

Law (Educ.) (1926) Department.

Ordy. SERIES.

G.O. No. 318

DATED

2/3/26.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Schools - Elementary - Admission to
Supplemental aid - Reference from
the Lt. Edl. Council, South Arcot -
• Answered.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

C.F. 11,000-8-9-24.

Educational

DEPARTMENT.

Received



Registered



Subject.

Admission to aid certain schools the aid applications of which have been submitted after the prescribed date for the year 1925-26.

Enc. no. 116A-1 Law (Edn) Dept
16.1.26

Referred to the Director of Public Instruction for remarks.
(Approved)

G. Ramaswami

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies

R.O.C. No. 720/25.

Station Cuddalore.N.T., Dated the 11th January

15.1.26

From (Name) M.R. Ry V.J. Samu Pillai Avergal, B.A., B.L.,

(Designation) President, District Educational Council, South Arcot.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law (Education) Department.

Pt. St. George, Madras.

Sir.

In submitting herewith a copy of resolution No. 12 dated 28-11-'25 of the District Educational Council, South Arcot, I have the honour to state as follows:

An untrained teacher manager applied for recognition and aid, on behalf of an elementary school started by him, with a sessional school certificate holder as his assistant. The school was at first rejected along with other applications as the application for aid was submitted by him out of the prescribed date i.e., of 1-10-'24. As the Deputy Inspector however wrote to say, that the school was programmed for inspection ^{he} having recommended the same for admission, the application was once again placed before the Council for

2
fro reconsideration at its meeting held on 28-11-'25. There was a conflict of opinion, in the matter of interpreting rule 3 of chapter 1 of the rules framed under Section 42(2) of the Madras Elementary Education Act of 1920, which lays down that, in special cases of schools recently opened which have trained teachers, the District Educational Councils may entertain applications for admission to aid received on other than the prescribed dates. In the case under reference, the teacher manager is an untrained man but his assistant is a sessional school certificate holder, who, as per G.O.No.6 Mis Law (Education) dated 3-1-'24, is considered as a trained teacher for purposes of admitting to aid supplementally. Some of the Councillors are of opinion, that because the school has a trained teacher, and it was recently opened, it satisfies the condition, and hence it is entitled to be admitted to aid, though its application for aid was not sent within the prescribed date viz., 30-9-'24. The school has, however, not been started by a trained man, nor are all the teachers on its rolls trained. If the interpretation of the Councillors, who are in favour of admitting the school to aid, is accepted as correct, then it will lead to the evasion of the rule which requires that applications to aid should be sent within the 30th September of the previous year. For, any untrained teacher manager, who applies for aid out of the prescribed date, can at the time of the application, take a trained teacher as his assistant and apply for supplemental aid. After obtaining admission to aid he may dispense with his trained assistant and get on the school himself.

As the Council was however of opinion that the question may be referred for obtaining an authoritative ruling on the point I have the honour to request you to obtain to Government whether the expression in rule 3 viz., "which have trained teachers" means that all the teachers employed in the school should be trained men or whether it is enough for the purpose of the rule in question that some only are trained and others including the teacher manager are untrained.

Again, clause 1 of G.O.No.6 Mis Law (Education) dated 3-1-'24 lays down that Secondary schools converted into elementary schools, if they submit on or before 30th of April their application for aid in the year following that in which the school worked as a Secondary school may be admitted to supplemental aid. The correspondent of Pachayappa's High school, Chidambaram, has submitted an application for supplemental

3
supplemental aid on 22-7-'25 on behalf of the Primary Department of the High school converted into an elementary school. The recognition of the Primary Department, as a portion of the High school was withdrawn by the Director of Public Instruction, Madras, in his Proceedings Dis.No.2968/25 only on 5-8-'25 a copy of which is herewith enclosed. The application now in question, does not, in strict terms, satisfy the condition laid down under clause 1 of G.O.No.6 Mis Law (Education) dated 3-1-'24 as the application was not submitted on or before 30th of April 1925. The same however could not have been so submitted as the school continued to be a Secondary school till 5-8-'25 when its recognition was withdrawn. The whole staff consists of trained teachers and as such the District Educational Officer recommends the application under rule 3 of Section 42(2) of the Madras Elementary Education Act of 1920 and states that clauses 1 to 3 of G.O.No.6 Mis Law (Education) dated 3-1-'24 are intended only for cases not covered under rule 3 of section 42(2) of the Madras Elementary Education Act of 1920 and could only supplement and cannot contradict rule 3 of section 42(2). If that be the case the necessity of clause 1 of G.O.No.6 Mis Law (Education) dated 3-1-'24 is not obvious. I request you therefore to kindly obtain and communicate the views of Government on the subject.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

President
District Educational Council,
South Arcot.

S.A./D/11-1-'26.

Exd.

DESPATCHED

JAN 12 1926

6
W
Copy of Proceedings Dis.No.2968/25 dated 5th August 1925 of the
Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

Under rule 55 of the Madras Educational Rules permission to
close the Primary Department of the Pachaiyappa's High School,
Chidambaram is hereby granted.

2. The recognition accorded to the Primary Department in
Proceedings Dis.No.11283/91 is also withdrawn.

/ True Copy /

Y. S. S. S.
President
District Educational Council,
South Arcot.
S.A.A/D/8-1-'26, Exd. *Sr. 4/1*

JAN 12 1926

5
Extract from the Proceedings of the District Educational Council,
South Arcot, passed at its meeting held on 28th November 1925.

* * *
12. Read application for aid dated 1-10-'24 on behalf of Dhala-
vanur unaided elementary school, Villupuram Taluk, which has
two teachers employed on its staff of whom one is a sessional
school certificate holder.

Read resolution No.1 dated 25-7-'25 of the District Educa-
tional Council, South Arcot, refusing admission to aid schools
the aid applications of which for 25-26 have not been made within
the prescribed date viz., 30-9-'24.

The Government may be addressed.

* * *
(Sd) V.J.Samu
P r e s i d e n t
District Educational Council,
South Arcot.

/ True Copy /

S.A.A/D/8-1-'26.

Exd. *3/11*

P r e s i d e n t
District Educational Council,
South Arcot.

JAN 12 1926

LAW (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT.

Gor 582a
2226

Received 192 . Registered



Subject.

Admission to aid certain schools in South Arcot.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies

R.C. No. 135-B/26.

Station Madras Dated the 13th February, 1926.

From (Name) R. LITTLEHAILLES, Esq., M.A.,

(Designation) DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras

LAW (EDUCATION) Department.

Sir,

In resubmitting herewith Government endorsement 116 A-1 dated 16-1-26, I have the honour to state as follows:-

2. Rule 3 of the rules under section 42(2) of the Madras Elementary Education Act provides that in special cases schools recently opened which have trained teachers may be admitted to supplemental aid. The Sessional School certificate holder in the school under the reference cannot be considered as a trained teacher for the purpose of supplemental aid with reference to the above rule. Further clause (iii) of G.O. 6 (P) Mis., Law (Education) dated 3-1-24 lays down that schools started by students discharged from Sessional Schools may

also be
(P.T.O.)

⑤
G.O. 20824
1922

$$\frac{V \cdot T_k}{2/s}$$

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

192

READ AGAIN G.O. No. 192

DATE:

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURE TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A	A	A	A	A	A	A
---	---	---	---	---	---	---

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED OF, BEING ~~WARRANT~~ FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.