

Government of India

LAW (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 502, 31st March 1926

Grants—Building—Elementary schools—Scrutiny of plans and estimates by officers of the
Public Works Department—Instructions issued.

9

LAW (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 502, 31st March 1926

Grants—Building—Elementary schools—Scrutiny of plans and estimates by officers of the Public Works Department—Instructions issued.

READ—the following papers :—

I

Letter from M.R.Ry. M. KRISHNASWAMI REDDI Garu, B.A., President, District Educational Council, Saidapet, to the Secretary to Government, Law (Education) Department, (through the Director of Public Instruction), dated the 20th January 1925, R.O. No. 79-D.E./25.

[Reference.—Educational Buildings—Private elementary schools—Scrutiny of estimates and plans.]

I have the honour to state that estimates and plans relating to the construction of elementary school buildings which do not conform to the standard designs and which cost Rs. 1,000 and more, were hitherto sent to the Superintending Engineer for scrutiny before the applications for aid were placed before the District Educational Council for sanction. The scrutiny of estimates and plans by the above officer had been of much help to the District Educational Council in sanctioning grant for the above works as there were in certain cases much difference between the Public Works Department schedule of rates and the rates entered in the estimates prepared by the officers mentioned in paragraph 3 (ii) of Chapter II of the rules framed under the Madras Elementary Education Act.

Now the Superintending Engineer, Madras Circle, states in his letter No. 45-M, dated 18th January 1926—copy enclosed—that grant-in-aid works are to be disposed of either by the Director of Public Instruction or the Educational Branch of the Chief Engineer's Office, according to the cost of the proposed works as laid down in paragraph 2 of G.O. No. 3215, L. & M., dated 7th September 1925. I therefore request that Government be pleased to pass definite orders on the subject as to whom the estimates and plans should be sent by the District Educational Council, if the total cost of the works does not exceed Rs. 5,000 and exceeds Rs. 5,000.

ENCLOSURE

Letter from the Superintending Engineer, Madras Circle, to the President, District Educational Council, Saidapet, dated Madras, the 18th January 1926, No. 45-M.

With reference to your R.C. No. 79-D. E./25, dated 15th December 1925, I have the honour to invite your attention to the latest orders on the subject of scrutiny of the estimate of the educational buildings contained in paragraph 2 of G.O. No. 3215, L. & M., dated 7th September 1925, wherein it is laid down that plans and estimates for grant-in-aid works are to be disposed of either by the Director of Public Instruction or the Educational Branch of the Chief Engineer's Office according to the cost of the works proposed. A reference may therefore be made to the Director of Public Instruction on this subject for further information.

II

Letter from R. LITTLEHAILES, Esq., M.A., Director of Public Instruction, to the Secretary to Government, Law (Education) Department, dated Madras, the 12th February 1926, R.O.C. No. 194-B/26.

In submitting herewith letter R.C. No. 79 D. E./25, dated 20th January 1926, I have the honour to state that the orders contained in G.O. No. 3215, L. & M., dated 7th September 1925, are applicable only to schools under the control of local bodies. They cannot be made applicable to elementary schools

Sp 464 En
1/3/29


To all Presidents of District Educational Councils.
 „ the Director of Public Instruction.
 „ the Public Works Department.

All Presidents of Dt.Edl.Councils
The D.P.I. ✓
The P.W.D. ✓

~~V.TK 9.3~~

DEPARTMENT.

Received



192

Registered

192 3/3/26

Subject.

Scrutiny of Estimates and Plans
of Educational Buildings of Private
elementary schools

Law (Education)

Orders have since been passed
on the subject. The go. is in the Press.
This may be lodged and stitched
with the original go.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies

R.C.No. 79 D.E. of 25.

Station Saidapet, Dated the 26th March 1926.

From (Name) M.R.Ry. M. Krishnaswami Reddi Garu, B.A.

(Designation) President, District Educational Council, Saidapet.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

LAW (EDUCATION) Department.

Sir, Reference:-- This Office letter R.C. No. 79 D.E. of
25 dated, 20-1-1926 to Government through the Director of
Public Instruction, Madras - Educational Buildings - - -
Private elementary schools - Scrutiny of estimates and
plans.

I have the honour to request the favour of very
early orders of Government in the matter, as estimates -
in respect of the construction of school buildings are -
being kept unscrutinised.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M. Krishnaswami Reddi

President, District Educational Council, Saidapet.

G.S.
Exd.

Law (Education) Department

(Cno 552A) From the P. D. C. Chingleput. R.C. no 49 D. 2. 25. 20. 1. 26

" D. P. 2. R.O.C. no 194 B/26 dated 12-2-1926.

Subject:— Elementary Schools under private management — Scrutiny of plans and estimates

(Cno 552A/1
26.2.26


The remarks of P.W.D may be invited (a-o).

P.W.D.
22.2.26.

on the suggestion of the D.D. in his letter

14.5 CF

no. 194 of 12-2-26



M
25/2/26

A
26/2

P.W.D no

P.W.

Z

The rules laid down in G.O. 1495 Law of 12.10.1921 for the scrutiny of plans and estimates for grant-in-aid Educational Institutions do not apply to Elementary School buildings under private management. G.O. 32

H

Let of 7.9.25^{ms} extended the procedure in

Z

1495 Law to Educational buildings in

Local Boards and Municipal Councils.

Applications for grants in aid in these

are received by the D.D. and are decided

Z

by him under the rules in G.O. 1495 Law

H

3215 Let of 7.9.1925 referred to above.

Both applications for grant in aid for school buildings under private manage

apparently
are sanctioned by the District Educational Council under the Madras Elementary Education Act of 1920. The D.O. suggests that the Ex. Engr. should be fixed as the authority whom the D.E. Educational Council can consult in the case of all elementary school buildings. Type designs for elementary school buildings have been issued as below;

- 1) Elementary school building for 150 children
CE/TS no 15/1922 — Gross 112448171122
- 2) do for 100 and 50 children respectively
Spec A 61 and 124/1923 — Gross 113148101123
- 3) Elementary school building - West Coast.
DCA 80/25 — Gross 168548281025

So, ~~all~~ buildings for elementary schools will be based on one or other of these designs according to locality and according to the accommodation required. In ~~these~~ cases where the plans and estimates conform to the standard designs, the D.E. Educational Council will require ~~the~~ professional advice, the reasonableness of the estimate and the structural stability of the building.

or if any cases where the plans and estimates do not conform to the standard designs, the Ex. Engr. [who has technical sanction up to Rs 10,000]

is to scrutinise them. This Dept may therefore refer to the D.O.'s suggestions at A above and return


Vide D.O. 20/2/26
A m/p 5cf

G
N
Q

Estimates for elementary school buildings may not exceed Rs 10,000.

the file to Law (Ed)
KLB 9.3.26
9.3.26
Say 10.3.26
7.2.26
11.2.26

O.W.D. no 2563 of 11.3.26



Law Ed. 1002 A
11.3.26

DA 18.3.26

DA 22.3.26
26/3/26

A 26/3

VK 9/3

to 502
31.3.26
Sent to Pres on 31/3
Pres recd 8/4/26
Recd to Pres 9/4/26

M2
29/2/26



116B
2

PRESS SLIP.

Seott. II-38-1,702-17-1-18.

ORDINARY.

PREFERENCE.

URGENT

Law (copy) DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 1002, dated 191

G.O. No. 502, dated 31 3 1926

Number of MS. pages sent (b) Correspondence 6 pages
(b) Notes

Brief subject: Scrutiny of Manuscripts - Elementary School Buildings

(c) Number of copies required when struck off (not including copies for volumes and editors' table which are retained in the Press). (b) T. copies to supply requisitions for purchase by the public. Complete correspondence and orders. Notes.

FOR RECORD.*				
Madras Records	...	4	10	
Ooty Records	...		3	
For filing with original	...			
Total	...		14	

FOR ISSUE.†

	Letter only.	Complete G.O.	Special issue copies of G.O.		Notes.
			No.	Papers.	
Signature copies					
India Office					
Govt. of India					
Revenue Department					
Public Works Department					
Local and Municipal Department					
Educational Department					
Public Dept.					
Judicial Dept.					
Political					
Financial Dept.					
Marine Dept.					
Ecclesiastical Dept.					
Total					
The					
The					
The					
The					
The					
The					
The					
The					
The					
The					
The					
The					
The					
Total			190		

Copies distributed on DESPATCHED (Press Clerk)

† Copies distributed on 12-21-1926 (Press Despatcher)

† To be initialled and dated.

P.T.O

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE SECRETARIAT.

(a) If a paper is marked to be printed urgently, the Superintendent of the section concerned should sign the Press slip. The Superintendent should exercise a careful discretion in the matter.

(b) Each letter, memorandum, or order or set of orders, in the file of correspondence should be headed in succession with the Roman numeral I, II, III, etc., the number being written in red ink or blue chalk above the item. The particular papers to be printed in the special issue-copies should be indicated by the Roman numeral (I, II, etc.) by which that item has been headed in the correspondence file. All matter, including marginal remarks or references, to be printed should be written in ink and matter which should not be printed should be encircled. Initials and signatures that are not quite legible should be re-written distinctly.

(b) For rules regarding printing of notes, see rule 315 of the office Manual.

(c) The number of copies and the details of distribution should be filled in by the Superintendent or Referencer concerned.

(d) In the absence of special instructions to the Press to keep matter standing for a specified period, ordinary papers of 8 pages and under will be kept standing for one week, and larger papers for two weeks only. The type of notes and of all confidential papers is distributed immediately after striking.

(e) This slip, properly and completely filled in, should accompany every paper sent to Press; it will be returned by the Press when proofs are furnished; it should be sent to the Press when a call is made for revised proofs or when a corrected proof is sent for striking. When finally returned by Press it should be filed with the original order or letter.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE PRESS.

(a) If, in the opinion of the Superintendent of the Press, a paper has been marked urgent unnecessarily, he should send the Press slip to the Registrar with a remark to that effect.

(b) Unless a note to the contrary is made against the matter, pencil entries should not be printed. The Superintendent of the Press may bring to the notice of the Registrar any instance of incomplete or careless editing.

(c) Signature copies of letters to the Secretary of State or to the Government of India should be printed on hand-made paper.

(d) This slip should be returned by the Press to the Secretariat when proofs are furnished; it will be sent back to the Press when proofs are returned corrected and should be finally returned to the Secretariat when struck-off copies are supplied.

(e) The names of the Secretary and Members should be printed in full when they occur on the right hand side, only the first time when they appear on the left side, the initials alone being printed later.

TO PRESS, MADRAS OOTY.

A

Please send proof in duplicate. The papers have been carefully edited.

B

Proof returned corrected. Please strike off and send number of copies as indicated.

Type may be released after usual interval at once.

C

As the matter is clear manuscript and has been carefully edited, (b) proof need not be sent. Please print and supply number of copies as indicated.

Type may be released after usual interval.

Clerk.

Referencer.
Clerk

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS.

TO BE FILLED IN BY THE PRESS.

Diary.	Initials of Press official.
Received in Press on.....	
First proof furnished on.....	
Call for revised proof received on.....	
Revised proof furnished on.....	
Corrected proof received for striking on.....	
Final copies supplied on.....	

Account of copies.	Complete copies.	Notes.	Issue copies.	Special E.T. edition.
Supplied to Secretariat for Record.....				
" " " Issue.....				
" " " for Extra E.T. copies to supply requisitions for sale to the public.				4
For Volumes.....				
For supply to newspapers and institutions admitted to the privileges of the E.T.				
Total ...				

G.O. No. 502, 31st March 1926

Grants—Building—Elementary schools—Scrutiny of plans and estimates by officers of the Public Works Department—Instructions issued.

READ—the following papers:—

I

Letter from M.R. Ry M. KRISHNASWAMI REDDI Garu, B.A., President, District Educational Council, Saidapet, to the Secretary to Government (Law (Education) Department, through the Director of Public Instruction dated the 20th January 1925, R.O. No. 79-D.E./25. [Reference—Educational Buildings—Private elementary schools—Scrutiny of estimates and plans.]

I have the honour to state that estimates and plans relating to the construction of elementary school buildings which do not conform to the standard designs and which cost Rs. 1,000 and more, were hitherto sent to the Superintending Engineer for scrutiny before the applications for aid were placed before the District Educational Council for sanction. The scrutiny of estimates and plans by the above officer had been of much help to the District Educational Council in sanctioning grant for the above works as there were in certain cases much difference between the Public Works Department schedule of rates and the rates entered in the estimates prepared by the officers mentioned in paragraph 3 (ii) of Chapter II of the rules framed under the Madras Elementary Education Act.

Now the Superintending Engineer, Madras Circle, states in his letter No. 45-M, dated 18th January 1926—copy enclosed—that grant-in-aid works are to be disposed of either by the Director of Public Instruction or the Educational Branch of the Chief Engineer's Office, according to the cost of the proposed works as laid down in paragraph 2 of G.O. No. 3215, L. & M., dated 7th September 1925. I therefore request that Government be pleased to pass definite orders on the subject as to whom the estimates and plans should be sent by the District Educational Council, if the total cost of the works does not exceed Rs. 5,000 and exceeds more than Rs. 5,000.

ENCLOSURE

Letter from the Superintending Engineer, Madras Circle, to the President, District Educational Council, Saidapet, dated Madras, 18th January 1926, No. 45-M.

With reference to your R.C. No. 79-D.E./25, dated 15th December 1925, I have the honour to invite your attention to the latest orders on the subject of scrutiny of the estimate of the educational buildings contained in paragraph 2 of G.O. No. 3215, L. & M., dated 7th September 1925, wherein it is laid down that plans and estimates for grant-in-aid works are to be disposed of either by the Director of Public Instruction or the Educational Branch of the Chief Engineer's Office according to the cost of the works proposed. A reference may therefore be made to the Director of Public Instruction on this subject for further information.

II

Letter from R. LITTLEHAILES, Esq., M.A., Director of Public Instruction, to the Secretary to Government Law (Education) Department, dated Madras, the 12th February 1926, R.O.C. No. 194-B/26.

In submitting herewith letter R.C. No. 79 D.E./25, dated 20th January 1926, I have the honour to state that the orders contained in G.O. No. 3215, L. & M., dated 7th September 1925, are applicable only to schools under the control of local bodies. They cannot be made applicable to elementary schools under private management, the grants towards which have to be sanctioned by the District Educational Council with reference to the rules framed under section 42 (2) of the Madras Elementary Education Act of 1920. The District Educational Council will naturally require some professional advice in regard to the scrutiny of plans and estimates of elementary schools under private management and rule 3 (iii) of the building grant rules specifically provides also for the inspection of the building operations by the Executive Engineer in charge of the division. Therefore the rules contemplate that the Public Works Department officers will render the necessary help required by the District Educational Council. I therefore request Government to issue orders fixing the Executive Engineer as the authority, whom the District Educational Council can consult in the case of all elementary school buildings.

Press

Please strike off

116
2
12
9

No. 502, LAW (EDUCATION), 31st MARCH 1926

Order—No. 502, Law (Education), dated 31st March 1926.

The Government accept the suggestion made by the Director of Public Instruction in his letter No. 194-B, dated 12th February 1926. Presidents of District Educational Councils are authorized to forward to the Executive Engineers in their districts, for scrutiny, plans and estimates relating to the construction of buildings for elementary schools by private managers, if the plans and estimates do not conform to the standard type designs or if in any special case it is considered that the opinion of the Executive Engineer is necessary before the question of grant is settled.

(By order of the Government, Ministry of Education)

V. T. KRISHNAMA ACHARIYAR,
Secretary to Government.

To all Presidents of District Educational Councils.
„ the Director of Public Instruction.
„ the Public Works Department.

4

3

190
176
204

[Signature]

[Signature]

9