

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Council Office,

28-1-26.

Starred Question.

Mr. J.A. Saldanha:-

THE REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

* 2007. Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state:---

(a) whether orders have been passed ~~in~~ in regard to the refund of the increments drawn by and recovered from unpassed clerks, and if so, what ~~they~~ orders;

(b) in the case of the unpassed clerks who had been confirmed in their posts prior to the introduction of the time scale and have been exempted from the operation of Article 1 of the Public Service Notification after the issue of G.O. No. 164 Law (Education) Department dated 4th February 1925, from what date they are allowed to draw their increments, whether retrospectively,

(c) if the latter, on what grounds;

(d) what course ~~has~~ the Revenue Board has taken in this respect;

(e) whether the Accountant General referred the matter to Government or was consulted by Government and if so with what effect;

(f) what the orders of Government or ~~of~~ the Accountant General are;

(g) what action has been taken as to unpassed clerks in the Registration and

Law (Edn)

Law (Edn)

(D) (14)

from 1st March 1923 taking into account their previous services or prospectively.

Forest departments in South Kanara and other districts as to sanctioning increments retrospectively or prospectively;

(h) whether it is a fact that in their case exemptions have been given with retrospective effect from 1 st March 1923;

(i) what action has been taken in this respect as to clerks in the Civil courts?

K.S.M.

K.R.S.

Forest Department in South America
and other districts as to sanctioning
increments retroactively or pro-

pectively;

(ii) whether it is a fact that in their
cases exemptions have been given with
retrospective effect from 1st March

1922;

(i) what action has been taken in this
respect as to clerks in the civil
courts?

L.B.M.
12.2.26



QUESTION—No. 2007
Council to be held on the *During the 192 session*
for the meeting of the Legislative

Rev 655
20.2

SUBMITTED that two copies of this Question may be forwarded to the
Revenue Department.

The Question has been admitted.

19.2.26.

29

U.O. No. , dated

To
The *Rev* Department.

To Secy.

Only clause (d) of the question as read with clause (b) concerns Revenue. As regards this the position is ^{as stated below} ~~this~~ in para. 4 of G.O. No. 164 Law (Ed.), dated 4-2-1925 the Govt. ordered that unpassed men already in permanent service were not eligible ^{for} increments in the new time scales of pay unless they were specifically exempted from the provisions of art. 1 of the Public Service notification by a competent authority, but that when so exempted they might be allowed to draw the increments subject to the conditions in para. 2 of G.O. No. 722 Law (Ed.) of 13.6.1922. In memo. No. 2144-B-3 of 9.9.1925 of the Law (Ed.) Dept. (which was communicated only to the Accountant Genl and the Finance Dept.) the A.G. was informed that the period of service prior to the date ^{of exemption} cannot be allowed to count for increments.

2. In those cases in which the Board of Revenue granted exemptions to unpassed clerks after the

Flag VII(D)

Flag A.

xiii

the issue of G.O. No. 164 Law (Edn) 4.2.1925, it allowed them to draw increments from 1st March 1925.

But the Secy. Genl has held, under the orders in Law Edn. O.M. No. 2144-

B-3, D 9.9.1925, quoted in para. 1 above that the service for increments counts in the case of these men only from the date

of their present exemption and that their first increment will not be due until two years from the date when they were

exempted. The Collector of Tinnevely in whose Dist II men were exempted by the Board in Augt.

2 Nov. 1925 has protested against this view and the Board expects similar protests from other Districts.

The Board has therefore made a representation to Govt. on the subject and has recommended

(1) that when unpassed men on Rs 35 with several years' approved service are finally exempted they should get their first increment at least from the date of exemption and not on the expiry of two years thereafter as held by the Secy. Genl.,

Fl. VII

Para. 4 of Bd's Ref E5607
Copy fl. X. 12.2.26.

Para. 11 it
" XIII

Para. 1 of Bd's Ref. fl. X.
Removed in Rev.

Para. 6 it


(2) that in the case of ^{unpassed} men started on 1st March 1921 on an initial pay higher than Rs 35 without specific exemption, service for the first increment should count from 1st Mar. 1921, &

(3) that the orders in Memo. No. 2144-B-3 Law (Edn) D 9.9.1925 may be modified accgly.

3. Pending the receipt of the orders of Govt. on the above proposals the Board has instructed Coltrs. to hold in abeyance its orders allowing ~~clerks~~ unpassed clerks to draw increments

vii (D) under G.O. No. 164 Law Edn. D 4.2.25.

4 The Board's proposals are dealt with separately. ~~A draft~~ and thereon will have to issue in Law Edn. A draft answer to clause (d) of the L.C. question is subd. for approval. It may be sent to Law (Edn) with the request that the file may be circulated to H.M.C.2.



Rev. u.o. 655C/26.1
3.3.26.

Law (S.D.)

11.3.26.
J.D.

Clause (a) of the question

In G.O. No. 1478 dated 25--8--25, the Government have stated that they would consider applications for waiver of recovery of overpayments by way of increments to unpassed clerks. No orders have, however, been passed in regard to the refund of recoveries already made.

Clauses (b) to (f)

In August last the A.G. raised the question whether in the case of clerks who are exempted, the continuous period of service prior to the date of exemption would be allowed to count for increments. The Finance Department advised that service prior to the date of exemption should not be allowed to count for increments and the A.G. was informed accordingly--vide memo. No. 2144 B-3, dated 9th September 1925. The Board of Revenue and other heads of departments have pointed out that the orders in the above memo would result in considerable hardship to the clerks concerned and have asked for a reconsideration of those orders. The file dealing with the Board's reference on the subject is linked ~~under consideration in H.M.C.~~ The ~~same~~ same question has also been raised in the file dealing with the exemption of clerks in civil courts which is with H.M.C₂. The answer to these clauses may therefore be that the matter is under consideration.

Clauses (g) (h) and (i)

The Chief Conservator of Forests, the I.G. of Registration and the High Court have all addressed Government on the question of the grant of exemption from examination rules with retrospective effect. All these cases will be disposed of after H.M. has passed orders on the general question.

2. A draft answer is submitted below for approval. The Revenue Department has suggested a

a separate answer to clause (d) of the question. It doubtful whether all the details mentioned by the Revenue Department should be furnished to the M.L.C.

8/9
9.3.26.

9.3.26

Flag N

I think the answer as drafted will do.

V.TK

10.3

C₂

If you don't give him more details he will ask another supply of his own ~~estimate~~ ^{estimate} position. Better answer (d) (e) (f) as proposed by the Rev Dept. that don't you is? ~~is there any info?~~ the answer may be a sum -

11/3



13 MAR 1926
L.C. Question No. 2007--Starred

Question

Draft Answer

Will the Hon. the Member for Revenue

be pleased to state:--

(a) whether orders have been

(a) ~~Not yet~~ No.

passed in regard to the refund of the increments drawn by and recovered from unpassed clerks, and if so, what orders

(b) in the case of the unpassed

(b) ~~to (i)~~ The question of

clerks who had been confirmed in their posts prior to the introduction of the time scale and have been exempted from the operation of Article I of the Public Service Notification after the

allowing retrospective effect to orders sanctioning exemptions from examination rules is under consideration.

⑤ issue of G.O.No. 164 Law (Education), dated 4th February 1925, from what date they are allowed to draw their increments, whether retrospectively

8th
9.3.26

VTK
10.3.

from 1st March 1923 taking into account their previous services or prospectively,

(d) (e) (f) (g) (h) (i) (j) (k) (l) (m) (n) (o) (p) (q) (r) (s) (t) (u) (v) (w) (x) (y) (z) (aa) (ab) (ac) (ad) (ae) (af) (ag) (ah) (ai) (aj) (ak) (al) (am) (an) (ao) (ap) (aq) (ar) (as) (at) (au) (av) (aw) (ax) (ay) (az) (ba) (bb) (bc) (bd) (be) (bf) (bg) (bh) (bi) (bj) (bk) (bl) (bm) (bn) (bo) (bp) (bq) (br) (bs) (bt) (bu) (bv) (bw) (bx) (by) (bz) (ca) (cb) (cc) (cd) (ce) (cf) (cg) (ch) (ci) (cj) (ck) (cl) (cm) (cn) (co) (cp) (cq) (cr) (cs) (ct) (cu) (cv) (cw) (cx) (cy) (cz) (da) (db) (dc) (dd) (de) (df) (dg) (dh) (di) (dj) (dk) (dl) (dm) (dn) (do) (dp) (dq) (dr) (ds) (dt) (du) (dv) (dw) (dx) (dy) (dz) (ea) (eb) (ec) (ed) (ee) (ef) (eg) (eh) (ei) (ej) (ek) (el) (em) (en) (eo) (ep) (eq) (er) (es) (et) (eu) (ev) (ew) (ex) (ey) (ez) (fa) (fb) (fc) (fd) (fe) (ff) (fg) (fh) (fi) (fj) (fk) (fl) (fm) (fn) (fo) (fp) (fq) (fr) (fs) (ft) (fu) (fv) (fw) (fx) (fy) (fz) (ga) (gb) (gc) (gd) (ge) (gf) (gg) (gh) (gi) (gj) (gk) (gl) (gm) (gn) (go) (gp) (gq) (gr) (gs) (gt) (gu) (gv) (gw) (gx) (gy) (gz) (ha) (hb) (hc) (hd) (he) (hf) (hg) (hh) (hi) (hj) (hk) (hl) (hm) (hn) (ho) (hp) (hq) (hr) (hs) (ht) (hu) (hv) (hw) (hx) (hy) (hz) (ia) (ib) (ic) (id) (ie) (if) (ig) (ih) (ii) (ij) (ik) (il) (im) (in) (io) (ip) (iq) (ir) (is) (it) (iu) (iv) (iw) (ix) (iy) (iz) (ja) (jb) (jc) (jd) (je) (jf) (jg) (jh) (ji) (jj) (jk) (jl) (jm) (jn) (jo) (jp) (jq) (jr) (js) (jt) (ju) (jv) (jw) (jx) (jy) (jz) (ka) (kb) (kc) (kd) (ke) (kf) (kg) (kh) (ki) (kj) (kk) (kl) (km) (kn) (ko) (kp) (kq) (kr) (ks) (kt) (ku) (kv) (kw) (kx) (ky) (kz) (la) (lb) (lc) (ld) (le) (lf) (lg) (lh) (li) (lj) (lk) (ll) (lm) (ln) (lo) (lp) (lq) (lr) (ls) (lt) (lu) (lv) (lw) (lx) (ly) (lz) (ma) (mb) (mc) (md) (me) (mf) (mg) (mh) (mi) (mj) (mk) (ml) (mm) (mn) (mo) (mp) (mq) (mr) (ms) (mt) (mu) (mv) (mw) (mx) (my) (mz) (na) (nb) (nc) (nd) (ne) (nf) (ng) (nh) (ni) (nj) (nk) (nl) (nm) (nn) (no) (np) (nq) (nr) (ns) (nt) (nu) (nv) (nw) (nx) (ny) (nz) (oa) (ob) (oc) (od) (oe) (of) (og) (oh) (oi) (oj) (ok) (ol) (om) (on) (oo) (op) (oq) (or) (os) (ot) (ou) (ov) (ow) (ox) (oy) (oz) (pa) (pb) (pc) (pd) (pe) (pf) (pg) (ph) (pi) (pj) (pk) (pl) (pm) (pn) (po) (pp) (pq) (pr) (ps) (pt) (pu) (pv) (pw) (px) (py) (pz) (qa) (qb) (qc) (qd) (qe) (qf) (qg) (qh) (qi) (qj) (qk) (ql) (qm) (qn) (qo) (qp) (qq) (qr) (qs) (qt) (qu) (qv) (qw) (qx) (qy) (qz) (ra) (rb) (rc) (rd) (re) (rf) (rg) (rh) (ri) (rj) (rk) (rl) (rm) (rn) (ro) (rp) (rq) (rr) (rs) (rt) (ru) (rv) (rw) (rx) (ry) (rz) (sa) (sb) (sc) (sd) (se) (sf) (sg) (sh) (si) (sj) (sk) (sl) (sm) (sn) (so) (sp) (sq) (sr) (ss) (st) (su) (sv) (sw) (sx) (sy) (sz) (ta) (tb) (tc) (td) (te) (tf) (tg) (th) (ti) (tj) (tk) (tl) (tm) (tn) (to) (tp) (tq) (tr) (ts) (tt) (tu) (tv) (tw) (tx) (ty) (tz) (ua) (ub) (uc) (ud) (ue) (uf) (ug) (uh) (ui) (uj) (uk) (ul) (um) (un) (uo) (up) (uq) (ur) (us) (ut) (uu) (uv) (uw) (ux) (uy) (uz) (va) (vb) (vc) (vd) (ve) (vf) (vg) (vh) (vi) (vj) (vk) (vl) (vm) (vn) (vo) (vp) (vq) (vr) (vs) (vt) (vu) (vv) (vw) (vx) (vy) (vz) (wa) (wb) (wc) (wd) (we) (wf) (wg) (wh) (wi) (wj) (wk) (wl) (wm) (wn) (wo) (wp) (wq) (wr) (ws) (wt) (wu) (wv) (ww) (wx) (wy) (wz) (xa) (xb) (xc) (xd) (xe) (xf) (xg) (xh) (xi) (xj) (xk) (xl) (xm) (xn) (xo) (xp) (xq) (xr) (xs) (xt) (xu) (xv) (xw) (xx) (xy) (xz) (ya) (yb) (yc) (yd) (ye) (yf) (yg) (yh) (yi) (yj) (yk) (yl) (ym) (yn) (yo) (yp) (yq) (yr) (ys) (yt) (yu) (yv) (yw) (yx) (yy) (yz) (za) (zb) (zc) (zd) (ze) (zf) (zg) (zh) (zi) (zj) (zk) (zl) (zm) (zn) (zo) (zp) (zq) (zr) (zs) (zt) (zu) (zv) (zw) (zx) (zy) (zz)

(c) if the latter, on what

grounds;

(d) what course the Revenue Board

has taken in this respect;

(e) whether the Accountant

General referred the matter to Government or was consulted by Government and if so with what effect;

(f) what ^{the} orders of Government or Accountant General are;

(g) what action has been taken as to unpassed clerks in the Registration and Forest departments in South Kanara and other districts as to sanctioning increments retrospectively or prospectively;

(g) (h) (i) (j) (k) (l) (m) (n) (o) (p) (q) (r) (s) (t) (u) (v) (w) (x) (y) (z) (aa) (ab) (ac) (ad) (ae) (af) (ag) (ah) (ai) (aj) (ak) (al) (am) (an) (ao) (ap) (aq) (ar) (as) (at) (au) (av) (aw) (ax) (ay) (az) (ba) (bb) (bc) (bd) (be) (bf) (bg) (bh) (bi) (bj) (bk) (bl) (bm) (bn) (bo) (bp) (bq) (br) (bs) (bt) (bu) (bv) (bw) (bx) (by) (bz) (ca) (cb) (cc) (cd) (ce) (cf) (cg) (ch) (ci) (cj) (ck) (cl) (cm) (cn) (co) (cp) (cq) (cr) (cs) (ct) (cu) (cv) (cw) (cx) (cy) (cz) (da) (db) (dc) (dd) (de) (df) (dg) (dh) (di) (dj) (dk) (dl) (dm) (dn) (do) (dp) (dq) (dr) (ds) (dt) (du) (dv) (dw) (dx) (dy) (dz) (ea) (eb) (ec) (ed) (ee) (ef) (eg) (eh) (ei) (ej) (ek) (el) (em) (en) (eo) (ep) (eq) (er) (es) (et) (eu) (ev) (ew) (ex) (ey) (ez) (fa) (fb) (fc) (fd) (fe) (ff) (fg) (fh) (fi) (fj) (fk) (fl) (fm) (fn) (fo) (fp) (fq) (fr) (fs) (ft) (fu) (fv) (fw) (fx) (fy) (fz) (ga) (gb) (gc) (gd) (ge) (gf) (gg) (gh) (gi) (gj) (gk) (gl) (gm) (gn) (go) (gp) (gq) (gr) (gs) (gt) (gu) (gv) (gw) (gx) (gy) (gz) (ha) (hb) (hc) (hd) (he) (hf) (hg) (hh) (hi) (hj) (hk) (hl) (hm) (hn) (ho) (hp) (hq) (hr) (hs) (ht) (hu) (hv) (hw) (hx) (hy) (hz) (ia) (ib) (ic) (id) (ie) (if) (ig) (ih) (ii) (ij) (ik) (il) (im) (in) (io) (ip) (iq) (ir) (is) (it) (iu) (iv) (iw) (ix) (iy) (iz) (ja) (jb) (jc) (jd) (je) (jf) (jg) (jh) (ji) (jj) (jk) (jl) (jm) (jn) (jo) (jp) (jq) (jr) (js) (jt) (ju) (jv) (jw) (jx) (jy) (jz) (ka) (kb) (kc) (kd) (ke) (kf) (kg) (kh) (ki) (kj) (kk) (kl) (km) (kn) (ko) (kp) (kq) (kr) (ks) (kt) (ku) (kv) (kw) (kx) (ky) (kz) (la) (lb) (lc) (ld) (le) (lf) (lg) (lh) (li) (lj) (lk) (ll) (lm) (ln) (lo) (lp) (lq) (lr) (ls) (lt) (lu) (lv) (lw) (lx) (ly) (lz) (ma) (mb) (mc) (md) (me) (mf) (mg) (mh) (mi) (mj) (mk) (ml) (mm) (mn) (mo) (mp) (mq) (mr) (ms) (mt) (mu) (mv) (mw) (mx) (my) (mz) (na) (nb) (nc) (nd) (ne) (nf) (ng) (nh) (ni) (nj) (nk) (nl) (nm) (nn) (no) (np) (nq) (nr) (ns) (nt) (nu) (nv) (nw) (nx) (ny) (nz) (oa) (ob) (oc) (od) (oe) (of) (og) (oh) (oi) (oj) (ok) (ol) (om) (on) (oo) (op) (oq) (or) (os) (ot) (ou) (ov) (ow) (ox) (oy) (oz) (pa) (pb) (pc) (pd) (pe) (pf) (pg) (ph) (pi) (pj) (pk) (pl) (pm) (pn) (po) (pp) (pq) (pr) (ps) (pt) (pu) (pv) (pw) (px) (py) (pz) (qa) (qb) (qc) (qd) (qe) (qf) (qg) (qh) (qi) (qj) (qk) (ql) (qm) (qn) (qo) (qp) (qq) (qr) (qs) (qt) (qu) (qv) (qw) (qx) (qy) (qz) (ra) (rb) (rc) (rd) (re) (rf) (rg) (rh) (ri) (rj) (rk) (rl) (rm) (rn) (ro) (rp) (rq) (rr) (rs) (rt) (ru) (rv) (rw) (rx) (ry) (rz) (sa) (sb) (sc) (sd) (se) (sf) (sg) (sh) (si) (sj) (sk) (sl) (sm) (sn) (so) (sp) (sq) (sr) (ss) (st) (su) (sv) (sw) (sx) (sy) (sz) (ta) (tb) (tc) (td) (te) (tf) (tg) (th) (ti) (tj) (tk) (tl) (tm) (tn) (to) (tp) (tq) (tr) (ts) (tt) (tu) (tv) (tw) (tx) (ty) (tz) (ua) (ub) (uc) (ud) (ue) (uf) (ug) (uh) (ui) (uj) (uk) (ul) (um) (un) (uo) (up) (uq) (ur) (us) (ut) (uu) (uv) (uw) (ux) (uy) (uz) (va) (vb) (vc) (vd) (ve) (vf) (vg) (vh) (vi) (vj) (vk) (vl) (vm) (vn) (vo) (vp) (vq) (vr) (vs) (vt) (vu) (vv) (vw) (vx) (vy) (vz) (wa) (wb) (wc) (wd) (we) (wf) (wg) (wh) (wi) (wj) (wk) (wl) (wm) (wn) (wo) (wp) (wq) (wr) (ws) (wt) (wu) (wv) (ww) (wx) (wy) (wz) (xa) (xb) (xc) (xd) (xe) (xf) (xg) (xh) (xi) (xj) (xk) (xl) (xm) (xn) (xo) (xp) (xq) (xr) (xs) (xt) (xu) (xv) (xw) (xx) (xy) (xz) (ya) (yb) (yc) (yd) (ye) (yf) (yg) (yh) (yi) (yj) (yk) (yl) (ym) (yn) (yo) (yp) (yq) (yr) (ys) (yt) (yu) (yv) (yw) (yx) (yy) (yz) (za) (zb) (zc) (zd) (ze) (zf) (zg) (zh) (zi) (zj) (zk) (zl) (zm) (zn) (zo) (zp) (zq) (zr) (zs) (zt) (zu) (zv) (zw) (zx) (zy) (zz)

(h) whether it is a fact that in their case exemptions have been given with retrospective effect from 1st March 1923;

(i) what action has been taken in this respect as to clerks in the Civil courts?

24

17/11/23

For the purpose of the question, the answer is as follows:

1. The question is as to whether the exemptions have been given with retrospective effect from 1st March 1923.

2. The answer is that the exemptions have been given with retrospective effect from 1st March 1923.

1750

Starred Question.
Mr. J.A. Saldanha:-

2007. Will the Hon'ble the Member for Revenue be pleased to state:--

(a) whether orders have been passed in regard to the refund of the increments drawn by and recovered from unpassed clerks, and if so, what orders;

(b) in the case of the unpassed clerks who had been confirmed in their posts prior to the introduction of the time scale and have been exempted from the operation of Article 1 of the Public Service Notification after the issue of G.O. No.164 Law (Education) Department dated 4th February 1925, from what date they are allowed to draw their increments, whether retrospectively from 1st March 1923 taking into account their previous services or prospectively.

(c) if the latter, on what grounds;

(d) what course the Revenue Board has taken in this respect;

(e) whether the Accountant General referred the matter to Government or was consulted by Government and if so with what effect;

(f) what the orders of Government or Accountant General are;

(g) what action has been taken as to unpassed clerks in the Registration and Forest Departments in South Kanara and other districts as to sanctioning increments retrospectively or prospectively;

(h) whether it is a fact that in their case exemptions have been given with retrospective effect from 1st March 1923 ?

(i) what action has been taken in this respect as to clerks in the Civil Courts ?

Law (Education) Department.

ANSWER:-

(a) No.

(b) & (c). The question of allowing retrospective effect to orders sanctioning exemptions from examination rules is under consideration.

(d)

2.

(d) (e) and (f). The Board of Revenue allowed unpassed clerks exempted by it after the issue of G.O. No. 164 Law (Education) dated the 4th February 1925, to draw increments from 1st March 1925. But the Accountant General having held that the service for increments of these men counts only from the date of exemption, the Board has referred the question to Government and pending the receipt of the orders of Government it has directed Collectors to keep its orders in abeyance.

(g) (h) and (i). The Chief Conservator of Forests, the Inspector General of Registration and the High Court have all addressed Government on the question of the grant of exemption from examination rules with retrospective effect. No ^{final} orders have yet been issued.

(By Order)

Fort St. George,)
12th March 1926.)

Assistant Secretary to Govt.

To

The Legislative Council Office, u.o.

(The above answer has been approved by the Hon'ble Mr.

N.R. Marjoribanks, C.S.I., C.I.E., I.C.S.)

Refund of increments drawn by unpassed clerks.

ARS.12.3.

* 1750 Q.—Mr. J. A. SALDANHA: Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) whether orders have been passed in regard to the refund of the increments drawn by and recovered from unpassed clerks, and if so, what orders;

(b) in the case of the unpassed clerks who had been confirmed in their posts prior to the introduction of the time-scale and have been exempted from the operation of article 1 of the Public Service Notification after the issue of G.O. No. 164, Law (Education), dated 4th February 1925, from what date they are allowed to draw their increments, whether retrospectively from 1st March 1923, taking into account their previous services or prospectively;

(c) if the latter, on what grounds;

(d) what course the Revenue Board has taken in this respect;

(e) whether the Accountant-General referred the matter to Government or was consulted by Government and if so with what effect;

(f) what the orders of Government or Accountant-General are;

(g) what action has been taken as to unpassed clerks in the Registration and Forest departments in South Kanara and other districts as to sanctioning increments retrospectively or prospectively;

(h) whether it is a fact that in their case exemptions have been given with retrospective effect from 1st March 1923; and

(i) what action has been taken in this respect as to clerks in the Civil Courts?

4.—(a) No.

(b) & (c) The question of allowing retrospective effect to orders sanctioning exemptions from examination rules is under consideration.

(d), (e) & (f) The Board of Revenue allowed unpassed clerks exempted by it after the issue of G.O. No. 164, Law (Education), dated the 4th February 1925, to draw increments from 1st March 1925. But the Accountant-General having held that

[23rd March 1926]

the service for increments of these men counts only from the date of exemption, the Board has referred the question to Government and pending the receipt of the orders of Government it has directed Collectors to keep its orders in abeyance.

(g), (h) & (i) The Chief Conservator of Forests, the Inspector-General of Registration and the High Court have all addressed Government on the question of the grant of exemption from examination rules with retrospective effect. No final orders have yet been issued.

The note may be
Order 866 of 14.5.26.
Recorded

Mis

C.P.A.

10.5.26 S.A. 10/5/26

Legislative Council.

Question—increments
to unpassed clerks—
Notes—recorded

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

} 192

READ AGAIN G.O. No.
192

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED OF, BRING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

B = Not to be copied.

P = Copy abstract only.

Q = Copy heading only.