

Educational (1900) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 191

DATED 2-5-00

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]


Recording notes re relating to the
efficient working of the Law College, Madras

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.



Extract from remarks of the
Ag. Advocate general re the
Law College.

I will make the following
practical suggestions for
securing its efficient working
on its present basis.

1. The Principal of the Law
College and the professors
should devote the full
number of hours prescribed
by the existing rules.

2. That the existing such
hours should be fixed
the Time tables to
10.45 A.M. and 5

N.B. This will afford
margin to the Prin
working 15 hours a
is shown by the

3. That the Timetable should
be submitted to the O.C.
and his approval obtained.

4. That the Time Table should
be so arranged as to obviate
so far as practicable the
revisit

making a double journey to the College, once in the morning and again in the evening on one and the same day.

5. That the time-tables should be discussed and settled by the Principal at a meeting of the Professors and of the assistant Professors, before the same is submitted to the D.P.I.

That a monthly statement should be submitted to the D.P.I. showing the deviation of any, made from the time-tables, by each member of the Staff, during the month, giving reasons for each such deviation.

That appointments of Professors should not be made once every 6 months, but should be made for one entire course, viz. for three years.

and in
of Professors
Professors which have
be made almost im-
mediately as the College
reopens on the 8th Jan
1900, should be made
for the whole of 1900 &
the Spring Term of 1901

R. 1-2-00

No 100 of 13-2

To the D.P.I. u.o.

for consideration

R. 1-2-00

At
6 2 00

Q



The numbers correspond with the numbers in the Ag-Adv. Genl. remarks

1. I agree with this as long as the rules are what they are. But I think the rules should be altered. Fifteen hours a week of teaching is more than the Principal should be compelled to do in addition to the special duties devolving on him as Principal. Moreover class work is work for the Assistant rather than for the Principal and Professors, whose main work should consist in giving Courses of lectures.

The Rules should be followed.

If the D.P.J. considers any alteration advisable it is open to him to address Govt on the subject.

M.A. A.S.H.

2. I do not understand the object of this suggestion the present hours are 7 to 11 a.m. & 4 to 7 p.m.

The objection to the hour 4 to 5 p.m. is that there is nothing to prevent the time if the lecturer is practising for a lecture being fixed at 9-45 to 10-45 at present, if it is considered by the Principal to be desirable. I think the hour 4 to 5 should be retained. Lectures are given in this hour at present and it is a much better hour for work than 6 to 7 p.m. or there can be no objection. M.A. I think I can suggest a course

The objection to the hour 4 to 5 p.m. is that there is nothing to prevent the time if the lecturer is practising for a lecture being fixed at 9-45 to 10-45 at present, if it is considered by the Principal to be desirable. I think the hour 4 to 5 should be retained. Lectures are given in this hour at present and it is a much better hour for work than 6 to 7 p.m. or there can be no objection. M.A. I think I can suggest a course



for Sir Bhaskaran's proposal. It is
 probably for the convenience of the
 students. Many of them are clerks
 in Govt. Offices, who have to give their
 time between 11 a.m. and 5 p.m. to
 their Govt. work. The hours proposed
 by Sir Bhaskaran, viz. from 9¹⁵
 10.45 a.m. and 5.15 p.m. to 7 p.m.
 would give these gentlemen a further
 of an hour's time, to get away from
 their Govt. work in the Law College
 to their Govt. work in the morning,
 and to get away from it, to the Law
 College, in the evening. I understand
 that the Law College is like a 'night
 school' in England, meant for the
 spare time of students, who are other-
 -wise employed. I would accept Sir
 Bhaskaran's hours.

H. S. H.

6-15 to 7-15 when lecturers & students
 are likely to be tired. If the hour
 4 to 5 be given up, there must be
 more lectures from 6 to 7, more rooms
 will have to be provided with lamps,
 and greater expense incurred for
 lighting. There is nothing at present
 to hinder a particular course of
 lectures being given from 5-15 to 6-15.

3. The Time-table is at present
 submitted to the Director for
 approval (see Rule XII .i, ii).

4. Care is taken already to see
 that students do not have to make
 a double journey to the College, but
 it is not always possible to arrange
 this.

5. I do not think this a good
 suggestion; the Principal must have
 authority to say when the lectures
 are to be given, and it must not be
 left to the Professors and assistants
 to scramble among themselves for
 the best hours. I understand that

MA
 H. S. H.
 H. S. H.

MA
 H. S. H.

I agree with
 the S.P. I.

MA H. S. H.

The convenience of everybody is con-
sulted as far as possible now, and
nothing more is necessary.

In view of the public
Complaints made of the
postponement of lectures
on acct. of cases
in Court, it will be as
well for the D.P.I. to ask
for this return for the
present. M.A. 4th. 1894

6. There is no particular objection
to this, but it is not done in any
other College, and I do not see any
necessity for it in the case of the
Law College.

7. This is a good suggestion as
regards Professors. I do not think it
necessary to appoint assistants for so
long as three years, but they may be

Does the D.P.I. recommend appointed for one year instead of for
that the appts. recently 6 months as at present.
made should be extended.

If so he should apply
officially. M.A. 6.3.00

A.E.H

10.4.00

G.H. Stuart
3-2-0

C. No.

SUBJECT.

Dr Bhashyam Aiyangars suggestions
for the efficient working of the Law College.

FOR ORDERS.

The suggestions will be found at
Flag 2. Under the orders at Flag 2, they
were referred U.O. to the D.P.G. for
consideration. The D.P.G.'s reply is flagged W.

R.A.

3.3.00.

N^o 164 of 23-10-00
for perusal
U.O. to D.P.G.

Am & Circ
Submitted that in
each case the D.P.G.'s
view is sound & may
be accepted.

W.T.

3.3.00.

See notes
within.

Act

6.3.00.
20

Order no. 191 of 25.00
Recorded.

R.A.

22-00

20.00

I have no remarks to offer
& am prepared to agree

21-3-00

Please see my notes on the margin within.

A.S.H.

10.4.00

Pg 2/3100

12/1/1900

the suggestion which found at
the office working for the
the suggestion which found at

the suggestion which found at
the office working for the
the suggestion which found at

When given for copying _____
When copied _____ } 190
When examined _____
When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED
190

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.