

Law (Education) (1926) Department.

Ord. SERIES.

G.O. No. 697

DATED 26.4.26

[Despatch Abstract.]

Legislative Council

Budget motion -

Scholarships & stipends - Increase

Note recorded.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

L. E. C. No. 702e
9/8/26

page 242.

Law (Education) Deptt.

DEMAND XIX. Education (Transferred).

Mr. K. Sitarama Reddi.

350 - To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,34,000
for scholarships and stipends by Rs. 100

The M.L.C. has not specified the
object of the token motion. A draft D.O. is sub-
mitted.

mu
9/3
S
9/3

GA
9.3.26
5

V. K.
10/3

P. 20

Law (Education) Deptt.

D.O. No. 702 C/26-1 dated 10.3.26.

Dear Sir,

I am ~~pleased~~ to request you
to be so good as to specify the object
with which you have given notice
of the following token motion which

if you have no
objection

you have given notice of -
Budget Estimate - 1926-27
DEMAND XIX - Education (-Transferred).
page 242 - ~~to~~ reduce the allotment for
of Rs. 2,34,000 for scholarships and
stipends by Rs. 100.

I am desired by the Honble the Member to request you to be so good
as to state the specific points you wish to raise in connection with the
above motion -
Yours sincerely,
S. S. S.

DESPATCHED
9740 MAR 9 1926

To.

M. R. Ry. K. Sitarama Reddi Avl., M.L.C.

10/3

9.3.26

V.T.K.
10/3

10/3

10/3

10.20

10.20

DEMAND XIX - Education (TRANSFERRED).

Mr. K. Sitarama Reddi.


350. To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,34,000 for scholarships and stipends by Rs. 100.

The M.L.C. has not replied to the D.O. at page 2 ante asking him to state the specific point he wanted to raise in connection with the above motion. It is not therefore known what points the M.L.C. is going to raise in connection with the ~~x~~ motion. The details of the existing scholarships will be found at pages 52 - 56 of the annexure to the D.P.I.'s budget for 1926-27. It may be noted that these scholarships are in addition to the fee concessions granted under rules 73^{and} 92 of the Madras Educational Rules and the full fee remissions in the case of special class of pupils like the Adi-Dravidas, Criminal tribes etc. and the ten per cent fee remissions available in aided schools and schools under local bodies ^{with reference} ~~under~~ article 32 of the Grant-in-Code. The Retrenchment Committee suggested that the amount spent on scholarships was rather large and ~~it~~ might be reduced temporarily at least. Government after considering the question at length decided not to make any ^{appreciable} ~~serious~~ reductions in the number of scholarships. If the M.L.C. suggests any increase in the number of scholarships he ^{will have to be} ~~may~~ told that his request cannot be complied with. ~~It may be noted in this connection that the full amount of scholarships available to the Government is not being awarded every year apparently for want of sufficient candidates fulfilling the conditions laid down for the award.~~

C.No. 702 C.

page 242

ps and p 129
A.O.N. 1212 LEO
24.7.24



16/3

17.3.26

17.3

m 2

4

The motion was not
discussed. The note was
order
his
697 5/26. 4.16.
Recorded.

Mr
23/4
26.4.26

25/4

702C
Legislative
Council -
Budget motion -
scholarships
and stipends -
increase - N.H.
Recorded

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed

192

READ AGAIN G.O. No.
192

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DETAIL.

PAPERS NOT FILED OF, BEING PRESENT FOR DISPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B. -

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy in brief only.