

Educational (1900) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 367

DATED 18. 8. 00.

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

ang, with remarks, a half grant
towards the endowment made by the
Lamindas of Pungam & the American
Acad mission in connection with the
Lamindas High School, Pungam.

Printed by 69

12-2-01.

BACK PAPERS.


G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Educational (189) Department.

CURRENT No. 914



From The Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

No. 6552

Order
mis

A half grant of Rs. 500 is sanctioned towards each of the endowments.

2. The question raised in para. 4 of the D.P.I.'s letter will be answered in the affirmative.

Dated 7 July 1900

Received 10 JUL 1900

Registered DO

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT,

Recommending half grant towards the endowments made by the Governors of Pungam and the American Arcot Mission in connection with the Government High School, Pungam.

Note - Please see art. 2 of ch X of the Grant in aid code.

The Coll. dept. should see this before issue.

To The D.P.I.-970

-- A.G.-250

first three paras only

76/7-00

813/19/7/00

27 21.7.1900

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
109	nis	85-6-2	
113	8	9-3-00	
130	8	6-2-99	

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Office of the Director of
Public Instruction,
Madras, > 5 July 1900.

No 6552

From

The Honourable Mr.G.H.Stuart,M.A.,Barrister-at-Law,

Director of Public Instruction,

Madras.

To

The Secretary to Government,

Educational Department,

Fort Saint George.

Sir,

The Zemindar of Punganur and the American Arcot

Mission propose each to make an endowment of Rs.1000,to be

invested in Government Securities,the interest of which is to be

utilized for payment of two scholarships,to be called

respectively "the Raja of Punganur Scholarship" and "the F.A.

Scholarship of the American Arcot Mission Zemindari High

School,Punganur". The former scholarship is to be awarded

each year to a few deserving students of the fifth and sixth

forms of the American Arcot Mission Zemindari High School,

Punganur,on the results of a competitive examination to be

conducted by the manager,and the latter to the student of the

sixth form of the said Punganur High School,who shall rank first

in a competitive examination to be conducted by the manager and

who shall bind himself to prosecute his studies for the F.A.

Examination in the American Arcot Mission College,Vellore. In

view of the very backward state of education in Punganur I beg

to recommend that Government be pleased to accept the two

endowments and that a half grant of Rs.500 on each of them be

sanctioned under article I,Chapter X of the Grant-in-Aid Code.

2. Draft rules for the award of the scholarships and deed will be submitted for approval in the usual course. I beg to suggest that (1) the Zemindar of Punganur (2) the of the American Arcot Mission Zemindar High School, Punganur (3) the Inspector of schools, Central Circle, be appointed trustees to the "Raja of Punganur Scholarship Endowment" and that (1) the manager of the said High School (2) the Principal of the Arcot Mission College, Vellore, and (3) the Inspector of schools, Central Circle, be appointed trustees for "the F.A. Scholarship Endowment".
3. The grant, if sanctioned, will be paid next official year from the allotment for "furniture and other special grants-under Grants-in-Aid".
4. As the name of the Zemindar of Punganur is not included in the list of titleholders given in the Quarterly Civil List I request to be informed whether a condition of the grant, if given, should be that the name of the scholarship be changed to "the Zemindar of Punganur Scholarship".

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

T. H. Stuart

Director of Public Instruction.

220
64

DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Again G.O., No. , dated 189 .
Again G.O., No. , dated 189 .
Current No. 914 of 189¹⁸⁰⁰ , dated , from
" " " 189 , "
" " " 189 , "

Kim.

ORDER.

no. 367 d/18.8.00

Mio

in request to
The Director ~~with~~ *(suitable)*
acknowledge the offers, &
inform the donors that
a half grant of Rs 500
will be made in both cases
in aid of the endowments.
2. The Government cannot however
permit the scholarship to
be founded by the zamindar
of Pungaur to ~~be called~~
~~the Raja of Pungaur endowment~~
~~a designation as that~~
~~proposed, & imagine that~~
~~there must be some mis-~~
~~apprehension in the matter~~
~~as the native gentleman~~
~~in question is not styled~~
~~Raja of Pungaur.~~ The
Director should communicate

Rs 13.8.00.

~~Ask~~ Political whether
there is any objection to the
use of the word Raja. His title
among other terms is Raja, so
Why should not the endowment retain the word.
No mis apprehension. If any all *Rs*
these gentlemen are commonly called 31.7.00
Rajaks among themselves & their
dependents.

Rs
30.00

Hand 84P

23/8

with him on the subject.
 With regard to the scholar-
 ship of the American Mission ^{also}
 the Director will ascertain
 whether the Mission will
~~ungraciously~~ consent to have
 shorter designation than
 that proposed & more suitable
 for use in official correspondence.

M.P.
 27.7.1900

[Signature]
 30 ^{vi}/₅₀

Ed. no. 50. 139 of 2.8.00
 To Political - v.o.

[Signature]
 2 ^{vi}/₀₀

Pol. no. 50 of 3.8.00

The S.A. American mission
 Pungauer ^{the} Scholarik - "wones
 in Batez do, though that also
 is not a month full."

[Signature]
 30 ^{vi}/₀₀

D.P.I. 920
 Coll. dept. 20/8

027.8.00
 P 28 822

Pol. no. 50.

[Signature]

Am. Mr. Arnold C.
 (tho' L. M. Dept.)

The word "Raja" is given in
 the column "Full Title or Form
 of Address in English" in
 the list of Zemindars
 issued from the Chief Sec.
 What is meant ^{I suppose} is that
 the word "Raja" is used
 as the title of the individual
 Zemindar, but not as
 his ^{plus the name of} title of the Zemindari.
 In certain other cases
 the combination is provided
 for, as in the case of
 the "Raja of Pithapuram."
 MA

Coll. dept.

Ed. no. 139, 2-8-00

The Hon. Mr. Arnold ask
 whether there is any objection
 to the use of the word
 "Raja" in the case of the
 Pungauer zamindar, & add-
 "his title among other
 terms is Raja".

2. There is a strong ^{political} objection
 to the use of the word "Raja"
 in combination with the words
 "of Pungauer," & the Hon.
 Member's use of the word
 "title" is different from the
 restricted use of it in
 this department.

3. There was a careful exami-
 in the years 1889 & 1890 of the
 claims of Madras zamindars
 to bear titles which
 had neither been conferred nor
 formally recognised by the
 British Government, and the
 persons whose claims or reason
 right to bear the term of
 "Raja" as a title was entered
 in a list in which the off-

same of address by the local
 Government in their cases con-
 sistent of the name of their
 lands prefixed by the words
 "Raja of ..."
 The zamindar of Pungau
 was not a claimant; and moreover,
 if he had been, the application
 of the principles on which
 the investigation proceeded
 would have been fatal to
 his success.

Reference may be made to
 the second paragraph of a
 draft order (superseded
 by the wish of the Govt.
 of India by the ^{sanctioning} list) ~~address~~
 on p. 111 of
 the Collection of papers placed
 below, in which the ~~error~~
 difference between "Raja"
 used as a title & "Raja"
 used as part of a "style"
 is stated. The distinction
 was accepted by the Govt.
 of India.

In some notes in the col-
 lection, the Hon. Mr. Arundel
 refers to the "puzzling...difficult"

* See passage marked with
 blue chalk on p. 264 of Collection.

* This is said on personal
 knowledge of the case which
 was examined by me at the
 same time as the others although
 there is nothing on the record to
 show it. No there was no claim, &
 none could be made out there was no
 occasion to refer to the zamindar.

** Mr. [Sir H. Mortimer] Durand's
 letter of 14 August 1889, No. 3235,9,
 on p. 174 of Collection.

* By acceptance of the proposals
 as ~~mutatis mutandis~~ ~~the proposals~~
 restated in columns 5 & 6
 of the Madras general list
 [pp. 176 - 189] and by the remarks
 in Mr. [Sir William F.] Cunningham's
 letter of 16 Decr. 1890 on p. 205;
 also by subsequent practice &
 orders in individual cases [eg.
 H. P. at p. 266.]

in some of these cases.
 So far as the title &
 of "Raja" is concerned,
 his mention may perhaps
 be drawn to some general
 remarks on the subject of
 oriental (Indian) titles on
 pp. 29 of the Collection. These
 have no authority; but their
 correctness may, I think, be
 confidently stated; & ~~as~~
 they were stated ^{very fully} in a
 letter to the G. of I. in
 1893, in a case not very remote
 from the present one.

7. The "titles" list, & the
 fact that no one whose
 name is absent from it has
 the right to call himself
 "Raja of such & such an
 estate, must be well-known
 to the zamindar of Pungau
 and it is considered in the
 department that his opinion
 of the title in the manner
 stated should on no account
 be recognised by any
 department of Government
 With regard to the re-

Mr. Gattani No. 191 Coll.,
 5th April 1893, pp. 262-5 of
 Collection. The Hon. Member's
 special attention may be drawn
 to this as it re-states the
 whole case arguments for ~~non~~
 acknowledging "Raja" as a
 territorial title & ^{now} allowing it
 as a complimentary office.

*I have put together a few
 notes on the subject which
 may serve as a kind of intro-
 duction to the list. I may be
 of use for ready reference.*

the word "Raja" in the ad:
describes in the list of zamins:
Hairs, the remarks made in
the ^{sixth & eleventh} paragraphs of the
letter already referred to
(of 5th April 1893) are in paint.

M.P.
9.8.1900
Chief Secy.
W. G. Os. " 11/80

(264)
pp. 263 of Collection.
[Marked with red chalk.]

Seen. It is clear
that the name of the
endowment cannot
be "Raja of Pungamur Endowm^t"
M.A.
13.8.00.

No I think the fact is that
the books were bound by
P.N. Dept. The boards of paper
is not round boards & fancy
and

NOTE FOR THE

The Hon. M^r Anand
states that the "list of
zemindars" is a publication
of the "Chief Secretariat".
It used to be published
by the Revenue department.
Please ascertain ^{what} ~~which~~ depart-
ment brought out the last
list of the kind.

M.P.
22.8.1900

Report
to A.S.

From enquiry in Rew it has been
ascertained that the list is issued by
the Board of Rew (L.R). The Board
supplies copies to the Depto of Govt
concerned. They have managed to
adopt the Political Colour & this would
lead to the idea that it was a pro-
duction of the Pol Dept.

J.W.
22/8/00

Perhaps this note might
go to the Hon. M^r Anand
for information. The
Punjabian publica

is one which in this particular matter it used to be unsafe to trust at all, & looking through a copy the other day I noticed a number of mistakes. The Coll. dept. has never been responsible for it.

2. The heading of the column to which the Hon. Mr. Arundel refers is, I think, a pretty old one: the ^{existing in the} column was responsible for several grotesque claims to "titles": expressions equivalent to "Bless you" being regarded as "titles" & claimed - & supported at times by district officers, if not by the Board.

M.P.
23.8.1800.

Chief Secy.

Am. Mr. Arundel. C. 19.
in instruction
24/1800

Seen. May copy for the Chief Secy. Library Stamp & this misled me. With the C.S. considers whether the list could not be corrected by Res or Polit. It ought at least to bear on its title page the name of the authority who issues it.

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

180

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED 1900 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DIPTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.-
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.