

Law (Gov) (1926) Department.

Miss SERIES.

G.O. No. 528

DATED 1. 4. 26

[Despatch Abstract.]

Subsidy - Board High School -  
 Personnel - purchase of building  
 and site - refused.



Cuo. 24824 from the P.D.B. Bungalow no. 1128 of 4.9.24. 2

Application for grant in 1925-26 for  
Poonamalle high school building

*Handwritten:*  
23079

Memo. 27/494-1 Lm 9. 30. 9. 24

The President is requested to  
report whether site for the  
building has been selected in  
consultation with P.D.D. officers  
b) whether the site belongs to  
the Board or has been acquired  
c) when plans + estimates  
are likely to be sanctioned  
by competent authority and  
when the construction will  
be taken up and  
d) how the Board proposes  
to finance the work.

20

The P.D.B. Bungalow

*Handwritten:*  
P.D.B. C.M.  
1/10 27.9.24

*Handwritten:*  
27.9.24





## DEPARTMENT.

Received.....192 . Registered.....192 .

Subject.

Board High School, Poonamallee -- Building -- Acquisition -  
Application for grant.

-----

## ENCLOSURES.

No. 5. (Five).

Spare copies.....

R.O.C. No. 1302 L of 24.

Station S a i d a p e t, Dated the 8th J u n e 1925.

From (Name) M.R. Ry. M. Krishnaswami Reddi Garu, B.A.,

(Designation) President, District Board,  
Saidapet.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

LOCAL SELF GOVERNMENT Department.

Sir, THROUGH The Director of Public Instruction,

M A D R A S.

Sir,

Reference:-Government Memorandum No.27149-A-1  
L. & M., dated 30-9-1924 -- Building -- Board High  
School, Poonamallee - acquisition - application for  
grant.

-----: :-----

Herewith a copy of the Chingleput District  
Board's Resolution No.75 dated 30-5-1925. I intend  
acquiring the building and the land attached thereto,  
only under the Land Acquisition Act to avoid any --

(2)

difficulties cropping up later on. The total cost  
for compulsory acquisition comes to about Rs.9,787/-  
which represents the following items.

|                        |     |           |
|------------------------|-----|-----------|
| Land - about 10 acres. | ... | Rs.2,160. |
| Buildings.             | ... | 6,120.    |
| Well.                  | ... | 150.      |
| Trees.                 | ... | 50.       |
| Miscellaneous.         | ... | 30.       |
| 15% on the above.      | ... | 1,277.    |
|                        |     | Rs.9,787. |

As I have already stated in my endorsement No.163  
G.C.L. of 24 dated 7-2-1925, this is more advantageous  
than going in for a new building. There is extensive  
land attached to the building, which will be made use-  
ful for out-door games. In course of time, steps --  
will be taken to improve the building to suit the re-  
quirements of the school purposes. One half of the -  
cost will be met from the District Board funds. So  
I request the Government will be pleased to sanction  
the other half from Provincial Funds at an early date.  
The marginally noted records are sent herewith for --  
reference and return. On receipt of orders, I shall  
address the Collector to acquire the building.

- \* (1) A site plan.
- (2) A plan of the buildings therein.
- (3) An estimate of the building for depreciation  
in value, and
- (4) A valuation statement of the land and  
building.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M.K.V/8-6-25.

Exd. *lv*

President, District Board.



EXTRACT FROM THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE CHINGLEPUT DISTRICT  
BOARD PASSED AT ITS MEETING HELD ON THE 30th MAY 1925.

P R E S E N T.

1. M.R.Ry. M. Krishnaswami Reddi Garu, B.A., PRESIDENT.
2. " K. Venugopala Mudaliyar Avl., President, Taluk Board, Chingleput.
3. " K.T. Parthasarathi Reddi Garu, President, Taluk Board, Saidapet.
4. " Rai Sahib C. Aruliah Nayudu Garu, President, Taluk Board, Tiruvallur.
5. S.K. Abdul Razack Sahib Bahadur. (Nominated).
6. " P.V. Subrahmaniya Pillai Avl. do.
7. " P. Ponnuswami Gramani Avl. do.
8. " M.V. Chinmathambu Chettiyar Avl. do.
9. " G. Nataraja Nayakar Avl., B.A., do.
10. " M. Swaminadha Mudaliyar Avl. do.
11. " Diwan Bahadur G. Narayanaswami Chetti Garu. do.
12. " Sabapathy Mudaliyar Avl. (Elected by Chingleput Taluk Board).
13. " M. Narayanaswami Reddi Garu. do.
14. " C. Jayaram Nayudu Garu. do.
15. " A. Rajappa Mudaliyar Avl. do.
16. " K.V. Krishnaswami Nayakar Avl. do.
17. " V.R. Nithyakalyana Nayakar Avl. do.
18. " P. Subbaraya Mudaliyar Avl. do.
19. " A. Perambala Nayakar Avl. do.
20. " N. Krishnaswami Reddiyar Avl. do.
21. " T. Palanivelu Mudaliyar Avl. do.
22. " T. Appavu Nayudu Garu. (Elected by Saidapet Taluk Board).
23. M. Whadar Badsha Sahib Bahadur. do.
24. M.R.Ry. A. Ethirajalu Reddi Garu. do.
25. " Daivasikhamani Mudaliyar Avl. do.
26. " P. Rajakotilinga Chettiyar Avl. do.
27. " A.M. Elanjiam Mudaliyar Avl. do.
28. " T. Shanmugam Pillai Avl. do.

No.75. Read the following:--

(i) District Board Resolution No.17 dated 17-1-25 making to lie over the subject of constructing a building for the Board High School, Poonamallee.

(ii) President's Memo No.1545 L of 24 dated 27-2-25 to the District Board Engineer, Saidapet, to submit land plan and estimate for the acquisition of Bungalow No.86 in the Poonamallee Cantonment which is at present occupied - by a portion of the High School.

(iii) Letter No.254 Sup. of 24 dated 22-5-1925, from the District Board Engineer, Saidapet, submitting plan and estimate amounting to Rs.8,510/- which excludes the 15 per cent payable for acquisition under the Act.

RESOLUTION.

The Bungalow and the land may be acquired and the Government addressed for a half grant.

Sd/-M. Krishnaswami Reddiar  
President, District Board

(True Extract)

M.K.V/8-6-25.

Exd.

President, District Board,  
Saidapet.



Local Self Government DEPARTMENT.

Received ..... 192 . Registered ..... 192 .

Subject.

Board High School, Poonamallee- acquisition of a building and site- Half subsidy not exceeding Rs.4,894/- Recommended.



ENCLOSURES.

No. ....

Spare copies .....

R.O.C. No. 1299 B/25

Station Madras , Dated the 29- 7- 25 192 .

From (Name) R.G. Grieve Esq., M.A.,

(Designation) Ag. Director of Public Instruction on

Madras.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Local Self Government. Department.

Sir,

Letter to Government R.O.C. No. 1302 L of 24 dated 8- 6- 25 from the President, District Board, Chingleput.

\*\*

Submitted to Government.

2. The Board High School, Poonamallee, has not got a building of its own. The school is held in rented buildings, of which the building now proposed to be acquired is one. There are ten sections working in the school at present, of which Forms II to VI are held in the above building and the lower classes are held in another rented building in a very insanitary part of the town at a distance of 6 furlongs from the building proposed to be acquired.



acquired. The building is not of an approved design but the rooms in it allotted for the different classes can accommodate the present strength. The present proposal is merely to purchase the building and the site. This does not solve the problem of providing suitable accommodation for the school, but it will save rent, and provide a good site for future developments. The site is reported to be an excellent one, and spacious enough to construct additional buildings.

3. The estimated cost of the building and the site is Rs.9,787/-. The District Board requests Government to pay half the cost. As the price is low, I recommend the proposed acquisition of the site and the building and that a subsidy equal to one half of the actual cost according to the valuation of the Collector and not exceeding Rs.4,894/- may be sanctioned from provincial funds to be paid on the post-payment system.

*W. C. Smith*  
for Director of Public Instruction

A.R. 30/7/25

*Copied by 21/12*

*CO  
ms  
21/12*

*Sp 21/12*

*87*  
*L. 25*

*Corr. 22540. to an the P.D.B. Ching*

*Roc. 1302 7 8.6.1925*

*Enst of the D.P.I. Roc. 1299 22-7-25*

*Subject. Grant. requ. of a building for the B.D. High School Poonawalla.*

*Memorandum. 36879.1 of 19-12-25.*

The President is accordingly requested to report at an early date why the D.P.D. cannot meet from its own funds the entire cost of acquisition of the building amounting to Rs.9787. It is observed that the B.D.'s budget for the current year as revised with reference to the actual opening balance on 1st April 1925 closes with a balance of Rs.50964. /

*JS  
21/12*

**DESPATCHED**  
22 DEC 1925

To

*875*  
The P.D.B. Ching  
(R. 2024)

*Y*  
11.12.25


*MR*  
18.12.25





DEPARTMENT.

Received



192

Registered

192

Subject.

228

Chingleput District Board - Acquisition of a building  
for the Board High School, Poonamallee -  
Requesting Government to sanction half  
the cost of acquisition.

-----

## ENCLOSURES.

No. Nil.

Spare copies.

R.O.C.No. 1302-L. of 24.

Station Saidapet., Dated the 4th January 1926.

From (Name) M.R.Ry. M. Krishnaswami Reddi Garu, B.A.,

(Designation) President, Chingleput District  
Board, Saidapet.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

LOCAL SELF GOVERNMENT Department.

Sir,

M A D R A S.

Reference:- Government Memorandum No.36879-1-C.  
L. & M., dated 19-12-1925 - Grant - Acquisition of a  
building for the Board High School, Poonamallee.

In the above Memorandum it is stated that the --  
District Board budget for 1925-26 closes with a balance  
of Rs.50,964/- as revised with reference to the actual  
opening balance on 1st April 1925. This is actually  
not so for the reasons enumerated below:--

(i) The actual opening balance on 1-4-1925 inclu-  
ded unspent balances of works in progress in 1924-25.  
For this a sum of Rs.13,103/- was allotted for incomplete

works of 1924-25 which had to be completed this year.

(ii) The Accountant-General, Madras, has debited in  
October 1925, a sum of Rs.18,335/- being the adjustment of  
Land-cess with reference to the actual realisations of --  
cess in 1924-25.

(iii) The Collector intimated at the time of the --  
preparation of the District Board budget for 1925-26 that  
a sum of Rs.1,51,740/- may be taken as the probable income  
from Land-cess. Actually he adjusts monthly only Rs.11,640  
or Rs.1,39,680/- for the year 1925-26. Here again there is  
a shortage in the budgetted income by Rs.12,060/-. All  
the above 3 items aggregate to Rs.43,498/- which sum has -  
to be deducted from the Government's estimate of the current  
year's closing balance of Rs.50,964/-. This leaves only a  
balance of Rs.7,466/-. Owing to other fluctuations in the  
budgetted receipts and charges of the current year, the year  
closes with a balance of Rs.12,640/- according to the ---  
Revised Estimate for 1925-26 and this is barely 2½ per cent  
balance which is quite essential as working balance for the  
year 1926-27.

Under these circumstances, I submit that the District  
Board is not able to meet from its own funds the entire cost  
of the acquisition of the building and request that Govern-  
ment may be pleased to sanction at once half of the estimated  
cost of acquisition.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

M.K.V/4-1-26.

Exd.

President, District Board.



L. S. G. Department.

Telephone Message.

From

The Secretary to Government,  
L.S.G. Department,

To

The Collector of Chingleput,  
Saidapet.

The President, District Board,  
Chingleput reports

(1) that the Accountant-General debited  
to the District Board a sum of Rs.18335  
being the adjustment of land cess with  
reference to the actual collections in  
1924-25 and

(2) that land cess at the rate of  
only Rs.11640 per month or Rs.139680 is  
being credited to the Board in 1925-26  
while the Collector had intimated at the  
time of the preparation of his Budget  
Estimate for 1925-26 that credit may be  
taken for a cess of Rs.151740. Please  
say whether any portion of the cess for  
1924-25 will be adjusted to the credit  
of the Board besides Rs.139680. (being credit)

Please

monthly  
23/1

23/1

P.C.  
DESPATCHED  
26 JAN 1926

89.3

DEPARTMENT.

C.F.—20

Received



191

Registered

191

Subject.

5989

ENCLOSURES

No.

R. O. C. No. 939-26.

Spare copies

Station Saidapet, Dated the 27th February 1926

From (Name) M.R.V. P. Sitaramayya Pantulu Garu, M.A.,

(Designation) Collector of Chingleput District,

Saidapet.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Local Self Government Department.

Madras.

Sir,

I reply to your telephonic message dated 24-2-26  
regarding the adjustment of cesses for 1924-25, I herewith  
enclose a copy of my letter D.Dis.No.939-26- of date to -  
Accountant General explaining the difference.

I have the honour to be,  
Sir,  
Your Most Obedient Servant

For Collector.

27/2



Ref.No.939-26-

Chingleput District Collector's Office,

S a i d a p e t, 27th February 1926.

From

M.R.Ry. P. Sitaramayya Pantulu Garu, M.A.,

Collector of Chingleput District,

S a i d a p e t.

To

The Accountant General,

M a d r a s.

Sir,

Ref: Land Cess - adjustment for 1924-25 - Your endorsement No.A.B.V./65 Chgt dated 10-2-26 on this office copy of T.M. dated 30-1-26.

b15 mja  
A statement showing the debit amounts arrived at by you in respect of the Local Boards of this district in the final adjustment of cesses for 1924-25 is herewith sent. You have added the cesses (furnished by the Treasury Deputy Collector in reply to -- your D.O. letter dated 12-9-25) collected from non Government -- villages and directly credited to Local Boards from April 1924 to September 1924 i.e. amounts collected prior to the issue of G.O.No. 2288 Press dated 20-8-24, to the estimated amounts of cesses -- adjusted in 1924-25 and deducted these amounts from the actual - collections communicated with my letter No. D.Dis.No.10924-25-A-6 dated 23-7-25 to arrive at the debit balances communicated by you in your letter referred to in the statement. This was apparently wrong as these amounts were omitted to be included both under - debits and collections in my statement with reference to para 2 of your letter No. T.M. 23-38-183 dated 23-4-1924. The actual - collections referred to in my above letter do not include cesses of Non Government villages from April to September 24 as they were directly credited to the Local Boards concerned. The amount - noted therein as estimated cesses adjusted comprises estimated - cess on Ryotwari areas for the whole official year and the estimated cess for Non Government villages from October 1924 to March 1925 only.



3. Necessary arrangements may therefore be made to cancel the debit balances communicated to the several Local Boards in your letters referred to in the statement enclosed and to adopt the debits and credits as reported in enclosure C to my letter D.Dis.10924-25-A-6 dated 23-7-25.

S i r,

Your Most Obedient Servant,

For Collector.

Copy not received  
res. obtained from  
G's office & staff  
put up & B16 info

True copy

$\frac{100}{27/2}$

Statement showing the debits and credits at General in the adjustment of cesses for 1924 - 25.

of censes for 1924 - 25.

District Board.

[illegible]



(Enclosure to letter)  
 D.D. 10924-25 - A-6  
 dt 23.7.1925

Statement showing the amount of cesses adjusted,  
 the amount actually collected and the balance to be  
 debited or credited for 1924-25.

Particulars

Estimated amount  
 of cesses adjusted

Amount actually  
 collected and  
 distributed

Differ to be debited  
 or credited

Amount actually debited = 18,335  
 Excess of amount to be debited = 1,728  
 i.e. Credit to be given to the D.D. = 16,607

Dt. P.D.

123,906

122,178

1,728

and in  
 sending  
 copy to P.D.

(to be debited)

Chingapur  
 Te. P.D.

78,168

74,539

3,629

(to be debited)

Jammalam  
 Te. P.D.

50,478

51,186

708

(to be credited)

Sandaper

Te. P.D.

36,498

37,410

10

2912

(to be credited)



Ex tract from letter no 1128 L.D. of 4.5.24  
of the P.D.D. Chingleput

Local Self Government Department.

Application of the Chingleput District Municipal Council  
Board,  
for loans in 1925-26.

| Name of work | Estimated<br>Cost. | Loan already<br>sanctioned in-<br>cluding the -<br>provision in<br>C.B.L. for<br>1924-25. | Loan re-<br>quired in<br>1925-26 | Remarks as<br>to stage<br>etc., |
|--------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| (1)          | (2)                | (3)                                                                                       | (4)                              | (5)                             |
|              | Rs                 | Rs                                                                                        | Rs                               | Rs                              |

Construction of a  
School building  
for the P.D. high  
school, Poonamalle

50,000

25,000

Plans & estimates  
are ready but  
have not received  
technical sanction

The President may be asked to report

- whether the Site was selected in consultation with P.W.D.
- whether the Site belongs to the Board or has been acquired
- when plans and estimates are likely to be sanctioned and when the construction will be taken up
- how the Board proposes to finance the work.

Draft submitted below

Cm  
29.9.24.

Jm  
29.9.24

2/18/24  
[Circular no 271494-L.D. of 30.9.24]  
C. no. 22570 from the P.D.D. Chingleput  
no 202 L.D. 8.6.25  
Dtd 12.9.25 of 29.7.25

The D.P.D. recommends the  
proposal of the D.P.D. to purchase  
acquire certain lands & buildings  
for the Poonamalle high  
school building and recommends  
a half grant not exceeding Rs 4,894.  
The plans & estimates are below.

This Dept may support the  
D.P.D.'s recommendation & remit  
the file to Law (Edn) no.  
a half grant is recommended  
by the D.P.D. and is supported  
by J.S.

Cm  
5/8/25

Secy  
Law (Edn) Dept

Ltm no. no. 22570-1C  
of 7/8/25

L. En 20613  
2/8/25



Law (Edn) Deptt.

G.S.  
This dept. may agree  
with L.S.G. that a subsidy  
of one-half of the cost not exceeding  
Rs. 4,894 may be ~~san~~ promised  
to the St. Board, Chingleput for  
the acquisition of a building  
with



with the site for the Board high school  
 Poona mallee. The subsidy will  
 be paid to the Board after the  
 expenditure is actually incurred  
 if and when funds become available.  
 The entire net cost of this school  
 is met by Govt. A draft  
 order is submitted which  
 may issue with the concurrence  
 of Finance.

CFA  
 14.8.25  
 14.8.25

12  
 14.8.25  
 5  
 V.F.  
 15.8

Fin No

Finance (Exp)

It is proposed to sanction a  
 grant of half the cost of purchasing  
 a building and site for the Board  
 High School, Poona mallee. The financial  
 position of the B. Board has not been  
 voted upon. The general question whether  
 a half grant should be given, as a  
 matter of course, irrespective of the  
 financial position of the local bodies  
 concerned is under consideration in  
 Law (Edu). Submitted that the  
 present case may be dealt with in

Law (Edu) no. 2061 B.1  
 15.8.25

228680/Exp-1  
 17.8.25  
 15 AUG 1925  
 LAW DEPARTMENT

Vide draft order



628680 Exp-1  
 22.8.25

accordance with the decision that  
 be made as to the general  
 question whether it is  
 proper to grant such a  
 subsidy.

20.8.25  
 24

20.8.25

Law

Law (Edu) Dept.

Please see the Finance dept.  
 note above. For orders whether  
 the file may lie over till the  
 general question is decided or  
 whether L.S.G. may be requested  
 to note on the financial position  
 of the Board.

CFA  
 23.8.25  
 25.8.25

The general question is more  
 with reference to the recurring  
 outlay than in regard to the  
 nonrecurring. In any event  
 an examination of the  
 financial position may be  
 made by L.S.G. in the  
 meanwhile.

Law (Edu) no. 2061 B.2  
 26.8.25

25444



V.F.  
 26.8  
 L.S.G. no

182446

The budget of the B. Board for 1925-26



When reviewed with reference to the actual balance on 1.4.1925 is expected to close with a balance of Rs 50964. The Board does not therefore require any help.

HL  
3.9.25

HL  
4.9.25

As2  
As9

If Finance do not agree to half grant this file may lie over till a decision is arrived at on the general question. Local bodies should be given the same or not better treatment than private managements.

Law (Gen) Dept.


I understand that the accepted principle was to give a 1/2 grant for school buildings - just as for damage schemes. If so there is no reason why the principle should be departed from in the present case.

CBE  
9.9.25

9/9/25

LS 25444-1  
9/9/25

2409B



Law (Gen) Dept.  
For orders whether the file may lie over pending decision of the general question.

CBE  
9.9.25  
KVV  
9.9.25

HL  
11.9.25

V.T.F.  
12.9



Det 36814  
20.10.25

ET 20614  
17.10.25

P.V.T.

Relating to the construction of secondary school buildings in Malayan States.

294713

27/10/25



No. 36824  
27.10.25

As H.M. Finance has noted that the Special Officer for Secondary Education and the Committee on Secondary education to be appointed shortly should deal with the general question and has agreed that, in the meanwhile, each case may be disposed of on its merits the file may now be sent to the Finance Dept for acceptance of the draft order.

CBE  
12.10.25

S/K  
15.10.25

HL  
15.10.25

V.T.F.  
17.10  
Fin 11.0

Finance (Gen).

[Previous Finance note on pp. 3-4.]

In another file recently returned to Law (Gen) this Dept. has called for information in regard to the existing Commitments in respect of Grants to local bodies for Secondary School buildings, with a view to see whether it will be possible to undertake fresh Commitments. Submitted that pending an examination of that question the consideration of the proposal in this file may be postponed.

26.10.25

Law

15



7/20

Law (Ed) Dept.

Ext

The particulars in regard to commitments required by Finance have been furnished in ~~a separate~~ <sup>another</sup> file which has been sent to that Dept. today. This may be returned to this Dept.

Vide extract at flag (X)

9/2.11

Finance



206154 3X11

Finance (Exp)

The file referred to above has been submitted to Secretary separately. The total cost of the building in the present case comes to Rs. 9787. The general question whether a half grant ~~should be given~~ should be given to local bodies for the construction of secondary school buildings as a matter of course irrespective of their financial position is under consideration. Meanwhile this C. 4 has agreed that each case should be decided on its merits according to the existing practice.

Let 39175/Exp. 4.11.25

Building for the Board High School, Ponnaimallu P. 3. C. 4

Vide top of p. 6 ante

P on page 6 ante

At present the giving of grants for Secondary School buildings under the control of local bodies depends upon the financial position of the local body concerned. In the present case, the L. S. G. Dept. have stated that the Budget of the Chingleput Dt. Board is expected to close with a balance of Rs. 50,964. It is submitted

\* top of p. 5 ante

09


21x

14 Jan

that the Dt. Board is in a position to meet the entire expenditure (Rs. 9787) from its own funds, and it does not seem that a grant from Provincial funds is necessary.

In similar cases (Bhavani and Khegal schools in the Coimbatore Dt. and Pattanam in the Tanjore Dt.) Finance <sup>has</sup> noted that no grant need be given, as the Dt. Board concerned can meet the entire cost from their own funds.

Files in Law (Exp)



Dr. 32483 24.11.25

18/11.25

17.11.25

17.11.25

The Dt. Board is perfectly well able to meet the cost: there is no case for a grant from provincial funds.

Dr. 39175/Exp-1 24.11.25

12/11/25

Law

Law (Ed) Dept.

~~For order as to circulation.~~ We may finish L. S. G. by the 15th cannot find the Warleington from its own funds.

CPA 3.12.25 8/12 4.12.25

To B, for whip and finances

8/12/25 206155 2/12/25



VTR 5/12 L.S.G. u



9. 25

L.C.

As 2

The Bd has applied for a half grant  
annual and has not said anything about  
its liability to meet the <sup>whole cost</sup> (other half). It has been  
stated in p. 5 and the Bd has for 1925-26  
as revised with refce. to the actual opening balance  
on 1-1-1925. Closed with a balance of Rs 50,964.

The Bd may now be asked to say  
why it cannot find the whole cost from its  
own funds. The draft below is for approval.

Y  
Corr  
11.12.25.

M  
18.12.25

Bd  
18.12.25  
See?

[O.M. 36879-1C Lom 2 19.12.25]

p 8 cf.

Corr. 228. from the P.D.B. Chingleput. Rec 1302 Ld 4.1.26. pp 9-10 cf.

In the Circumstances stated, the P.D.B. says  
that the Bd is not in a position to meet from its funds  
the entire cost of the acqu. of the building  
and requests for a half grant.

Y  
12.1.26.  
15

The Collr. of Chingleput may  
first be asked to state what will be  
the total credit of the Board on account  
of land cess at the end of the year. The telephonic  
message below is for approval.

Y  
12.1.26  
23/1

adv  
Through Bd. for consideration  
of the P.D.B. Rep.

Through Bd. for consideration  
of the P.D.B. Rep.  
23/1

10 26

(15) 26

The Collector's reply to the  
telephonic message is at pp 12-16 cf.  
According to his report, the amount  
of land-cess to be actually  
recovered from the Bd. with  
reference to the actual collections  
in 1924-25 is only Rs 1728  
and not Rs 18835 as originally  
debited by the Acct. Genl. A sum  
of Rs 17107 has therefore to be  
credited to the Board.

p 14 cf.

p 16 cf.

p 15 cf.

flag (T)

2. The budget estimate of  
the Board for 1926-27 has  
since been received. The credit  
taken in the budget for land-cess  
for the three years ending with  
1926-27 is shown below:-  
1924-25 (Actual) Rs 1357.00  
1925-26 (Rev. Est.) Rs 1235.90

account the  
debit of Rs 18835  
affected by the Bd.

1926-27 (Budget Est.) Rs 140820  
Apparently the credit in 1925-26 taken into  
the budget for 1926-27 is expected  
to open with a balance of Rs 11870  
under the General Account (Ordinary)  
and to close with Rs 15790 at the  
end of that year. The adjustment  
of the sum of Rs 17107 referred to  
above will raise the opening  
and closing balances to Rs 28977



and Rs 32897 respectively. The minimum balance required (2 1/2 p.c of the receipts) is Rs. <sup>11863</sup>~~11750~~. The Board will thus be able to meet the entire cost of the building from its own funds.

474570  
40  
= 11863

SD  
18/3/26  
Al  
sp

Law (Edu) may be informed accordingly - vide notes up & ante

M  
19.3.26  
From  
20326

Law (Edu) in

Dr up for 8207 19326

Law (Edu) Dept. L.S.G. 228-  
~~26879~~ 1144  
2878726.

A.S.

Please see the notes of the L.S.G. dept above. That dept considers that the Chingleput St. Board can meet the entire cost of the purchase of the building and site from its own funds. In the circumstances the Board's request for a half grant may be negatived. A draft order is submitted.

CPA  
29.3.26  
8A  
29.3.26.

A  
29.3.26

VTK  
30/3

M  
28/3/26



Seott. 11-5 (a)-28,703-6-12-24. (6)

|                                 | Date. | Initials. |
|---------------------------------|-------|-----------|
| When received for Fair-copying. |       |           |
| When copied                     |       | 21/       |
| When examined                   |       | 11/4      |
| When signed                     |       | 21/       |
| When despatched                 |       | 21/       |

28  
Law (Edu) DEPARTMENT.

Subsidy.  
Board high school,  
Poonamalle - purchase of  
building and site  
Refused.

READ AGAIN G.O. No. , dated 192

" " " " " " 192

READ ALSO:-

C. No. 20610/25 From the P. D. B, Chingleput R.O.C. 13024/24 8.6.1925.  
" " " " " " S. P. J. R.O.C. 12993/25 29.7.1925.  
" " " " " " " " " " 192

ORDER.

Sub

No. 528, dated 1.4.1926

The Govt are unable to sanction a subsidy to the St. Board, Chingleput, towards the cost of purchase of a building and site for the Board high school, Poonamalle, as they consider that the entire cost can be met by the St. Board from its own funds.

To

The P. D. B, Chingleput  
(with plans & estimates setd)  
" S. P. J.  
" L.S.G. Dept.

CPA  
29.3.26  
8A  
29.3.26.

A  
29.3.26  
VTK  
30/3

below



Jan. 10

Boards of the school  
have been elected  
and the school  
will be opened  
in the fall.

20th Nov. 1882  
The school  
will be opened  
in the fall.

The school  
will be opened  
in the fall.  
The school  
will be opened  
in the fall.  
The school  
will be opened  
in the fall.

The school  
will be opened  
in the fall.  
The school  
will be opened  
in the fall.

16