2nd aut. 12.12.99

ask the D.P.I. whether
the work was undertaken
with his knowledge &
sanction in writing, and
whether he can give
the certificate required
by C.S.R. 91. (2).

H.T.
12.12.99



Memorandum No. 585
14.12.99

Read the following paper:—

no 18

With reference to his letter
13598 of 7th December 1899
D.P.S. is requested to state whether
Mr. P. Pramatantihara Aiyar
undertook the work in question
with the knowledge and sanction
in writing of the Director at
the time and whether the ^{can}
gave ~~or~~ gives the certificate
required under art 91^(a)(2) of
the C.S.R.

Ph
73/12/99
76
13-12-99

A. G. S. S.
13.12.99

14 12 99

Educational (1894) Department

CURRENT No. 13

memo 585
14/12/89



From The Director of Public
Instruction
Madras

In Order

This is a reply to the Memo at
Hq A.

No 14253.

Submitted that ^{the acceptance of} the remuneration
may now be sanctioned.

9-1-0. No 9 of 10-1-00

To D P D. no
for the Director kindly as

7H - 9-1-00 - Certain what the work was
which this Ass^t Insp^r did;
how many & what papers
did he set or ~~mark~~ "mark" in
what subjects.

The inquiry is to throw light on the possibility of an officer on accepted the work as connected with the University & a salary of Rs. 600 without the knowledge & sanction of the Director. If the Director happens to know the kindly mention the misapprehension in writing.

Dated 26th December 1899.

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Stating that under a misapprehension in Rky

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CURRENT NO. 13

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR
PUBLIC INSTRUCTION
Madras, 26 December

No. 14253.

From

G.H. Stuart, M.A. Barrister-at-Law,
THE HONOURABLE MR. D. DUNCAN, M.A., B.Sc., LL.B.,

DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,

Madras,

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

Educational DEPARTMENT,

Fort St. George.

SIR,

With reference to memorandum No 585, Educational, dated 14th instant, I have the honour to state that under a misapprehension, M.R. Ry. Pranatartihara Aiyar accepted the work without the knowledge and sanction of the Director in writing at the time and applied for sanction only on receipt of the Accountant-General's objection statement after the bill had been cashed. But as the work was done without detriment to his official duties, the sanction now applied for may be given. The certificate required under article 91 of the Civil Service Regulations will be furnished to him on receipt of the sanction of Government.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

G.H. Stuart

Director of Public Instruction.



Mr. Pranatartihara Sijar set
no papers. He valued 1375 Arithmetic
papers at 7 as per paper. Work of the
kind is generally done in the Christmas
holidays and the amount done by
Mr. Pranatartihara Sijar is not more
than a good and quick examiner,
as I believe him to be, could do in
about 10 days. Mr. Pranatartihara Sijar
work as Assistant Inspector has always
been very good, and it has not in any
way suffered by his doing this extra
work in his leisure hours.

Until 1897 it had been the
practice of Government servants
to accept University Examinerships
and to receive remuneration for
examining without obtaining the
previous Consent of Government.

In regard to this reference may
be made to Mr. Forbes' letter read

G.O. no 380, Educational, dated, B
July 1897.

That order calls attention to the
modification made in Art 91 (C) of
Civil Service Regulations, but
makes no special reference to
Art 91 (a) (i) which had up to
that time been ignored. Mr.
Ranatarthihara Bijar was not
the only officer who misapprehended
the nature of the regulations;
but a Circular was issued from
this office in March 1899 calling
the attention of Educational officers
to the proper procedure, so that
such misapprehensions cannot
occur in future.

G.H. Stuart

For the Asst.

The above is the D.P.'s reply to the U.O. reference
on Cus 13 below. It may be submitted for the
Secretary's orders.

Secy.

M.J.
2-2-00

D
order passed
H.T.
2-2-00

When given for copying 3/2
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED
189 _____

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO O. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only
Q = Copy heading only.