

Law (Education) (1926) Department.

Ord SERIES.

G.O. No. 323

DATED 2.3.26

[Despatch Abstract.]

Elementary Education.

Employment of trained teachers in preference to untrained teachers - Orders passed.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.


G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CF. 30-41,000-8-9-24.

Law (Education)

DEPARTMENT.

Received



1926

Registered

49-1-1926

Subject.

Employment of untrained teachers in Elementary schools when trained teachers are available.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Current

No. 68 B/26

Spare copies

Station Madras, Dated the 11th January 26 1926

From (Name) R. Littlehales, Esq., M.A.,

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law (Education)

Department.

Sir,

With reference to Government Endorsement No. 3009 A-1 dated 24th August 1925, I have the honour to submit herewith a copy of the District Educational Officer's letter (Salem) R.O.C.No. 367 G1/25, dated 28th October 1925 together with its enclosures and to advise Government that the local bodies may be warned that the non statutory grants now made to them for educational purposes would be reduced from some future date - possibly 1-4-26 - if they engage or continue to employ untrained teachers in schools, when trained teachers are available at the salaries offered by them. Subsidies payable to local bodies under the Madras Elementary Education Act of 1920 cannot be reduced but other subsidies can be reduced.

2. In this connection, I would also suggest the issue of a rule under the Local Board's Act of 20 that untrained teachers should not be employed when trained teachers are available.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

A Rama Ray
18/11/26
for Director of Public Instruction.

P.V.S. 16/1.

Letter from the District Educational Officer, Salem, R.O.C. 367 Gl/25, dated 28th October 1925 to the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

With reference to your Proceedings quoted supra, I have the honour to state as follows:-

2. Appended herewith a statement showing the names of Adi Dravida teachers recommended for appointments in Board schools and the results of the recommendation.

3. I have been advising the local boards to appoint trained teachers of the depressed classes in their schools whenever vacancies occur and also to replace the untrained men by such trained teachers. My recommendations in the majority of cases are not approved by the local board presidents some of whom do not even inform me in reply of the reasons for their non-acceptance of my proposals. The President, Taluk Board Sankari, is the worst offender in this regard.

4. The President, Taluk Board Salem, informs me that it is very difficult for the Board to secure buildings for rent in villages wherein access to Adi Dravida teachers or children of the community can be had and hence the entertainment of Adi Dravida teachers in schools other than those intended for the community is not feasible.

5. There are untrained teachers employed in Board schools as shown below :-

Taluk Board.	No. of untrained teachers.
1. Namakkal	13
2. Salem	5
3. Hosur	13
4. Sankari	17
5. Dharmapuri	12

I would suggest that the local boards may be required to approve of the recommendations of inspecting officers in regard to appointments of trained teachers, especially those belonging to the depressed classes. Unless these local bodies are instructed by Government by specific orders to this effect, I fear that any amount of effort on the part of the inspecting officers will prove useless. I may however

point out in this connection that the Presidents of local boards do not generally consult the departmental inspecting officers in the matter of appointments and transfers of teachers in their schools. The result of this is obvious and regretted.

X Law (Ed.) Dept.

Subject Employment of untrained teachers
in elementary schools
(C. No 178A) from the D.P.D. No. 680/25 dt. 11.1.26.

The D.P.D. suggests -

(1) that ^{local bodies may be warned that} the non-statutory grants now being paid to ^{them} ~~local bodies~~ for educational purposes ^{will} be reduced if they engage or continue to employ untrained teachers in their schools when trained teachers are available at the salaries offered by them.

(2) that a rule ^{may} ~~should~~ be framed under the Local Board Act, 1920, that untrained teachers should not be employed when trained teachers are available.

2. Attention is solicited to the recent decision in L.O. No. 4684 L.M. dt. 21.12.25, under ^{which} ~~this~~ the Presidents of local boards are required to consult the educational officers concerned before making any permanent appointment of a teacher ^{not possessing the required} ~~having the requisite~~ qualifications. The D.P.D. and his subordinate officers call for to the notice of each any infringement of the proposed rule framed in the L.O. cited and each case may be decided on its merits. It seems neither practicable nor desirable to frame ^{such} hard and fast rules as ^{are} suggested by the D.P.D. above since



Law with no. 178A-1
1.2.26.

3011

L.P.S.

 A_2

The prodⁿ not for sale
was published in the Fort St.
George Gazette 226/1/10
It will be confirmed
Six weeks after
Yes ✓
6/2/10.

③

25. FEB. 1956

See

L. E. 731A

Law Es., Dep't

Leg no. $\frac{3014-120}{24/2/2}$

$$\begin{array}{r} 26 \overline{) 226} \\ \underline{208} \\ 180 \\ \underline{182} \\ 0 \end{array}$$

$$\frac{Ca}{1/3} \quad \frac{V.K}{2/3}$$

Eleen's education

Employment of trained teachers in preference to untrained teachers - ~~also~~ passed

” ” ” ” ” 192

C. No. 128A From the _____, No. _____, dated _____ 192

" "*****" " "....." "

192

" "..... " "

192

No. 323, dated 2.3 1926

~~Wisker~~

(B)

The attention of the S.D. is invited to ~~the~~ G.O. No. 4684 L.M.D., 21/12/20 publishing the draft of a ~~the Govt. order for the~~ draft rule under Sections 70 and 199(2) (a) of the Madras Local Boards Act, 1920, ~~re-~~ requiring ^{which lays down} ~~that~~ a permanent appointment of a teacher who does not possess the prescribed qualifications shall be made by the President of a Local Board without consulting the ^(Officers of the) educational department. The Govt. do not consider it necessary to ^{frame} ~~frame~~ any other ~~rules~~ ^{further} ~~instructions~~ ^{for the} ~~present~~ in the matter.

6/2/26

$$\frac{a}{1/3} \quad \frac{v \cdot k}{2/3}$$

DESPATCHED
MAR 2 1926

5 PD (with right in letter
No. 68 B/28 8 (111 28)

26/2/26

When given for copying
 When copied
 When examined
 When signed

192

READ AGAIN G.O. No.
 192

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PIECES NOT FILED OF, BEING (WARRANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.