

*Law* (1926) Department.  
*Education*

*Ordinary* SERIES.

G.O. No. 488-89

DATED 30-3-1926

[Despatch Abstract.]

Hart, Mr. H. A.

District Educational Officer, Chittoor-memorial to the Secretary  
of State for exemption from pause at Rs.1,000--forwarded.

# BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No.  | Department. | Date.    | G.O. | No.    | Department. | Date.   |
|------|------|-------------|----------|------|--------|-------------|---------|
| 80   | 1321 | L.E.        | 30.7.25  | 80   | 1175   | L.E.        | 18.8.23 |
| 80   | 1506 | L.E.        | 25.5.24  | 80   | 307-08 | L.E.        | 27.2.23 |
| 80   | 1313 | L.E.        | 13.8.24  | 80   | 959    | L.E.        | 14.8.22 |
| 80   | 1686 | L.E.        | 1.12.23  | 80   | 446    | L.E.        | 22.3.21 |
| 80   | 1434 | L.E.        | 10.10.23 | 80   | 434    | H.E.        | 21.3.21 |

## FURTHER PAPERS

| G.O. | No.  | Department. | Date.   | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|------|-------------|---------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
| 80   | 1323 | L.E.        | 18/7/26 |      |     |             |       |

1285 H.E. 2 3.11.20  
 756 H.E. 28.6.20  
 600 H.E. 17.5.20  
 591 H.E. 14.5.20

CF. 30

Law (Education)

DEPARTMENT.

2

Received ..... 192 . Registered ..... 192

H.E. 806 A  
 4/3/26

Subject.

Memorandum of Mr. H.A. Hart, District Educational Officer, Chittoor to the Secretary of State for India dated 4-2-26 requesting exemption from the pause at Rs. 1000 in the I.E.S scale of pay.



ENCLOSURES.

No.

N.R.C. No. 201 C/26

Spare copies

Station Madras Dated the 1st March 1926 192

From (Name) R Littlehailes Esquire, M.A.,  
 (Designation) Director of Public Instruction

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras  
 Law (Education) Department.

Sir,

Submitted to Government, Law (Education) Department

2. In October 1925 Mr H.A. Hart requested exemption from the pause at Rs. 1000 in the Indian Educational Service scale of pay, but he was told that his request was inadmissible-Vide my Proceedings Dis. No. 4261/25 dated 7-11-25 (copy enclosed)

h2 infra

3. Mr. Hart's request seems analogous to that of M.R. Ry Rao Bahadur K. Ramunni Menon Avargal Vide G.O.No. 1506 Law (Education) dated 25th September 1924.

A Rama Ray  
 2/3

for Director of Public Instruction

2  
PROCEEDINGS OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION  
Dis. No. 4261/25. Madras. Dated 7th November 1925.

...

Read the following:-

Letter from Mr. H.A. Hart, District Educational Officer,  
Madras, C.No. 1180/25 dated 30th October 1925 requesting  
exemption from the pause at Rs. 1000 in the I.E.S. scale.

....

Mr. H.A. Hart is informed that his request is inadmis-  
sible, that there is no provision whatever for an officer  
being given accelerated pay when he reaches Rs. 1000 per  
mensem and that there is provision for Local Governments  
only to abolish, when they consider it to be necessary, the  
pause at Rs. 600 in the time scale of pay in the I.E.S in the  
case of officers of Indian Domicile promoted from the P E S  
to the I.E.S after the re-organization effected on 1st  
December 1919.

(True Copy)

To

The Right Honourable,

The Earl of Birkenhead, P.C.

Secretary of State for India.

Through

The Director of Public Instruction,

M A D R A S.

and

Through

The Government of Madras.

The humble memorial of Mr.H.A.Hart, District Educational  
Officer, Chittoor.

Most Respectfully Sheweth:-

1. That the memorialist is a member of the Indian Educational Service, having been promoted to act in this Service in July 1917 and having been confirmed in September 1920.
2. That the memorialist has put in a service of nearly 23 years and his age is 51 years and 2 months.
3. That the initial pay of the memorialist when permanently promoted to the Indian Educational Service was fixed at Rs.850 from 1st September 1920.
4. That the memorialist was drawing Rs.850 from 1st December 1919, and by fixing the initial pay in the Indian Educational Service at Rs.850 the memorialist did not benefit much as he had to remain on the same pay, viz., Rs.850, for nearly two years from 1st December 1919 to 1st September 1921.
5. That in September 1923 the memorialist was promoted to the rank of Rs.1000 in the I.E.S. scale.

Service, and according to the rules in force remained on this salary for two years till September 1925, thereby having to remain again on the same pay for two years.

6. That having been comparatively advanced in years when promoted to the Indian Educational Service, the memorialist can never hope to reach even the Junior Selection grade, as with a few exceptions he is older than those who rank above him in the Service.
7. That as regards the maximum of the ordinary grade the memorialist will reach this in September 1929 which is just three months before he completes 55 years when he will have to retire, and consequently he will not have the satisfaction of drawing this maximum for at least a full year before he retires.
8. That the memorialist understands that some officers of Indian domicile who were promoted to the Indian Educational Service along with him, e.g., Messrs. K.R. Ramaswami Ayyangar and A. Rama Rao, have been given an advance increment by abolishing in their case the pause at Rs. 600.
9. That under Fundamental Rule 27 the authority which has power to create a post in the same cadre in the same scale of pay may grant a premature increment, and under note to that rule the Secretary of State has empowered the Government of India to grant an advance increment to a Senior officer promoted to the Imperial Services.
10. That also under Fundamental Rule 32 since from 1st December 1919 the memorialist had been drawing Rs. 850 while officiating in the Indian Educational Service, the initial pay when confirmed in that Service on 1st September 1920 could have been fixed at Rs. 900 instead of Rs. 850 by counting the

*Handwritten signature*

19  
(5)  
- 3 -  
previous officiating service on Rs.850 for increment.

11. That the memorialist is a Senior Officer promoted to the Imperial Service, has rendered honest, faithful and long service and has only a few years more before retirement.
12. That in the light of what is set out above, and in consideration of the fact that in the case of some of his juniors the pause at Rs.600 has been abolished, the memorialist, who is also of Indian domicile, prays that the Secretary of State be pleased to extend a similar favour to him also by abolishing the pause at Rs.1000 so that with retrospective effect he may draw Rs.1050 from September 1924 and Rs.1100 from September 1925.

For which act of kindness and generosity the memorialist will be ever grateful.

The memorialist begs to remain, His Lordship's most obedient and dutiful servant

Chittoor,

4 - 2 - 1926.

*Ita Itak*  
Memorialist.

(C.No.806-A) From the D.P.I., N.R.C.No. 201 dated 1st March 1926.

Subject:- Memorial from Mr.H.A.Hart, District Educational Officer, Chittoor.

Mr.H.A.Hart, District Educational Officer, Chittoor, submits a memorial to the Secretary of State for India praying that he may be exempted from the pause at the stage of Rs.1,000 in the time-scale of pay sanctioned for officers of the I.E.S.

In G.O.No.1285 dated 3-11-20, Mr.Hart was promoted temporarily to the I.E.S with effect from 1-9-20, and his permanent appointment was subsequently sanctioned by the Secretary of State-(vide G.O.No.446 dated 22-3-1921.) At the time of his promotion to the I.E.S, Mr.Hart was in receipt of pay of Rs.750 as an officer of the M.E.S and an allowance of Rs.100 for acting in the I.E.S (Total pay-Rs.850 p.m.) On promotion to the I.E.S, he was, under the orders contained in paragraph 7 of the circular letter of the Govt of India No.371, dated 23-3-20, granted a pay of Rs.800 p.m. and also a personal allowance of Rs.50 p.m. with a view to prevent him from loss-(vide G.O.No.160-161 dated 1-2-21.) Subsequently, however, in their letter No.167 dated 9-2-23, the Government of India directed that an officer who was officiating in the I.E.S prior to the introduction of the new acting allowance rules which came into force from 11-9-20, should, on permanent appointment to the I.E.S, be brought on the rate of pay which he had been drawing

(4.3.7 cf)

(R)

(D)

40 160-61  
1-2-21

(X)

Page 18 of  
Sp. No 600  
17-5-20

(Y)

(X)

40 1125  
18-8-23

(T)



$\frac{1686}{1-12-23}$

825

40.  $\frac{1506}{25-9-24}$  (E)

para 3 of letter  
no 591  
14-5-20

40.  $\frac{1313}{13-8-24}$

450.  $\frac{1175}{18-8-23}$  (T)



$\frac{A}{27.11} M_2$   
26

He the Gov

|                                 | Date. | Initials.     |
|---------------------------------|-------|---------------|
| When received for Fair-copying. | ..... | .....         |
| When copied ..                  | ..... | <del>mm</del> |
| When examined ..                | ..... | 30            |
| When signed ..                  | ..... | 20            |
| When despatched ..              | ..... | 313           |

Hart Mr.H.A.--- District Educational Officer  
Chittoor--memorial to the Secretary of  
State for exemption from pause at  
Rs 1600/- Forwarded.

READ AGAIN G.O. No....., dated.....192

" " " " ..... " .....192

READ ALSO :—

C. No. 806-A From the D.P.I. N.R.C., No. 201, dated 1-3-36 192

192  
 192

ORDER.  
Mis

No. 488, dated 30 . 3 . 192 6

The memorial will be forwarded  
to the Govt. of India.

The D.P.I (Q & O)

DESPATCHED  
31 MAR 1926

Letter 6898/30-3-26.  
Mis

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of India,  
Department of Education,  
Health and Lands.

Memorial from Mr. H.A. Hart,  
Dt. Edl. Officer, Chittoor.

Sir.

I am directed to forward the accompanying memorial addressed to the Right Hon'ble the Secretary of

State for India by Mr.H.A.Hart, District Educational Officer, Chittoor praying that he may be exempted from the pause at the stage of Rs.1000 in the time-scale of pay sanctioned for officers of the I.E.S. Under <sup>my</sup> instructions conveyed in the letter from the Govt.of India No.167, dated 9-2-23 and No.1171, dated 9-6-24, all officers appointed to the I.E.S. ~~with~~ <sup>or after</sup> 1-12-1919 ~~are~~ are subject to the pause at Rs.1000/-

~~Mr. Hart has distinctly -~~ benefitted by his promotion from the M.E.S to the I.E.S, and the Govt.of Madras do not consider that any special concession is called for in his case.

2/ In para 10 of his memorial, Mr.Hart <sup>both</sup> contends that his <sup>initial</sup> pay on promotion to the I.E.S could have been fixed at Rs.900/ instead of at Rs.850/a month as he <sup>was</sup> officiating <sup>from 1-9-20</sup> in the I.E.S continuously from 1-12-19. I am directed to point out that the rules promulgated in the resolution of the Govt.of India Finance Dept. No.2097 C.S.R, dated 27-11-20 allowing officiating service to count for increments came into force only on 11-9-20. Mr.Hart's request that his officiating service from 1-12-1919 to 1-9-1920 should be taken into account in calculating his initial pay is therefore inadmissible.

in go. no. 1175 of 18.8.23

in go. no. 1313 of 13.8.24

go. 1060  
17-12-20

(X11)

(Kp 5-7 cf.)

8

On promotion to the I.E.S, Mr.Hart was at first allowed an initial pay of Rs.800 p.m. plus a personal allowance of Rs.50 p.m. Subsequently however in pursuance of the instructions conveyed in the letter from the Govt of India No.167, dated 9-2-23 he was granted an initial pay of Rs.850 p.m. with effect from 1-9-20. This concession enabled him to reach the stage of Rs.1000 one year earlier i.e, on 1-9-23 instead of on 1st September 1924.

go. 1175  
18.8.23

(T)

20/3/26

24.3.26

V.K.  
4/3

When given for copying \_\_\_\_\_  
When copied \_\_\_\_\_ } 192  
When examined \_\_\_\_\_  
When signed \_\_\_\_\_

READ AGAIN G.O. No. \_\_\_\_\_ DATED \_\_\_\_\_  
192 .

CURRENT No. \_\_\_\_\_

ENCLOSURE TO C. No. \_\_\_\_\_

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT . . . . . A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT . . . . .

STATEMENT WITH DITTO . . . . .

PAPERS NOT FILED OF, BRING AHEAD FOR DESPATCH  
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—  
A = Copy in full.  
B = Not to be copied.  
P = Copy abstract only.  
Q = Copy heading only.