

Law (Education) (1926) Department.

Min SERIES.

G.O. No. 508.

DATED 31-3-26.

7

[Despatch Abstract.]

Legislative Council Question.

Levy of full fees from certain Adi-Dravida pupils -

Notes recorded. -----

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

2
Council Office,
4-1-26.

Starred Question.

Mr. R. Veerian.

THE LAW (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT.

M-1781. 1469

Will the hon. the Minister for Education
be pleased to state:

(a) why full school fee is demanded viz.,
Rs. 2/8/- as per receipt ^{no.} 9053 dated 13th
November 1925, ^{granted by the Rector} C.O.C.'s High School, Perambur
from one Adi Dravida student reading in
the I Form instead of half fee according
to rule 92 of the M.E. Rules;

(b) why full school fees are demanded from
T.M. Ghengalish and T.F. Kattish reading
in the III form and I form respectively
in the Madras Christian College School,
Madras instead of half fees though they
belong to poor Arunditya Depressed community;
and

(c) if they have ~~in~~ no information whether
they will be pleased to call for the in-
formation?

K.S.M.

Law 3200 syll

starred

Question no 1469

Draft Answer.

The attention of
of the Honble Member
is invited to the answer
to Question no 1289. It
is presumed that the student refers
to clause (a) of Res. no 1289
as the one in 20.3 clause (a) of Res. no 1289

SP
SP
VTK
9/2

CDR W/16/2

DESPATCHED
12 FEB 1926

Linked file

Law F in ho 336 C. 1
10.2.26.

QUESTION.—No. 1731 14 69

for the meeting of the Legislative

Council to be held on the

192

SUBMITTED that two copies of this Question may be forwarded to the
Law (Education) Department.

The Question has been admitted.



U.O. No. 309-3 dated 4.2.26

To

The Law (Edu) Department.

Law (Cons) Sept.

plef

The information required by the M. d. e. is given in the answer to another question sent in by him on the same subject. His attention may be drawn to this. A draft answer is subd.

Linked
file
Consensus delivered
in council
on 9/2/26


mu
8/2.
sk
8h

Q
8/2

V.TK
9.2

M₂

8/2/26



Education.

Demanding full school fees from some depressed class boys.

* 1469 Q.—Mr. R. VEERIAN: Will the hon. the Minister for Education be pleased to state—

(a) why full school fee is demanded, viz., Rs. 2-8-0 as per receipt No. 9053, dated 13th November 1925, granted by the Rao Bahadur Calavala Cunnan Chetti's High School, Perambur, from one Adi-Dravida student reading in I Form instead of half fee according to rule 92 of the Madras Educational Rules;

(b) why full school fees are demanded from T. N. Chengaliah and T. N. Kattiah reading in the III Form and I Form respectively in the Madras Christian College School, Madras, instead of half fees though they belong to poor Arunditya Depressed community; and

(c) if the Government have no information, whether they will be pleased to call for the information?

A.—The attention of the hon. Member is invited to the answer to question No. 1289. It is presumed that the student referred to in clause (a) is the same as the one in No. 3 clause (a) of question No. 1289.

Mr. R. VEERIAN:—“Sir, with reference to clause (a), may I know the correct interpretation of rule 92 of the Madras Educational Rules?”

The hon. Diwan Bahadur Sir T. N. SIVAGNANAM PILLAI (for the hon. Rao Bahadur Sir A. P. Patro):—“I want notice.”

Mr. R. VEERIAN:—“May I know, Sir, whether the Government give any compensation with reference to remissions of school fees that are being made in the case of poor students?”

The hon. Diwan Bahadur Sir T. N. SIVAGNANAM PILLAI (for the hon. Rao Bahadur Sir A. P. Patro):—“I want notice for that also.”

Mr. R. VEERIAN:—“Are the Government aware, Sir, that until the District Educational Officer took up the matter

(The hon. Rao Bahadur Sir A. P. Patro entering)

15th February 1926]

Mr. R. VEERIAN:—“Now that the hon. the Minister has come, with your permission, Sir, I should like to repeat the questions that I put. I wanted to know the correct interpretation of rule 92 of the Madras Educational Rules.”

The hon. Rao Bahadur Sir A. P. PATRO:—“I cannot interpret it here.”

The hon. the PRESIDENT:—“It is a matter of opinion.”

Mr. R. VEERIAN:—“Will the hon. the Minister kindly explain rule 92?”

The hon. the PRESIDENT:—“Order, order. It is not a question for information.”

Mr. R. VEERIAN:—“May I know, Sir, whether the Government give any compensation in the matter of school-fees concessions given to poor students?”

The hon. Rao Bahadur Sir A. P. PATRO:—“There are concessions given to poor students belonging to the depressed classes.”

Mr. R. VEERIAN:—“I want to know whether any compensation is given to the management of the schools whenever they give concessions, according to rule 92 of the Madras Educational Rules, in the case of boys who are poor?”

The hon. Rao Bahadur Sir A. P. PATRO:—“Yes, compensations are given according to the standard rates.”

Mr. R. VEERIAN:—“Are the Government aware, Sir, that until the District Educational Officer took up the matter very vigorously with the Headmaster of that school, the concession was not granted? In that case, Sir, may I know whether there is any binding on the correspondent of the school to act according to rule 92?”

The hon. Rao Bahadur Sir A. P. PATRO:—“According to rule 92 the management admits students, and if it is reported that it has done so, the Government give compensation to the management according to the standard rates of fees.”

Mr. A. CHIDAMBARA NADAR:—“May I know, Sir, whether the Madras Christian College School and the Cunnan Chetti's High School, Perambur, receive compensations from the Government?”

The hon. Rao Bahadur Sir A. P. PATRO:—“I do not think, Sir.”

Mr. A. CHIDAMBARA NADAR:—“May I know, Sir, whether half-fees are allowed in these schools for poor students?”

The hon. Rao Bahadur Sir A. P. PATRO:—“It is left to the management. If they are satisfied that the boy belongs to a poor family and if they admit him, then compensation is given to that school.”

Mr. R. VEERIAN:—“Sir, there are several instances where the correspondent of the school declined to grant this concession even though the boys produced poverty certificates.”

The hon. Rao Bahadur Sir A. P. PATRO:—“If any specific instance is brought to the notice of the Director, certainly it will be investigated.”

Mr. A. CHIDAMBARA NADAR:—“May I know, Sir, whether any difference is made between schools and schools in the matter of compensation?”

[15th February 1926

Mr. R. VEERIAN :—" May I know, Sir, whether full remission of school-fees will be made; because rule 92 of the Madras Educational Rules only intends to give half the rate of the standard school-fees? May I know whether full remission of school-fees will be made according to that rule?"

The hon. Rao Bahadur Sir A. P. PATRO :—" I want notice."

The final form of the

Legislative Council question and answer was as
Question - Levy above. The notes may be
of bill fees from order 508 of 31.3.26.
Culāin Adi Sranida in Recorded.

pupils - notes -

Recorded.

Copy to the S.P.O.

29/3

29/3

DESPATCHED
9- APR 1926

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed

192

READ AGAIN G.O. No.
192

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED OF, BEING WANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.