

Law (Education) (1926) Department.

Ord. SERIES.

G.O. No. 869

DATED 14. 5. 1926

[Despatch Abstract.]

Legislative Council - Question

Special Teaching grant to
the Hindu Nadars - Victoria High
School, Sivakasi. Notes Recorded

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
8.1931	6/10/20						

Council Office,
15-1-26.

Question.

Mr.P.K.S.A. Arumugha Nadar:-

THE LAW (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT.

1870. With reference to the special teaching grant to aided secondary schools, will the hon.the Minister for Education be pleased to state

(a) whether it is a condition for a school to ~~xxx~~ participate in the aforesaid grant that the management should contribute a substantial sum or a sum equal to the Government grant;

(b) whether it is a fact that in past years several schools shared in this - grant though their management made no contribution as stipulated in the terms of the grant;

(c) whether in view of the fact that half the net cost of the management of schools is usually met by the Government in the shape of teaching grant, there is no extra help by the special teaching grant if the management is insisted ~~xxx~~ upon contributing an equal amount to the special teaching grant the Government would be pleased to sanction special teaching grant to schools where the pay is low to make it up to a certain scale without - insisting any contribution from the - management;

(d) whether it is a fact that the Sivakasi Hindu Nadars Victoria High School participated in the special teaching grant in 1920-21 and 1921-22 with the management

1870

management contribution and in 1922-23 even without it;

(d) why in the years 1923-24 and in 1924-25 it was not given any special teaching grant even though it applied for it under the conditions in which the special teaching grant was sanctioned during 1922-23;

(e) what consideration was shown on the representation of the member ^(Mr. Chinnappa Natar) last year on ~~their~~ behalf of the aforesaid school, and

(f) whether the Government would be pleased to consider the claims of the school in the proposed disbursement of the special teaching grant for the year 1925-26 without any contribution from the management as in the year 1922-23?

S.R.

change of question.

the attention of the M.C.C. may be invited to paragraph 2 of

(I) G.O. No. 14.11.27 which has been placed on the Council table

(K) P.B. of G.O. 1906 of 12.24. The M.C.C. be requested to state whether a contribution for the management is in order under clause (b), (c) and (d).

D.P.S. may be requested to furnish answers to these clauses.

clause (d). As regards the year 1923-24, the school was not given the special grant as it did not comply with the conditions

said

En 309B

6226

Law Dept. III-8-4,000-1-12-24.

QUESTION.—No. 1870

for the meeting of the Legislative


Council to be held on the

192

SUBMITTED that two copies of this Question may be forwarded to the

Law (Education) Department.

The Question has been admitted.



U.O. No. 318-5 dated 5.2.26.

To

The Law (Education) Department.

Law (Education)

clause (a) of question.

The attention of the M.D.C. may be invited to paragraph 2 of G.O. 1121 of 14.11.21 which has been placed on the council table - vide

G.O. 1906 of 5.12.24. The D.P.T. may be requested to state whether a substantial contribution from the management is insisted on in all cases. clauses (b), (c) and (e). The

D.P.T. may be requested to furnish answers to these clauses.

clause (d). As regards the year 1923-24, the school was not given the special grant as it did not comply with the condition laid

23

laid down in paragraph 2 of go. 16218
14.11.21 - please see directors no. N. 1910
of 20.9.24 and the answer to clause
(a) of the question on p. 1 of go. 19068
5.12.24. The D.P.O. may be requested
to furnish information for the year
1924-25.

K

2. The file may be sent
to the D.P.O. in


cur
9.2.26
8/1
9.2.26

9.2.26



V.TK/g. 2

D.P.O. u. 6 (of. inf.)



U.O. Reply No. 1057/26 of 13.3.26

Clause (a). A substantial contribution from
the management is insisted upon in all cases;

Clause (b). There have been cases in which
managements of schools at first promised to abide
by the conditions but subsequently failed to do so.
The infringement of the conditions can be deducted
only in the year following that in which grants
were given. In such cases the managements were
warned that they should continue their contribu-
tion if the grant was to be continued. If they
specifically refused to continue to contribute
the grant was stopped.

Clause (c). Yes.

Clause (d)

4
Clause (d). No grant was sanctioned for the school in 1923-24 as the management failed to satisfy the conditions laid down by Government for the distribution of the special teaching grant.

Clause (e). Since the management was not prepared to abide by the conditions laid down by Government no special grant could be paid to the school.

Law (Edn)

A draft answer is submitted.

civ
17.3.26

8/4
17.3.26.

17.3.26

V.T.F.
17.3

M₂

19/3



Question

With reference to the special teaching grant to aided secondary schools, will the Hon. the Minister for Education be pleased to state:--

(a) whether it is a condition for a school to participate in the aforesaid grant that the management should contribute a substantial sum or a sum equal to the Government grant;

(b) whether it is a fact that in past years several schools shared in this grant though their management made no contribution as stipulated in the terms of the grant;

(c) whether it is a fact that the Sivakasi Hindu Nadars Victoria High School participated in the special teaching grant in 1920-21 and 1921-22 with the management contribution and in 1922-23 even without it;

(d) Why in the years 1923-24 and 1924-25 it was not given any special teaching grant even though it applied for it under the conditions in which the special teaching grant was sanctioned during 1922-23;

Law (Education) Department.

Question No. 1870.

Draft answer

(a) The M.L.C. is referred to paragraph 2 of G.O. No. 1621, L.E., dated 14-11-21, which has been placed on the Council table. A substantial contribution from the management is insisted upon in all cases.

(b) There have been cases in which managements of schools at first promised to abide by the conditions and were awarded grants, but subsequently failed to do so. In such cases the managements were warned that they should continue their contribution if the grant was to be continued. If they specifically refused to continue their contribution, the grant was stopped.

(c) Yes.

(d) No grant was sanctioned for the school in 1923-24 and 1924-25 as the management failed to satisfy the conditions laid down by Government for the distribution of the special teaching grant.

(e) what consideration was shown on the representation of the member (Mr. Arumuga Nadar) last year on behalf of the aforesaid school?

(e) Since the management was not prepared to abide by the conditions laid down by Government, no special grant could be paid to the school.

cur
17.3.26
8A
17.3.26

CA
17.3.26

V.TK
17.3.

DESPATCHED
20 MAR 1926

Special teaching grant to aided secondary schools.

1753 Q.—MR. P. K. S. A. ARUMUGA NADAR: With reference to the special teaching grant to aided secondary schools, will the hon. the Minister for Education be pleased to state—

(a) whether it is a condition for a school to participate in the aforesaid grant that the management should contribute a substantial sum or a sum equal to the Government grant;

(b) whether it is a fact that in past years several schools shared in this grant though their management made no contribution as stipulated in the terms of the grant;

(c) whether it is a fact that the Sivakasi Hindu Nadars Victoria High School participated in the special teaching grant in 1920-21 and 1921-22 with the management contribution and in 1922-23 even without it;

(d) why in the years 1923-24 and 1924-25 it was not given any special teaching grant even though it applied for it under the conditions in which the special teaching grant was sanctioned during 1922-23; and

(e) what consideration was shown on the representation of the Member (Mr. Arumuga Nadar) last year on behalf of the aforesaid school?

(a) A substantial contribution from the management is insisted upon in all cases.

(b) There have been cases in which managements of schools at first promised to abide by the conditions and were awarded grants, but subsequently failed to do so. In such cases the managements were warned that they should continue their contribution if the grant was to be continued. If they specifically refused to continue their contribution, the grant was stopped.

(c) Yes.

(d) No grant was sanctioned for the school in 1923-24 and 1924-25 as the management failed to satisfy the conditions laid down by Government for the distribution of the special teaching grant.

~~28th March 1926~~

(e) Since the management was not prepared to abide by the conditions laid down by the Government, no special grant could be paid to the school.

Leg. Com. A. S. S. S.

Queshi - Special teaching One
grant to the Hindu Nadars
Victoria High School, Sivakasi -
Notes - recorded

papers may be
Order
Recorded
This
COA
10.5.26
8A
10/5/26

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed

192

READ AGAIN G.O. No.
192

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED OF, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.