

Educational (1900) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 305

DATED 21-7-1900

INDEXED.

21-7-1900 - 5-

[Despatch Abstract.]

Stating that the Govt. approve the proposal to raise the registration fee for the Sanitary inspectors' class of the Madras Mad College, but consider that a fee of five rupees should be tried in the first instance & the result awaited.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

1900
Educational (189) Department.

CURRENT No. 880



From The Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

Order For the A.S.

The proposal of the Principal of the medical college which has the approval of the Director may be sanctioned. As observed by the Director the question of hardship does not arise in the case of those who really mean to join the college. There may however be solitary instances of applicants who are unable to join from unavoidable circumstances but there is apparently no help for it.

No 6336

Dated 4 July 1900

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Recommending for the sanction of Govt the proposal of the Principal, Medical College regarding the raising of the registration fee for the Sanitary Inspectors class from Rs 1 to Rs 10 under certain conditions.

8/10
11/7/00
A fee of ten rupees is, I venture to think, excessive: one of five would be ample, as the San. Commis. suggested.
14.7.0800
A fee of Rs 5 might be tried first & the result awaited
Weymouth 14.8.00

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No 456.

9th February 1900.

From

The Principal,

Medical College, Madras.

To

The Director of Public Instruction,

Madras.

Sir,

Under G.O.No 770, Educational, dated 20th November 1899,

sanction was obtained to impose on the candidates for admission to the Sanitary Inspectors' class held at this College a registration fee of one rupee per candidate, as a check against frivolous applications being sent in by persons who have not the slightest intention of joining the class. Notwithstanding the introduction of this rule, out of a total of 101 registered Matriculate applicants this year, only 63 actually joined and in addition 7 of the Sanitary Commissioner's 9 nominees also joined. In consequence, on the opening of the class, a further list of nominations was invited from the Sanitary Commissioner besides granting admission to candidates who were personally soliciting admission to fill possible vacancies and whose applications had been previously rejected for want of accommodation. In spite of these efforts the strength of the present class has not reached the maximum number, viz, 100.

2. The non-arrival of one third of the registered applicants shows, I think, that the remedy proposed to put a stop to frivolous applications by the imposition of such a small registration fee as one rupee is insufficient. I would, therefore, instead, suggest for your consideration the desirability

of adding the following to the conditions laid down for admission

to the class, viz, -

Applications from candidates will not be registered unless accompanied by a registration fee of Rs.10 ten. Credit will be given to this sum in the case of candidates who actually join the class who will then be required to pay only Rs.20 to make up the total of the fee prescribed viz, Rs.30. In the case of failures to join the College the registration fee will under no circumstances be returned.

I have &c &c

(Signed) W.R. Browne, M.D.,

Principal, Medical College.

No 513/S.

13th March 1900.

From

The Sanitary Commissioner for Madras.

To

The Director of Public Instruction,

Madras.

Sir,

With reference to your endorsement No 2332, dated 7th March 1900, I have the honour to state that, whilst agreeing with Principal of the Medical College that some stricter rule is necessary, I think his suggestion to fix Rs.10 as the registration fee is an extreme measure. It seems to me that to require a registration fee of Rs.5- to be regarded as part payment of the fee for the course-would be sufficiently restrictive.

2. I agree with the Principal that no refund be allowed in case of failure to attend the class.

I have &c &c

(Signed) W.G. King, Lt. Col. I.M.S.,

Sanitary Commissioner for Madras.

CURRENT No. 880

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF

PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,

Madras, 4 July 1900.

No. 6336

From

THE HON'BLE MR. G. H. STUART, M.A., Barrister-at-Law,

DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,

Madras,

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

Educational DEPARTMENT,

Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honour to submit the enclosed copy of a letter from the Principal, Medical College, No 456, dated 9th February last, from which Government will observe that the imposition of a registration fee of rupee 1. on each candidate seeking admission into the Sanitary Inspectors' class has not had the intended effect of putting a stop to frivolous applications for admission. To improve matters, the Principal proposes that the registration fee be raised from rupee 1. to rupees 10, that the fee be allowed to count towards the tuition fees in the case of candidates who actually join the class and that no refund of the registration fee be allowed under any circumstances. I approve of these proposals and beg to recommend them for the sanction of Government.

2. The Sanitary Commissioner, whom I have consulted in the

matter and a copy of whose letter is also submitted herewith,
 considers that a registration fee of Rs.10 is too high and that
 a fee of Rs.5 would be sufficiently restrictive. But the
 imposition of a higher rate of entrance fee will not be felt as
 a hardship by those, who really mean to join the class, inasmuch as
 a corresponding reduction will be allowed in the amount of tuition
 fees to be paid by them on being enrolled, while it will probably be
 more effective in keeping off those who do not seriously mean to
 join.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

G. H. Stuart

Director of Public Instruction.

E. H.

*Surely there cannot be
 such a bombardment
 as all this "Privately"
 would not be largely
 practised if there was
 a fine upon payment
 in the way!*

[L. & M.
 500-4-3-99.]

Educl.
 LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

(5)
 NOTE FOR THE

C. No. 880 / 1800

Order No. 305 ^{resp} d/21.7.00
was
The Govt. approve the
proposal to raise the
registration fee for the
class, but consider that
a fee of five rupees should
be tried in the first in-
stance, & the result
awaited.
for order
18.7.08

To The D.P.I. 940 ⁸⁻¹¹⁻⁰⁰ 24/7

[Signature]

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

100 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED _____
 190 .

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO C. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.