

[L
17]
100-27-2-08.

Educational
~~Legislative~~ (189) Department.
1900

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 510

DATED 17. 10. 00

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Reformatory School, Chingapat

Declining, with remarks, to undertake the
Scheme for taking over the whole of the
Chingapat for the purposes of the

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
7101487	700						

Government {1900} of Madras. EDUCATIONAL.

Recd.

Regd.

} 1900.

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O., No. 510, 17th October 1900.

OCTOBER.

Reformatory School, Chingleput.

Declining to entertain the scheme for taking over the whole of the Chingleput fort for the purposes of the —.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper:—

From the Hon'ble Mr. G. H. STUART, M.A., Barrister-at-law, Director of Public Instruction, to the Secretary to Government, Educational Department (through the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department), dated Madras, 27th April 1900, No. 4245.

I have the honour to submit a letter from the Superintendent, Reformatory School, Chingleput, No. 241, dated 9th February 1900, together with its enclosures, and to recommend, for the favourable consideration of Government, his request that the whole of the fort, with the contained buildings, be made over for the purposes of the school.

2. If this be sanctioned, it will be necessary to build a new district court and a new taluk cutcherry in some other part of Chingleput, in addition to the building of a new sub-jail, which forms part of the present scheme.

3. There can be no question that the scheme thus proposed by the Superintendent would provide accommodation for the Reformatory School in every way superior to what would be provided by the present scheme, and, I believe, no objections would be raised by the other officers concerned. The school would be in grounds of its own with ample play-ground and room for future expansion; and escapes, which are now not infrequent, would be almost impossible.

4. The only objection I can see is on the ground of expense. There will be large savings on the present sanctioned estimate which amounts to Rs. 79,900 (G.O., No. 2361 W., dated 7th September 1899). I cannot say whether the new buildings required can be provided out of these savings; the officers of the Public Works Department will be in a position to express an opinion on that point.

5. But I think that the scheme of the Superintendent should be accepted in principle, even if considerations of economy render it impossible to carry it out immediately.

ENCLOSURE.

From Captain G. G. GIFFARD, I.M.S., Superintendent, Reformatory School, Chingleput, to the Director of Public Instruction, dated 9th February 1900, No. 241.

I have the honour to inform you that, when I came here as Superintendent of the Reformatory School in November 1899, I found four buildings in progress, viz., blacksmith's workshop, school-room, tailors' workshop and kitchen. I was expected to find a site for a weaving shed, a large dormitory and two large latrines. On enquiring further I found that the space at my disposal was very limited as it had been decided to draw a line across the fort from the southern end of the taluk cutcherry, skirting the district court, to a point almost opposite on the western ramparts of the fort, thus dividing the fort into two unequal parts, giving about two-thirds of it for the school and the remaining one-third part for the various Government buildings which now exist there.

2. In order, therefore, to isolate the school and to separate it from other Government buildings, the main road, which now passes through the school premises, was to be closed and a new road outside the western rampart of the fort was to be constructed in order to enable persons to reach the district court, taluk cutcherry and sub-jail without passing through the school.

3. This suggested division of the fort into two unequal parts necessarily involves the giving up of the sub-jail to the school and the building of it somewhere else, because it is situated in the very midst of the school buildings. It has already therefore been decided to hand over the sub-jail to the school and to build another. It has, I believe, been found impossible to locate the new sub-jail inside the fort.

4. From the attached site-plan, it will be seen that, should the district court, taluk cutcherry and sub-jail be built in some other part of Chingleput away from the fort, all these proposed new buildings, new road and alterations to existing buildings could be put a stop to, and the money thus saved used to build a new taluk cutcherry, district court and sub-jail.

5. I have asked the Public Works Department to stop all work on the present buildings until you have been able to address Government on the subject of handing over the whole fort to the school and building the taluk cutcherry, district court and sub-jail in some other place.

6. If the idea of handing over the whole fort to the school be accepted, the old buildings now existing and marked on the plan in black ink will be sufficient for the requirements of the school even if the number of inmates be doubled. It will then become quite unnecessary to make the very expensive and useless road and screen wall which it is now proposed to build outside the fort.

7. The proposed new blacksmith's workshop, weaving shed and dormitory will be unnecessary. There will be far more room for play-ground, and the school buildings will not be cramped together as they are in the present plan.

8. I understand that there would be no difficulty in finding suitable sites for the taluk cutcherry, district court and sub-jail outside the fort. I am also informed that building a new district court, taluk cutcherry and sub-jail will not cost very much more money than that which has already been sanctioned for the new buildings. I think there can be no question that it will be altogether more satisfactory to have the school entirely separated from other Government buildings. I believe that the Revenue and Judicial authorities would be glad to be accommodated with new buildings, as the ones they now occupy were not built for the purpose and are in many ways inconvenient.

9. If the proposed division of the fort be carried out, (i) the school will be quite incapable of any further expansion; (ii) the buildings will be most inconveniently, if not unhealthily, crowded together; (iii) the play-ground and open spaces will be greatly limited and the garden will have to be encroached on, whilst two large spaces and pieces of open ground in the other part of the fort will be useless and unoccupied.

No. 2425 C.

Forwarded.

2. With reference to paragraph 4 of the Director's letter, the undersigned has the honour to state that the Superintending Engineer is being called on to report the probable outlay that will be necessary to provide fresh accommodation for the offices proposed to be moved out of the Chingleput fort.

19th May 1900.

(Signed) W. B. DEWINTON,
Chief Engineer, P.W.D.

ORDER—No. 510, Educational, dated 17th October 1900.

The Governor in Council is not prepared to entertain the scheme advocated by the Superintendent of the Reformatory; there would be serious objections to the removal of the courts and Government offices from the fort, and the cost would, moreover, be prohibitory. It is understood that arrangements are feasible under which more ground can be given to the Reformatory, and as this is the case, work should proceed on the sanctioned estimate, care being taken to economize room as much as possible by providing for the addition of upper stories to some of the proposed buildings.

(True Extract.)

M. HAMMICK,
Ay. Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

Public Works Department.

Revenue Department.

Judicial Department.

Exd. A. F. Eccleston.

4

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 510, EDUCATIONAL, DATED
17TH OCTOBER 1900.

C. No. 685—From the Director of Public Instruction, dated 27th April
1900, No. 4245.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

In his letter read above the Director of Public Instruction proposes that the whole of the fort in Chingleput be made over for the use of the reformatory.

2. If this proposal is approved, it will be necessary to construct new buildings for the district court, the taluk cutcherry and the sub-jail which are now situated within the fort.

3. The Chief Engineer, Public Works department, through whom the Director of Public Instruction's letter is submitted, has called on the Superintending Engineer to report the probable outlay that will be necessary to provide new buildings for the offices proposed to be moved out of the fort. It is submitted however that, in order to arrive at a decision in this matter, Government should have information on all the following points, and that it was the duty of the Director of Public Instruction to have collected the same before placing his proposal before Government :—

(1) The estimated cost of the new works already sanctioned including the proposed wall and road and the actual expenditure thereon up to date;

(2) The estimated cost of the new buildings required for the district court, taluk cutcherry and sub-jail;

(3) The cost of altering the existing buildings so as to make them suitable for reformatory school purposes;

(4) Whether suitable sites are available outside the fort for the new court, cutcherry and sub-jail; and

(5) The opinions of the Collector and the District Judge on the proposed removal of the existing court, cutcherry and sub-jail.

4. The Director of Public Instruction may therefore be asked to collect information on these points and place his proposal before Government in a complete form.

P. T. THARYAN.
30-5-00.

I am inclined to take an opposite view in the case. The Director of Public Instruction asks Government, I understand, whether they will accept the scheme of the reformatory Superintendent in principle. For anything Mr. Stuart knows, there may be some objection to it; and in that case he might be causing great and useless trouble by obtaining opinions and plans and estimates.

2. There seems, *prima facie*, to be no reason why this department should oppose the handing over of the fort to the reformatory, and if the Secretary agrees, the order on the Director's letter might give a very general approval and ask for a fuller report and formulation of proposals.

Secretary.

A. T. PRINGLE.
1-6-00.

Has ^{Judicial}_{Revenue} any objection at this stage to the Director of Public Instruction's proposal?

H. TREMENHÈRE.
6-6-00.

To *Judicial*—Educational U.O., D. No. 51, dated 6th June 1900.

To *Revenue*—Educational U.O., D. No. 63, dated 9th June 1900.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Judicial is not concerned with the needs of the reformatory: that institution is entirely under Educational.

2. As regards moving the district court, Judicial need not at present raise any objection. The District Judge will be consulted later if the principle of the present proposals is accepted.

A. R. KNAPP.

7-6-00.

It is all very well to accept the principle, but where is the Rs. 2,00,000 or so which this proposal will require, to be got. Is the reformatory worth it?

G. STOKES.

8-6-00.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Submitted that this department will not be in a position usefully to express any view on the matter until after the Collector and the Board have been consulted, and information furnished as to whether there is a suitable site outside the fort for a new taluk cutcherry. Generally, on the bald proposal before Government, this department may deprecate the expenditure a new taluk office will entail unless Educational is satisfied that the reformatory is worth it.

N. E. MARJORIBANKS.

28-5-00.

Secretary—

I agree.

G. S. FORBES.

29-6-00.

Honourable Member—

And I.

H.M.W. [INTERBOTHAM].

5-7-00.

To Educational u.o.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

On the opening of a reformatory school in this presidency in the year 1887 it was located in the Chingleput fort (G.O., No. 2239, Judicial, dated 29th September 1887), but the question of providing it with suitable buildings on a convenient site was under consideration for a long time and it was only in 1895 that it was finally decided to retain it where it was till then—*vide* G.O., No. 1279, Judicial, dated 15th June 1895. As for the accommodation required Dr. Duncan then said as follows (paragraph 9 of his letter on page 5 of G.O., No. 1279, Judicial, dated 15th June 1895): "The future growth of the school may require that the whole of the fort be given up to the school; but I do not think that any such large measure is needed at present or will be needed for some years to come. . . . Present requirements will be met without interfering with the district court-house or with the tahsildar's, shariatadar's or treasury officer's. If a roadway were opened as proposed in 1890 to skirt the railway and enter the fort between the bungalow occupied by the railway engineer and the Department of public works office, and if a wall were built so as to include in the reformatory premises the Department of public works office, the sub-registrar's office and the sub-jail, ample space for the accommodation of the school would be secured. The advantage of this limited proposal would be that if at any future time it was found necessary to extend the school the

court-house and the other offices could be attached to it. But it is estimated that the space which this limited proposal would secure would suffice for 250 pupils." The committee of visitors of the institution also said (page 7 of the above Government Order): "The committee are of opinion that ample accommodation for the reformatory may be provided in Chingleput fort without giving up to it the district court buildings and the tahsildar's cutcherry. If the sub-jail and the sub-registrar's office be removed and the present road diverted along the railway line, a wall could be run along near the sub-registrar's office up to the tahsildar's cutcherry, thereby enclosing the northern end of the school compound and isolating the school. . . . To provide increased accommodation cubicles could be built on the top of the ramparts or workshop."

2. The Consulting Architect was accordingly requested to submit, in consultation with the Director of Public Instruction, plans and estimates for the necessary alterations in, and additions to, the existing buildings—*vide* G.O., No. 1279, Judicial, dated 15th June 1895. The plans and estimates were approved by Government in G.O., No. 727, dated 20th November 1896, and finally sanctioned in G.O., No. 1537 W., dated 20th June 1898. The estimates as revised by the Chief Engineer came up to Rs. 71,280 and provided for the following works—*vide* note stitched with G.O., No. 727, dated 20th November 1896:—

- (1) Converting the sub-jail into a store-room and hospital.
- (2) A workshop and dormitory over the ramparts.
- (3) A weavers' shed.
- (4) Converting the police guard-room attached to the sub-jail into a tailors' shed.
- (5) A school-house.
- (6) A new kitchen with circular cooking range.
- (7) A compound wall and roadway.
- (8) 107 new cubicles.
- (9) A latrine for 20 seats.
- (10) A latrine for 16 seats.
- (11) A quarantine shed.
- (12) A latrine for the deputy superintendent.
- (13) A kitchen and godown for the deputy superintendent.
- (14) A stable and cow-shed for do.
- (15) A blacksmiths' workshop.

The following further additions and alterations were also proposed and sanctioned subsequently:—

	Rs.
(16) Purchase and repair of a building for the use of the school (G.O., No. 2779 W., dated 31st October 1898.)	1,500
(17) Improving skylights (G.O., No. 1339 W., dated 25th May 1899.)	1,730
(18) Diversion of the drain (G.O., No. 2361 W., dated 7th September 1899.)	180

3. The total of the estimates sanctioned thus comes to Rs. 74,690. In G.O., No. 2361 W., dated 7th September 1899, it is given as Rs. 79,900, but this figure erroneously includes the amount of two estimates (Rs. 5,210) sanctioned in G.O., No. 1281 W., dated 19th May 1898, but subsequently included in the consolidated estimate for Rs. 71,280 sanctioned in G.O., No. 1537 W., dated 20th June 1898. The sanction conveyed in the former of these Government Orders was cancelled by the latter.

4. There is no information in this office to show the actual outlay on the project up to date, but it was expected to be Rs. 7,600 in 1898-99 and Rs. 20,000 in 1899-1900—*vide* paragraph 2 of G.O., No. 1339 W., dated 25th May 1899. The Public Works department may be consulted u.o. about this.

5. In November 1899, however, while the works were in progress, Captain

* or thought.

A. T. PRINGLE.

G. G. Giffard, I.M.S., was appointed Superintendent of the school and he found * that the space at his disposal was very limited. He thought therefore that if the district court, taluk cutcherry and sub-jail were removed out of the fort and the vacated

buildings attached to the reformatory, there would be ample space and all the proposed new buildings, the new road and alterations to existing buildings would be unnecessary, the money thus saved being used to build the new taluk cutcherry, district court and sub-jail. He accordingly asked the Public Works department to stop all work pending the final decision of the question of handing over the whole fort to the reformatory.

6. The registration office and the sub-jail have, according to the old scheme proposed by Dr. Duncan, to be removed out of the fort and the premises handed over to the school. There is no information in the office to show whether they have been removed as yet but they will have in any case to be removed and the cost of constructing a new registration office and a new sub-jail need not therefore enter into the present calculations.* The cost of

* Because it must be incurred anyhow?

A. T. PRINGLE.

constructing a new district court and a new taluk cutcherry and of altering the old buildings have alone to be compared

with the estimate already sanctioned *minus* the expenditure up to date.

7. Dr. Duncan thought that the works already sanctioned would suffice to accommodate 250 pupils. The strength of the school on the 31st March 1899 was, however, only 148 and the following statement shows the strength for the previous five years:—

1898	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	146
1897	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	151
1896	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	154
1895	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	153
1894	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	149

It does not appear therefore that additional accommodation will be required for several years to come. Captain Giffard thinks however that there is a good deal of crowding of buildings.

8. The health of the school has hitherto remained very good. The admissions into the reformatory hospital during the last five years were as follows:—

1899	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	140
1898	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	153
1897	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	133
1896	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	186
1895	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	164

These were, however, all for trivial complaints. There have been only three deaths in the institution since it was opened in 1887 *vide* page 6 of G.O., No. 304, dated 19th May 1896.

P. T. THARYAN.
25-7-00.

There may be a sufficient case, although the information is far from complete, for rejecting the proposal at the present stage. The number of inmates has not varied much within the last six years; it keeps about 150. The number of admissions into hospital seems large, considering the number of inmates, but as stated in the note the general health has been good; there is generally some slight fever going about.

2. Would not it be the best plan, if there is any doubt, to appoint a small committee, say, the Director of Public Instruction, the Inspector-General of Prisons, a Public Works officer, and a fourth, to meet and discuss the whole question?

Secretary.

A. T. PRINGLE.

30-7-00.

Will the Public Works department kindly say how much has been spent up to date on the works referred to in this note, and what part of the sanctioned estimates have been completed?

To the Public Works Department u.o.

M. HAMMICK.

1-8-00.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No. 848 D., dated 3rd August 1900.

To the Executive Engineer, Chingleput division (unofficially), for report.

H. E. CLERK.

2-8-00.

No. 420, dated 2nd October 1900.

Returned with the following report:—

Present state of the work of improving the reformatory school at Chingleput with the expenditure incurred up to date.

(1) Converting the sub-jail into a store-room and hospital—Estimate, Rs. 610. Expenditure *nil*.

Nothing has been done to this yet, the building being still in use as a sub-jail.

(2) Workshop and dormitory over the ramparts—Estimate, Rs. 20,160. Expenditure, Rs. 8,500.

Beyond collecting materials nothing has been done to this.

(3) Weavers' shed—Estimate, Rs. 7,180. Expenditure *nil*.

This work has not been touched and no materials collected.

(4) Converting police guard-room into tailors' shed—Estimate, Rs. 630. Expenditure, Rs. 150.

The building is a small one of one storey and the work to be done consists chiefly of the addition of a small room. The walls of this have been built to height of about 9 feet.

(5) New school-house—Estimate, Rs. 9,200. Expenditure, Rs. 6,500.

The walls and verandah pillars are up and the rafters of the verandah roof in place. Almost all the materials are ready to complete the work.

(6) A new circular kitchen and godown—Estimate, Rs. 3,620. Expenditure, Rs. 3,260.

This is finished with the exception of a little iron work for the cooking range.

(7) Compound wall and road—Estimate, Rs. 6,930. Expenditure, Rs. 240.

A little earthwork for the road was done and then the work was stopped.

(8) 107 new cubicles—Estimate, Rs. 13,850. Expenditure *nil*.

(9) Latrine with 20 seats—Estimate, Rs. 970. Expenditure, Rs. 210.

No work done but some materials collected.

(10) Latrine with 16 seats—Estimate, Rs. 830. Expenditure, Rs. 100.

No work done but some materials collected.

(11) Quarantine shed—Estimate, Rs. 3,050. Expenditure, Rs. 650.

No work done beyond preparing site.

(12) Latrine for deputy superintendent—Estimate, Rs. 490. Expenditure, Rs. 160.

Work completed. The saving on the estimate said to be due to the use of old material and to the substitution of stone for brick in the walls.

(13) Kitchen and godown for deputy superintendent—Estimate, Rs. 1,590. Expenditure, Rs. 650.

Work completed. Same reason for saving.

(14) Stable and cow-shed for deputy superintendent—Estimate, Rs. 1,130. Expenditure, Rs. 350.

Work completed. Same reason also for saving.

(15) Blacksmiths' workshop—Estimate, Rs. 6,130. Expenditure, Rs. 3,500.

Superstructure and roof trusses up, but no roofing done. All materials collected. There is a complaint about the roof of this building being too low. It can be raised without exceeding the estimate, as there has been saving on the work due to the substitution of stone for brick in the foundations.

(16) Purchase and repair of building for use of school—Estimate, Rs. 1,500. Expenditure, Rs. 1,700.

The building has been purchased and repaired and is in use.

(17) Improving skylights—Estimate, Rs. 1,730. Expenditure *nil*.

Original proposal not considered satisfactory and it is not yet settled what will be the best arrangement.

(18) Diversion of drain—Estimate, Rs. 180. Expenditure *nil*.

Work now found not to be necessary.

(19) Stone wall for separating play grounds of senior and junior divisions—Estimate, Rs. 120. Expenditure, Rs. 100.

Wall built.

2. The items of expenditure given above are only approximate but may be taken as fairly correct. This is owing to expenditure for materials and workshop charges for the different works being necessarily lumped together. They cannot be exactly apportioned without great labour until the several works are completed.

3. The total expenditure up to date is Rs. 26,271-14-8, but there are liabilities on bricks burned for the work by a contractor, but not yet selected and taken over. These will probably amount to about Rs. 500 which should be added to the above in estimating the total expenditure.

4. The following remarks may not be out of place :

(i) In any proposal to move the courts and Government offices from the Chingleput fort, the importance of the question of locality should not be lost sight of. They must be so situated as to be convenient for the attendance of the public and the clerks and officials employed in them. There is no site near the town as suitable for them as the present one in the fort.

(ii) On the other hand this consideration does not hold with regard to the reformatory, it would be very much easier to find a site for it in every way convenient, and, especially at the present stage of the work on it, it would be simpler and cheaper to shift the reformatory than to shift the courts, &c.—that is, if it is decided that shifting one or the other is necessary. It would be better, too, as it would be more difficult to adapt the old buildings to the use of such an institution as the reformatory than to build new and suitable ones and the result could never be satisfactory.

(iii) But it would seem that the need for such a considerable extension of the site of the reformatory is more apparent than real. The reformatory would not be really so very crowded, and with a little thought and careful arrangement it can be rendered much less so. A new line has been found for the proposed road from the fort and this enables all the area, which the road as originally intended to go would have taken up, to be given to the reformatory and some more ground as well.

(iv) It is not necessary either to crowd the site to get additional accommodation; a great deal can be done economically by providing or allowing for the addition of upper storeys to some of the proposed buildings. There seems no reason indeed why all the accommodation that is ever likely to be required should not be easily got into the ground now available without overcrowding it. The most economical plan will therefore be to continue the improvements to the reformatory on the sanctioned estimate, but at the same time endeavour to secure better arrangements and accommodation for the money. This seems feasible in several ways.

H. Moss,
27-9-00.

No. 1516-D., dated 10th October 1900.

To the Educational Department (u.o.).

H. E. CLERK,

9-10-00.

W. B. DEWINTON,

9-10-00.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

These papers have to do with a proposal of Dr. Giffard's, when head of the reformatory school in Chingleput. He wished Government to take up all the fort and hand it over to the school, and build a new district court and taluk cutcherry elsewhere. I thought it best to have a note put up as to the history of the place, and find out from the Public Works department what had been spent up to date on the estimate already sanctioned for new buildings for the school. The note on page 2 of the papers explains the position, and the Public Works department note which follows on page 5 certainly goes to show that the change proposed is really not necessary. It will involve a very large expenditure as the new court and taluk office will certainly cost 1½ lakhs, if not more.

2. The school is not likely to have more than 150 pupils for some years to come if ever, and the space is sufficient for them. The Government is now not in a position to spend large sums on the matter and the proposal, it is submitted, may be at once vetoed.

M. HAMMICK.

11-10-00.

Honourable Member—

A.T.A. [RUNDL].

13-10-00.

Through the Chief Engineer Public Works Department

Ad 1900
~~Public Works~~
Educational (189) Department.

685
CURRENT No. 3761.

No. 2425 C d/19 May 00.



28
Forwarded

From The Director of
Public Instruction
Kodak.

No 4245-

2. With reference to
^{Order}
para 4 of the Director's
letter, the undersigned
has the honor to state
that the Supdy. Engineer
is being called on to report
the probable outlay that
will be necessary to provide
fresh accommodation for
the girls proposed to be
housed out of the Chinglapat
Ink.

lt
Dated 27 April 1900
Received
Registered
Enclosures *one plan & letter*
Spare copies *in original*


SUBJECT.

Submitting with recom-
mendation proposals to
the Superintendent;
Reformatory School, Chinglapat
for taking over the work
of the school for purposes
of the school.

Chinglapat


Chief Engineer P.W.D.

5000
Cf.



REFORMATORY AT CHINGLEPUT

SITE PLAN



NATURE OF SOIL G + G.I. = 5 FT. MADE EARTH WITH ST
D₂ SUB SOIL GRAVEL & ROCK (R)

Note for the Asst Secretary

The file relating to the proposals for taking over the whole of the Ch fort for the use of Reformatory School, was w-6 to the Public works dep on the 2nd August 1900.

Submitted that the dept may be asked w-6 to expedite its return.

14/9/00 It is probable that references to the district officers of P.W. dept. have been found necessary, but we may remind the proposed.

Reminder to Educ. No. 17.5.4 or 13.8 d/2-8 P.W. D. up

No. 1322-D dated 18 September

The papers were referred to Executive Engineer, to the report on the 3 August and was sent to him on the 1.



20/9/00 H.L. 4/10

Encl. 2 to Ed. C. 688 of 1900 to 5/10/00

27/11/00

made for the cost of the
 printing of the
 the proposed for taking
 out the water of the
 first for the use of
 the water supply
 and the water supply
 and the water supply

and the water supply
 and the water supply
 and the water supply
 and the water supply
 and the water supply
 and the water supply

and the water supply
 and the water supply
 and the water supply
 and the water supply
 and the water supply
 and the water supply

Edul. dept.

6/11

C. No. 685 of 1200

Print charge 4
 if fine 15
 15.10.1950
 HA 10.00
 13.

No.
 Restor. indispensible
 first & then the water.
 Shunna prop. photo.
 17/10/50

area :- No. 510 d/17-10-00

The f. in C. is not
 prepared to entertain
 the scheme advocated by
 the Supt. of the Reformatory.
 There would be serious ob-
 jections to the removal
 of the courts & Govern-
 ment offices from the
 Fort, & it is understood
 that the cost would
 be prohibitory. It is
 understood that arrange-
 ments are feasible under
 which more ground can
 be given to the Reformatory
 & as this is the case, the

Care being taken to removing
 room as much as possible by
 providing for the addition of upper
 stories to some of the proposed buildings.

work should proceed on the sanctioned estimate

D.P.I.
 D.P.W.
 Gen. dept.
 Edul. dept.
 25/10

10.1

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 139

, DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILLED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESTATCH
ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.

NUMBER OF COPIES
REQUIRED.

Order complete.

Letter only.

Order complete with Letter.

Show proof

12