

Law (Education) (1926) Department.

Mis. SERIES.

G.O. No. 920

DATED 19. 5. 1926.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Subsidy:-

Municipal High School, Villupuram - enhancement of -
refused.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CF. 30-11,000-8-9-24.

LOCAL SELF GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENT.

Received 192 . Registered 192 .



Subject.

114

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies

Rec. No. 301/25

Station Villupuram , Dated the 27th. December 1925 .

From (Name) M.R.Ry. S. Chidambara Ayyar Avergal,
Chairman, Municipal Council,
(Designation) VILLUPURAM.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Local Self Government Department.

Fort St. George,

MADRAS.

Sir.

I have the honour to invite your attention to G.O.No.388 L & M dated 4-2-25 declining to grant an additional subsidy towards the net cost of the Villupuram Municipal High School and to submit the following for favour of your consideration.

2. The main ground upon which the Director of Public Instruction did not recommend the sanction of the grant seems to be that the scale of pay sanctioned by the Municipal Council in the year 1923-24

is

is higher than the scale of pay sanctioned by Government in G.O.No.1455 L & M dated 28-10-1918. For the purpose of maintaining the efficiency of the school it is absolutely necessary that a competent staff with a decent salary should be maintained in the school and there is a clamour among the members of the staff that the pay sanctioned in the budget for the year 1925-26 has been inadequate. In the budget for the year 1925-26 the sanctioned scale of pay as it existed in the previous year was reduced by the Council on receipt of the G.O. mentioned above and the Headmaster's pay was fixed as 125--5--150 and that of L.T. assistants as Rs80--4--100. The amount drawn by them on 1-4-25 in excess of the above-mentioned scale was treated as personal allowance to the teachers as it was not thought desirable to reduce the pay altogether. The District Educational Officer, South Arcot in his recent inspection note has suggested apparently on the representations made by the teachers that the scale of pay sanctioned from the year 1923-24 may be allowed to stand in the case of teachers who were already in service and that the scale of pay proposed in the proposition statement for the year 1925-26 may be made applicable to new men joining the school. It has therefore to be submitted that the Municipality with its limited funds and with other avenues of expenditure is not able to satisfactorily allow a decent scale of pay to the school masters.

2. Besides this, the school is ill-equipped and furniture and apparatus, and books & maps have to be purchased on a large scale for the use of the school and the successful running of the same. No attention seems to have been paid during the past 6 or 7 years to improve the library or the laboratory of the school. The provision of these things necessarily involves additional outlay and expenditure on the part of the Municipality.

3. As the matters stand now, the High School has been working at a cost of Rs.5,179 to the Municipality during the year 1924-25 and is expected to work at a cost of Rs.2,500/- this year 1925-26. To add to this there is a proposal to construct a pucca High School building for the High School here and applications have been made for both the grant and loan of Rs.33,500/- each from Government and the same are likely to be -- favourably considered by the Government. If the said amounts are sanctioned, the Municipality will have to pay every year an amount of Rs.2862 towards the repayment of the loan and this additional burden is likely to increase the net cost to the Municipality by an amount of Rs.2862. All told this young Municipality cannot rest satisfied with the present subsidy of -- Rs.1332/- given by Government towards the maintenance of Secondary Education and a further help from Government by way of increasing the subsidy to Rs.4,000/- or thereabouts will greatly relieve the Municipality of a substantial portion of the burden. I once more wish to draw your attention to the whole file of correspondence ending with the aforesaid G.O.No.388 L & M dated 4-2-1925 and I may be permitted to point out that even as regards the salary of the teachers, the Taluk Board was paying an allowance ranging from Rs.3 to Rs.16/- respectively in addition to the scale of pay sanctioned in G.O.No.1455 L & M dated 28--10--1918. I am given to understand that similar institutions in other places have been the recipients of larger amounts of grant towards the maintenance of Secondary education and in fact the Municipal High School here is one of the biggest high schools in the District and deserves the best consideration at the hands of Government and the Director of Public Instruction.

The net cost at present incurred by the Municipality, the probable and recurring expenditure of about

Rs3000/- every year towards the repayment of the building loan and the necessity to equip the school with the requisite furniture and apparatus, books and maps, the expenditure under which heads less the Government grant for them are borne by the Municipality; all should weigh with Government in giving a larger and more decent subsidy to this Municipality towards the secondary education.

I therefore request that my appeal may be favourably considered both by the Government and the Director of Public Instruction and favourable orders passed.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

B. S. S. S. S.

CHAIRMAN. 1.1.26

TSV./ Exd.

12
11
10
9
8
7
6
5
4
3
2
1

Proposition statement of the establishment of the Municipal High School Villupuram.

23 were sanctioned by Govt. on 12.11.55 d. No. 1455 d. No. 28-10-1918 and the appointment of No. 24 was sanctioned in G.O. No. 1381 dated 19-10-1918

Name of School.	S. No.	Designation.	Scale of pay as sanctioned by Government.			Local allowance sanctioned by the Taluk B.D. Tindivanam	Designation.
			No.	No.	No.		
Municipal High School Villupuram		<u>Permanent</u>					
	1.	Headmaster B.L.T.	100	5	125	18	1. Headmaster. B.L.T.
	2.	Assistant "	80	4	100	16	2. Assistant. "
	3.	" "	80	4	100	16	3. " "
	4.	" "	75	3	90	15	4. " "
	5.	" Intermediate	45	1	50	11	5. " "
	6.	" "	40	1	45	10	6. " "
	7.	" "	40	1	45	10	7. " "
	8.	" "	40	1	45	10	8. " "
	9.	" S.S.L.C.	35	1	40	8	9. " "
	10.	" "	30	1	35	7	10. " "
	11.	" "	30	1	35	7	11. " "
	12.	" "	25	1	30	6	12. " "
	13.	" "	25	1	30	6	13. " "
	14.	" "	25	1	30	6	14. " "
	15.	Commercial Instructor	40	1	45	10	15. " "
	16.	Drawing Master.	20	1	25	5	16. " "
	17.	Senior Munshi.	30	1	35	7	17. " "
	18.	Junior Munshi.	25	1	35	6	18. " "
	19.	Gymnastic Instructor	20	1	25	5	19. " "
	20.	Clerk.	15	1	20	-	20. " "
	21.	Perw.	-	-	8	3	21. " "
	22.	Gardener	-	-	6	3	22. " "
	23.	Watchman.	-	-	7	3	23. " "
	24.	Brude Munshi.	-	-	20	-	24. " "
		<u>Temporary Establishment.</u>					
	1.	Assistant - L.T.	-	-	80	-	1. " "
	2.	" - B.A.	-	-	40	10	2. " "
	3.	" - S.S.L.C.	-	-	25	6	3. " "
	4.	Sanskrit Pandit.	-	-	25	6	4. " "
	5.	Clerk.	-	-	20	5	5. " "
	6.	Laboratory attendant	-	-	12	4	6. " "
	7.	Nawabtope watchman.	-	-	7	3.	7. " "

1923 — 24.	1925 — 26	Remarks.
Scale of pay sanctioned by the Council.	Scale of pay sanctioned by the Council.	
No. No. No.	No. No. No.	
150 5 175	125 5 150	Rs. 5. Personal allowance.
80 4 120	80 4 100	" 16. — do —
80 4 120	80 4 100	" 16. — do —
80 4 120	80 4 100	" 8. — do —
80 4 120	80 4 100	" 4. — do —
50 2 60	50 2 60	1. 26. — do —
50 2 60	50 2 60	Director — do —
80 4 100	80 4 100	2. 2. 2. — do —
35 1 1/2 50	35 1 1/2 50	3. 2. 2. — do —
35 1 1/2 50	35 1 1/2 50	4. 2. 2. — do —
35 1 1/2 50	35 1 1/2 50	5. 2. 2. — do —
35 1 1/2 50	35 1 1/2 50	6. 2. 2. — do —
35 1 1/2 50	35 1 1/2 50	7. 2. 2. — do —
35 1 1/2 50	35 1 1/2 50	8. 2. 2. — do —
35 1 1/2 50	35 1 1/2 50	9. 2. 2. — do —
35 1 1/2 50	35 1 1/2 50	10. 2. 2. — do —
35 1 1/2 50	35 1 1/2 50	11. 2. 2. — do —
35 1 1/2 50	35 1 1/2 50	12. 2. 2. — do —
35 1 1/2 50	35 1 1/2 50	13. 2. 2. — do —
35 1 1/2 50	35 1 1/2 50	14. 2. 2. — do —
35 1 1/2 50	35 1 1/2 50	15. 2. 2. — do —
35 1 1/2 50	35 1 1/2 50	16. 2. 2. — do —
35 1 1/2 50	35 1 1/2 50	17. 2. 2. — do —
35 1 1/2 50	35 1 1/2 50	18. 2. 2. — do —
35 1 1/2 50	35 1 1/2 50	19. 2. 2. — do —
35 1 1/2 50	35 1 1/2 50	20. 2. 2. — do —
35 1 1/2 50	35 1 1/2 50	21. 2. 2. — do —
35 1 1/2 50	35 1 1/2 50	22. 2. 2. — do —
35 1 1/2 50	35 1 1/2 50	23. 2. 2. — do —
35 1 1/2 50	35 1 1/2 50	24. 2. 2. — do —
35 1 1/2 50	35 1 1/2 50	25. 2. 2. — do —
35 1 1/2 50	35 1 1/2 50	26. 2. 2. — do —
35 1 1/2 50	35 1 1/2 50	27. 2. 2. — do —
35 1 1/2 50	35 1 1/2 50	28. 2. 2. — do —

for Chairman.

Proportion of the population

Designation	Name of State	Age	Sex	Religion	Marital Status	Education	Occupation	Income	Assets	Liabilities	Net Worth
1. Headmaster	T. J. Headmaster	18	2	100	2	100	2	100	2	100	2
2. Assistant	"	16	4	80	4	80	4	80	4	80	4
3. Teacher	"	12	10	60	10	60	10	60	10	60	10
4. Teacher	"	10	12	40	12	40	12	40	12	40	12
5. Teacher	"	8	14	20	14	20	14	20	14	20	14
6. Teacher	"	6	16	10	16	10	16	10	16	10	16
7. Teacher	"	4	18	5	18	5	18	5	18	5	18
8. Teacher	"	2	20	2	20	2	20	2	20	2	20
9. Teacher	"	1	22	1	22	1	22	1	22	1	22
10. Teacher	"	0	24	0	24	0	24	0	24	0	24
11. Teacher	"	0	26	0	26	0	26	0	26	0	26
12. Teacher	"	0	28	0	28	0	28	0	28	0	28
13. Teacher	"	0	30	0	30	0	30	0	30	0	30
14. Teacher	"	0	32	0	32	0	32	0	32	0	32
15. Teacher	"	0	34	0	34	0	34	0	34	0	34
16. Teacher	"	0	36	0	36	0	36	0	36	0	36
17. Teacher	"	0	38	0	38	0	38	0	38	0	38
18. Teacher	"	0	40	0	40	0	40	0	40	0	40
19. Teacher	"	0	42	0	42	0	42	0	42	0	42
20. Teacher	"	0	44	0	44	0	44	0	44	0	44
21. Teacher	"	0	46	0	46	0	46	0	46	0	46
22. Teacher	"	0	48	0	48	0	48	0	48	0	48
23. Teacher	"	0	50	0	50	0	50	0	50	0	50
24. Teacher	"	0	52	0	52	0	52	0	52	0	52
25. Teacher	"	0	54	0	54	0	54	0	54	0	54
26. Teacher	"	0	56	0	56	0	56	0	56	0	56
27. Teacher	"	0	58	0	58	0	58	0	58	0	58
28. Teacher	"	0	60	0	60	0	60	0	60	0	60
29. Teacher	"	0	62	0	62	0	62	0	62	0	62
30. Teacher	"	0	64	0	64	0	64	0	64	0	64
31. Teacher	"	0	66	0	66	0	66	0	66	0	66
32. Teacher	"	0	68	0	68	0	68	0	68	0	68
33. Teacher	"	0	70	0	70	0	70	0	70	0	70
34. Teacher	"	0	72	0	72	0	72	0	72	0	72
35. Teacher	"	0	74	0	74	0	74	0	74	0	74
36. Teacher	"	0	76	0	76	0	76	0	76	0	76
37. Teacher	"	0	78	0	78	0	78	0	78	0	78
38. Teacher	"	0	80	0	80	0	80	0	80	0	80
39. Teacher	"	0	82	0	82	0	82	0	82	0	82
40. Teacher	"	0	84	0	84	0	84	0	84	0	84
41. Teacher	"	0	86	0	86	0	86	0	86	0	86
42. Teacher	"	0	88	0	88	0	88	0	88	0	88
43. Teacher	"	0	90	0	90	0	90	0	90	0	90
44. Teacher	"	0	92	0	92	0	92	0	92	0	92
45. Teacher	"	0	94	0	94	0	94	0	94	0	94
46. Teacher	"	0	96	0	96	0	96	0	96	0	96
47. Teacher	"	0	98	0	98	0	98	0	98	0	98
48. Teacher	"	0	100	0	100	0	100	0	100	0	100

65 L88

Cross. 114. to an the Que Vellapone

Roc. 301 24. 12. 25.

Subject.

Net cost, Municipal High School, Vellapone

Meas. 114-1 Ltn A, 228. 1. 26.

Referred to the Director of Public Instruction for remarks.

To the Director of Public Instruction

The Director of Public Instruction

To be referred to the Director of Public Instruction

DESPATCHED 1 FEB 1926

Local Self Government DEPARTMENT.

Received



192

Registered

192

9938

Subject.

Municipal High School, Villupuram - enhancement of
the fixed subsidy

T 125
1/4

ENCLOSURES.

No.

R.O.C

No.

34 B/26

Spare copies

Station Madras Dated the 25th March 1926

From (Name) R. Littlehailes Esq., M.A.,
(Designation) Director of Public Instruction

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras

Local Self Government Department.

Sir,

[With reference to Government memo No. 114-1

L & M A dated 28-1-26, I have the honour to resubmit herewith
the letter R.O.C. No. 301/25 dated 27-12-25 from the Chairman
Municipal Council, Villupuram with the following remarks]

2. In my letter R.O.C. No. 447 B/24 dated 2-12-24
disposed of in G.O. No. 388 L & M dated 4-2-25 it was pointed
out to Government that the scales of pay sanctioned by the
Municipal Council and given effect to from 1923-24 without
obtaining the sanction of Government were higher than those
generally allowed by Government for net cost schools and that
the council's request amounted to the payment of the full net
cost of the school from provincial funds. From the comparative

and in
copying

116-7280
(N)

4
p12 info statement (copy enclosed) showing the scales of pay sanctioned by Government and those sanctioned by the Municipal Council during the years 1923-24 and 1925-26, it is seen that the Municipal Council is at present giving increased salaries to the teachers even after receipt of the G.O.No.388 L & M., dated 4-2-25. The Municipal Council in its resolution dated 13-3-26 (copy enclosed) has further stated that it is not going to give effect to the scale sanctioned in 1923-24 which was first given effect to and subsequently reduced in 1925-26. Regarding the subsidy of Rs.4000 applied for, the Chairman Municipal Council states that he could not furnish the details as to how the amount was arrived at but he requests that the amount applied for may be sanctioned in view of the fact that the school according to the Revised Estimate for 1925-26 will work at a deficit ^{of Rs.3610 and that the deficit} will be greater in 1926-27 on account of the employment of additional teachers and the purchase of furniture etc. It is reported by the District Educational Officer South Arcot that the expenditure for 1926-27 has been highly estimated. [The latest strength of the school is 639. Of this as many as 324 pupils (i.e.) more than one half of the total strength come from outside the Municipality but from within the District of South Arcot. It is therefore reasonable that the District Board South Arcot may meet at least one half of the deficit after taking into consideration the fixed subsidy of Rs.1350

mit [2]
copy
(S) sanctioned in G.O.No.270 L.E., dated 19-2-26.]

3. It is reported in the Tabular Inspection Report of the school for 1925-26 that the school is in need of equipment. The purchase of furniture books etc., are non-recurring items and they come under 'capital charges.' Grants not exceeding one half of these items are generally given subject to the provision of funds and subject to the condition that the local bodies concerned meet the other half from their own funds and that the indents are previously approved. The subsidy of Rs.1350 sanctioned for the maintenance of the school is a recurring one and it cannot be increased on this account. It is open to the Council to apply separately for equipment grants on the above conditions.

4. The proposal for constructing a building for the school is before Government. The repayment of the loan referred to is


9-3
a change on the general funds of the council and it should be met by the Council from its own funds.

5. The financial position of the Council as observed from its administration report for 1924-25 is sound and the transactions under the general account for 1924-25 have closed with a balance of Rs.24,777. The net expenditure to be incurred by the Municipality in 1925-26 is only Rs.3610 while the Council's general receipts under 'ordinary' for 1924-25 were Rs.67,762 exclusive of the Government grants. Taking Rs.3610 as the basis the percentage of expenditure is very small. In these circumstances and in view of the orders contained in para 6 of the G.O.No.270 L.E., dated 19-2-26 sanctioning fixed subsidies to secondary schools under the management of the local bodies, the proposal for raising the subsidy to Rs.4000 is not supported.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,


For Director of Public Instruction

4 T.R. 26/3
29/3

10 8

Proceedings of the Chairman Municipal Council, Villupuram
passed at its meeting held on Saturday the 13th March 1926.

Present

1.	M.R.Ry	S.Chidambaram Iyer Avergal	Chairman
2.	M.R.Ry	Md.Usman Sahib Bahadur ..	Councillor
3.	..	G.Narayanaswami Pillai ..	..
4.	..	S.N.Lakshmipathy Chettyar	..
5.	..	S.P.Doraiswami Pathar ..	..
6.	..	K.S.Subramania Ayyar ..	..
7.	..	S.Kuppuswami Goundar ..	..
8.	..	V.D.Kannappa Mudaliar ..	..
9.	..	J.P.Devaraj ..	..
10.	..	N.Govindarajulu Naidu ..	..
11.	..	F.Lowe Esquire	..
12.	..	N.P.Achuthan ..	..
13.	..	P.Poongavanam ..	..
14.	..	S.Aiya, perumal Nattar ..	..

absent 2 Councillors

Resolution No.248 dated 13-3-26

Read:- Letter from the Director of Public Instruction, Madras
R.C.No.34/B.26 dated 8-2-26 requesting to report whether the
Council is willing to give effect to the revised scale of pay
sanctioned in 1923-24 for the High School establishment and to
send a copy of the Council's Resolution approving the proposal
of the Chairman for an increased grant of Rs.4000 to the High
School.

The Council is of opinion that the question of giving effect
to the scale of pay sanctioned in the year 1923-24 may be post-
poned to some more time but in view of the fact that the High
school establishment has to be increased by the appointment of
additional staff and as large amounts have to be spent every
year for the improvement of furniture, library, and apparatus
and in view of the fact that the loan applied for for the

construction of a High School is to be repaid from the normal revenues of the Municipality, the Council prays for the grant of a subsidy of Rs.4000 a year as mentioned in the Chairman's report.

(True copy)

For Director of Public Instruction

Municipal High School, Villupuram

12/9

Position	1923-24				1925-26				Remarks
	Scale of pay sanctioned by the Council		Actual pay drawn		Scale of pay sanctioned by the Council		Actual pay drawn		
	Rs	P	Rs	P	Rs	P	Rs	P	
A.T.	150	5	175	150	125	5	150	155	No 5. Personal allowance
B.A.T	80	4	120	112	80	4	100	116	No 16 Personal allowance
"	80	4	120	112	80	4	100	116	.. 16 do
"	80	4	120	104	80	4	100	108	.. 8 do
"	80	4	120	100	80	4	100	104	.. 4 do
Intermediate	50	2	60	54	50	2	60	60	
Intermediate	50	2	60	58	50	2	60	60	
Do	50	2	60	54	50	2	60	58	
Do	50	2	60	54	50	2	60	58	
Passed B.A	80	4	100	80	80	4	100	96	
2.T Training	35	1 1/2	50	45 1/2	35	1 1/2	50	48 1/2	
S.S.L.C	35	1 1/2	50	41	35	1 1/2	50	44	
Do	35	1 1/2	50	38	35	1 1/2	50	44	
Do	35	1 1/2	50	35	35	1 1/2	50	38	
Do	35	1 1/2	50	35	35	1 1/2	50	38	
Passed Intermediate	50	2	60	50	50	2	60	54	
Sub Instructor	50	2	60	52	50	2	60	56	
Sub Pandit	40	1	45	41	40	1	45	43	
Do	35	1	40	35	35	1	40	37	
Umsi	35	1	40	35	35	1	40	35	
Master	30	1	35	30	35	-	-	35	
Master	30	1	35	30	35	-	-	35	
Intermediate	50	2	60	50	50	2	60	54	
Pandit	50	2	60	50	50	2	60	54	
Do	35	1	40	37	40	1	45	40	
Do	35	1	40	36	35	1	40	38	
Do	-	-	-	-	20	1	25	20	
Do	-	-	12	12	-	-	13	13	
Do	-	-	10	10	-	-	11	11	
Do	-	-	10	10	-	-	11	11	
Do	15	1	20	16	15	1	20	18	

(True copy)

For Director of Public Instruction

Proposition statement of the establishment of the Municipal High School Valluvar

Name of School	S No	Designation	Scale of pay as sanctioned by Government			Local allowances sanctioned by the Taluk Board Tindivanam		Designation
			No	No	No	No	No	
Municipal High School Valluvar	1	Headmaster B.A.Z.T	100	5	125	18	14	Headmaster
	2	Assistant "	80	4	100	16	2	Assistant
	3	— do —	80	4	100	16	3	do
	4	— do —	75	3	90	15	4	— do —
	5	— do — Intermediate	45	1	50	11	5	— do —
	6	— do — "	40	1	45	10	6	— do —
	7	— do — "	40	1	45	10	7	— do —
	8	— do — "	40	1	45	10	8	— do —
	9	— do — S.S.Z.C	35	1	40	8	9	— do —
	10	— do — "	30	1	35	7	10	— do —
	11	— do — "	30	1	35	7	11	— do —
	12	— do — "	25	1	30	6	12	— do —
	13	— do — "	25	1	30	6	13	— do —
	14	— do — "	25	1	30	6	14	— do —
	15	Commercial Instructor	40	1	45	10	15	— do —
	16	Drawing Master	20	1	25	5	16	Commercial
	17	Senior Munshi	30	1	35	7	17	Senior Ta
	18	Junior Munshi	25	1	35	6	18	Junior
	19	Gymnastic Instructor	20	1	25	5	19	Indu M
	20	Clerk	15	1	20	—	20	Drilling
Temporary Establishment sanctioned by the Taluk Board, Tindivanam	21	Peon	—	—	8	3	21	Assistant
	22	Gardener	—	—	6	3	22	— do —
	23	Watchman	—	—	7	3	23	Sanskrit
	24	Indu Munshi	—	—	20	—	24	clerk
	1	Temporary Establishment Assistant Z.T.	—	—	80	—	24(a)	Assistant
	2	— do — B.A.	—	—	40	10	25	Peon
	3	— do — S.S.Z.C	—	—	25	6	26	Rightwa
	4	Sanskrit Pandit	—	—	25	6	27	Gardener
	5	clerk	—	—	20	5	28	Attender
	6	Laboratory Attender	—	—	12	4	—	—
	7	Nawab top Watchman	—	—	7	3	—	—

The appointment of items 15-23 were sanctioned by Government in G.O. No. 14552 dated 28.10.1918 and the appointment of No. 24 was sanctioned in G.O. No. 1381 dated 19.10.1918

Temporary Establishment sanctioned by the Taluk Board, Tindivanam

Cross - 114. from the Council Belapuram Roc 301 d 24/12/25

" 9938. from the D.P. Roc 3413/26 d 25/3/26

Enhancement of the fixed subsidy
ml high school Belapuram

This has been the school ^{ml. (H.S.)} Valinipuran has been

working at a deficit during recent years.

The deficit in 1923-24 was Rs 3790,
in 1924-25 Rs 5179, and in 1925-26, Rs 3610

(according to the Revised Estimate). The deficit
in 1926-27 year is estimated at Rs 7330

As the D.P. points out, it is all due
to the increased salaries which the Council
has been paying ^{to its employees} regardless of its financial
position. — Salaries higher
than those generally allowed by law.
for net cost schools. The Council
is itself to blame for this state of affairs
and deserves no sympathy in the hands
of the Govt.

2. The D.P. further points out
that more than one half of the total
strength of the school come from
outside the municipal area. So it is but
reasonable that the D.P. should meet
a fair portion of the total cost of maintenance

ml. up to 388 km
4/2/25

pp. 16+27 of the report
to 1194 km
27/3/26

* para 2 of 8 of

para 3 of 8 of

ps 84

It is however a matter for the ul ce
to consider and address the D. P. D. if necessary.

3. ~~The draft order is~~
~~to be approved and signed by~~
~~the D. P. D. in the Law (Edu) Dept.~~
The order ^{relating increase of the} fixed Subsidies
Should ~~be~~ issue in the Law (Edu)
Dept. The file may be transferred to that Dept.
w/o. for issue of orders.

Y
28/4/26

MR
5.5.26

There appear to be no
ground to enhance the
Subsidy.

Law
5.5.26

Law (Edu) Dept.


See
Law (Edu) - 10/5

mad
8/5

Law (Edu) Dept. Leg. no. 9938-1
11/5/26

A-5

The D. P. D. also points out
that the percentage of its total
revenues which the Municipal
Council ^{at present} spends on secondary
education is very small. This
Dept may therefore agree with
L. S. G. that there is no ground
for increasing the subsidy of
Rs. 1350 fixed for the school
in




(5) in fr. No. 270 Law (Edu), 8/9.2.26.
The draft order submitted below
is for approval.

C.P.C.
13.5.26
8/5
13.5.26.

15/5/26

V.T.K.
17.5



Law (Edu) DEPARTMENT.

	Date.	Initials.
When received for Fair-copying.		
When copied	19/5/26	82
When examined		
When signed	19/5	62
When despatched		

Subsidy.

Municipal High School
Villupuram — enhancement
of — Refused.
4.2.25

READ AGAIN G.O. No. 388 LHM, dated 192 .
" " " " " " 192 .

READ ALSO:-

C. No. 1213 B From the C.M.C. Villupuram R.O.C. 301/25, dated 27.12.1925.

" " " " D.P.I. R.O.C. 34 B/26 25.3.1926.

" " " " " " 192 .

ORDER.

His

No. 920, dated 19. 5. 1926.

The remarks of the
D.P.I. in his letter read
above, with which the
Govt agree, are
communicated to the
C.M.C., Villupuram. The
Govt are unable to
reconsider the orders
in fr. No. 388 LHM of
4.2.25 and enhance
the fixed subsidy sanctioned
for the Municipal high
School, Villupuram. No. 270
Law (Edu) of 19.2.26.

DESPATCHED
MAY 1926

To the C.M.C., Villupuram
(with copy of D.P.I.'s letter
D.P.I. (pp) 7-9 (cf)
L.S.G. Deptt. (S)

13.5.26 814 1515 V.T. 17.5

When given for copying _____
When copied _____ } 192
When examined _____
When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O. No. _____, DATED
192 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT ... A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED OF, ERLOS MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.