

Law (1926) Department.
(Education)

Archives SERIES.

G.O. No. 464

DATED 29-3-1926

[Despatch Abstract.]

GRANT.

Building---non-European colleges and schools--part II of
budget for 1926-27--notes--recorded.

31 A.C. Education-Direct grants to non-

Item number.	Description of Scheme.	Total estimated cost.	Total grant admissible.
1.	St. Joseph's College, Trichinopoly-Extensions to Lawley Hall.	34,000	17,000
4.	American College, Madura-Zumbro memorial Hostel.	40,000	20,000
3.	Madura College, Madura-Construction of a building.	70,550	35,275
2.	Sri Meenakshi College, Chidambaram-hostel block.	2,13,386	1,06,693
5.	Sri Meenakshi College, Chidambaram-Buildings for the Honours classes	12,732	6,366
6.	Sri Meenakshi College, Chidambaram-Powerhouse and well	10,182	5,091
7.	Loyala College, Madras.	4,30,790	2,15,395
8.	American College, Madura-Construction of a Library.	80,000	40,000
9.	American College, Madura-Construction of a Kitchen etc.	25,000	12,500.
Total			
Grand TOTAL.		9,16,640	4,58,320

Government Arts Colleges-Building grants.

Grant already disbursed.	Grant provided in the Budget for the current year.	Balance to be provided for.	REMARKS.
8,500	..	8,500	G.O. No. 592 H.E. dated 6th May 1925. 1918
9,285	..	10,715	G.O. No. 272 L.E. dated 19th February 1925.
..	10,000	25,275	G.O. No. 1679 L.E. dated 1st January November '24.
56,240	..	50,453	G.Os. No. 900 Misc L.E. dated 2nd June 1924, No. 1991 L.E. dated 22-12-24 & No. 291 L.E. 26-2-1925.
..	..	6,366	G.O. No. 589 L.E. dated 24-4-25
..	..	5,091	Proceedings A.C. 1123 D/25 dated 5th September 1925.
..	..	2,15,395	Director's letter to Government R.O. 1495 D/24 dated 28-9-25.
..	..	40,000	These schemes have been generally approved, and will be submitted to Government on receipt of further particulars which have been called for.
..	..	12,500	
74,025	10,000	3,74,295	

NOTE:-- The total demand under this head including the Schemes for the construction of a library and a Kitchen etc., for the American College, Madura, which have been approved by the Director, and which are likely to mature at least in part in 1926-27 comes to Rs. 2,79,352 or Rs. 2,79,000 in round figure. Out of this -- Rs. 94,943 or Rs. 95,000 in round figure, will be provided in Part I for part-paid schemes, leaving a balance of Rs. 1,84,000 yet to be provided for. Taking into consideration the actuals of Rs. 2.46 lakhs for 1924-25 and the progress that will be made during 1926-27 it would suffice if the provision in Part II is restricted to Rs. 1.05 lakhs. The aggregate provision for building grants will then amount to Rs. 2.0 lakhs.

Annexure No 1

non-Government Secondary Schools-Building grants.

2
3

Grant already disbursed.	Grant provided in the Budget for the current year.	Balance to be provided for.	R E M A R K S.
37,924	12,288	12,288	G.O. No. 1407 H.E. dated 4th November 1918.
17,838	..	21,550	G.O. No. 36 H.E. dated 9th January 1920.
2,700	6,737	6,738	G.O. No. 177 H.E. dated 4th February 1920.
6,481	..	2,769	G.O. No. 784 H.E. dated 8th July 1920.
16,500	4,500	4,500	G.O. No. 1182 H.E. dated 2nd October 1920.
..	10,000	76,800	G.O. No. 691 L.E. dated 8th June 1922.
..	5,000	4,790	G.O. No. 200 L.E. dated 2nd March 1923. 6th February 1923.
31,250	..	31,250	G.O. No. 375 L.E. dated 9th March 1923.
32,165	..	3,485	G.O. No. 1597 L.E. dated 12th November 1923.
58,645	..	2,255	G.Os. No. 72 Mis. L.E. dated 17th January 1924 and No. 963 L. L.E. dated 8th June 1925.
1,564	6,936	3,030	G.O. No. 285 L.E. dated 22nd February 1924.
3,122	5,000 15,000	30,288	G.O. No. 1315 Mis. L.E. dated 13th August 1924.
4,750	4,750	2,700	G.O. No. 1756 L.E. dated 14th November 1924.
..	..	2,02,442 73,000	G.O. No. 657 L.E. dated 31st May 1922
..	..	36,000	G.O. No. 284 Mis. L.E. dated 22nd February 1924.
..	..	14,891	<i>Sanctified by Govt.</i> G.O. 1086 Mis. L.E. dated 4th July 1924.
..	..	13,505	G.O. No. 1646 L.E. dated 19th September 1925.
..	..	14,265	Director's letter to Government R.O.C. 503 D/25 dated 29-8-'25.
..	..	5,000	Director's letter to Government R.O.C. 48 D/22 dated 23-7-'25.
..	..	1,56,661	
2,12,939	55,211	3,59,044	

P.T.O. for notes.

7 Feb - 1927

10 54 220

*meschro
Schemes
have been
approved
by the
Govt.*

NOTES:--

As will be seen from the priority list the estimated total demand for 1926-27 comes to Rs. 3.59 lakhs (Rs. 2.02 lakhs for part paid schemes plus Rs. 1.57 lakhs for new schemes). But taking into account the course of past actuals (the expenditure in 1924-25 being 1.27 lakhs) the sanctioned estimate of Rs. 2.02 lakhs for 1925-26 and the progress likely to be achieved, it would suffice if Rs. 2.25 lakhs (1.75 lakhs for part I and Rs. .50 lakhs for Part II) were inserted as provision in the estimates for 1926-27

31 B.b. Education-Secondary-Direct grants to

Item number.	DESCRIPTION SCHEME	Total estimated cost.	Total grant admissible.
1.	Sowrashtre High School, Madura.	1,25,000	62,500
2.	National High School, Negapatam-Construction of 2 blocks.	78,776	39,388
3.	Chintadripet Secondary school-Construction of additional buildings.	32,350	16,175
4.	Town High School, Guntur-Construction of additional buildings.	18,500	9,250 9,250
5.	S.A.V. Secondary School, Turicorin-construction of additional buildings.	51,000	25,500
6.	P.S. High School, Mylapore-New School building.	1,73,600	86,800
7.	Campbell Memorial School, Jammalamadugu-Construction of School building.	19,580	9,790
8.	A.A.M. Girls' High School, Chittoor-Construction of school and hostel building.	1,25,000	62,500
9.	Wesleyan Mission Girls' High School-Royapettah-Extensions.	71,300	35,650
10.	Ramakrishna Residential High School-Construction of buildings.	1,21,800	60,900
11.	W.C. Secondary School, Omalur.	23,060	11,530
12.	Mayavaram Students Hostel Association.	76,700	38,350
13.	St. Paul's High School, Vepery-Construction of a hostel.	24,400	12,200
14.	Rajah's High School, Ramnad-Construction of a building. Total (Part I)	1,46,000	73,000
15.	Malabar Christian College-Construction of a Building.	72,000	36,000
16.	Rajah's High School, Mandasa-Construction of a building.	29,782	14,891
17.	St. Cecilia's Girls' Secondary school-Udipi-Construction of a boarding house.	27,010	13,505
18.	Ramakrishna Residential High School-Mylapore-Land acquisition.	28,530	14,265
19.	R.C. Town High School, Chidambaram-acquisition of land for playground and improvements.	10,000	5,000

Total - Rs. 11

10 54 222

Annexure No 5

Description of scheme.	Total estimated cost.	Total grant admissible.	Grant already disbursed.	Grant provided in the budget for the current year.	Balance to be provided for.	Remarks
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
31.D.b.Education-Special-Direct grants to non-Government Special Schools-Building grants.						
1. Y.M.C.A.Physical Training Class-Royapettah-purchase of site and construction of buildings. ..	2,24,000	1,12,000	-	-	1,12,000	The schemes have been generally approved by the Director. Certain particulars have been called for and on their receipt mightx the schemes will be submitted to Government.
2. Union Mission Training School,Vellore-Purchase of site and construction of buildings. ..	1,33,000	66,500	-	-	66,500	
3. St.Anne's Training School for Mistresses, Mangalore-Additions. ..	3,000	1,500	-	-	1,500	
TOTAL ..	3,60,000	1,80,000	-	-	1,80,000	Plans and estimates 1,500 have been called for.

NOTE.- The chief items coming under the head are the purchase of sites and construction of buildings for the Y.M.C.A.Physical Training class, Royapettah, and for the Union Mission Training School, Vellore. These schemes have been generally approved by the Director and are about to be submitted to Government. It is proposed to provide for them a sum of Rs.80,000 as it is probable that atleast a fairly good portion of the work is likely to be completed before the end of the next official year should the schemes be approved by Government.

Part II of Budget Estimates for 1926-27 -

Building grants to non-European Colleges, Secondary schools and special schools.

Proposals of the D. P. I.

I t e m s.	Budget Esti- mate for 1926-27	Total grant admissible
	Rs	Rs
C ^o lleges (a)	1,05,000	2,79,352
Secondary schools (b)	50,000	1,57,000
Special Schools (c)	80,000	1,80,000
Total	2,35,000	6,16,352

Director's Remarks.

- (a) For details Vide Annexure No. 1 (p. 1 CF)
 (b) -do- -do- No. 5 (p. 2 CF)
 (c) -do- -do- No. 17. (p. 3 CF)

PTO

Annexure No 17

The D.P.I.'s estimate for building grants to non-European Colleges and Secondary and Special schools in Part II of the Budget for 1926-27 is on page 1 ante. According to the budget procedure prescribed by the Government in regard to these grants, provision should be entered in Part I for ~~schemes~~ schemes in respect of which a portion of the grant due has already been paid or is likely to be paid in the course of the year preceding that to which the budget relates, and provision for other schemes in Part II. The latter should include only schemes which have been approved or are likely to be approved before the 1st November of the year preceding that to which the budget relates.

2. The following statement compares the actuals under this head for the year 1924-25, the budget provision for the current year and the provision proposed for the next year:-

I t e m s.	Accounts 24- 25	B.E. 25- 26	B. E. 1926-27		
			Part I	Part II	Total
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Colleges	2,46,000	1,57,800	95,000	1,05,000	2,00,000
Secondary schools	1,27,000	2,02,100	175,000	50,000	2,25,000
Special schools	9,171	30,000	..	80,000	80,000
Total	3,82,171	3,89,900	270,000	2,35,000	5,05,000

The aggregate demand for part-paid schemes is Rs. 2.97 lakhs against which it is proposed to provide only Rs. 2.40 lakhs.

3. The provision made in Part I does not call for any remarks. The amounts proposed to be provided in Part II are in respect of schemes which have been approved by the Government or the D.P.I., except in the case of items 7, 8 and 9 on page 1 c.f. and 1 to 3 on page 3 c.f. The present stages of these items are noted below:-

No. 7. This has been submitted to Govt. and will be issued shortly. May be included in Part II.

p. 1 c.f.

(under circ. to M. 2)

(p.1 CF)

Nos. 8 and 9. The Director states that these two schemes have been ^{generally} approved by him and that they will be submitted to Government shortly. They ^{may} therefore be included in Part II.

Nos. 1 to 2. (p.3 c.f.) These items also have been generally approved by the Director and are about to be submitted to Government. They may therefore be included in Part II.

No.3. (on p. 3 c.f.) The Director states that plans and estimates for the schemes have been called for. As it is not likely to mature soon, it may be excluded from Part II of the budget.

4. The maximum grant admissible for all the schemes included by the Director in Part II of the budget is Rs 6,16,352. If the proposals in paragraph 3 above are accepted, the amount will be reduced to Rs 6.15 lakhs in round figures. Against this the Director proposes to provide only Rs 2.35 lakhs, and this may be allowed.

5. The file may be sent to Finance U.O.

10.10.25
8/9
10.10.25

CR
10.10.25
V.K.
12.10
Fin U.O.

p.1 CF

A p.3 CF

p.3 CF

6,16,352
1,500
6,14,852

Please find attached
the sum of Rs 2.35 lakhs

27/10/25
N.K.

36089
12 OCT. 1925
SECRETARY TO GOVT.
FINANCE DEPARTMENT

P.T.O.

4

Finance, B.E. I.

PART II SCHEME FOR 1926-27.

Building grants to non-Government Colleges,
Secondary Schools and Special schools.

The procedure now adopted for the disbursement of building grants under Chapter VIII of the Grand-in-aid Code is set out in para 2 of G.O. 572, Law (Education), dated 10-5-1922. Grants are not now sanctioned straightaway as used to be done prior to 1922. What is now done is to maintain a list of approved works arranged in order of urgency. The fact of entry in the list while authorising a half or two-thirds grant as the case may be, if funds are available, does not involve a guarantee of any grant at all. Please see for instance G.O. 1806 Law (Education), dated 17-10-25, passing orders on the eligibility of the Correspondent of the Loyola College for a building grant ^{of Rs. 2,15,395} under the Grant-in-aid Code.

2. The statement on page 2 ante shows the provision made in the B.E. for 1925-26 and the provision proposed for 1926-27 on account of building grants for Non-Government Colleges, secondary schools and special schools. It will be seen that against a provision of Rs.3,89,900 in the B.E. for 1925-26, the Law (Education) propose a provision of Rs.2,70,000 in Part I and Rs.2,35,000 in Part II, i.e., a total of Rs.5,05,000 for 1926-27.

3. Provision proposed for Part I:--As regards the provision of Rs.2,70,000 proposed

for Part I, the sum represents payments which will have to be made in 1926-27 for works in respect of which part payment has already been made or will be made before the end of the current year. It may therefore be accepted.

4. Provision proposed for Part II:--In connection with similar proposals last year, this department noted that provision should be included in Part II only in respect of ^{approved} schemes. ~~which are likely to mature before the 1st November.~~ The Law (Education) Department pointed out that it was impracticable to accept the suggestion of Finance to ear-mark grants for specified schemes and to include provision for only those schemes which mature before the 1st November. The Hon'ble the Finance Member waived the Finance Department's objection temporarily for that year. As ~~the~~ regards the procedure to be followed in future years, the question was examined by the Law (Education) Dept. and with the approval of M-2, they informed the D.P.I. that the rule should be strictly observed in future.

5. From the lists now furnished by the D.P.I., it is observed that schemes involving a Government grant of Rs.3,83,513 have actually been approved by the Government, and those involving a Government grant of Rs.2,31,000 are to be submitted to Government shortly by the D.P.I. The amount to be ~~provided~~ ^{provided in the next year's budget} in respect of the new works will depend upon the general financial position of the Govt. But, in view of the cost of schemes already approved, this department need not object to the proposed

Page 15 of Gov
467 ^{Law} (Civ)
2-4-25

Page 20 of Gov
4

Page 21-22 of
Gov. Secy

⑤ Memo: 35613 ^{Law}
25.2.25
Page 5 of 4

6366
5091
215395
13000
36000
14891
13505
14265
5000

383,513

40,000
12500
112000
66500

231,000

Part II demand for Rs.2,35,000 being placed before the Finance Committee. The Law (Education) Department may be requested to attach as usual to the note for the Finance Committee to be prepared by them a list of the institutions (together with the amounts) for which it is proposed to make a grant in 1926-27.

CMU
23.4.25

cf. para: 19 of
Gov. 527 ^{Fin}
17.7.24

Gov. No. 36089-105-ET
22.2.25

222915B
24.2.25

Law

24/10/25

Law (Ed) Dept

A note for the Finance Committee is submitted. It may be sent to Finance for adding their portion of the note.

27/3/25
27/4/25
26.10.25
26.10.25
26.10.25

26.10.25
5
V.T.K.
27.10.

Fin U.O.

SECRETARY TO GOVT.
FINANCE DEPARTMENT
27 OCT. 1925

38182
27.10.25

Finance (B.E.I.)

The description of the minor heads at the closing portion of the draft note for the Finance Committee requires ^{alteration} ~~re-arrangement~~ as shown in pencil, in order to make them accord with the entries in the C.B.E. Already on one previous occasion the necessity for describing the budget heads

of the Audit Code which

Committee as a Part II Scheme

200.00

28715715

Law Edy

orders as to circulation

30710715

$$\frac{V \cdot T_K}{30 \cdot 10}$$

30/1/5

 M_2

C4

⊗ On the previous occasion referred to, Finance has asked that the word "Direct" used in the concluding portion of the Finance Committee note should be omitted and this was done in that case and the note in this case was also prepared like that. It is now asking that the word rel. be inserted. This may be done.)

7. 2.35 lakhs




It must be understood that
the proposed demand is in
respect of schemes approved
i.e. for schemes included in
the schedule involving
permanently the grant of Rs 3-84 lakhs
LH
30/6/25

MAN.
L. L. 2983 B
29/10/97
Jm m Ch 38182-1 1887
28-6-1898

L. E. 2982 B

23/10
 Jan 20 11 38182 - 1. 1001
 28-60 1001



Note for the Finance Committee.

Subject:- Part II of the Budget Estimates for 1926-27 -

Building grants to non-European Colleges and
Secondary and Special schools.

Proposal of the Director of Public Instruction.

The Director of Public Instruction proposes the following provision for building grants to non-European Colleges and schools in Part II of the budget for next year:-

Colleges	...	Rs. 1,05,000
Secondary schools.		50,000
Special schools.		80,000

		Rs. 2,35,000

Note by the Law (Education) Department.

Building grants are sanctioned and disbursed in accordance with the rules in the Grant-in-aid Code. Application for the grant is made to the Director of Public Instruction. The plans and estimates in each case are scrutinised by the P.W.D. and each work, as it is approved by the Government or the Director of Public Instruction as the case may be, is included in a list of approved works. The grant sanctioned is actually disbursed after the completion of the work, though advances up to the Government share of the cost may be paid by the Director for portions of work completed. Out of the amounts provided for building grants in the budget, the Director sanctions the payment of grants for works in the approved list according to their order of urgency.

2. According to the budget procedure prescribed by Government in regard to these grants, provision should be entered in Part I for schemes in respect of which a portion of the grant due has already been paid or is likely to be paid in the course of the year preceding that to which the budget relates, and provision for other schemes in Part II.

3. The following statement compares the actuals under this head for the year 1924-25, the amount provided for the

2.
current year and the provision proposed for the next year:-

Items.	Accounts B.E.		B.E. for 1926-27.		Total.
	1924-25.	25-26.	Part I.	Part II	
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Colleges.	2,46,000	1,57,800	95,000	1,05,000	2,00,000
Secondary schools	1,27,000	2,02,100	1,75,000	50,000	2,25,000
Special schools.	9,171	30,000	Nil	80,000	80,000
	3,82,171	3,89,900	2,70,000	2,35,000	5,05,000

The provision of Rs. 2,70,000 proposed in Part I is in respect of schemes for which part payment has already been made or will be made before the end of the current year. The aggregate demand in respect of schemes included in Part II comes to Rs. 6,15,000. Of these, schemes involving a grant of Rs. 3.84 lakhs have actually been approved by Government, while the remaining schemes, involving a grant of Rs. 2.31 lakhs, are under consideration. Against the aggregate demand of Rs. 6.15 lakhs, the Director proposes only a provision of Rs. 2.35 lakhs. This is reasonable and may be allowed. The amount may be distributed as shown below:-

31 A.c.	Education -University-Grants to non-Govt.Arts Colleges -Grants-in-aid -Voted -Non-recurring Buildings.....Rs. 1,05,000
31 B.b.	Education-Secondary -Direct grants to non-Government Secondary schools -Grants-in-aid-Voted -Non-recurring-Buildings.Rs. 50,000
31 ^D B.b.	Education-Special-Direct grants to non-Govt. Special schools-Grants-in-aid-Voted-Non-recurring-Buildings.....Rs. 80,000
	Total ... Rs. 2,35,000

V.T.Krishnama Achariyar
27-10-25.

Note by the Finance Department.

The proposal may be placed before the Finance Committee as a Part II scheme for 1926-27.

G.T.Boag
28-10-25.

Annexures.

Annexures.

I.
Schemes provided for in Part I.

Item No.	Description of scheme.	Total estimated cost.	Total grant admissible.	Grant already disbursed.	Grant provided in the Budget for the current year.	Balance to be provided for.
----------	------------------------	-----------------------	-------------------------	--------------------------	--	-----------------------------

Arts Colleges.

1.	St. Joseph's College, Trichinopoly-Extensions to Lawley Hall.	34,000	17000	8500	..	8,500
2.	Sri Meenakshi College, Chidambaram-hostel block.	213,386	106693	56240	..	50453
3.	Madura College, Madura-Construction of a building.	70,550	35275	..	10000	25275
4.	American College, Madura-Zumbro Memorial hostel.	40,000	20000	9285	..	10715

Total ..

94943 or
95000

Secondary schools.

1.	Sowrashtta High school, Madura.	125000	62500	37924	12288	12288
2.	National High school, Negapatam-construction of 2 blocks.	78776	39388	17838	..	21550
3.	Chintadripet Secondary school, xxxxx Construction of additional buildings.	32350	16175	2700	6737	6738
4.	Town High school, Guntur-Construction of additional buildings.	18500	9250	6481	..	2769
5.	S.A.V. Secondary school, Tuticorin-construction of additional buildings.	51000	25500	16500	4500	4500
6.	P.S. High School, Mylapore-New school building.	173600	86800	..	10000	76800
7.	Campbell Memorial school, Jammalamadugu-construction of school building.	19580	9790	..	5000	4790
8.	A.A.M. Girls' High school, Chittoor-Construction of school and hostel building.	125000	62500	31250	..	31250
9.	Wesleyan Mission Girls' High school-Royapettah-Extensions.	71300	35650	32165	..	3485

12/15
14

Item No.	Description of scheme.	Total esti- mated cost.	Total grant admis- sible.	Grant already dis- bursed.	Grant provided in the Budget for the current Year.	Balance to be provided for.
----------	------------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	----------------------------	--	-----------------------------

10.	Ramakrishna Residential High school-construction of buildings.	121800	60900	58645	..	2255
11.	U.C.Secondary school, Omalur.	23060	11530	1564	6936	3030
12.	Mayavaram Students Hostel Association.	76700	38350	3122	5000	30288
13.	St. Paul's High School, Vepery -Construction of a hostel.	24400	12200	4750	4750	2700
Total						202443

Part II.

Schemes provided for in Part II.

Item No.	Description of scheme.	Total esti- mated cost.	Total grant admis- sible.	Balance to be provided for.	Remarks.
<u>Arts Colleges.</u>					
1.	Sri Meenakshi College, Chidambaram Chidambaram Buildings for the Honours classes.	12732	6366	6366	Sanctioned by the Government.
2.	Sri Meenakshi College, Chidambaram-Powerhouse and well.	10182	5091	5091	do.
3.	Loyala College, Madras.	430790	215395	215395	do.
4.	American College, Madura- Construction of a library.	80000	40000	40000	Under considera- tion of Govt.
5.	American College, Madura- Construction of a kitchen etc.	25000	12500	12500	do.
Total ..				279352	

13 16
(5)

5.
Part II (contd.)

Item No.	Description of scheme.	Total estimated cost.	Total grant admissible.	Balance to be provided for.	Remarks.
<u>Secondary schools.</u>					
1.	Rajah's High School, Ramnad-construction of a building.	146000	73000	73000	Sanctioned by Government.
2.	Malabar Christian College-construction of a building.	72000	36000	36000	do.
3.	Rajah's High school, Mandasa construction of a building.	29,788	14891	14891	do.
4.	St. Cecilia's Girls' Secondary school-Udipi-construction of a boarding house.	27,010	13505	13505	do.
5.	Ramakrishna Residential High school-Mylapore-Land acquisition.	28,530	14265	14265	do.
6.	R.C. Town High school, Chidambaram -acquisition of land for playground and improvements.	10,000	5000	5000	do.
Total.				156661	
<u>Special schools.</u>					
1.	Y.M.C.A. Physical Training class -Royapettah-purchase of site and construction of buildings.	224000	112000	112000	Under consideration.
2.	Union Mission Training school, Vellore - Purchase of site and construction of buildings.	133000	66500	66500	
				178500	

Recommendation of the Fin. Committee.

Building grants to non-European
Schools. — Rs. 2,35,000 asked for.

<u>Item.</u>	<u>Amount accepted</u>	<u>class</u>
	Rs.	
Building grant to colleges and secondary schools	1,55,000	II
do to special schools.	80,000	III

As against the provision
of Rs. 2,35,000 proposed by this dept,
the Finance committee have included
Rs. 1,55,000 in class II and Rs. 80,000
in class III. The latter amount
represents the provision suggested
for special schools — for the two
schemes, referred to on p. 13 ante,
which are under consideration.

For order whether the
entire provision of Rs. 2,35,000 may
be pressed.

cur
18.1.26
84
18.1.26.

Q
19.1.26.

In the budget for 1926-27,
a total provision of Rs. 4,08,000 has
been made as under for building
grants

* Part I 2.53
Part II 1.55
4.08

15 18
grants to non-European colleges and schools:-

colleges Rs. 1,83,000
secondary schools 2,25,000
special ..
Total 4,08,000

p. 215 of C.R. for 1926-27
p. 226 ibid

29/3/26

2. These papers may be
order 464 dated 29.3.26.
mis

Recorded.

{ Grant. Building -
non-European colleges
+ schools - Part II of
budget for 1926-27 -
notes recorded

CVV
24.3.26

SKA
26.3.26

CA
26/3

19 17
Law (Education) dept.

U.O. Note.

Building and Equipment Grants--Part II of the
Budget Estimate.

In Part II of his Budget Estimates for 1925-26 relating to building and equipment grants to aided institutions, the D.P.I. has included provision not only for schemes which have been approved, but also for those which are under consideration and have yet to be approved. It is now proposed that in Part II of the budget for these grants in future years, provision should, as far as possible, be limited to schemes which have been approved, or are likely to be approved before the 1st November of the year preceding that to which the budget relates, and that the general programme of work laid down in paragraph 9 of G.O. No.719, Fin., dated 10-8-23 should be followed in the case of these schemes. The Director may be requested u.o. to say whether he sees any objection to the adoption of this procedure.

C.R.
22-12-24.

V.T.K.
22-12-24.

D.P.I. u.o.

None.

R.L.
7-1-25.

Law (Education) dept.

A draft order is submitted. Finance may see before it is circulated to M-2, C-1 and C-3.

C.R.
9-1-25.

V.T.K.
10-1-25.

Finance, B.E. I.

(Notes from p.8 and the draft order may be read).

The draft order restricts the procedure to building and equipment grants for aided institutions. It should be amplified so as to include all grants for new expenditure in aided private institutions or in institutions run by local bodies which require the sanction of Govt.

2. The Director may be instructed to conform strictly to the procedure prescribed in paragraphs 19 and 20 of G.O. 527-F., dated the 17th July 1924.

R.W.D.
23-1-25.

Law.

Law (Education).

For orders whether the proposal of the Finance dept. in paragraph 1 of their note above may be accepted and the draft order below modified as indicated therein. The file may be circulated to M-2, C-1 and C-3.

Finance desires the order to cover subsidies to local bodies also. We may agree. Finance and the Finance Committee should be prepared to consider schemes on their merits much in advance of the Budget, when submitted to them by the Administrative dept. and not defer such consideration to the Budget season.

C.R.
29-1-25.

Have L.S.G. any objection?

V.T.K.
30-1-25.

L.S.G. u.o.

L.S.G. dept.

Submitted that this dept. need not object to the issue of a draft order as modified by Finance in pencil. A copy of the G.O. may be communicated to this dept. It is presumed that Law (Education) and Finance will not object to a similar G.O. being published in the Fort St. George Gazette, so that local bodies may take the necessary steps to get their urgent schemes approved by Govt. before 1st November of each year if they want grants in their behalf in the ensuing year.

T.B.R.
9-2-25.

P.L.M.
10-2-25

Law (Education).

This dept. need not raise any objection to the proposal made in the L.S.G. dept. note above.

The file may be circulated to M-2, C-1 and C-3.

C.R.
16-2-25.

V.T.K.
17-2-25.

There is a G.O. which would guide the D.P.I. There is no use of issuing G.Os. to confound the officer more and more. It is enough the Secretariat issues a memo drawing attention to the observance of the G.O. I do think the draft should again be issued.

A.P.P.
18-2-25.

A.R.K.
20-2-25.

I do not much mind how it is done, so long as the intention is carried out.

R.A.G.
24-2-25.

The draft when put up will be sent to Law (Edn.) and Fin. before issue.

T.B.R.
9-2.

The draft below may be issued as a memo.

C.R.
24-2-25.

V.T.K.
25-2-25.

Copy of Memo. No.3561 B/24-4, dated 25th
February 1925.

The Govt. have observed that in Part II of his budget estimates for 1925-26 relating to grants to educational institutions under the management of local bodies and under private management, the D.P.I. has included provision not only for schemes which have been approved but also for those which are under consideration and have yet to be approved. The Director is informed that Part II of the estimates for these grants in future years should conform strictly to the procedure prescribed in paragraphs 19 and 20 of G.O. 527 F., dated the 17-7-24. The attention of the Director is in this connection invited to paragraph 9 of G.O. No.719, Finance, dated 10-8-23.

C.R.
9-1-25.

V.T.K.
10-1-25.

To

D.P.I.
Copy to Fin. dept.
Copy to L.S.G. dept.

(20)

Note for the Finance Committee.

Subject:- Part II of the Budget Estimates for 1926-27

- Building grants to non-European Colleges
and Secondary and Special Schools.

Proposal of the Director of Public Instruction

The D.P.I. proposes the following provision for building grants to non-European Colleges and Schools in Part II of the budget for next year:-

Colleges	Rs 1,05,000
Secondary schools	50,000
Special Schools	80,000

	2,35,000

Note by the Law (Edn) Department.

Building grants are sanctioned and disbursed in accordance ~~if~~ with the rules in the Grant-in-aid Code. Application for the grant is made to the D.P.I. The plans and estimates in each case are scrutinised by the P.W.D. and each work, as it is approved by the Govt. or the D.P.I., as the case may be, is included in ^a list of approved works. The grant ~~is~~ sanctioned is actually disbursed after the completion of the work, though advances up to the Govt. share of the cost may be paid by the Director ^(of work) for portions completed. Out of the amounts provided for building grants in the budget, the Director sanctions the payment of grants for works in the approved list according to their order of urgency.

2. According to the budget procedure prescribed by Government in regard to these grants, provision should be entered in Part I for schemes in respect of which a portion of the grant due has already been paid or is likely to be paid in the course

CFB
Mean furnish 30
apn
aw
31/10

Ch
31/10/27
10/11
31/11

of the year preceding that to which the budget relates, and provision for other schemes in Part II.

3. The following statement compares the actuals under this head for the year 1924-25, the amount provided for the current year and the provision proposed for the next year:-

I t e m s	Accounts	B. E.	B. E. for 1926-27		
	1924-25	25-26	Part I	Part II	Total
	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs
Colleges	2,26,000	1,57,800	95,000	1,05,000	2,00,000
Secondary schools	1,27,000	2,02,100	175,000	50,000	2,25,000
Special Schools	9,171	30,000	Nil	80,000	80,000
	3,82,171	3,89,900	270,000	2,35,000	5,05,000

The provision of Rs 2,70,000 proposed in Part I is in respect of schemes for which part payment has already been made or will be made before the end of the current year. The aggregate demand in respect of schemes included in Part II comes to Rs 6,15,000. Of these, schemes involving a grant of Rs 3.84 lakhs have actually been approved by Government, while the remaining schemes, involving a grant of Rs 2.31 lakhs, are under consideration. Against the aggregate demand of Rs 6.15 lakhs, the Director proposes only a provision of Rs 2.35 lakhs. This is reasonable and ^(may be allowed) the amount may be distributed as shown below:-

31 A.c. Education-University-Grants to non-Govt. Arts Colleges-Grants-in-aid - Voted - Non-recurring - Buildings. ...	Rs 1,05,000
31 B.b. Education-Secondary-Grants to non-Govt. Secondary schools-Grants-in-aid-Voted-Non-recurring-Buildings ...	50,000
31 D.b. Education-Special-Grants to non-Govt. Special schools-Grants-in-aid-Voted-Non-recurring-Buildings ...	80,000
Total	2,35,000

Note by the Finance Dept
The proposal may be placed before the Finance Committee as a Part II scheme for 1926-27.

G.T.B. 26.10.25 Annexures.

I Schemes provided for in Part I

[Here enter items 1-4 on p. 1 CF. and 1-13 on p. 2 CF, cols 1-7]

II Schemes provided for in Part II

[Here enter items 5-9 on p. 1 CF, 14-19 on p. 2 CF. and 1-2 on p. 3 CF, cols 1-4, 7 and 8]

cur
26.10.25

Paragraph 5, p. 5 nt

cur
26.10.25

8/10
26.10.25

26/10/25
27.10

PTD

Annexures

Not to be used for
any other purpose
than the purpose
for which it is
issued.
Answer
I

Examine 1-10-11 in part 1
[Have under hand 1-10-11 on 1-1-11]
1-13 on 1-1-11

II
Examine 1-10-11 in part 2
[Have under hand 1-10-11 on 1-1-11]
1-13 on 1-1-11

When given for copying _____
When copied _____ } 192
When examined _____
When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O. No. _____ DATED _____
192 .

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO C. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT ... A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED OF, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

21