

17
TN
E2
Law (Education) (1926) Department.

mis SERIES.

G.O. No. 392

DATED 15-3-1926

[Despatch Abstract.]

District Educational Councils--Funds for the payment of building and
equipment grants to aided elementary schools--Sanctioned.

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No.

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Papers of other departments.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Received.....192 . Registered.....192



Subject.

L. Cu 3787A

6110725-

Lawrence J. Deane

Emv no 3287A

Engl. N.O. 3787A-1

15.10.28

Referred to the Director of Public Instruction for remarks.

2. He is requested to ascertain from the D.C.B., Malabar, the amount actually required for payment in the current official year over and above the unexpended balance remaining with it and to submit a new appropriation statement to meet the cost.

(By order)

(By order)
Samanyan 54/60

Amount paid to John 4/15

Station 118 Armenian Street, Madras. Dated the 29th September 1925.

From (Name) Dr. S. Swaminadhan.

(Designation) President, Madras District Educational Council.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras.

Law (Education) Department.

Sir,

The Madras District Educational Council at its meeting held on 28th September 1925 took into consideration the letter of the Director of Public Instruction R.C.No.785 B/25 dated 26th May 1925 addressed to the Presidents of all the District Educational Councils to the effect contributions under Sec.28 of Madras Act VIII of 1920 in respect of buildings and equipment grants may not be made by Government in the current year 1925-26.

2 In view of the fact this Council in anticipation of sanction has pledged the credit of Government both in respect of equipment as well as building grants and the Government have also directly promised in several

2-
cases payments of building grant exceeding Rs.2500/- and that several claims have already matured for payment, the Council unanimously adopted the following resolution and directed me to forward the same for the favourable consideration of Government.

RESOLUTION.

"Resolved that the President be authorised to inform Government that there are large number of claims against the Council in respect of promises already made and in the best interests of the expansion of Elementary Education the Government will be pleased to allot to this Council a sum of Rs.20,000/- for building grants and a sum of Rs.2500/- as equipment grant in the year 1925-26".


I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Swaminathan
29-9-25
President, Madras District Educational Council.

Received



Registered

192

Subject.
Grant-Building and Equipment-District Educational Council, Madras.

Law (Edn) Dept

May be over due in 15th December 1925 when the D.D. may be presented if necessary.

M/S
29/11/25

37/11

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Conquaint Eke

Spare copies

R.C.No. 2266-B/25

Station MADRAS, Dated the 17th November 1925 192

From (Name) R. Littlehailes Esquire, M.A.

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law (Education) Department.

Sir,

With reference to Government Endorsement No. 3787-A, dated the 6th October 1925 referring to me for remarks the letter dated the 29th September 1925 of the President, District Educational Council, Madras, I have the honour to submit herewith two statements showing the requirements of the District Educational Council, Madras in regard to building and equipment grants.

2. Buildings:

The total amount required by the District Educational Council is Rs. 19,725. Of these schemes to the extent of Rs. 8,250 have already matured and it is reported that a sum of Rs. 6,900 would be required in regard to schemes that are likely to mature shortly. No mention has been

been made as to whether any amount would be required in the current year in regard to the first two building schemes. As these schemes are not likely to mature shortly, they may be excluded and the actual amount required in the current year--over and above the unspent balance is Rs. 14,389 as shown below against Rs. 20,000 claimed by the Council.

Schemes matured.	Rs. 8,250.
Schemes likely to mature shortly.	Rs. 6,900
	15,150
Deduct unspent balance.	761.
	14,389.

3. Equipment:

A sum of Rs. 3,355 is shown in the statement as the amount required against Rs. 2,500 claimed by the Council. Of these, schemes to the extent of Rs. 1,327 have matured and the remaining are likely to mature in the course of the year. Therefore deducting the unspent balance of Rs. 183, the actual amount required is Rs. 3,172. The Council has demanded only Rs. 2,500 for equipment grant, which is less than the amount required. Therefore the amount demanded by the Council is admitted in full, exclusive of the unspent balance.

4. The total amount will be Rs. 16,889 (Rs. 14,389 plus Rs. 2500) and a reappropriation statement to meet the expenditure will be submitted in December.

5. In this connection I may also state that if savings are available in the Educational Budget, I see no reason for giving the Madras District Educational Council priority in its demands on any possible savings. I am also ascertaining the position and needs of other District Educational Councils. After the receipt of the particulars, a final recommendation will be made.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant,

A Rama Ray
21/11

For Director of Public Instruction.

S.S.S. 20/11

A- BUILDING GRANTS-Amount now available Rs. 761/-

S.NO.	NO. of the school.	Name of the school.	Amount claimed.	whether claim has already matured.	Remarks.
1.	I/18.	St. Ann's Convent Girls' School, Royapuram.	Rs. 1000		Sanctioned by the Director of Public Instruction No. 2938-B/19, dated 8-11-1919.
2.	XI/18.	Calavala Tiruvengadathan Chetti's Girls' school.	3575		Sanctioned in G.O. No. 335 Home Education dated the 2nd March 1917
3.	XII/1	Saivāte Elementary School, George Town.	4500	Likely to mature in a few weeks.	
4.	XVII/2	Hindu Union Committee School, Chulai.	2500	already matured.	
5.	XIX/28	R.C. Girls' Schools, Vepery.	2400	Likely to mature in a few weeks.	
6.	XXVIII/18.	Wesleyan Mission Girls School, Mirsahebpet.	3250	already matured	Sanctioned in G.O. No. 1535 Misc. Law (Education) dated the 2nd October 1925
7.	XXX/4	Wesleyan Mission Boys' School, Robertsonpet.	2500	-do-	
Total.			49725		
			19725		

(Im. copy)

A Rama Ray
21/11
For Director of Public Instruction

B.

EQUIPMENT GRANT-Amount now available. Rs. 183/-			
S. No.	No. of the school.	Name of the school.	Amount claimed.
			Rs.
1.	1/3	Social Service League Day school, Royapuram.	100
2.	III/1	C.M.S. Tinnevely Settlement School.	135
3.	IV/1	Orphan & Indian Christian Home school.	82
4.	IX/38.	Catholic Poor Girls' School, George Town.	213
5.	XI/9	P. Nagamma Hindu Free School.	435
6.	XI/1	Brahmo Ragged School, Anna Pillai Street.	176
7.	XII/4	Hardinge Elementary School.	111
8.	XV/5	Madras Pentland Elementary School.	80
9.	XVII/5.	N. Srinivasachari Elementary School.	108
10.	XX/8	Social Service League Night School, Egmore.	58
11.	XX/8.	-do- -do- Patro's Night School.	98
12.	XX/10	Egmore Railway Station School.	158
13.	XX/28.	Hindu Girls' School, Komattaswaranpet.	250
14.	XXI/4	Social Service League Night School, Kilpauk.	103
15.	XXI/38.	M.E. Mission Girls School, Otteri.	18
16.	XXIII/3	Young Men's Indian Assn. Night School.	26
17.	XXIV/3	Social Service League Night School, Kalimancheri.	49
18.	XXIV/18.	Sri Kanakavalli Hindu Girls school.	244
19.	XXV/2	Hindu Bala Patasala, Triplicane.	352
20.	XXV/4	N. Sama Rao's Elementary school.	32
21.	XXVII/2	Saraswathi Elementary School, Pudupakkam.	47
22.	XXVIII/38.	Social Service League Night school, Krishnampet.	83
23.	XXVIII/6	-do- -do- Nochikuppam.	41
24.	XXVIII/28	P.S. Elementary School, Mylapore.	145
25.	XXVIII/28	C.E.Z.M. Hindu Girls School, Triplicane.	22
26.	XXIX/9	Social Service League Night School, Elapadamada St.	49.
27.	XXIX/10	-do- -do-Day School, Nallanjeri.	140
Total.			3355

Remarks.

Likely to mature. in a few weeks.

already matured.

-do-

-do-

-do-

-do-

-do-

-do-

-do-

-do-

-do-

-do-

-do-

-do-

-do-

-do-

-do-

One copy

A Ra Ma Ray

21/11

for Director of Public Instruction

Edl No. 337/25

Office of the District Educational Council, Salem, dated 6-10-25.



M. R. Ry., M. Subrahmanya Pillai Avl., B. A.,
President, District Educational Cl.,
Salem.

The Secretary to Government,
Law, (Education) Department,
Madras.

Law (Education) Dept
No. 3869A - 10/10/25
Referred to the Director of Public Instruction for remarks.
He is requested to state whether it is possible to provide the amount required by re-appropriation.
(Signed)

Ramalingam
M. R. Ry.

I have the honour to enclose herein a copy of resolution no. 494 dated 25--9--25 and to state that the Council sanctioned a sum of Rs. 392/12/0 as furniture grant on behalf of the Gokulanatha Hindu Mahajana school, Shevapat, on the special recommendation of the D. E. C. Salem, (vide copy enclosed). I also visited the school and found that new furniture has been purchased for the newly started institution.

2) The Council provided a sum of Rs. 2000 for furniture grant in the budget for the year 1925-26 as the Council anticipated that applications for furniture grants would be made to it by the aided schools. But the Government, however, was pleased to make no allotment for the purpose in the sanctioned budget for 1925-26. In these circumstances, I have the honour to request that the Government will be pleased to place at the disposal of the Council, the sum asked for as many of the aided schools suffer for want of furniture and as the unspent balance of the year 1924-25 under furniture grant has been distributed already to six aided schools by the Council.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,
E. Subrahmanya Pillai
President.

D.R.G./7-10-25

Extract from the proceedings of an ordinary meeting of the District Educational Council, Salem, held on 25-9-25 at 2 P. M.

P r e s e n t.

M. R. Ry., E. Subrahmanya Pillai Avl. B. A., --President.
Mrs. S.A. Masilamani ----- Vice President.

Officials.

S. A. Steele Esq., B. A., District Educational Officer, Salem.

Non Officials

G. F. F. Foulkes Esq.,---- President, District Board, Salem.
Rev. W. J. Hatch
Rev. T. Narasimhan
M. R. Ry., P. Singayakavandar Avl.,
DO B. Munisami Gounder Avl.,
DO S. V. Subrahmanya Ayyar Avl., B. A. B. L.,
DO T. K. Balakrishna Chettiyar Avl., B. A. B. L.,
DO U. Kuppusami Reddiyar Avl.,
DO Kolar Subrahmanyam Avl.,
DO Sonnappa Avl.,
Hanab S. Ahmadali Sahib

X

X

494) Read Endorsement Roc no. 987/25 dated 15th September 1925 from
the D.E. recommending the payment of furniture grant of Rs. 392/12/- on behalf of the
Gokulanatha Hindu Mahajana school Shevapat.

Note:- A sum of Rs. 2000 was provided in the budget. But
no amount was sanctioned. A special recommendation is to be made by the
Council as the D. E. U strongly recommends the same.

Resolution:- No. 494/25-9-25

S a n c t i o n e d. Government to be
addressed.

/Sd/ E. Subrahmanya Pillai
President.

(True Copy)

D.R.G./2-10-25

~~President.~~

9
9
Endorsement
Copy of ~~Letter~~ Roc. No. 987/15th September 1925 from the
District Educational Officer, Salem, to
the President, District Educational Council,

Forwarded to the President, District Educational
Council, Salem,

2) As this is a new school the articles of
furniture etc. purchased by the Correspondent in anticipation
of ~~sanction~~ Council's orders may be approved and aid granted
under Chapter III, section 42, (2) of the rules framed under
Madras Elementary Education Act, 1920.

3) If necessary funds are not available, the cost
may be paid from the previous years' allotments left un-uti-
lised or an application for additional allotment may be sub-
mitted to Government pointing out the necessity for the same.

/Sd/ S. A. Steele
District Educational Officer
Salem.


(True Copy)

D.R.G./7-10-25

E. Chinnayyan
President.

Cm. 2261 B/25

VR



R.C.No.2261-B/25, dated 6-11-1925.

Endorsement No.3869-A-1 dated 17-10-1925 of Government (Education).

Resubmitted.

2. In his letter Edl.No.337/25, dated 27-10-1925 (copy enclosed) the President, District Educational Council, Salem reports that the grant was sanctioned after the unspent balance of 1924-25 had been utilised in full and that no savings are available from other items to meet the expenditure. It is observed that the Correspondent of the school purchased the articles of furniture in anticipation of Council's sanction. This is irregular. Please see rule 2(iii) Chapter III of the rules framed under Section 42(2) of the Madras Elementary Education Act of 1920).

3. Reappropriation of funds to meet the expenditure may be considered in January next when savings in the Educational budget will be definitely known.

A Rama Ray
7/11

For Director of Public Instruction.

To

The Secretary to Government,

Law (Education) Department.

D.H.P. 6-11.

Law (Edu) Dept

May be over till the 10th January 1926.

MS
10/11

CR
10/11

4
11
Copy of letter Edl. No.337/25, dated 27-10-1925
from the President, District Educational Council, Salem to
the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

With reference to your letter No.R.O.C.2261-B dated
21st October 1925 I have the honour to state that the furniture
grant to the Gokulanatha Hindu Mahajana school, Shevapet was
sanctioned after the unspent balance of the year 1924-25 -
had been utilised in full. The District Educational Officer,
Salem, has made a special recommendation on behalf of the
school, and in view of that recommendation the matter was
placed before the Council.

2. It is not possible to meet the expenditure by
reappropriation as no savings can be anticipated from other
items.

(True copy)

A Rama Ray
For Director of Public Instruction

(11/14/26)
 The attention of the D.P.D. is invited to paragraph 5 of his letter R.C. 2266 B/25 dated 17-11-1925 and he is requested to expedite the submission of the ^{report} ~~recommendation~~ referred to therein.
 13/1/26

The attention of the D.P.D. is invited to paragraph 5 of his letter R.C. 2266 B/25 dated 17-11-1925 and he is requested to expedite the submission of the ^{report} ~~recommendation~~ referred to therein.
 13/1/26

(11/14/26)

13/1/26

13/1/26

12
 (C.O. 4491A)

Copied by 15/2/26

To

The D.P.D.

DESPATCHED
 16 FEB 1926

p4 Supra

Law (Education) Dept.
 Memo. no. 4491A-18 15.2.26.
 District Educational Council
 — Building and equipment grant
 for 1925-26.

The attention of the D.P.D. is invited to paragraph 5 of his letter R.C. 2266 B/25 dated 17-11-1925 and he is requested to expedite the submission of the ^{report} ~~recommendation~~ referred to therein.

13/1/26

13/1/26

Law (Education)

DEPARTMENT.

Received 192 . Registered 192

Subject.

Grants--Buildings and equipment--Dt- Educational Councils.

General entry
No.

Do 2434

File No.

Date

Initials of
the Supt.
with date

ENCLOSURES.

No.

R.O.C. No. 2266 B/25

Spare copies

Station Madras Dated the 12th February 26 192

From (Name) R Littlehailes Esquire, M.A.,

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras

Law (Education) Department.

Through the Accountant-General, Madras.

Sir,

With reference to the last para of my letter R C No. 2266 B/25 dated 17-11-25, I have the honour to state that the demands of other District Educational Councils under building and equipment grants have been ascertained and a statement showing the actual amounts required for the several District Educational Councils over and above the unspent balances available with them for expenditure in the current year, is herewith submitted.

2. In determining the actual amount required by each District Educational Council over and above the unspent balance, only, the schemes ~~for~~ which have been sanctioned by the District Educational Councils or by me or by Government and which have matured for payment in the current

14
year have been taken into account. All other demands based on probable schemes which may mature in due course have been excluded in fixing the amount recommended for payment.

3. Several District Educational Councils have got unspent balances with them and these would suffice to meet their actual requirements in the current year. No grant has been recommended for payment in such cases.

4. The District Educational Council, Kistna, has applied for a sum of Rs. 15,000 under building for reconstructing the C.M.S. school buildings damaged by the cyclone in 1925.

This question is being considered separately in consultation with the Dt. Edl. Officer and with reference to Govt.

Endorsement No. 4869 A-1 dated 6th January 1926. (With the P.P. 2.)

5. The District Educational Council, Cuddapah, applies for a grant of Rs. 3,006 and there is no unspent balance with the Council. It is observed that the amount applied for relates to a building to which a half grant of Rs. 5,925 was promised, of which a sum of Rs. 4,928 has already been paid. The balance is only Rs. 997. The excess amount (Rs. 2,006) now claimed is mainly due to the difference between the original estimate and the revised estimate submitted by the Superintending Engineer. The Council is being informed that it should submit detailed plans and estimates for the sanction of Government. Hence this amount has been excluded.

6. The District Educational Councils, Ramnad and Malabar have also demanded the probable amount that would be required in the current year. As definite information has not been furnished as to whether the schemes have matured for payment this amount has been excluded.

7. The amount required by the District Educational Council Salem and reported to Government in this office Endorsement R.C.No. 2261 B/25 dated 6th November 1925 has now been included in the amount shown against the Council, Salem.

15
-22
16
8. The total amount required in the current year comes to Rs. 44,800 (Rs-35,942 under buildings and Rs. 8858 under equipment) inclusive of the amounts recommended for payment to the Madras District Educational Council in this

pp 3-6 Supra office Letter R C No. 2261 B/25 dated 17th November 1925

In the current year's sanctioned Budget Estimate there is no provision for the payment of building and equipment grants to District Educational Councils. The additional allotment of Rs. 38,240 sanctioned under equipment in G.O.No. 745 Fin dated 8th September 1925 has already been disbursed for payment in G.O. No. 1550 Law (Edn) dated 4th September 25

(2) I therefore submit herewith for the sanction of Government a reappropriation statement to meet the entire expenditure of Rs. 44,800/-. b17 mja

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

K R a m a R a y
12/12/26
for Director of Public Instruction

S.V.

16

Statement of requirements under "Building and Equipment grants" for
District Educational Councils in 1925-26.

No.	Name of the Dt Edl. Council.	Building.	Equipment.
		Actual amount required exclu- sive of unspent balance.	Actual amount required exclu- sive of unspent balance.
1.	Ganjam	436	...
2.	Vizagapatam	955	...
3.	Godavari	...	...
4.	Kistna	...	...
5.	Guntur	...	...
6.	Kurnool	...	...
7.	Bellary	...	...
8.	Anantapur	...	...
9.	Cuddapah	...	16
10.	Nellore	...	...
11.	Madras	14,389	2,500
12.	Chingleput	6,758	281
13.	North Arcot	2,784	342
14.	Chittoor	...	186
15.	South Arcot	925	...
16.	Tanjore	...	...
17.	Trichinopoly	...	602
18.	Madura	1,926	1,311
19.	Ramnad	4,100	...
20.	Tinnevelly	287	466
21.	Coimbatore	...	268
22.	Salem	...	713
23.	The Nilgiris	3,382	145
24.	Malabar	...	815
25.	South Kanara	...	1,213
		35,942	8,858

Rs 44,800

Form of Application for Reappropriation of Funds.

Appropriation and progress of expenditure.				Reasons for increase of red	Remarks of the department concerned.	Order of Finance department.
Amounts as in the sanctioned estimate	Actual expenditure up to date	Probable expenditure during the year	Amount of additional appropriations required of the available for reappropriation			

3. The figure 27640 in the year 1964 is not correct. It should be 27740.

1.	2	3	4	5	6	7	8

Heds of estimate proposed to be increased							
31 C(c) Education							
Primary grants to					(a) G.O.No. 745 Fin dated		
Local Bodies for					8-9-26		
Pry.Education 11					(b) G.O.No. do and Pros. R C		
Dt.Edl.Councils					1542 A/25 dated 9-1-26		
Grants-in.aid.							
General Voted							
Non-recurring							
Buldings.	..	35,942		35,942			
Equipment	Plus 38,240(a)	47,098		8,858			
				44,800			

Heads of estimate proposed to be reduced.

[illegible]


for Director of Public Instruction

18
 No. M.A. XVII-V-580 Office of the Accountant General, Madras,
 Fort Saint George, 17-2-1926.

Forwarded.

2. The re-appropriation proposed requires the sanction of the Finance Department.

3. The figure 27640 in the statement should be 27740.



Supra.

597A
 200458
 18220

J. C. R.
 Accountant General.

K.C.R./4-3.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
 Law Department.

15/2

pp-1-2CF (Cno 37870) From the P. & E. C., Madras 5 29-9-1925.

pp-7-9CF (Cno 3869A) " " " Selun. Edl. no 337/25 5 6-10-25.

pp-13-17CF (Cno 599A) " " D.P.D. R.O.C no 2266 B/25 5 12-2-26

Subject:- District Edl. Councils - Building and Equipment Grant - 1925-26.

The D.P.D. Submit proposals for the distribution of funds among Dist. Edl. Councils in the current year for the payment of building and equipment grants.

2. No provision was made in the current year's budget estimate for the payment of building and equipment grants by the Councils as the Finance Department held ^{in Feb. last} that it was too late to do so. Applications from several Councils for funds under these heads had to be turned down in the course of the year.

3. In September 1925 the D.E.C. Madras ~~was~~ ^{also} applied for additional ~~funds for payment of grants to~~ ^{funds for payment of grants to} ~~for various schemes~~ ^{for various schemes} which had already matured. The D.P.D. was then asked to state whether it was possible to meet the Council's demand by re-appropriation. The Director ^{has now} ascertained the demands of all Law & Ed. no 38874-2 the Councils and has submitted a re-appropriation 22.2.26. Statement to meet the expenditure which comes to Rs 44,800/- The D.P.D.'s proposals may be sanctioned. Draft Submitted. It may be sent to Finance for acceptance.



226044/2/2/26
23.2.26
2072/26
22.2
Fin UO

[Faint handwritten notes and bleed-through from the reverse side of the page, including references to 'Law (Education) Department' and various numbers.]

Ch 6044.

for 821 Law (Edn.)
15.5.25 (P.2) (T)

14.5-7785 (T)

Pages 22-24 of (T)

page 36 at (T)

page 38 of 245 in (S)

8.9.25
for 1550 Law (Edn.)
(P.4) (Z)

draft order.

vide 14th Nov p. 319
56 at (T)

In connection with the budget proposals for the current year (1925-26), the D.P.I. brought forward a part II demand of Rs.50,000 for building grants and of Rs.12,000 for equipment grants, to District Educational Councils. On a scrutiny of the proposals, it was found that there were large unspent balances with several of the Dt. Educational Councils, and that the amounts actually disbursed by them were very low when compared with the allotments made for the purpose. It was decided by M.2 and the then Finance Member to make a Part II Demand of Rs.15,000 and Rs.5,000 only for 1925-26, against Rs.50,000 and Rs.12,000 respectively proposed by the D.P.I. and the Law (Edn.) Deptt. Eventually however, no provision was actually made in the budget, as, when the decision was reached, it was too late to include provision.

[At the meeting of the Legislative Council held in August 1925, a supplementary grant of Rs.38,240 was voted for equipment grants to District Educational Councils on account of the opening of new elementary schools. The amount was met out of a sum of Rs.8.32 lakhs allotted to the Educational department out of the remission granted by the Govt. of India.]


2. It is now proposed to sanction a building grant of Rs.35,942 and an equipment grant of Rs.8858 to the District Educational Councils in the current year and to meet the expenditure by re-appropriation. As already noted in para 1, the amount originally agreed to for expenditure in the current year was only Rs.15,000 for building grants and Rs.5,000 for equipment grants. The amounts now proposed are far in excess of those originally proposed. The proposal was originally brought forward as a Part II scheme for the current year. Provision was not however, made in the budget. ~~It is not possible to make provision for the expenditure of Rs.44,800 being met by the exercise of the powers of re-appropriation~~

21 8
without the scheme being submitted to the vote of the Legislative Council. The fact that there are savings in the educational budget available for re-appropriation is not sufficient justification for incurring an expenditure of Rs.44,800 which has not been provided for in the budget.

3. It may also be noted that a provision of Rs.40,000 for building grants and Rs.7000 for equipment grants to the District Educational Councils has been made in the budget for 1926-27. In the note for the Finance Committee it was stated that no grant was to be distributed during the current year towards building and equipment grants. The Law(Edu.) Deptt also noted as follows:-

"As no provision has been made in the current year's budget for the payment of building or equipment grants, the amounts to be placed at the disposal of District Educational Councils in 1926-27 should be not less than twice the average expenditure incurred by each District Educational Council in the last three years. Calculated on the above basis, and taking also into account the unspent balances remaining with the District Educational Councils the amount required for payment of building grants by District Educational Councils in 1926-27 is Rs.40,467. The amount required in 1926-27 for payment of equipment grant is estimated at Rs.7347. A lump sum of Rs.40,000 for payment of building grants and a sum of Rs.7,000 for equipment grants may be included in the Budget estimate for 1926-27"

4. It will be seen from the extract in para 3 above that the provision of Rs.40,000 for building grants and Rs.7,000 for equipment grants made in the budget for 1926-27 ~~really~~ represents the requirements of two years, 1925-26 and 1926-27. It is submitted that it is unnecessary to provide by re-appropriation any additional sum in the current year.



Fin: no. 6044. Exp-1.
1-3-26.

27.2.26.

Osh
1-3-26

8
1/3/26

Law

22
Law (Edu.) Deptt

AS.

Finance does not agree to any amount being spent during the current year on account of building and equipment grants ^{to aided elementary schools}. The argument put forward is that the provision made in the Budget estimate for 1926-27 took into account the fact that no provision ^{has been} made in the current year's Budget and that there were unspent balances remaining with D.E.Cs.

2. From the D.P.D. letter at page 13-15 of cf. it will be seen that he has sub. by schemes which have matured for payment during the current year and which D.E.Cs. have not enough unspent balances to meet ~~the demand~~. The fact that as much as a sum of Rs.44,800 is immediately required for payment shows that the amounts entered in the Note for the Finance Committee (Rs.40,000 for building grants and Rs.7000 for equipment grants) as required to meet claims maturing in the current year and in the next official year are an under estimate. Finance may be requested

Rs. 35,942 for building grants
Rs. 8858 for equipment

57 203
to reconsider its views in the light of the
above explanation.

At 8/13
MS 3/13/26
In the matter of estimating the
requirements for payment of
grants, a large element of un-
certainty always prevails. The
capacity of a private manage-
ment to finance work has
to be taken into consideration.

This is a growing source of
expenditure & must be so long
as expansion of elementary
edu. is vigorously pushed
through. It will be advantageous
if a claim, to meet which there
is at present a source, is satis-
fied. It cannot be that by
the disbursement of the grant
now proposed, the amount
proposed for next year's budget
will be left unutilized. Funds
are not worn in a bad way.

at
3/3

V.T.K.
4.3

M 2
C 4
The position explained above is correct.
There is an under estimate & the
D.P.I.'s claim is based on fixed norms
2/4/26

24
V 6.
I should be obliged if the Assistant Secretary
Educational department would stick to his last and
refrain from remarks on our financial position
which he is not in a position to estimate. The
whole trouble in connection with these grants has
arisen from the fact that the Educational Secreta-
riat has never shown any financial sense at all.
I have pointed out this fact more than once and
strongly objected to the way in which the D.P.I.,
owing to the fact that the Educational Secretariat
has no control over him at all, is allowed to pile
up liabilities at his own discretion which the
Finance department is called upon to liquidate. I
have protested more than once that this system can-
not be tolerated, and I must ask the Educational
Secretary when he is going to apply his mind to
putting things right. I am not prepared any
longer to give the D.P.I. a blank cheque to run up
bills without any responsibility for finding the
money. In the present case, there is no money
in this year's budget. There is money provided in
the next year's budget which can be utilised as
soon as the financial year commences; and I must
ask that that money be first utilised to meet such
claims as must be met and that the Educational de-
partment takes up in serious earnest this question
of the irresponsibility of the D.P.I. of which I
have so much to complain, and of which this case is
a further example.



VAS

LeM
5-3-26.

M 2
V.T.K.
5/3

28
This case relates only to the grant
of aid to Elementary Schools and
comes under 31 C (c).

The application is to reappropriate
surplus funds from Elementary Schools,
Local bodies to Elementary Education,
aided agencies & D.E.s.

The subsidy given to local bodies for
the purpose of building & other schemes
could not be utilized as we find these
subsidies under past payment system -
It is this amount that is sought to be
given to aided agencies which have
completed the school building &c
during this year; no provision being
for the aided agencies under this head
in the current year's budget.

As regards the system by which provision
was made in 1926-27, vide pp 1-3 and 3
page "K", according to the arrangements
made thereat, the budget proposals are
made -

The reappropriation sought for is ~~the~~
from main within a grant between
heads subordinate to a main head,
which does not involve undertaking
a recurring liability - vide
Evolution Rule 38.1 (k) -

Subordinate

The minor head is 31C(c) relative to Elementary Education - Subsidies to local bodies and the other Subordinate minor head to which reappropriation is to be made is 31C(c) Aided agencies & etc. Elementary Education. Except one item 31C(4).

Please refer p. 17 Cf. wherein the details are noted -

(Exemption 2/1000)

- i. Thus the reappropriation falls under Cl. (b) of Government rule 38 which is within the power of the Minister.
- ii. There is also no violation of any financial rule in this matter -

The reappropriation may be accepted under the rule -

I will be glad to discuss further if necessary with my Hon. Colleagues.

10/4/26

C4

I do not wish to deal with this matter on technicalities; still less do I wish to embarrass my Hon'ble Colleague in the matter of expenditure which whatever the financial objections may be - and they are grave - to the existing system, had been brought about under that system. I will therefore accede to my Hon'ble Colleague's proposals on two conditions:

- (1) that the D.P.I. be told that no further provision can be found in 1926-27 over and above that provided for in the budget and that he must therefore arrange his liabilities and payments with reference to that provision; and
- (2) that the Law Secretariat (Education) be instructed to take up this question at once and the manner in which the D.P.I. under cover of the Grant-in-aid Code can impose liabilities on the Government irrespective of any question as to whether funds are, or can be made, available.

11/3/26

11-3-26.



This question has already been taken up along with that of grants made to Secondary Schools.

SSR

The draft order below may issue after perusal by Finance.

L. Soli 10245 A
13/3/26
Law E. 597A-1
13.3.26

2. Action on H.M.C. note above will be taken up separately.


12/3
12/3
12/3

11/40



J. 8544/13-3-26

fin



Fin. (Secy).

The draft may be accepted.

13/3/26

13.3.26

12/3

13/3

Law

Date.

Initials.

When received for Fair-copying.

When copied

When examined

When signed

When despatched

28
Law (Education) DEPARTMENT.

District Educational Councils — Funds
for the payment of building and equipment
grants to aided elementary schools —
Sanctioned.

READ AGAIN G.O. No., dated 192 .

” ” ” ” ” 192 .

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 597A: From the D. O. 2. R.O.C., No. 2286 13/25, dated 12. 2. 1926

” ” ” ” ” ” 192

” ” ” ” ” ” ” ” 192

ORDER.

Wise.

No. 392, dated 15.3.1926

The Govt. are pleased to place at the disposal of the ~~undermentioned~~ Dept. ~~mentioned~~ in the annexure to these proceedings. Edl. Councils Funds required for the payment of building and equipment grants to aided elementary schools in the current years—

2. The A. G. is requested to place at the disposal of the Dist. Edl. Councils concerned the amounts shown in column (5) of the annexure. The Presidents ^{of the} Dist. Edl. Councils ~~concerned~~ are requested to draw ~~present~~ the necessary bills at the Treasury ~~and arrange to have the adjustment of the amounts ascertained and forthwith or get them adjusted~~

adjusted to their credit at an early date
before the close of the current official
year.

3. The re-appropriation statement
submitted by the Director of Public
Instruction to meet the expenditure
is sanctioned

P.V.R.
19.2.26.
2012/26
V.T.K.
2012/26

Page 17 CF

To
The President, D.E.C's concerned,
" D.P.O.
" A.G. through Finance. (use copy of
" Edm. of L. F. Accts. (State)
" Finance Dept. (use copy of
copies for stock file and use in office)

Annexure

Desd to all. (+ with copy of notes
exceptor. pds. of
D.E.C's
15/3

DESPATCHED to
16 MAR 1926 P.D. 150023

No.	Name of D.E.C.	Amount Sanctioned under "Building grants"	Amount Sanctioned under "Equipment grants"	Total.	Remarks
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
1	Ganjam.	436 ✓	✓	436 ✓	
2	Visagapam.	955 ✓		955 ✓	
3	Cuddapah.	...	16 ✓	16 ✓	
4	Madras.	14,389 ✓	2,500 ✓	16,889 ✓	
5	Chingleput.	6,758 ✓	281 ✓	7,039 ✓	
6	North Arcot.	2,784 ✓	342 ✓	3,126 ✓	
7	Chittoor.	...	186 ✓	186 ✓	
8	South Arcot.	925 ✓	...	925 ✓	
9	Dindigul.	...	602 ✓	602 ✓	
10	Madurai.	1,926 ✓	1,311 ✓	3,237 ✓	
11	Ramanad.	4,100 ✓	...	4,100 ✓	
12	Tirunelveli.	287 ✓	466 ✓	753 ✓	
13	Cochin.	...	288 ✓	288 ✓	
14	Salem.	...	713 ✓	713 ✓	
15	The Nilgiris.	3,382 ✓	145 ✓	3,527 ✓	
16	Malabar	...	815 ✓	815 ✓	
17	South Kanara.	...	1,213 ✓	1,213 ✓	
		35942	8858	44800	

31/

2/8

Registered.....1926.

G.O.No.Misc.392, dated 15th March 1926.

From the Director of Public Instruction, R.O.O.No.2266 B/25-1 dated the 12th February 1926.

The Government are pleased to place/^{at}the disposal of the District Educational Councils mentioned in the annexure to these proceedings funds required for the payment of building and equipment ~~of~~ grants to aided elementary schools in the current year.

3. The reappropriation statement submitted by the Director of Public Instruction to meet the expenditure is sanctioned.

To

The Presidents of the District Educational Councils concerned
" Director of Public Instruction.
" Accountant-General through Finance.
" Examiner of Local Fund Accounts.
" Finance Department.

(By Order)

IV Kailasa Sarnam
SUPERINTENDENT.
GR

37

ANNEXURE.

No.	Name of District Educational Council.	Amount sanctioned under "Building grants".	Amount sanctioned under "Equipment grants".	Total.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Ganjam.	436		436	
2.	Vizagapatam.	955		955	
3.	Cuddapah.	..	16	16	
4.	Madras.	14,389	2,500	16,889	
5.	Chingleput.	6,758	281	7,039	
6.	North Arcot.	2,784	342	3,126	
7.	Chittoor.	...	186	186	
8.	South Arcot.	925	...	925	
9.	Trichinopoly.	...	602	602	
10.	Madura.	1,926	1,311	3,237	
11.	Ramnad.	4,100	...	4,100	
12.	Tinnevelly.	287	466	753	
13.	Coimbatore.	...	268	268	
14.	Salem.	...	713	713	
15.	The Nilgiris.	3,382	145	3,527	
16.	Malabar.	...	815	815	
17.	South Kanara.	...	1,213	1,213	
		35,942	8,858	44,800	

When given for copying _____
When copied _____ } 192
When examined _____
When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O. No. _____ DATED _____
192

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO C. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED OF, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.