

*Law* (192 6) Department.  
*(Educative)*

*Ordinary* SERIES.

G.O. No. 878

DATED 17-5-1926

[Despatch Abstract.]

Petition for increased pension--addressed to the Viceroy  
withheld.



# BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |

# FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |



34 Argyle Street  
Argyle House  
Kings Cross  
14th March 1926

1394A  
A. de 1092C  
814/26

2  
A

His Excellency

Viceroy of India Simla

Sir

The Government of Madras

Your Excellency,

I am laying this appeal before you in the hopes you will be good enough to ameliorate my present condition which has arisen entirely from the unjust treatment meted out to me by the Government of Madras. The circumstances are these I joined the Government of Madras in 1906, and worked in various capacities for 15 years in the Madras Education Department, so you will see from the authenticated copy of the history of my services which I enclose. You will also see from the same documents that my promotion & increment to salary has been steady year by year. In 1921 I was working as an Assistant Inspector in the Coimbatore Sub Range. From 1912 I had been in charge of offices having under me two or sometimes three Brahman clerks, a couple of peons

328/26  
3326







The nature of my work entailed a great deal of travel & the Heads of these Institutes met with no further punishment than perhaps a transfer or -  
I did not see why a woman should be punished more than a man for a less offence.

The matter did not rest there, the Brahmans began to agitate about it, and to put articles in their papers, one of these published in Salem was found by the Government translator & put before the Government. The chief complaint of the article to quote its own words was "that a poor Brahman clerk through the misdemeanour of his immediate superior was dismissed, & the appeal was made to the controlling authority, no notice was taken & this was how the poor Brahmans were down trodden by the Bureaucrats." The article was sent to the Director, & he was asked to take action. I was sent for, & personally asked in the presence of the Deputy Directress whether the charges made against me were true. The most heinous of them, apparently, was that I had a quarrel with my sister, the truth of which I acknowledged as I absolutely find her a very difficult person to get on with. I was told to go on leave or I would be punished - I went on leave & spent a year in London. I received a letter from the Deputy Directress just prior to

, I was under the necessity of engaging

her retirement that there was nothing against me, & I could return to my work. I did so & rejoined the same appointment, but in another District of Vizagapatnam. I worked here for a year. In June the Inspectors held my office inspection and gave me a very good report saying the office was in a far better condition than in the previous year. Notwithstanding that, in August, just a little over a month while I was touring in the Vizagapatnam Taluk, I came home after the inspection of two schools and a journey of 22 miles by bullock cart to find a telegram awaiting me from the Director's office to go before a Medical Board in Madras. I asked for the reason as I had never complained of illness or left my work undone on that score, the Director, whom I saw personally, before meeting the Board said he had nothing to do with it, it was entirely in the hands of the Government. I went before the Board and they asked me some questions for about quarter of an hour consulting from time to time a type written letter signed by the Director. The questions bore mainly on my leave in London from September 15 1922 till July 13 1923 during which period, I believe the Director was also on leave here for a short time as I saw it



gazetted on my return. I asked the President of The Board what was her decision and she said she was recommending me for retirement, as I was mentally unfit. I appealed against the decision of the medical Board on the score of their incompetency to come to a decision, for they were only women employed in a child-bearing Hospital & from the nature of their work were not in a position to pronounce on mental cases. This was clearly borne out by the remark made by the Indian member of the Board who interpolated that I also wrote to the High Commissioner while in London. I had, asking for a passage back, what had that to do with my mental balance? Clearly it was a pre-arranged decision, and had no weight or truth. But it has been allowed to stand to my utter detriment. My appeal was delayed in the Director's office probably due to the negligence of the Muhammedan Deputy Director, and within a few days, I received a copy of an order to the Inspector telling her to take over charge of my office at once, as the Board had declared me "unfit for further service". As the Accountant General had told me I was entitled to a certain amount of furlough on pay preparatory to retirement. I applied for it. According to rules it was in the hands of the Director to give it but he withheld it. And

after eighteen years service, with no disparaging remark against me in my Service Record, but clearly a statement of gradual promotion & increment I was sent out of the service. The only money I had in my hand was the small accumulation of my Provident Fund. As I knew my pension would be small, and I could not live respectably on it in India, also in view of the certificate and the spite which prompted it, <sup>that</sup> I should not be able to get work in India, where the openings were so few, I determined to come to England. I had not communicated to my sister the fact of my having handed over charge, but simply went to Madras. To my surprise she had gone the very day I handed over charge from Palghat where she is working as a teacher in a Board School to Vizagapatnam, where I had been living, & finding I had gone to Madras, followed me to Madras, found out my address. I don't know how, and came to the Boarding House in Madras where I was temporarily staying. I told her my intention of going to England, gave her some money to go back to Palghat, as she said she had none. To my surprise I saw her at the station that night.



with a vile looking Indian in attendance, & he sent the platform Inspector to stop me from going on the plea that my passport <sup>was not</sup> renewed, & he affirmed that I would not be allowed into Ceylon without a passport, but some of the passengers who were travelling with me to Ceylon told me a passport was not necessary, & I insisted on going & left without saying 'Goodbye' to my sister and I never want to see her or India again. I state this in my appeal, expressly with the reason, that due of opinion, my sister will intrigue to get me back to India, so that I may be made to work and support her when she gets tired of working. I thought I was born in India, I do not belong to it. My people were Scotch and beyond her I have no ties there, and she is absolutely no tie to me now. My Father's people are here not very far, and they are well to do. But I have looked after myself these many years, and am perfectly capable of doing so now. I came with a brave heart hopeful of getting work here as nationalities of every kind lived and worked <sup>here</sup> and I had a better right to my Scotch birth to live in peace and eke out my small pension by working here. But to my surprise, I found

9  
The people here intensely prejudiced against me, particularly the working classes, owing to the rumours which had come across - how, I don't know about my premature and unwarranted retirement. Everybody here except myself seemed to know the reason why I was sent before the Medical Board. It was militating against me to such an extent, that I wrote to the Government of Madras, and asked them to give enhance my pension so that I could live without working since work was refused to me or to send me copies of the medical certificate on which I was retired and the charges which were brought against <sup>me</sup> which induced the Director to send me the telegram to go before a Medical Board. Both requests were refused, as you will see from a copy of the enclosed order. Now, your Excellency, I am appealing to you against this harsh and uncalled for treatment. In the natural course of things, I should have worked another five years, and received a pension of Rs 120 instead of only Rs 46. I was sent suddenly without reason before a Board who were told to retire me previously. The decision of the Board is not



The main thing because in spite of the Board saying I was not fit for work, I have worked ever since I have come here, either studied or taught in a school - I studied to bring myself into line with the present requirements in this country, & as I could not afford to join a college I joined the Cambridge University Correspondence College & found it a great help. I also taught in France, and am sending you copies of the two certificates I received since coming here. But what I ask, Your Excellency, most earnestly to obtain for me is a copy of the charge made against me on the ground of which I was sent before a Medical Board in order that I might be retired with a show of official procedure. It must be something very grave and heinous to merit that drastic treatment of an officer of my standing and service. And that it is so carefully kept concealed from me, also assures me, without doubt, that it is a grave libel and slander. I again earnestly ask Your Excellency to obtain for me the reason of my being sent before a Medical Board, when I was in sound health, as my work & the June report I got out on it have proved, so that I may fight out the matter in a law

in writing

court, and clear my name from the shadow which is hanging over it. I am alone in the world; my present pension means absolute starvation living, I have got to work to eke it out. The only work I have been brought up to do is teaching. I taught senior girls of every nationality except Indians in a English Convent in Madras for twelve years. I am a graduate, but if this cloud is allowed to hang over me, people will mistrust me, and will not care to have their children under my tuition. It cannot be a charge of immoral conduct, because for thirteen years, I have, in my inspection work, travelled night & day in lonely places, only accompanied by Indian males, and not a single act of that description would remain unreported, particularly, as the heart of an Indian's mind is to put the fondest construction on the most harmless words and acts. Your Excellency may verify the above statement by a reference to the enclosed Service Extract. If for political reasons or reasons, other than those involving my personal acts & deeds, and I must still remain under the cloud brought down on me & so be an object of



Surprise and distrust, then I beg your Excellency to enhance my pension so that I may be able to live and not starve. Owing to circumstances, which I have not brought down on myself, I have been retired after 18 years service with a damaged reputation and a deficit of Rs 45 in my pension. Since the damage done to my good name which keeps me at a starvation standard of living <sup>which</sup> at the same time, no opportunity of so closely withheld from me so that I may have no opportunity of clearing my name, give me at least a part if not the whole of the Rs 45 for which I should have been eligible if I had worked my five years out. That is my petition, your Excellency, & I beg you will move expeditiously in the matter as the present Director under whose regime these events happened, & who has a personal knowledge of them will have his furlough falling due about the end of May. I say this, because I know from present rules, officers in the Imperial Service are eligible for furlough every three years & from the Gazette his return to duty was the last week of May 23. Trusting your Excellency will see your way to comply with my request.

I am  
yours obediently  
Marie Bartels Retired Asst Inspector

# History of Services while<sup>13</sup> in Government employment

| Station     | Official designation                                                                                            | Date of appointment                                 | Pay or Salary     |
|-------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Cumhalore   | Headmistress Govt Training School for Mistresses<br>Cumhalore                                                   | 24.12.06                                            | Rs 75-            |
| Rajahmundry | Headmistress Govt Training School for Mistresses<br>Rajahmundry                                                 | 1.2.1912                                            | Rs 100            |
| Chittoor    | Sub. Asst. Inspectress of Schools North Arcot Salem Cols Range                                                  | 26.12.1912<br>afternoon                             | Rs 125-           |
| Bellary     | Sub. Asst. Inspectress of Schools, Ceded Dist Cols Range promoted to Rs 150                                     | 11.11.1913                                          | Rs 125-           |
| Do          | promoted to Rs 175                                                                                              | 6.11.1917                                           | Rs 175-           |
| Rajahmundry | Sub. Asst. Inspectress of Schools Godavari Cols                                                                 |                                                     |                   |
| Madras      | Acting Assistant Inspectress of Schools Madras Cols Range                                                       | 14.9.1918                                           | Rs 200            |
| Palghat     | Acting Assistant Inspectress of Schools Cumhalore Cols Range<br>Permitted to draw a local allowance from 1.4.20 | 7.6.20                                              | Rs 225-<br>Rs 268 |
| Cumhalore   | Do                                                                                                              | 3.1.21 office transferred from Palghat to Cumhalore |                   |



14.

9

Cumbatore

Do

Madras Educational  
Service acting 1-3-21

200-20-400-25-500

220+20 personal allowance

Confirmed as Assistant  
Inspectress of schools

21-4-21

Do

Assistant Inspectress of  
Schools Cumbatore Sub Range

1-3-22

Pro 240

On leave from 1-6-22 to  
17-8-23

Myagapalam Assistant Inspectress

18-8-23

Pro 260

Do

Do

1-3-24

Pro 280

Retired with effect 31-8-24  
(True Copy)  
(By Order)

Sd - Illegible  
Senior Superintendent



Office of the Accountant-General  
Madras

Fort St George 7th February 1924

No Pen Mis 3-1110

From

The Accountant-General Madras

To Mr M. Bartels

Assistant-Inspectors of Girls Schools Vizagapatnam  
-Madam-

2. You are now eligible for leave expressed in terms of average pay for 4 months and 23 days only. You may avail yourself of this leave preparatory to retirement on medical certificate on average pay or without medical certificate for 16 days on average pay and for 8 months and 14 days in continuation thereof on half average pay.
3. The amount at your credit in the General Provident Fund may be withdrawn finally as soon as you hand over charge and proceed on leave, if the leave is sanctioned preparatory to retirement.

I have the honour to be

Madam

Yours most obedient servant

Sd. Illegible

Assistant Accountant-General







Station Vizagapatam.

Dated 25th August 1924.

From,

Mrs. Bartels, B.A.,  
Assistant Inspectress of Schools,  
Vizagapatam Girls' Range.

To

His Excellency the Governor of Madras.

Through proper channel.

May it please Your Excellency,

I have to lay a few facts before you  
and request you in your kindness to deal with them  
justly.

I am the Assistant Inspectress of Schools  
in the Vizagapatam Girls' Range having been appointed in  
1918. I served in Madras from 1918 to 1920 and after  
the death of my husband in March 1920 I was at my own  
request transferred from Madras to Coimbatore up till  
May 1922 when I went on leave. On my return I was posted  
here. I worked here as conscientiously as I could.  
The Inspectress of Girls' Schools held her inspection of  
my office and gave a good report as recently as June.  
All this month I have been touring in the villages examining  
schools. I never left any of my schools uninspected  
never complained of ill health or anything else but to my  
surprise I got a wire from the Director of Public Instruction  
to go before a Medical Board on Saturday. I obeyed orders  
and was told I was recommended for retirement. So I am  
appealing to your Excellency against the utter injustice  
of it. I am perfectly well both in body and mind.



13

I submit to Your Excellency that the evidence of the Board is not an unbiassed one. The Lady Superintendent of the Gosha Hospital who was presumably the Head of the Board had a type-written letter before her signed by the Director of Public Instruction which she consulted from time to time. I request Your Excellency to call for that letter and connected papers and see if anyone in receipt of it could give an unbiassed opinion.

This Board was composed of Mrs. Beaden an Anglo-Indian and an Indian who asked about my writing to the High Commissioner. What has that got to do with my health or my continuance in work? I wrote to the High Commissioner about my passage out. What absolutely is that any concern of anyone here. Your Excellency I appeal strongly against the decision of this Medical Board. I asked Mrs. Beaden the Head of the Board and she told me she recommended for retirement on the ground I was mentally unfit. It is cruel lie. I have nothing the matter with me either mentally or physically. She asked me about my past. I answered truthfully and if truth is stranger than fiction why should I be declared mentally unfit deprived of my work and sent on an inadequate pension. I therefore ask Your Excellency not to act on the decision given by this Medical Board of women and allow me to appear before an unbiassed Board of men or give me a year's leave and let me return to my work at the end. I have had 18 years' service. I have been ~~re~~ born and brought up in India have spent most of my life here and I think it is owing to me that I should be allowed to work out my full time. I have done no wrong at least no accusations have been brought against me and if they have I have not been told of them or allowed to defend myself which is contrary to all Departmental rules. To be sent summarily before a Medical Board who ask you questions to which you give



and then told you are mentally unfit to continue is against all the principles of justice and honour which is characteristic of an Englishman and I ask Your Excellency not to let the injustice stand. You are here to right wrongs so please right this great wrong done to me. Even if I am declared unfit assign to it the true reason say I quarrel with the natives why attach a stigma to me which you will hamper me in getting work elsewhere.

This is a very lonely life I lead Your Excellency the I go out into villages alone with only a male Indian servant and the peon and clerk. I am subject to insults of every kind sometimes not always of which I cannot tell. These men can say anything about me and if this is reported to Headquarters I am not asked it goes without saying that I am condemned undefended which has happened over and over again. Only once was I allowed to defend myself and then I proved the writer of the report an unconscionable <sup>liar</sup>. After my Coimbatore experience I did not want to come back to this life. I went to see the Director when I got the order but he had gone on tour to Palaghat I was told. I wrote in January and asked him to put me into a school. He replied saying he could not see his way to it. Last week I stayed with European Missionary Miss Clarke in Vizianagaram night and day I was with her because she slept beside me at night. Ask her Your Excellency if I am mentally unfit. She saw me naturally as I usually am not under the trying circumstances under which those women have judged me. Ask any of the European Mission Managers I have come in contact with if they think I am mentally unsound. It is a cruel lie to blight my life and I beg of Your Excellency to give me the opportunity to remove the blight. Give me another fair unbiassed medical examination or a year's leave and allow me to return after it to my work. I would



would like also to lay before Your Excellency that I was not given a very chance in this District. I had hardly been a few months here when I was told by a Guard on the railway that the Inspectress of Girls' Schools Miss A. DeRozario used to follow me on the line to various stations I had been to make enquiries. And on one occasion when I rebuked a Station Master for a discourteous reply to me she was not there but heard of it and wrote to him to report me should such ~~other~~ things be done in a Department for the spread of knowledge. If my conduct was so out of keeping reports would come soon enough of themselves why write and invite them.

Once more I ask your Excellency before coming to a decision to allow me to appear before another Board of Europeans or to give me a year's leave and allow me to return to work after it.

I have the honour to be,

May it please Your Excellency

Your most obedient servant,

Marie Bartels

*Director of Schools*



18

16

Proceedings of the Director of Public Instruction

Name - R. Littlehales Esq. M.A.

P.O.C No 395/Q dated 20th October 1924

Read the following:-

G.O No 1503 dated 24th September 1924

Mrs Bartels, late Assistant Inspector of Schools  
Vizagapatnam Pulo Range is informed that Government  
have in their order read above stated that they  
are unable to comply with the requests contained in  
her memorial dated 25th August 1924

(By Order)

Sd. Illegible

Senior Superintendent



19

Government House

Cotacaimund

5th October 1924

C. No 2-39/24

Dear Madam,

I am to say His Excellency has considered the requests which you have made and much regrets he is unable to grant them

Yours truly

Sd E. Wood

Mrs Bartels

J. W. C. A.

Colombo.



Government House

Madras Governor's Camp

29<sup>th</sup> December 1924

Madam,

With reference to your letter of the 2nd December 1924, I am to say that His Excellency regrets that he cannot comply with your request.

Yrs truly

Sd E. Wood.

Mrs Beate

31 Argyle Square Kings Cross  
England.



31 Argyle Square  
Kings' Cross  
London N1

The Secretary  
Government of Madras  
India

Sir,

I take the liberty of addressing myself to you upon the subject of my pension. I was retired by the Medical Board from my occupation as Inspector of Schools on the 31st August 1924 with a pension of seventy-six rupees per month. I respectfully submit to you that I always performed my duties thoroughly, conscientiously and efficiently right up to the date of my retirement.

I was and still am thoroughly capable and efficient both mentally and physically for a further five years, and I verily believe my retirement was caused by Political reasons only. Had I been allowed to carry on my duties for another five years, for which, I assert, I was both physically and mentally efficient and capable, I should have been entitled to a pension of one hundred and twenty-nine rupees per month. Under these circumstances



I beg respectfully to submit to the Education Department of the Government of Madras that I am legally and morally entitled to the full pension of one hundred and twenty nine rupees per month, and having regard to the fact that my retirement was caused by political reasons only, as I have been given no other reasons whatever, that I am entitled to the full pension of one hundred and twenty nine rupees per month.

I am now fifty years of age and have only my pension to live on.

I trust therefore that you will increase my pension to what I should have been entitled to had I been allowed to serve for a further period of five years.

Dear Sir,

Yours faithful and obedient servant  
Marie Bartels



Abstract

23

Bartels - Mrs - requests for copies of documents  
- increased pension - refused

Law (Education) Department

1184

dated 10th July 1925

Order

The Government are unable to accede to the requests of Mrs M. Bartels that she may be furnished with copies of the documents mentioned in her letter to the High Commissioner of India, dated the 14th March 1925 and that she may be granted an enhanced pension of Rupees 129 per mensem.

(By Order of the Govt, Ministry of Education)

Sd V. P. Krishnamur Acharyar  
Secretary to Government.



24

20 Rue Caeuze

Paris 16<sup>e</sup>

1. 3. 26

Vn

M<sup>re</sup> Bartels has been Gouvernесс to my little boy.  
We like her very much and are sorry to  
lose her, but she is leaving us, because she  
is leaving Paris

Sd M<sup>re</sup> E. Lubowitch

I am sorry and am sending you  
understand and sympathize with her wish  
to find greater scope for her abilities

Sd Mary Ed. Sampson

headmaster.

Paris 16<sup>e</sup>



Convent of the Holy Virgin  
Shamecliffe Road  
Folkestone

8th Jan. 1926

Mrs Bartels has been with us teaching English and History to the senior girls, and Latin & History to the juniors.

Mrs Bartels is entirely reliable painstaking and interested in her work, and is able to arouse interest in her pupils.

I am sorry she is leaving us, but I understand and sympathise with her wish to find greater scope for her abilities.

Sd Mary Bl Campion  
Headmistress.

(True Copy)



Submitted to the Secretary to the Government of  
Madras (Education) Department with reference  
to his No 351, Edu for favour of transmission to the  
Secretary to the Government of India with reference  
to his No 350. Edu dated 15th February 1926.

(Law/Edn) Dept  
[C No. 1394 A] From Mrs M Bartels, 8/17.32

Subject: Petition for enhanced pension  
etc.

A previous petition addressed to  
H.E. the Viceroy has been disposed of  
in G.O. 328 (Law/Edn) of 3.3.26.  
No new facts or circumstances have  
been disclosed to warrant a re-con-  
sideration of her case. The petition

(L) may be withheld under rule 11(2)  
of the 'B' Memorial rules. Please see also  
rule XVIII of the rules framed under Sec 96 B (2) of the Govt  
of India Act. No appeal lies to the Viceroy.  
2. A draft order is submitted for  
approval.

Page 52 of the Manual of  
Statutory Rules & Orders.

Shis  
12.4.26

15/4

15/4

But, has she no appeal?

V.K.

16/4

Page 52 of the Manual  
of Statutory Rules  
& Orders.

Attention is directed to Rule XVIII of  
the rules framed under Sec. 96 B (2)  
of the Govt of India Act. Mrs. Bartels,  
a member of the Madras Educational  
Service (Women's Branch) has right  
of appeal to H.E. the Governor.

Mrs Bartels has already preferred  
such an appeal and that which



been disposed of - vide pp 25-32  
of G.O. no. 1503 (Law (Edn) of 24.9.24. (H)

Sub. 16426 Having been appointed to the  
Local Govt., she has no right  
of appeal to the Governor General  
or Reg of State.

17.4.26

Prior to the coming into force of  
these rules, an officer in the  
M.E.S. had a right of appeal  
to the Govt of India. Is there  
anything to show that these rules  
take away this right retrospectively -  
in the case of officers  
that enjoyed it?

V.T.K.

Mrs. Bartels was retired from the  
service with effect from 31.8.24,  
while Rule XVIII of the rules  
framed under section 96 B (2) of  
the Government of India Act  
has effect from 21.6.24 - vide  
notification of the Govt of India  
no. 7.472-II-23 on page 552 of  
Part I of the Gazette of India of  
21.6.24. That the above rules  
take away the rights enjoyed by officers prior  
to the coming into operation of the new rules is

15 of 50. 11878  
10.7.25

1554 of the  
Gaz. of India  
at (A)

(A)

1555 of the  
Gazette at (A)  
(A) 1554 of the


(Law (M) no 1394 A-1  
23-4-26



CURRENT NO.  
1724  
PUBLIC

Rule XVI of page 554  
of the Gazette of India Part I,  
1924 (A)

p-12 CF



accordant from the fact that rule  
XXIX and the second proviso of  
rule XVIII <sup>specifically</sup> preserves the right to  
a further appeal by Provincial  
Service officers only in respect  
of the cases mentioned therein,  
but not in other cases. Mrs. Bartels  
case does not come within the

excepted ones and hence has no  
right to a further appeal. The  
Public Dept may perhaps  
be consulted.

17/4

17/4

V.T.K.

Public Dept

Public Department.

Mrs. Bartels was placed before the Medical  
Board and declared by the Board to be unfit for ser-  
vice and therefore she was retired on invalid pension.  
There was no punishment and the Appeal Rules do not  
apply. Her present request is for an addition to her  
pension which will compensate for the loss she has  
sustained by not being allowed to work for the rest  
of her normal period of service and earning the full  
pension due to her. It is therefore a matter for  
memorial which should be disposed of under the Memo-



Memorial Rules. This memorial comes under Rule 11(6) of the Memorial Rules under which the local Government is competent to withhold a memorial which is a representation against the application of the Civil Service Regulations.

Submitted that the Law Department may be informed that the memorial may be so withheld.



BD



all 4.5.26

Law no

Pub(x) no 1724-1 d. 4-5-1926

Law (on) Dept

A revised draft order withholding the petition under rule 11(6) of the memorial rules for the submission of petitions addressed to the Governor-General in Council is submitted for approval.



Said 7.5.26

CR 7.5.26

V.Tk 10.5

M2

H.E


a petition & Memorial

was submitted by her to H.E.

Memorial to be withheld. The necessary rules are referred here for disposal. H.

13.4.26

On technical grounds I now wish to withhold the Memorial sent this. This Govt. on merits there is no case being invalidated of the Medical Board. 14/5/26



M2 with reference to H.E's orders

V.Tk

14.5

also in a case upon -

18/5





Bartels, Mrs M.

Petition for increased pension  
Addressed to the Keeloy-  
withheld

” ” ” ” ..... ” ..... 192

C. No. 1394A From the H. & H. Bartels No. \_\_\_\_\_, dated 17.3.1926

192

” ” ..... ” ” ..... ” ..... ” ..... ” ..... 182

No. 878, dated 17-5-192

Mis

The petition of Mrs Bartels  
addressed to H.E. the Viceroi  
will be withheld under rule  
11 (b) of the <sup>rules</sup> ~~rules~~ for the  
Submission of petitions  
addressed to the Governor  
General in Council reproduced  
below:—

11. Local Governments are vested with discretionary power & with petitions addressed to the former General in Council in the following cases:-

xx

xx

۲۷

(6) "When a petition is a representation against the application of



"The Civil Service Regulations or  
the Fundamental Rules or Rules  
framed by the Secretary of State  
in Council under Section 96-B(2)  
of the Government of India  
Act or against the order of a  
local government refusing  
to grant or to recommend  
a special pension or any  
pecuniary or other concession  
not claimable under any law  
or rule, or a compassionate  
pension."

xx xx xx

Slus  
7.5.26  
V/S  
CA  
7.5.26

VTK  
10.5

Miss M. Bantel  
37 Argyle Street  
Argyle House  
King's Cross, London

To be entered in the Withheld  
Memorial list

me  
10/5  
1925

DESPATCHED  
90/18 MAY 1926

When given for copying  
When copied  
When examined  
When signed

192

READ AGAIN G.O. No.  
192

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILLED OF, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH  
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—  
A = Copy in full.  
B = Not to be  
P = Co