

Law (Education) (1926) Department.

Ord. SERIES.

G.O. No. 827

DATED 13. 5. 1926

[Despatch Abstract.]

Legislative Council Question

Untrained teachers of 15
years experience - proposal to
treat as trained - Notes Recorded

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
As 1930 Re En 26							

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Council Office,
11-1-26.

District and why the teacher
has been re Starred question.

(7) Mr. R. Veeriah:- Information with
ref THE LAW (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT.

be please call for the information?

1855.* Will the hon. the Minister

for Education be pleased to state

(a) what has become of the proposal
which was before the Director of Public
Instruction, Madras, with reference to
classifying the untrained elementary
school teachers who have put in about
12 or 15 years service and whose age
also exceeds 40 or ~~more~~ so as trained
teachers ^{giving them} applying all the facilities
that are being given to trained teachers
at present;

(b) whether the proposal has ~~already~~
been given effect to,

(c) if there is no such proposal,
whether the Government now at least
intend taking up such a proposal for
their consideration;

(d) whether the Government are aware
that an adi dravida teacher employed by
the Palladam Taluk Board, Coimbatore
District whose age exceeds over 40 and
who has put in a service of about 17 -
years has not been treated like other
teachers ^{with} ~~of his~~ qualifications ^{like his own} and that
his pay has been reduced from Rs.18/-
to Rs.16/-; and

(e) what pay is given at present to
all the untrained teachers employed by
the Palladam Taluk Board, Coimbatore

District

-2-

District and why the pay of the teacher

has been reduced? and

Minister for Education be please (f) if they have no information with reference to the whole clauses, will they

(a) what has become of the proposal to call for the information?

which was before the Director of Public

Instruction, Madras, about the S.R.G. the

untrained elementary school teachers

who have put in about 12 or 15 years

service and whose age also exceeds 40 or

so as trained teachers giving them all

the facilities that are being given to

trained teachers at present;

(b) whether the proposal has been given effect to;

(c) if not, whether the Government now at least intend taking up such a proposal for their consideration;

(d) whether the Government are aware that an Adi-Dravid teacher employed by the

Palladam Taluk Board, Coimbatore Dt., whose

age exceeds over 40 and who put in a service

of about 17 years has not been treated

like other teachers with qualifications like

his own and that his pay has been reduced

from Rs. 18 to Rs. 16; and

(e) what pay is given at present to

all the untrained teachers employed by the

Palladam Taluk Board, Coimbatore Dt., and

why the pay of the teacher has been

reduced.

the Government understand that the R.P.C. after careful consideration decided not to proceed with the proposal for the following reasons: (1) that it did not feel justified in recommending additional concessions such as were contemplated so long as the Department was unable to meet all the demands made by the District Educational Councils for paying grants to elementary schools at sanctioned rates and as there were more urgent claims on the funds at the disposal of the Department;

(2) the rates of teaching grants to which untrained teachers are eligible have been recently increased.

The Govt. do not for the time being consider the proposal at present.

(d) The Government have no in-

(e) The minimum pay of an un-

trained teacher employed in element-

ary schools under the Palladam

Taluk Board is Rs. 16 inclusive of a

temporary allowance of Rs. 4. The

Government have no information

regard to the latter part of the

question.

DESPATCHED

12 MAR 1926

Starred Question.

Mr. R. Veerian.

Draft Answer.

1779
1855. Will the Hon. the Minister for Education be pleased to state

(a) what has become of the proposal which was before the Director of Public Instruction, Madras, about treating the untrained elementary school teachers who have put in about 12 or 15 years service and whose age also exceeds 40 or so as trained teachers giving them all the facilities that are being given to trained teachers at present;

(b) whether the proposal has been given effect to,

(c) if not, whether the Government now at least intend taking up such a proposal for their consideration;

(d) Whether the Government are aware that an Adi-Dravida teacher employed by the Palladam Taluk Board, Coimbatore Dt., whose age exceeds over 40 and who has put in a service of about 17 years has not been treated like other teachers with qualifications like his own and that his pay has been reduced from Rs.18 to Rs.16; and

(e). what pay is given at present to all the untrained teachers employed by the Palladam Taluk Board, Coimbatore Dt., and why the pay of the teacher has been reduced.

1779
1855. (a), (b) & (c).

The Government understand that the D.P.I. after careful consideration decided not to proceed with the proposal for the following reasons among others:—
(1) ~~that~~ did not feel justified in recommending additional concessions such as were contemplated so long as the Department was unable to meet in full the demands made by the District Educational Councils for paying grants to elementary schools at sanctioned rates and as there were more urgent claims on the funds at the disposal of the Department;

(2). ~~that~~ The rates of teaching grants to which untrained teachers are eligible have been recently increased. The Govt. do not ~~for~~ intend to ~~take~~ consider the proposal at present.

(d) The Government have no in-

(e). The minimum pay of an untrained teacher employed in elementary schools under the Palladam Taluk Board is Rs.16 inclusive of a temporary allowance of Rs.4. The Government have no information in regard to the latter part of the question. A1073 VTF 10/3

DESPATCHED

92 + 2 MAR 1926

QUESTION.—No.

1779
1855

Council to be held on the


4
d. Cu 376C
Law Dept. IH-8-4,000-1-12-24.
for the meeting of the Legislative
during the session
192 .

SUBMITTED that two copies of this Question may be forwarded to the

Law (Education) Department.

The Question has been admitted.

8x 8/21/26



U.O. No.

8x

To

The Law (Con?) Department.

Law (Education) Deptt.

pages 1 c. f.

Clauses (a) to (c).

It is not understood to what proposal ~~in~~ the M.L.C. is referring. The D.P.I. may be consulted u. o.


It may be noted that the Government had recently under consideration a proposal made by the District Educational Council, Guntur, that a literary test may be instituted for lower elementary ^{trained} grade/teachers to enable them to qualify for the higher elementary grade. After consulting the Director the proposal was dropped.

Clauses (d) & (e).

There is no information in office in regard to the case referred to by the M.L.C. The D.P.I. may request ^{u. o. suggest what should be given} to ~~furnish~~ answers to these clauses of the question.

G.O. put up in
another file.
pages 1-2 c. f.

Law 326 C-1
15.2.26.



D. P. I. uo

P.T.O.

36
U.O. Reply No. 249.2/26.2/20-2-26.

(a), (b) and (c):

In May 1922 a deputation of the Madras Provincial Elementary teachers' association waited upon the (permanent) Director and suggested that untrained teachers of not less than 10 years service should be treated as trained teachers of their respective grade for the purpose of pay and grant-in-aid. After all D.E.Os and Inspectresses of Girls' Schools were consulted the (acting) Director decided not to proceed with the proposal. He did not feel justified in recommending additional concessions such as were contemplated so long as the Department was unable to meet in full the demands made by the D.E.Os for paying grants to elementary schools at sanctioned rates and as there were more urgent claims on the funds at the disposal of the Department. Moreover the rates of teaching grants to which untrained teachers are eligible have been recently increased.

(d) I have no information;

(e) The minimum pay of an untrained teacher employed in elementary schools under the Palladam Taluk Board is Rs.16 per mensem Rs.4 of which represent temporary allowance. No information is available as to whether and if so why the pay of a particular unnamed teacher has been reduced.


Law (Education) Dept.

The file may be forwarded w. to L.S. with reference to clauses (d) & (e) of the question.

25.2.26
24.2.

L.S.G.

G.O. No 1550 DE
4-9-25



28512-2
22.2.26

Law E No 376C-2
24.2.26
5554



E/ T.B.2
25/2

47

L.S.G.

No information is available in this dept. in regard to clauses (d) and (e) of his question. Extract of his may be sent to the P.T.B. Palladam for an early report in this matter.

The diagram below is for approval.

L.S.G.
26/2/26

It seems unnecessary to call for the information. The answer to (d) & (e) may be as follows:—

(d) The Govt have no information

(e) The minimum pay of an untrained teacher employed in the elementary schools under the Palladam M.B.D. is Rs 16 inclusive of a temporary allowance of Rs 4. The Govt have no information in regard to the latter part of the question.

N. Inf. 3 ante.

680C
K. & 882A
6/3/26



Log No. 5554-1 km.
4/2/26

Sec

2 The case may be returned to L.S. (Edn) with the request that the case it may be circd. to M. also.

MR
1.3.26

3.3.26

Law (Education)

Law (Education) Dept

A draft answer is submitted.

for approval.

10/3

Se 10/3

GR
10/3
5

V.T.
10.3

M2

M1

11/3 26
11.3.26



24.3.1926

Proposal for treating untrained teachers of 15 years' service as trained.

* 1779 Q.—MR. R. VEERIAN: Will the hon. the Minister for Education and the hon. the Minister for Local Self-Government be pleased to state—

(a) what has become of the proposal which was before the Director of Public Instruction, Madras, about treating the untrained elementary school teachers who have put in about 12 or 15 years' service and whose age also exceeds 40 or so as trained teachers giving them all the facilities that are being given to trained teachers at present;

(b) whether the proposal has been given effect to;

(c) if not, whether the Government now at least intend taking up such a proposal for their consideration;

(d) whether the Government are aware that an Adi-Dravida teacher employed by the Palladam Taluk Board, Coimbatore district, whose age exceeds over 40 and who has put in a service of about 17 years, has not been treated like other teachers with qualifications like his own and that his pay has been reduced from Rs. 18 to Rs. 16; and

(e) what pay is given at present to all the untrained teachers employed by the Palladam Taluk Board, Coimbatore district, and why the pay of the teacher has been reduced?

A.—(a), (b) & (c) The Government understand that the Director of Public Instruction, after careful consideration, decided not to proceed with the proposal for the following reasons among others:—

(1) that he did not feel justified in recommending additional concessions such as were contemplated so long as the department was unable to meet in full the demands made by the District Educational Councils for paying grants to elementary schools at sanctioned rates and as there were more urgent claims on the funds at the disposal of the department; and

(2) that the rates of teaching grants to which untrained teachers are eligible have been recently increased. The Government do not intend to consider the proposal at present.

(d) The Government have no information.

(e) The minimum pay of an untrained teacher employed in elementary schools, under the Palladam Taluk Board, is Rs. 16 inclusive of a temporary allowance of Rs. 4. The Government have no information in regard to the latter part of the question.

Rao Sahib P. V. GOPALAN:—"Sir, may I ask the hon. the Minister for Education whether the first part of the answer to clauses (a), (b) and (c) relates to schools under private management or whether he does not find it reasonable to interfere in the case of aided schools managed by local bodies?"

The hon. Rao Bahadur Sir A. P. PATRO:—"Aided schools managed by local boards—there is an inconsistency in that."

Mr. R. MADANAGOPAL NAYUDU:—"Is the hon. Minister aware of the fact that the Madras District Educational Council made a recommendation on similar lines some time ago?"

The hon. Rao Bahadur Sir A. P. PATRO:—"I am not aware of any such recommendation, Sir."

The RAJA OF RAMNAD:—"I heard the hon. Minister say that 'aided schools under local boards' was an inconsistency. May I ask whether local boards schools are not given any such grant in aid?"

The hon. Rao Bahadur Sir A. P. PATRO:—"They are subsidized, not given grants-in-aid."

The RAJA OF RAMNAD:—"Subsidized under what provision of law or rule, if not under the Grant-in-Aid Code?"

The hon. Rao Bahadur Sir A. P. PATRO:—"Under the Grant-in-Aid Code."

The final form of the question and answer was as above. The note may be under 827 8/13. 5. 26. His Recorded.

Legislative
Council -
Questions -
untrained
teachers of
15 years' experience -
Proposal to treat
as trained -
Notes - Recorded.

me
11/5 B
11.5.26

GR
11/5

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

192

READ AGAIN G.O. No. _____
 192

, DATED

STREET No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT ..

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED OF, BEING WANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only.