

Educational (1900) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 267

DATED 4. 7. 00 ✓

INDEXED.

B-2-2-6

[Despatch Abstract.]

According sanction to the exchange of the permanent travelling allowance for actual expenses incurred by the Sub-assistant Inspector of schools, Saidapet Range, on account of journeys beyond his jurisdiction for inspection work.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

1900.
Educational (189) Department.

CURRENT No. 772



From

The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

Order
Recd.

No. 267 d/4.7.00

Sanctioned

1 From Secretary's order

No 5623

Dated 9th June 1900.

Received

Registered

Enclosures 2

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Requesting the sanction of Government
to the exchange of the permanent
travelling allowance for actual
expenses incurred by the Sub-
Assistant Inspector of Schools,
Saidapet range on account of
journeys beyond his jurisdiction
for inspection work in the Gudalur
Taluk.

To.
The P. S. 940
with enclosures.
Acct. of 220

Deftd
5/2

Rec
12/6

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No. T. B. Ch. 3 Dated 29th March 1900.
13934.

From

The Accountant General to the Govt of Madras.

To

The Sub Assistant Inspector of Schools,
Saidapet range.

Sir,

I have the honour to return herewith, un-
passed, the travelling allowance bill, received with
your letter No. 847, dated the 23rd March 1900, and to
state that under article 1147 of the Civil Service
Regulations, the sanction of Government is necessary
to the exchange of permanent travelling allowance
for the allowance claimed in the bill.

I have &c.

Sd/ A. Hydari

Dy. Accountant General.

(True copy)

Wankunallu Nijm

For the Assistant and Manager,
Personal Assistant to the
Director of Public Instruction.

42

CURRENT No. 772

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF

PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,

Madras, 9th June 1900.

No. 5623

From

THE HON'BLE MR. G. H. STUART, M.A., Barrister-at-Law,

DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,

Madras,

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

Educational DEPARTMENT,

Fort St. George.

SIR,

I have the honour to submit copy of a letter of the Accountant-General No. 76 Ch, dated 29th March 1900, to the address of the Sub Assistant Inspector of Schools, Saidapet range, and to request the sanction of Government to the exchange of permanent travelling allowance for the allowance claimed in the enclosed bill. The circumstances which gave rise to the claim are explained below.

2. The inspection work to be done by the Sub Assistant Inspector, Ongole range, was so heavy that the Sub Assistant Inspector of Schools, Nellore range, was required to take up the examination of certain schools in the Ongole range, while the Sub-

Assistant, Saidapet range, was required to examine some in the Kellore range, in addition to his own work. The Sub. Assistant, Saidapet range, had, therefore, to examine certain schools in the Guduvu taluk in the Kellore range, and the travelling allowance claimed viz, Rs 17.15.4 is for the journeys performed by him beyond his jurisdiction.

3. The Accountant-General objects to the travelling allowance bill of the Sub. Assistant Inspector of Schools, Saidapet range, on the ground that the sanction of Government is necessary under Article 1147 of the Civil Service Regulations to the exchange of permanent travelling allowance for the allowance claimed in the bill. I have the honour, therefore, to request that the necessary sanction be accorded.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

G. H. Street
Director of Public Instruction

[L & M]
250-30-8-100

Cuo 772.

NOTE FOR THE Rs.

It is submitted that the rule applicable in this case appears to be Article 1148 of the C.S.R. and not Article 1147 as advised by the A.G. The latter article seems to apply to journeys within an officer's jurisdiction when that jurisdiction extends beyond the limits of a single district. The present claim is for allowance for travelling beyond jurisdiction.

If this view is accepted the proper sanctioning authority is the D.P.P. and not Govt. - vide Article 72 of the C.S.R.

The bill also is prepared in the way required by Article 1148 of the C.S.R.

The Accountant-General may perhaps be consulted as to, before anything further is done.

14/6/00 He may ask the A.G. whether the bill will be admitted under art. 1148 if it is supported by evidence that the S.A. inspector had proper authority for proceeding beyond his jurisdiction.
19.6.1900
No 174 of 14/6/00 P.D.O.



no 114

Article 1147 was applied as it was believed that the Sub Inspector was in charge of more than one District.

If 1148 Civil Service Regulations is applied he will be entitled to

Rs 2-15-4 only as the daily allowance amounting to Rs 15 is inadmissible under 1170 Civil Service Regulations

22/6
A.G.

22-6-00

The Secretary to Govt,
Educational Deptt.

3

For the A.S.

With reference to the first portion of the A.S.'s note above it is submitted that the Sub Assistant Inspector is in charge of more than one district - vide para 57 of the inspection code - but the point is, as observed in the office note overleaf, Article 1147 C.S.R. applies to journeys within an officer's jurisdiction and Article 1148 to journeys beyond as in the present case. It is Article 1148 therefore that is applicable.

As to the second portion of the A.S.'s note

Article 1148 says that an officer proceeding beyond

6

his permanent allowance for the entire journey for the allowances admissible under Article 1170. The question therefore is what are the allowances admissible under Article 1170. The A.G. limits them to the special allowances for road, railway & journeys which are exchangeable with the ordinary daily allowance. They seem however to include these special allowances for days travelled by road, railways ^{and} as well as the ordinary daily allowance for other days. The D.P. may however be left to fight this point out on behalf of his subordinates if he wants.

23/6/00

The Govt. is not concerned with the amount proposed.

It seems to me that Article 1148 is applicable as the journey was beyond the officer's jurisdiction. The Sect. Genl. may be authorised to admit such allowances as may be proposed under Article 1148.

25.6.1900

Secy

The sanction first asked for by A.S. may be given without mentioning amount.

27.6
H.T.
25.6.00

* I think Art. 72 may be disregarded as the case is now before Govt. Some order must be passed & nothing will be gained by remitting the claim to the D.P. for disposal at this point.

When signed _____

190

190

, DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

22

44

44

A

^

4

1

A

A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.

B = Not to be copied.

P = Copy abstract only.

Q = Copy heading only.