

Law (Education) (1926) Department.

Ord. SERIES.

G.O. No. 341

DATED 5.3.1926

39

[Despatch Abstract.]

Schools - middle Board & municipal
incidence of rent charges
Note, Recorded

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Sectt. II-8 (f) -11,004- 6-1-17.

Home (Education) Department

MEMO.- No. 2112A-2

With reference to para. 3 of his letter No. 7968, dated the 31st April 1918 suggesting the adoption of a uniform scale of pay for the staff and establishment in the schools under the management of ~~the~~ local bodies recently raised to the grade of high schools, the D.P.I. is informed that, in the opinion of Govt., ~~the~~ case of each institution should be examined separately and the scale of establishment and rates of pay ~~determined~~ ^{determined} with reference to the needs of the institution and the circumstances of the locality. The Director is ^{accordingly} requested to submit in respect of each institution affected by the scheme a proposition statement showing the existing scale and the scale of establishment that should in his opinion be ~~employed~~ ^{employed} to secure the efficiency of the school. The extra establishment ^{if any} to be entertained in the institution in succeeding years on the opening of a new form should be shown separately.

3. The Director is also requested to report how his proposals regarding the adoption of a uniform scale of pay would affect the staff in the other existing institutions under the management of local bodies ^{and} what approximately the

Place taken
3 copies

8/10
28/10

Page 2437 p 757
11-6-18
(S)

CS's note d 22.5.18
on page 12 of M.L.S. to
No 757 Dated 11.6.18
(S)

extra cost would be if the scale is adopted in those other institutions also.

3. In para.4⁽ⁱⁱⁱ⁾ of the G.O.No.757, Home (Education), dated the 11th June 1918 the Government, while sanctioning the provision of a sum of Rs.250/- for rent in respect of the new middle schools, stated that the question of the incidence of rent would be considered afresh. The attention of the D.P.I. is drawn to G.O.No.679-L, dated the 8th May 1916 and to the orders issued in para.3⁽ⁱⁱⁱ⁾ of G.O. No.757, Home (Education), dated the 11th June 1918 and he is requested to state why local bodies should not be required to meet from their own funds charges incurred by them on rent for the new middle schools.

CR
9.8.28

12/8/19

Recd 12/8/19
23.10.18

To
The D.P.I. (O.M. only).

To Remind after six weeks
Q



Home Department.
Education Branch.

REMINDER.

REPLY.

To

The Director
of Public Instruction

To

The Secretary to Government
Home (Education)

~~has the honour to invite~~
The undersigned is directed to invite
~~invites~~

attention to the communication noted in the
O.M. No. 212A-2, dated 4.9.18 margin and to
request that
this reminder may be returned at once with
information as to—

- and
- (1) the stage at which the matter now is,
 - (2) the date by which a reply may be expected and
 - (3) the circumstances which have caused the delay

2. It is requested that the reply to this
reminder may be sent not later than

Ganayakar
4/8/19

Office

Home Dept

Station

Obtacamund

Dated

4.8.19

Signature.

Designation.

Regis. 11/8/19

SUBJECT.

Revision of the scale of
establishment in secondary
schools under public management.

2K
2.8.19

1/8
2.8.19



The reply of the President,
District Board, Coimbatore,
to the Director's circular
letter on the subject is still
due. He is being reminded
again today.

W. M. S. M.

For Director of Public Instruction

extr
in
3.

Department

Branch

REPLY

REMINDER



Mr. Director of Public Instruction

The undersigned is directed to invite
attention to the communication noted in the
margin and to request that
this reminder may be returned at once with
information as to—
(1) the stage at which the matter now is,
(2) the date by which a reply may be
expected and
(3) the circumstances which have caused the
delay.
It is requested that the reply to this
reminder may be sent not later than

The reply of the Director of Public Instruction, dated 17-6-20, has been received. The Director has been requested to reply to the reminder.

For Director of Public Instruction

17-8-20

17-8-20

17-8-20

SUBJECT

Review of the work of the Director of Public Instruction, dated 17-8-20.

AUG 1920

ADPAB

REMINDER.

To

The Director of Public Instruction

The undersigned has the honour to invite
is directed to invite
invites

attention to the communication noted in the
margin and to request that
this reminder may be returned at once with
information as to—

- (1) the stage at which the matter now is,
 - (2) the date by which a reply may be expected and
 - (3) the circumstances which have caused the delay.
2. It is requested that the reply to this reminder may be sent not later than

17-8-20

Office Home Dept.
Station Cotacambur
Dated 2-8-20

Signature.
Registration
Designation.

SUBJECT. 17-8-20

*Proposals for the revision of the
status of establishments
in the schools, and
to the status of high schools
and recommendations in regard
to the charges to be borne by*

Department.

Branch.

REPLY



To

*The Secretary to Government,
Home (Education) Department,*

Resubmitted.

The Presidents and Chairmen con-
cerned have been requested to report
as to the amount of rent charges --
which they desire should be borne by
Government in the case of each school
and their replies are awaited.

17-8-20

for Director of Public Instruction.

Home (Education) Department

17-8-20

Lodged

*2. The D.P.I. may be
reminded again, if
necessary, on 25-9-20*

17-8-20

RC 7968/17

AN

4/2233A 1-17. C.F. 88.
6.004



Home Department.
Education Branch.

REMINDER.



REPLY. 1968/27
7.10.19

To The Director of Public Instruction

To The Secretary to Government Home (Education) Dept.

The undersigned has the honour to invite is directed to invite invites

attention to the communication noted in the margin and to request that this reminder may be returned at once with information as to—

- (1) the stage at which the matter now is,
- and (2) the date by which a reply may be expected and
- ~~(3) the circumstances which have caused the delay.~~
- ~~2. It is requested that the reply to this reminder may be sent not later than~~

Channarayana 15/9

Office Home Dept.
Station Ootacamund
Dated 15-9-19

Signature.
Resident
Designation.

SUBJECT.

Revision of the scale of establishment in secondary schools under public management.

2K 12.9.19

The final reply of the President, District Board, Coimbatore, has not yet been received. He has been reminded several times.

Channarayana

For Director of Public Instruction Home (Edn) Lodged 17.10.19

Another reminder has been sent to the D.P.I. on 2K 6.10.19

CR
17.10.19



Hon. 523 A
9.2.20 C.F. 88.



Home

Department.

Edn

Branch.

REMINDER.

REPLY.

To

The Director of
Public Instruction

To

THE Secretary to Government
Home (Education) Department

The undersigned ~~has the honour to invite~~
is directed to invite
~~invites~~

attention to the communication noted in the
margin and to
request that
this reminder may be returned at once with
information as to—

GM No. 2112A-2 4-9-18

- (1) the stage at which the matter now is, and
- (2) the date by which a reply may be expected
- (3) the circumstances which have caused the delay.

It is requested that the reply to this
reminder may be sent not later than

The Director's final
reply will be submitted
to Government in about

a month.

For Director of Public Instruction

air
6.2.20.

Office Home Dept.

N. Kailasa Samed
Signature.

Station Fort St. George

Registrar
Designation.

Dated 24-1-20

29.1.20

SUBJECT.

Proposals for the revision of
establishments in the insti-
tutions proposed to be raised to
12 grade of high schools

Received
16.3.20
Home Ed.

Lodged.

9.2.20
9.2.20

Recd. P.I. may, if no
Sary, be reminded on
15.3.20
9.2.20

Department

Branch

REPLY

REMINDER

The undersigned
is directed to invite

attention to the communication noted in the
margin and to
request that
this reminder may be returned at once with
information as to—

(1) the stage at which the matter now is,

(2) the date by which a reply may be
expected

(3) the circumstances which have caused the
delay.

It is requested that the reply to this
reminder may be sent not later than

Office Home Dept.

Station Fort St. George

Dated 24-1-20

Registrar

Designation.

SUBJECT.

Proposals for the revision of

establishments in the insti-

tutions proposed to be raised to

12 grade of high schools

6796/16

C.F.-29.

HOME / EDUCATION / DEPARTMENT.

1663 H

Received



191

Registered

17-5 1916

Subject.

18/5

Submitting proposals regarding the revision of establishment in the new Board and Municipal Secondary schools.

ENCLOS RES.

No.

Spare copies

Re No. 7934/18

Station Madras, Dated the 13th May, 1920.

From (Name) The Hon'ble Mr. R. Littlehales, M.A.,
(Designation) Director of Public Instruction.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
HOME / EDUCATION / Department.

Sir,

1-2 supra

With reference to Memorandum No. 2112 A-2 dated 4-9-1918 I have the honour to submit that the proposal to adopt a uniform scale of pay for teachers in schools under local bodies be not proceeded with. The cost of a teacher in Tanjore is much less than that of a teacher with the same qualifications in Cuddapah, and results of the acceptance of a uniform scale would be either a waste of public funds in Tanjore where too much might be paid for a teacher, or the staffing of schools in backward and unhealthy districts with the worst teachers.

2. With regard to the 17 schools (15 Board and

P.T.O.

an mt

Home

Dep't

REPLY

REPLY

The Director of Public Instruction

The undersigned is directed to invite

attention to the communication noted in the

margin and to

request that

the necessary action be taken in this regard.

(1) The steps to be taken in this regard.

(2) The time limit for the completion of the work.

(3) The time limit for the completion of the work.

(4) The time limit for the completion of the work.

(5) The time limit for the completion of the work.

(6) The time limit for the completion of the work.

(7) The time limit for the completion of the work.

(8) The time limit for the completion of the work.

(9) The time limit for the completion of the work.

(10) The time limit for the completion of the work.

(11) The time limit for the completion of the work.

(12) The time limit for the completion of the work.

(13) The time limit for the completion of the work.

(14) The time limit for the completion of the work.

(15) The time limit for the completion of the work.

(16) The time limit for the completion of the work.

(17) The time limit for the completion of the work.

2 Municipal) converted into high schools according to annexe I of G.O.No.757 dated 11-6-1918 I am taking up each school - separately and am submitting proposition statements showing the existing scales of establishments and the scale which I consider necessary to ~~revive~~ ^{secure} the efficiency of the school.

3. With regard to paragraph 3 of the Memorandum I beg to report that the following District Boards are prepared to meet the rent charge from their own funds:-

Guddapah
S. Kanara
Kistna (in regard to Kovur)
Tanjore
Vizagapatam (in regard to Gajapatinagaram)

while the following have provided buildings of their own:-

S. Arcot
Madura (in regard to Usilamputi)
Vizagapatam (in regard to Srugavarapukita)
N. Arcot
Guntur
Kurnool
Nellore
Salem (in regard to Rasipuram)
Trichinopoly (as regards Ariyalur)
Gudiyattam (Municipality)
Palni (do)

Districts of Ganjam and Kistna (in regard to Undi) are prepared to pay a portion of the charge while the following districts are not prepared to meet any portion of the charge for rent:-

Anantapur
Bellary
Chingleput
Godavari
Kistna (in regard to Chellapalle school)
Tinnevelly
Malabar - about Rs.100
Trichinopoly (in regard to Musiri)
Madura (in regard to Sholavandan and Batlagunda)

The following local bodies have not replied to my reference on the point of house rent:-

Chittoor
Coimbatore
Salem (as regards Tiruchengode).

I am of opinion that the case of ~~each~~ ^{such} local body should be considered with reference to its financial position before the incidence of the rent charge is decided upon. If a local body is willing and able to meet the rent charge I should not fore-
stall

its action by promising the payment of the rent charge from provincial funds.

I should like however to bring up to the notice of Government in this connection that the provision of elementary education is one of the first duties of a local body. Secondary education is a matter more for provincial funds and for private agency than for local funds. The conclusion appears to be that local bodies should spend money on Secondary education only after the needs of elementary education are met, and that if a local body is not able to meet the needs of elementary education in full, it cannot be called upon to meet the needs of secondary education without the grant of subsidies from provincial funds on behalf of Secondary Education.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

N.R. Viswanathan
13.5.20

For Director of Public Instruction.

M.S.R. 13/5

memo No 2112 A-284. 9.13

C. 1663 A - From the D.P.I. Rec No

7934/18 d 13-5-20

Memo 1663 A-1/17620

The proposals for the revision of the scales of establishments employed in the 17 schools raised to the grade of high schools under Ord. No. 757 Home (Gen) of 11.6.18, promised by the D.P. I in para 2 of his letter read above are awaited.

2
=

In respect of the ~~middle~~ schools opened in 1918 and now located in rented buildings, the Director is requested to ~~report~~ ^{submit} definite ~~recommendations as to the cases in~~ ^{recommendations as to the cases in} which the Grant should bear the rent charges either wholly or partially and the amount of rent to be allowed in each case for ^{the purpose of} determining the net cost.

Remind after a
month

AK
26/5/20

CR
27.5.20. 28/5/20

L a w (Education) DEPARTMENT.



Received

192

Registered

192

Subject.

Revision of the scales of establishments in
certain Board Schools.

H. Gu 3784 B

22-11-22

ENCLOSURES.

No. Nil

Spare copies

C. No. 1916 B/22

Station Madras, Dated the 17th November 1922.

From (Name) R.G. Grieve Esq., M.A., FR.C.S., M.L.C.,

(Designation) Agt. Director of Public Instruction

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

L a w (Education) Department.

Sir,

Reference:- Your reminder dated 9th November
1922 on memo No. 1663 A - 1 dated 17-6-20 regarding revision
of Establishment in certain schools.

With reference to para 1 of the memo in question
I have the honour to state that local bodies have been
sending piecemeal their proposals for the revision of
Establishments in the schools opened under G.Os. Nos. 757
Home (Education) dated 11th June 1918 and 902 Home (Educa-
tion) dated 10th August 1920. These proposals are being
sanctioned separately. In these circumstances no consolidated
proposals appear necessary.

2. Regarding para 2 attention is invited to G.O.No.902

Home (Education) dated 10th August 1920 paras 3 (i) and 4 (i) under which the charges on account of rent, if any, of the schools are to be met by local bodies. I may state that no departure from the above procedure appears necessary. In the case of schools opened under G.O.No. 757 Home (Education) dated 11th June 1918 such expenditure is being admitted in calculating the net cost subject to the condition that the sanctioned allotment for the school for contingencies is not exceeded.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

DESPATCHED

21 NOV 1922

For Director of Public Instruction

T R 20/11

20.11.

C. 3784 B
Memo No 3784 B-21.12.22
Letter from the D.P.I. C
No 1916 B/22 d 17.11.22

Scales of establs in certain
schools under the management
of local bodies — Rent charges
in the case of middle school

The Govt.
agree with the D.P.I. that
no consolidated proposals
are necessary
in regard to the revision
of the ^{scales of} establishments in
the schools opened under
Govt NO. 757 Home (Ed) d
11.6.18 and No 2 Home (Ed)
d 10.8.20

The attention
of the D.P.I. is invited to
para 3 of memo No 2112
A-2 d 4.9.1918 and para
3 of his letter Rec No
7934/18 d 13.5.20 and
he is requested to submit
definite recommendations
as to the cases in which

p. 2 of

KT 7-8 of

2/13

The Grant should bear the rent charges ^{in respect} of the ~~various~~ schools opened under G.O. No. 757 (Hankin) (P) of 11-6-18 either wholly or partially and the amount of rent to be allowed in each case for the purpose of determining the net cost.

Vide para 2 on p. 9 of

N. Sec
25/1/23
" 25/3/23


T. Shd 19/25/14
The D.P.T.

Reminded after a month

28/4/22

Q

Received.....192 Registered.....192



Subject.

L. Sec 2190/B
25/5/23

Rent charges in respect of schools opened under G.O. No. 757 Home (Edn) dated 11-6-1918.



Law (Edn) Secn
May lie over pending the receipt of the report promised by the D.P.T. He may be reminded on 20.6.23 if necessary.

ENCLOSURES.
No. Nil
Spare copies

20/10 29.5.23
R.O.C. No. 1916 B/22

Station Madras, Dated the 22-5-1923. 192

From (Name) R G Grieve Esquire, M.A.,
(Designation) Ag Director of Public Instruction

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law (Education) Department.

Sir,

With reference to the reminder dated 9th May 1923 on the Government Memo No. 3784 B-1 dated 21-12-1922, I have the honour to report that particulars have not yet been received from the President, Districts Boards, Kistna, Tanjore and Nellore in spite of 3 reminders and to state that the report called for in the memo quoted above will be submitted on receipt of particulars from the Presidents of these District Boards.

I have the honour to be, sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for Director of Public Instruction

DESPATCHED
25 MAY 1923

S.V. 23-5.
23.5

M 12-13
ante

4N

LAW (EDUCATION)

DEPARTMENT.

Received



Registered

192

Subject.

Revision of the scales of establishments in certain schools. *Rent charges in respect of net cost*

ENCLOSURES.

No.

1 Statement

Spare copies

R.O.C.No. 1916 B/22

Station M a d r a s, Dated the 22nd January 1924 192From (Name) R. Littlehales Esq., M.A.,(Designation) Director of Public Instruction.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

LAW (EDUCATION)

Department.

Sir,

With reference to the Memo No. 3784-B-1, dated 21st December 1922, I have the honour to submit herewith my recommendation as to the cases in which Government should bear the rent charges in respect of the schools opened under G.O.No. 757 Home (Education) dated 11th June 1918 either wholly or partially and the amount of rent to be allowed in each case for the purpose of determining the net cost.

2. In the G.O. quoted above 48 Middle Schools were opened and a sum of Rs 250 has been allowed under rent for each of the schools. The replies received from the respective local bodies in regard to the amount of rent that is being paid for the schools are tabulated in the consolidated

(K) statement enclosed herewith.

3. (a) It is observed from the statement that no rent is being paid for 22 schools (Nos. 5, 8, 12, 13, 17, 18, 20, 24, 25, 26, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 35, 36, 39, 40, 41, 43 and 44) by the local bodies concerned. The schools are stated in some cases to have been provided with buildings of their own. In other cases the Presidents have stated that no rent is paid for the schools.

(b) Schools Nos. 25 and 26. The President, District Board, Chittoor has stated, that the schools are held in elementary school buildings, that no rent has been paid for the buildings and that provision has been made in the budget estimate of 1923-24 to compensate the rent which the Taluk Board is paying for its elementary schools. The President District Board will be ^{requested} ~~requested~~ to address Government separately after obtaining the Public Works Department estimate of cost and rent of buildings used. The question of fixing rent for the schools may be considered later on receipt of the necessary particulars.

(c) School No. 36. The Board High School, Tiruchengode is held in the Old Taluk Office building. It was reported that the Public Works Department claimed rent for the building. Since the building has now been handed over to the District Board on certain conditions (Vide G.O.No. Mis 1322 W, dated 17th December 1923) no rent need be paid for the school.

(d) School No. 39. The Board Middle School, Satyamangalam is held in a Government building which was originally handed over to the Taluk Board, Gobichettipalayam. The President, District Board, Coimbatore states that the question of ^{the} handing over the building back to Taluk Board for the location of an elementary school is under consideration and that a rented building will have to be engaged later on. The question of handing over the building to the District Board on certain conditions is also separately under the consideration of Government (Vide my endorsement R.C.No. 1233 B/23 dated 27th August 1923 addressed to the Secretary,

22
6
4
9
8
6
17
14
K 9 of
G.O. No 757
11-6-18
(\$)
Secretary, Public Works Department. The recurring contingent allotment of Rs 640 sanctioned for the school which includes provision of Rs 250 for rent may therefore be reduced to Rs 390. In the case of the remaining 18 schools the provision made for rent may be deleted and the recurring contingent allotment of Rs 640 sanctioned for each of the schools reduced to Rs 390.

4. Of the remaining 26 schools for which rents are paid the District Boards concerned had expressed their willingness to bear the rent charge from their own funds in respect of schools Nos. 4, 9, 37, 38, 46 and 47 (Vide my letter R.C.No. 7934/18 dated 13-5-1920). In these cases, the provision made for rent viz Rs 250 for each school may also be deleted and the recurring contingent allotment for each of these schools may be reduced by that amount.

5. In respect of schools Nos. 1, 2, 3 and 10 the Boards had expressed their willingness to bear a portion of the rent charge from their own funds. Considering, however, the financial position of each of the District Boards which is not satisfactory the amounts that are being paid by them and shown in column 5 of the statement which are less than the sanctioned amount and recommended in column 8 may be sanctioned as provision for rent for the schools.

6. In the case of schools Nos. 6, 7, 11, 21, 22, 23, 27, 28 and 45 the amounts shown in column 5 of the statement which are less than the allotted amount of Rs 250 are recommended as provision for rent for the schools.

7. Schools Nos. 14, 15, and 16. The President, District Board, Nellore reports that the full amount of Rs 250 sanctioned for each of the schools is being spent on house rent partly by actual payment in the case of schools located in private buildings and partly by adjustment in the case of schools located in buildings hitherto under the control of the Taluk Board. The President will be requested to address Government separately after obtaining

18
the Public Works Department estimate of cost and rent of buildings used. The question of fixing rent for these schools may be considered later on receipt of the particulars. ^{In respect} ~~the~~ of school No. 19, the President, District Board, Kurnool states that no rent is being paid for the middle school department of the Board High School Atmakur. The provision for rent included in the recurring contingent allotment sanctioned for the school may be deleted.

8. Schools Nos. 34 and 42. The District Boards are paying rent for the Middle school departments opened under G.O.No. 757 Home (Education) dated 11th June 1918 in excess of the sanctioned amount. In these two cases, the sanctioned amount may stand the excess being met from local funds as hitherto.

9. School No. 48. The Municipal High School, Gudiyattam has a building of its own. The Chairman states that a rented building has been secured on a monthly rent of Rs 12 for the location of classes 4 and 5. The rent provision of 250 sanctioned for the school may be reduced to Rs 144 the actual amount required for the purpose.

Y 10. School No. 49. The Municipal High School, Palni was opened under G.O.No. 943 Home (Education) dated 16th July 1918. The recurring contingent allotment of Rs 640 sanctioned for the Middle School includes the provision of Rs 250 for rent. Since the school is provided with a building of its ^{own} the provision for rent may be deleted. *only Rs 392 has been sanctioned for the school*

11. It may be stated ~~that~~ in this connection that contingent expenditure which the Boards state they have spent on contingencies generally, subject to the maximum amount sanctioned for contingencies by Government has been allowed for net cost purposes. Since any excess amount which was sanctioned over and above the amount which was paid by local bodies for actual rent will have been spent on other contingencies in connection with the schools concerned, I

-5- 19
I recommend that no demand for the recovery of any excess rent charge paid need be made.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

R. S. K.
for Director of Public Instruction.

P.R.K. 24/1
24.1

16
Department.

Routine notes (which are not to be (a) sent out of the office or (b) included in the originals or proceedings unless the notes are specially marked for retention or (c) printed).

Subject of file.

ED A

When & how are we
to take further action
with ref. to the scale
of establishment for
the new high & middle
schools - please see
leg order at the bottom
of page 18 of notes
with p. 757 ED!
11.6.18

(S)

OR

16.7.18

Home (Education) Department.

The ^{points} ~~points~~ reserved for further consideration in the notes to G.O.No.757, Home (Education), dated the 11th June 1918 are noted on below:-

2. Adoption of a uniform scale of pay for the staff in the new high schools recently opened.- Following the suggestion in para.2 of the Chief Secretary's note on page 12 of notes to the G.O. the Director may, it is submitted, be asked to examine the case of each institution separately and to submit a proposition statement showing the present scale of establishment and the scale that, in his opinion, should be sanctioned-having regard to the needs of the institution and the circumstances of the locality. He may also be asked to report how his proposals as regards the introduction of a uniform scale of pay would affect the staff in the other ^{existing} institutions under the management of ~~the~~ local bodies and what approximately the extra cost would be of introducing the scale in those ~~existing~~ other institutions also.

As regards middle schools, the question whether the progressive scale recommended by the D.P.I. should be sanctioned may be considered in the light of the information contained in the proposition statement now to be called for and the scale of pay that will be sanctioned for the teachers ⁱⁿ of the lower forms of high schools.

3. Rent.- The D.P.I. apparently considers that rent charges, if incurred by local bodies, should be included in the calculation of the net cost. The Financial Department is however for adhering to

Para 9 and 15 of notes to G.O. 757 dated 11.6.18 (S) and para 7 of G.O. Pm. 4 (ii) (S)

Para. 2 of Director's note - page 17 of notes to G.O. 757 11-6-18 (S)

Pages 16+17 of notes
No. 757
11-6-18 (5)

(M) the orders issued in G.O.No.679-L, dated the 8th May 1916 though, in the case of middle schools, that department has tentatively agreed to the admission ^{in the calculation of net cost} of rent charges. ^{Para. 3 (iii)} of G.O.No.757, Home (Education), dated the 11th June 1918 states that, in the case of High schools, charges incurred on account of the rent of school premises should be met by the local bodies as hitherto, while in para.4 (iii) which relates to middle schools it is stated that the question of incidence of rent will be considered afresh. It is submitted that the D.P.I.'s attention may be drawn to the orders quoted above and he may be asked to state why the local bodies should not be required to meet rent charges incurred in the case of new middle schools from their own funds.

4. Net cost.- The Financial Dept. has agreed to the proposal that Govt. should bear the net cost in the case of both high schools and middle schools, but specific orders to this effect have been issued only in the case of middle schools (vide para. 3 (iv) and 4 (iv) of G.O.No.757, Home (Education), dated the 11th June 1918. As suggested in para. 4 of the Registrar's note on page 16 of the notes to ^{the} G.O. ~~xxx~~ orders in regard to ~~the~~ net cost, in the case of ~~the~~ high schools may be included in the final orders that may be passed ^{on} the permanent scale of establishment for each school.

5. A draft O.M. to the D.P.I. is submitted for approval.

Home (Edu) Sec.
No. 2112A-1
13-8-18
14/8

MS
11/9/18

LHM
Incl

CR
9.8.18
Draft for acceptance
12/8/18

19

Extm Dept



C No. 369 2/0
14.8.18

Lo muon 369-12/0
17/8/18

ve
16.8.18

V.TK
17/8

Fin. u.o

Financial dept

CURRENT NO.
~~3008~~ 7022
FINANCIAL
Recd 19-8-18.

(S)



Finl no 7022-1
23.8.18.

The draft memorandum may be accepted in this Deptt. and the file passed on to Financial no.

Para: 2 of the draft Memo.:— The adoption of a uniform scale of pay in the new schools under the management of local bodies will affect not only the scale of pay in other schools under the control of local bodies, but also the scale in private schools which will lead to enhanced grants-in-aid. (cf para 7 of Finl office note on page 9 of Ld's to go. 757 Educ: 8.11.6.18). It will perhaps be difficult to estimate the extra cost ~~on account of~~ on account of such grants. It is, however, for consideration in Home (Educ:) whether a sentence may not be added to para: 2 of the draft memo. requesting the D.P.I to give this information.

2. The draft may it is subd, be otherwise accepted.

ve
21.8.18. Home llo 21.8.18

Home (Education) Dept.

With reference to the Financial dept. note on the previous page, it is submitted that, if the scales of pay in aided schools are raised, the managers of the schools will have to bear at least one-half of the additional expenditure and, if the school is self-supporting, the whole of it. It is doubtful if managers will undertake to incur the extra expenditure. Further, as stated by the Financial dept., it may be difficult to estimate the extra cost to Govt. on this account with any degree of accuracy. Any such estimate must be purely conjectural. In these circumstances, it is submitted that the draft ~~may~~ Memo: already put up may issue without alteration.

(H2) SK
26-1-98
NK
28/1/98

he may better leave it to the D.P.S. to say whether it would be possible to give the information or not. In that view we may add the following to para. 2 of the Draft:—

"Similar information should, if possible, be furnished also in respect of aided schools under private management; an approximate total ^{of the} extra ~~cost~~ amount of grant in aid, that may have to be paid to such schools in view of the probability of their adopting the higher scale of pay, will suffice."

CA
31-8-98

NOTES.

For the present I consider the above
addition is not necessary

Done

My
3/9/18

Is this a memo

the way better leave it to
the B.P. to be decided
if possible to give
the information or not. It
that even we may add the
following to be. 2 of the
draft: —

"Similar information shall
if possible be furnished
in respect of other schools
under similar management.
an approximate total of the
cost amount of fruit or
oil that may have to be
paid to run school or other
of the possibility of the school
being closed or other."

Home (Ed) Sept
Extract from the Registrar's remark
against the item DN 2112A-2 & 4-9-18 in the call book

"For orders whether a D.O may now
"be sent to the D.P.I. No replies to
"Reminders have been received recently."
S.A. 1-7-19
"Put up the case for Secy's orders"
C.R. 1-7-19.

x dated:-

- 22-10-18
- 3-12-18
- 18-1-19
- 17-2-19
- 17-3-19
- 5-5-19
- 5-6-19

not now available

The file is submitted. Seven reminders
have issued till now to the D.P.I.
In his reply dated 7-12-18, the Director
stated that he has made a reference
on the subject to local bodies and that
his reply would be submitted on receipt
of replies from them. No reply to the further
K reminders issued since then has been received

2-7-19
Secy
2-7-19

We may send the file
no. to the D.P.I. to ascertain
in what stage the
matter is.

A
3-7-19

D.P.I.

item no 2112A-3
4-7-19

6-7-19

no 226 & 2-7-19

A reply is still due from the President
of the District Board, Coimbatore. He is being


Reminded today

7.7.19

Home (Edw) Dept

Submitted

2. The Director may be reminded again ^{early in August} ~~on 1st August~~ ~~hence~~ if a reply is not received in the meanwhile.



Reminded on 4.8.19

JK
10.7.19

10.7.19

Secretary may like to see the above

CA
11.7.19
12/7/19

[C.No 1817A] From the D.P.I. Rcnr 2968 B 8.8.19.

The D.P.I. states that the reply of the P.D.B. Comptroller is still due and that he is being reminded again. The D.P.I. may be reminded again 3 weeks hence if a reply is not received in the meanwhile.

JK
12.8.19
13/8/19

CA
13.8.19

1-2 of Letter as C.M. No 2112 A-28
4.9.18

1-8 of C. 1663 A - From the D.P.I. R.C. No
7934/18 & 13.5.20

cf M on p. 3
of the notes to Geo.
No 757 (S)
11.6.18

1-7 of

The D.P.I. agrees with
Grant that the adoption of a
uniform scale of pay for teachers
in the new high schools ^(sanctioned in G.O. 757 D.H-6-18) is un-
desirable and proposes to
submit proposition statements
showing the existing scales of establish-
ment in ~~the~~ ^{each} schools and the scales
which he considers necessary
to secure the efficiency of
the school. The proposals
may be awaited.

(S) In Geo. No 757 & 11.6.18
it was decided that in the case
para 2 (iii) of ~~the~~ ^(Geo) of high schools, the local bodies
~~should~~ ^{should} meet the rent charges, while
in the case of the new middle
schools, a provision of Rs 250 on
p. 9 of the Geo account of rent was allowed
(S) to be included in the net cost,
pending further examination of
para 4 (iii) of the incidence of rent. From para
^{the Geo} p. 7 of 3 of the D.P.I.'s current letter it
will

will be observed that certain local bodies are prepared to meet ^{the} whole of the rent charge from their own funds, while others are willing to meet only a portion of it and some others are not prepared to bear any portion of ~~the~~ it. Some local bodies have not yet replied to the Director's reference on the point. The D.P. 75 view that the incidence of rent should be determined with reference to the financial position of the local body concerned seems acceptable. Attention is solicited to para 7 of the Secy's note on p. 13 of the notes with Gov. No. 757 Home (Edn) of 11. 6. 18--

(S)

Subd: That the Director may be asked to submit ^{detailed} proposals in regard to the amount of rent that ^{might be allowed} ~~each local body is prepared to bear and the amount that will have to be included for determining the net cost of the schools~~

2 A draft memo is subd. It may be sent to L & M and Finl for acceptance.

Resno 1663A-1
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT
25.5.20

MS 1675w 25.5.20 Lm 25.5.20 CA 25.5.20 25/5/20

26

9 km

105

The draft memo. may be accepted in this Deptt. and the file passed on to

Encl. Deptt. no. 3/6/20
 Encl. no. 2-6-20



3/6

Ltm no 1099/10-1

3-6-20

Encl. 2823 B
 4-6-20

105

Financial dept

Para 1 of the draft memo

May be accepted in Encl

Para 2 of the draft memo

re sent

Please see

g.o. 7576 dnd. 11-6-18 \$

- (1) para 8 of the Edm. note on pp 3-4 of notes in \$
- (2) para 9 of Encl note on p 10 of notes in \$
- (3) para 3 of Mr. Kershaw's note on p 11 of notes in \$
- (4) para 7 of the Home Secy's note on p 13 of notes in \$
- (5) para 3 of the Encl note on letter
- (6) para 5 of the Home Regis note on p 16 of \$
 (unstone)
- (7) para 2 of the D.P. Is note on p 17 of \$

Encl insisted that the

para 2(iii) g.o. 7576 dnd.
 11-6-18 p. 6 of \$

rent of the high schools concerned should be met by the local bodies

para 4(iii) g.o. \$
 \$ 77 \$

concerned, while Encl accepted tentatively ^{the proposal to make govt} bear the rent of the middle schools. The D.P. Is suggestion is

to. namely, that the question would be considered afresh.

pp 7-8 CF

is that the case of each local body should be considered with reference to its financial position, so far as the rent of middle schools is concerned. Home (Edn) propose to ask the S.B.S. to submit specific recommendations in individual cases. Find may accept the terms of the proposed reference - para 2 of draft

Ed
7.6.20

he may agree to the issue of the memo: reserve further acceptance till he knows what the cost is likely to be.

C.S. Edn
28/6/20

& what each of the local bodies concerned spends on education

RSL
13/6/20

Find no 2823 B1
14/6/20

to Home



Home (Edn) opt

the draft memo may issue

Ed
15.6.20
MS
HMS

(The inf. as to the net expenditure on 20th can be supplied by dom in the cases that may be finally recommended)

Ed
16.6.20
may
17/6/20

13
Memo No. 1663 A-1 of 17.6.20. p. 9 of

C. 3784 B- From the D.P.I.
CN. 1916 B/22 of 17.11.22. pp 10-11 of

Remission of the pay of the
establishments in the schools

As observed

p. 10 of

by the D.P.I. no consolidated
proposals are necessary. Each
case may be dealt with on its
merits when it is received.

2. Rent charges in respect of
the middle schools opened under
G.O. No. 757 Home (Edn) of 11.6.18

The Govt tentatively
allowed the inclusion of a sum
of Rs 250 on account of rent
charges in the net cost of the
middle schools and directed
that the question of the incidence
of rent would be considered
afresh. In para 3 of his
letter on page 7-8 of the D.P.I. stated

(S)
para 4(iv) of G.O.
(S)
p. 9 of (S)

14
stated that certain local bodies were
prepared to meet the ^(whole of the) rent charges from
their ^{own} funds, while some others were
willing to meet only a portion of the
charge and that some others were
not prepared to meet any portion

p. 7 of thereof. ~~no charge~~. The Dir recommended

that the case of each local body
should be considered with reference
to its financial position before the
incidence of the rent charge
was decided upon. The D.P.I.

p. 9 of was accordingly requested in
para 2 of memo No. 1663 A-1 of
17.6.20 to submit definite
recommendations as to the cases
in which the Govt should
bear the rent charges either
wholly or partially ~~from the~~ ^{and the}
amount of rent to be allowed
in each case for the purpose of
determining the net cost. The
D.P.I. has not submitted the
proposals called for by Govt, but
simply states that the rent charges
are included in the calculation of
the net cost subject to the condition
that the sanctioned allotment for
contingencies

p. 11 of

(of the school is not exceeded). The D.P.I.'s attention may be invited to para 3 of Memo No. 2112 A-2 of 4.9.18 and para 3 of his letter ^{of 13th May 1920} of 7.8.18 and he may be asked to submit the detailed proposals ~~as~~ already called for in para 2 of memo No. 1663 A-1 of 17.6.20. Draft memo is subd.

p. 2 cf

3 middle schools opened under Govt No. 902 Home (Edy) of 10.8.20 (E).

The D.P.I. himself suggested that the rent charges might be met by the local bodies themselves and no provision was therefore made ~~under~~ for rent charges in the case of these schools.

p. 3 of (E)

Vide para 4 (iii) of the Govt (E)

20.12.22
21/12/22
[Memo No. 3784 B-1 of 21.12.22]

p. 12 cf

This file has been pending for a long time. The D.P.I. reported in May last that replies were still due from 3 St. Boards. He may be requested to state when his reply may be expected to reach. Govt. Draft no note is subd.

p. 14 cf

no no 3784 B-2
24/12/23

14.12.23
18/12/23

Law (Education) Department.

(C.No.485 B).

From the D.P.I. R.O.C. No.1916 B/22 dated 22-1-1924

P. 15 of cf.
4. the 48 schools
sanctioned in 1920
757 Home (Edy)
11-6-18 (S)

P. 3 of P. 757
11-6-18 (S)

Paras 2 (iii) + 4 (iii)
of Govt. (S)

was requested to examine the question and address Government separately.

Para 3 (i) + 4 (iii) of
Govt. 902
10/8/20 (E)

p. 11 of cf.

Para 2 of memo,
p. 12 of cf.

The D.P.I. submits his recommendations in regard to the cases in which rent-charges of net cost schools should be met from Provincial funds. This question arose in 1918 in connection with the opening of a large number of secondary schools under local bodies at the cost of Provincial funds. When submitting that scheme, the Director recommended that the expenditure, if any, incurred on renting buildings for the location of the schools might be included in the net cost of the schools met from Provincial funds. The Finance Department did not accept this ~~recommendation~~, but proposed that the orders in G.O.No.679 L, dated 8-5-1916, that rent charges of net cost schools should not ordinarily ^{be} met from Provincial funds, should be adhered to. Finally, however, orders were issued directing that the rent charges in the case of the High Schools opened under the scheme referred to above should be met from the funds of the local bodies concerned and that such charges in the case of middle schools should be met from Provincial funds. At the same time, the question of the incidence of rent was reserved for consideration afresh, and the D.P.I.

2. In 1920, when a similar scheme for the opening of a large number of High and Middle schools under local bodies at the cost of Provincial funds was sanctioned, it was laid down that expenditure on rent if any, in connection with such schools should be met from the funds of the local bodies.

3. In his letter, dated 17-11-1922, the Director reported that no departure from the procedure already laid down in regard to the incidence of rent charges was necessary. He was thereupon asked to submit definite recommendations as to the cases in which the

Government should bear the rent charges in respect of the schools opened in 1918. The Director's present letter is in reply to the above reference.

4. From the Director's letter it is observed that the 48 middle schools for which rent charges ^(Rs. 250/- p.a. for each) were sanctioned may be classified as follows:--

(i) Schools which have buildings of their own or in respect of which rent charges are not incurred - 23.

(ii) Schools in respect of which the local body concerned have expressed their willingness to meet the rent charges. ... 6

(iii) Schools which incur rent charges and which require aid from Provincial funds. ... 19

5. ~~Class (i)~~ (i) above.- The Director recommends that except in the case of two schools ~~which are~~ under the control of the District Board, Chittoor, in regard to which a further communication from the District Board is expected, the allotment for contingencies for this class of schools might be reduced by Rs. 250/- i.e. from Rs. 640 sanctioned in G.O. No. 757, dated 11-6-1918, to Rs. 390/-.

6. ~~Class (ii)~~ (ii) above.- In the case of these schools also the Director recommends the reduction of the allotment for contingencies from Rs. 640/- to Rs. 390/-.

7. ~~Class (iii)~~ (iii) above.-- In the case of two schools which incur rent charges in excess of the allotment of Rs. 250/- the Director recommends that the amount to be met from Provincial funds might be limited to Rs. 250/- the balance being left to be contributed by the local bodies themselves. 14 schools incur rent charges which are less than Rs. 250/- per annum. The Director recommends that in the case of these local bodies the charges actually incurred by them might be met from Provincial funds. He apparently considers that the financial position of these bodies is such that they could not bear the expenditure from their own funds.

Even otherwise the schools were opened at the instance of Government and the expenditure on rent which is more or less of a recurring nature should be included in the net cost of the schools which is met from Provincial funds. In the case of aided schools, expenditure on rent of buildings may be included in the financial statement of the school for the purpose of calculating the grant due to the school.

In the case of three schools which fall under ~~the class (ii)~~ the D.P.I. has stated that the present District Board, Nellore, which controls them will address Government separately on receipt of certain particulars from the P.W.D.

8. The file may be sent to the L.S.G. and Finance Departments for remarks.

G.N.
11.2.24
S.G.
15.2.24

A
18.2.24

These discussions about the details of subsidies are getting complicated. I shall be glad when my proposal to have ~~status~~ sanctions for total subsidies to local boards gets the.

W 15/2/24

L.S.G.

June

L.S.G.
20.2.24

04995

(The Law Dept.) or p.s. note on pp 16-18 alone need be read)

The D.P.I.'s recommendation in paras 3 to 9 and 11 on pp 16-19 CF. may be supported in the Dept.

31 Finance Deptt

The Law (Edn.) Deptt. note on pp. 16-18 ante sets out the case fully. Finance may accept the proposals in paras 5 & 6 on p. 17 ante that in calculating the net cost of schools rent charges should be omitted.

2. The D.P.D recommends that in respect of 16 schools, the charges on account of rent actually incurred by the local bodies concerned might continue to be met from Provincial funds, subject to a maximum of Rs. 250 p.a. for each school. It may be noted that in the case of the 39 middle schools the opening of which was sanctioned in 1920, the Govt. clearly stated that the rent charges should be borne by the local bodies themselves. ~~Finance Department~~ ~~are to be met from Provincial funds~~ ~~charges are to be met from Provincial funds~~. The question whether, in particular cases, such charges should be paid from Provincial funds should, it is submitted, be decided solely with reference to the financial needs of the local bodies concerned. The L.S.G. Deptt. have not

paras. 5, 6 & 8 on pp. 17 & 18 F

G.O. 902-Hme (Edn.) 10.8.1920

para. 4 (iii) of the G.O. (id)

See G.O. 943 Hme (Edn.) 16-7-18

L.S.G. No 4995-124M



7C497 Ed

5-3-24

2. With reference to the recommendation in para 10 of the D.P.D. letter, it is shown that the recurring contingent allotment (~~Rs. 390~~) sanctioned for the Palni school is only Rs. 390 — and not Rs. 640 as stated by the D.P.D. — and that this does not include any provision for rent.

3. With the above remarks, the Rk may be passed to Finance.

Lu 29.2.24.

1/1/24

1.3.24

Finance

noted in the financial condition
of the local bodies in whose favour
the D. P. D. has recommended the
payment of rent charges from
Provincial funds. Submitted that
L.S.G. may be requested to note on
the financial position of the local
bodies concerned.

15.3.24,

S

19.3.24

The endless differentiation ^{in treatment of schools} must I
think ^{be brought} to an end, in
order to avoid ceaseless references.

G.O. 902 Home Edn. of 1920
must be made the standard, but
in the case of class (iii) schools
we should bear the rent charges,
unless the financial condition
of the local bodies concerned
enables them to pay the
rent, when the concession should
be withdrawn.

D.P.D.'s proposals
re (i) & (ii) may be accepted.

RWD

20.3.24.

Law.

711 497 507 x

21.3.24

(E)

x vide p. 17 ante

L. Cr 1178 B
21/3/24



ante

Law. 882

711 497 507 x

21.3.24

Vide notes on pp. 18-19
ante

CB

p. 21 ante

p. 17 ante


22.3.24

84
28.3.24

CA
1/4/24

The solution really is the adoption
of my proposal to integrate all the
Subsidies. C1 was disposed to argue
but on Finance Dept., the matter is
now before the Spcl. Offr. I wonder
when a solution will be enforced.

Even 40485 B-2 LSG



09865 funds are:-

Name of local body	No. of schools.	Amount of rent Rs.
1) Granjam B.B.	3	516
2) Godavari B.B.	2	324

22

Law Dept.

Apparently the Finance Secretary
considers that the incidence of
rent charges should be determined with
reference to the financial position of
the local body concerned. If this
view is accepted, the file may
be sent to L.S.G. for examination
of the financial position of the local
bodies in the case of class (iii)
schools. Attention is solicited
to 'A' on p. 18 ante.

20
27/4/24

Name of local body (contd)	no. of Schools	Amount of rents. Rs.	
3) Kistna D.B.	2	384	
4) Bellary D.B.	2	432	Shd be met by Fund
5) Anantapur D.B.	1	240	Shd be met by Fund
6) Chingleput D.B.	2	360	
7) Malabar D.B.	1	102	
8) Mallore D.B.	3	750	(Full amount is given in the absence of details)
9) Trichinopoly D.B.	1	250	
10) Madura D.B.	1	250	
11) Gudiyatham M.C.	1	144	Shd be met by Fund

Secy
B₁ may be requested to examine the financial position of these local bodies to see whether they cannot afford to pay the rent charges from their own funds.

Cox.
2/5

A statement is put up at (D) showing the financial position of the local bodies mentioned above for the three years ending 1924-25. It is observed from the statement that the Dt Bds of Ganjam, Godavari, Kistna Chingleput, Malabar and Madura have sufficient surpluses to enable them to meet the rent charges specified above against them, from their own funds. The cases of the other local bodies are examined below.

2 Bellary Dt Bd The surplus (or deficit) of this board during the 3 years ending

1924-25 are as shown below:

1922-23	1923-24 (R.E)	1924-25 (B.E)
Rs	Rs	Rs.
-17,209	348	20,170

The surplus in 1924-25 is due to the fact that the Bd proposes to levy the additional land cess at 3 pies from the next fasli. The surplus as in the has been calculated (as in all cases) without making any provision for capital expenditure from general revenues not even for petty capital expenditure. This Bd is much handicapped by unfavourable seasonal conditions and consequent famine. It is doubtful whether the surplus anticipated in 1924-25 will be actually realised. The finances of this Bd are very unsatisfactory. Govt had to make (to the extent of Rs 25,490.) compassionate grants to wipe off its deficit in 1923-24. In the current year also it has a huge deficit and it has been allowed to overdraw on the Treasury upto a limit of Rs 60,000. In these circumstances, this Bd it is submitted that Govt may continue to meet the rent charges

21,456
4,034
25490

Bellary Dt Board

in question (Rs 432) from provincial funds.

3 Anantapur Dt Bd The surplus or deficit of this Bd for the 3 years ending 1924-25 are given below:

1922-23	1923-24	1924-25
R. E	R. E	R. E
Rs	Rs	Rs
-11,630	37,638	-11,420.

This Bd also is handicapped by famine conditions. Its finances are very unsatisfactory. The Govt had to make compassionate grants to it in 1923-24 to wipe off its deficit and to allow it to overdraw on the Treasury in the current year. Submitted that in the case of this Bd also Govt may continue to meet the rent charges (Rs 240) from provincial funds.

4 Nellore Dt Bd This Bd had large surpluses in 1922-23 and 1923-24, but it is expected to have a surplus of Rs 3 only & Rs 3,970 in 1924-25. This Bd levies the additional land cess at only $\frac{1}{2}$ pies. It may if necessary increase the rate 3 pies and thereby secure an additional income of

Anantapur Dt Bd

Rs 37,500 a year. This Bd It is not necessary that this Bd's rent charges (Rs 750) should be met from provincial funds.

5 Trichinopoly Dt Bd This Bd had large surpluses in 1922-23 (Rs 32,885) and 1923-24 (Rs 11,686) but is expected to have a surplus of only Rs 1,020 in 1924-25. This is due to the fact that the ^{total} expenditure on communications provided for in the B. E of the Bd for 1924-25 is Rs 4,55,560 whereas the provision in the R. E for 1923-24 was only Rs 3,46,880. In a normal year, the Bd should reduce the provision made in the B. E for 1924-25 so as to secure sufficient surplus. It is not necessary that Govt should meet

the rent charges in question in the case of this Bd (Rs 250).

6 Gudiyatham M. C. The finances of this M. C are not satisfactory. It had a deficit of Rs 3,298 in the working in 1922-23 though it is expected to have small surpluses in 1923-24 and 1924-25. The surplus anticipated in 1924-25

Nellore Dt Bd (not necessary)

Trichin Dt Bd (not necessary)

is only Rs 2,542. This is not sufficient to meet petty Capital expenditure and other unforeseen charges. The Council has had default in repaying loans and it is a deficit. A Submitted that Govt may continue to meet the rent charges (Rs 50) in the case of this Council.

in general acct. will be required to meet the deficit in that fund.

Gudiyattam Municipality

In the result, the Govt may continue to meet the rent charges in question in the case of the Dt Bd of Bellary and Anantapur. In other cases the local bodies will be able to meet the charges from their own funds.

Rk
21/5/24
21/5/24

2.2.5
Law Edn

4995
9865
L.S.G. 26 9865-14m
17.2.24
395/4

Notes from p. 16 deal with the question of rent charges of schools. A draft order is submitted. It may be sent to Finance for acceptance. The draft order is put upon the presumption that the Finance Dept suggestion that rent charges should be borne only in those cases in which the local bodies are not able to meet them is accepted. Please see however A on p. 18 ante.

7.6.24
8/6
11.6.24

V.T.K.
13.6.
12.6.24

30 MAY 1924

16 JUN 1924

24 JUN 1924

Finance (Exp)

Please see notes from page 16 ante. The Law (Edn) and L.S.G. departments have accepted the suggestion of Finance that the incidence of rent charges should be determined solely with reference to the financial position of the local body concerned. Of the 48 middle schools which were opened in 1918 and for the net cost of which Government accepted responsibility, it has now been decided that there is no necessity to pay rent charges in respect of 42 schools. A further report is awaited from the Director in respect of the Board Middle Schools, Pakala and Tiruttani in Chittoor District. Of the four remaining schools, two are in the Bellary District, one in Anantapur District and one in Gudiyattam. The L.S.G. Department state that the financial position of the District Boards of Bellary and Anantapur and of the Municipal Council Gudiyattam is not sound and propose that in these cases the Government may continue to pay rent charges. Submitted that the proposal of the L.S.G. Department may be accepted.

Para 4756 757 Edn
11.6.1918

Para 1 + 29 draft order
Para 32 draft

Para 2, 3 + 6 on
p. 23-27 ante

4 JUL 1924

From 1924-25, current year
Pmt
1.7.24.

7.08 Baldo (p. 1432 of P)

TNVR.

27.6.24
27.7.24

2. It is not clear when effect is proposed to be given to the proposed orders. Law (Edn) may be requested to consider if effect can be given from 1923-24.

3. The Law (Edn) Department may be requested to surrender to this department the saving in the current year's budget provision for grants to local bodies for Secondary Education, as a result of the proposed orders.

4. Subject to the remarks in paras 2 and 3 above the draft order may be accepted.

28.6.24
29.6.24
1-7-24
Law

Para 2 of the Finance Dept's note on 1.28 ante
The draft has been amplified so as to make
it clear that the order will take effect
from the current year.

2 Para 3 of the Finance Dept's note

The provision in the current year's Budget
Estimate represents the amount necessary
for paying the net cost of schools for the
year 1923-24 and also ~~an~~ advance towards
the net cost of for 1924-25. The
net cost statements for 1924-25 will
be received by the Director only in
1925-26 and the amounts payable to
local bodies ^{for the current year} will be apportioned only
in 1925-26. As the ~~present~~ order
in this case takes effect ~~only~~ from
the current year, the savings will
be correctly estimated only next year
and it may not be possible to
surrender any ^{amount} in the current year.
~~itself~~. However, a para asking
the D.P.D. to surrender the savings,
if any, in the current year has been
added to the draft order.



3 The file may be circulated to 2.
The case relates to incidence of
rent charges in respect of net cost
schools and effect is to be given to
these orders from the current year.
When separate orders issue on
the stereotyping of the subsidies
in respect of net cost schools, the present
orders will be of no use. The fixed lump sum
subsidies are to be based, under the orders
of the Honble the Minister & the Finance
Member, on the actual ~~ex~~ net expenditure
in 1922-23 + 1923-24 in the case of some
& that in the latter year alone in the case
of others. We may, with the consent of
Finance & L.S.G., defer orders in this
case.

I agree V.T.K.
8.7

Finance

L.S.G. 4 24.0
Finance



London 488 B4

10.7.24



L.S.G. 26, 9.8.24 - 1.000
25.7.24
25.7.24

Procl

2.8.9 Sept

This Sept. may agree to defer to
present orders pending question
of fixed subsidies towards net cost.

London 17 12.7.24
12.7.24

Finance (Exp.)

Please see notes from p. 28 ante.
With reference to the note of the Under
Secy., Law Dept. on p. 30 ante, it is
submitted that the orders stereotyping
the subsidies of net cost schools are
to take effect only from 1925-26.


There seems to be no objection to the
issuing of orders now directing the
omission of rent charges from the
statement of net cost for 1924-25.
The issue of an order to this effect will
result in some saving to Provincial funds.

2. The principle that Provincial funds
should not ordinarily bear the rent
charges of net cost schools has been
accepted. In calculating the fixed
subsidies under the proposed system,
it seems necessary to omit the rent
charges (the whole or a portion of)
which might have been borne
by the Govt. in the past. The Law (Fed.)
Dept. ^{may} be requested to ask the
D.P.D. to omit these charges when he
submits proposals for fixed subsidies.

28.7.24 MM
28.7.24.

I prefer that the G.O.
should be issued now -
as it confirms a principle

x p. 30 ante



Two 2384 Exp-1
30.7.24

L. Sec 2216 B
31/7/24

Law.

Submitted.

31.7.24

no objection to the issue of the order

VTK
1/8

M2

I do not see the need
of issuing the G.O. now
Fixed subsidies will be
settled later. The amount
payable to local bodies will
be adjusted by 1.5.26
The amount can be surrendered
this year but can be saved
w. deft. in 4/5.

2/8/24



CA VTK
5/8

I gather that the Hon^{ble} Minister
accepts the stipulation pressed for

that will have to be embodied
Resd

30-7-24

by Finance. On that understanding
I acquiesce.

I do not think I have seen the
papers about the stereotyping of
the subsidies.



May be resubmitted to the Honble the
Minister.

The file regarding the stereotyping of
subsidies for secondary education is
linked below. C₄'s note is on page 26-27
of that file.

1.8.24. $\frac{A}{14.8m}$ $\frac{V.T.K.}{15/8}$

M2

80/15/8m


Submitted that the file may be circulated

to C₄. $\frac{A}{18.8m}$ $\frac{V.T.K.}{19.8}$
16.8.24.

C₄.

Thanks

RSC



Law Ed. 485 B-5
1.9.24



Two 3736 Exp-1
5.9.24

L. En 2493 B
5.9.24

29.8.24
8/29.8.24

29.8.24

Finance

Finance (Exp.)

(Previous Finance notes on pp. 31-32 ante)

May be perused + returned
to Law (Edn.)

24
3.9.24 $\frac{UR}{4.9.24}$

49
RSC

Law (Edn) Deptt.

Orders on the question of rent
charges dealt with in this file have
been embodied in the orders
recently issued on the fixed
subsidies file. No further action
is necessary in this file. The
papers may be recorded. A
draft order is submitted below.

26.2.26
8/26.2.26

5/3

When given for copying — }
 When copied — } 192
 When examined — }
 When signed — }

READ AGAIN G.O. No. 192 DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT ...

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED ON, REMITS MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy reading only.