

Land (Education) (1926) Department.

Mis SERIES.

G.O. No. 279

DATED 20-2-26.

20

[Despatch Abstract.]

Elementary Education:-

Services of supervisors in the employment of the Taluk Boards of Madura, Usilampatti and Melur - Ordered to be dispensed with from 1st March 1926.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

C F. 30-41,000-8-9-24.

DEPARTMENT.

Received 192 . Registered 192 .

Subject.

Employment of Supervisors - Elementary Schools

Usilampatti Taluq Board - Sanction applied for -

ENCLOSURES.

No. (Three)

Spare copies

R.O.C. No. 1232/Edl of 24.

Station Usilampatti , Dated the 30th J u n e 192 5.

From (Name) M.R.Ry. Rao Sahib J.A. Vethanayaka Devar Al.,

(Designation) President Taluk Board, Usilampatti.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law- Education - Department.
Madras.

Sir. Thro' The Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

The number of board schools having increased of late, it was found necessary to employ two Supervisors to go - - round the schools situated in both the taluks comprising the area of the board. The District Educational Officer, who was consulted in the matter, also recommended the employment of these Supervisors. The sanction of the District - - Educational Council was also obtained. Copies of the Resolutions of the District Educational Council and of the Taluk Board are enclosed herein.

I request that the Government will be pleased to accord their sanction to the employment of the two - - -

two Supervisors for one year with effect from 1st April 1925.

I have the honour to be,


Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M. R. Ry.
President

na

pr.



D.D.P/1/7/25.

Proceedings of the Taluk Board, Usilampatti, passed at its meeting held at Tirumangalam on Tuesday the 30th September 1924 at 2 P.M.

Present:-- M.R.Ry., Rao Sahib J.A. Vethanayaka Devar Avl.,
President, Taluk Board, Usilampatti

M.R.Ry., M. Muthukrishna Ayyar Avl., B.A.,
Vice-President Taluk Board, Usilampatti

M e m b e r s .

M.R.Ry., K.A. Vavasa Masthan Rowther Avergal
,, Muthukumaraswamy Mudaliar Avergal
,, V.A. Venkatachalam Ayyar Avergal
,, T.S. Subramania Nadar Avergal
,, R.M.N. Ramaswamy Reddiar Avergal
,, A. Pillaiporuthan Kudumban Avergal
,, N.S. Alagiriswami Naidu Garu
,, Bagavathasesha Ayyar Avergal
,, Muthuswamy Uthappanaicker Avergal
,, K.A. Annamalai Chettiar Avergal
,, Aiyathurai Pillai ALIAS Palvanam Pillai Avergal
,, Senthalai Nathar Hussain Meera Levai Rowther Avergal
,, K. Balasubramania Nadar Avergal
,, N.K.P.P. Rowther Avergal
,, V. Alagiriswami Reddiar Avergal
,, P.S. Sankara Ayyar Avergal
,, Karamany Kaliyani Thevar Avergal

Proceedings No.246 of 1924-25.

Read:-- R.C. No.746/M of 1924 dated 22-8-1924, of the District Educational Officer, Madura, recommending that it is desirable to entertain two Supervisors (one for each Taluk) for Elementary schools under the control of this Taluk Board and that the - - Senior Secondary Grade teachers may be tried as such with an allowance of Rs 10/- plus fixed travelling allowance of Rs 15/-

or Rs 20/-

Resolution:-- The proposal to entertain a Supervisor for each Taluk is sanctioned.

/Sd/ J.A. Vethanayaka Devar

President

30-9-24.

/True extract/

D.D.P/1/7/25.

J.A. Vethanayaka Devar
President.
J.A.

Proceedings of the Taluk Board, Usilampatti, passed at its meeting held at Usilampatti, on Tuesday the 28th October 1924 at 9 A.M.

Present:-- M.R.Ry., Rao Sahib J.A. Vethanayaka Devar Avl., President, Taluk Board, Usilampatti.

M.R.Ry., M. Muthukrishna Ayyar Avl., B.A., Vice-President Taluk Board, Usilampatti

M E M B E R S.
* * * * *

M.R.Ry., T.S. Subramania Nadar Avergal
,, R.M.N. Ramaswamy Reddiar Avergal
,, V.A. Venkatachalam Ayyar Avergal
,, A. Pillaiporuthan Kudumban Avergal
,, Bagavathasesha Ayyar Avergal
,, Senthalai Nathar Hussain Meera Levai Rowther Avergal
,, N.S. Alagiriswami Naidu Garu
,, Authimuthumalai Nadar Avergal
,, K. Balasubramania Nadar Avergal
,, S. Santhanakrishna Naidu Garu

Proceedings No.312 of 1924-25.

Read:-- R.C.No.764/M of 1924 dated 14-10-1924 of the District Educational Officer, Madura, approving the selection of the two senior secondary grade teachers as supervisors, as well as the scale of pay fixed as detailed below:--

Scale of pay of a Supervisor.

Salary of Rs 40/- per mensem plus a special allowance of Rs 10/- with a fixed travelling allowance of Rs 20/- per mensem

Also Taluk Board Proceedings No.246/1924-25, dated 30-9-1924.

Resolution:-- Sanctioned for one year with effect from 1st November 1924.

/Sd/ J.A. Vethanayaka Devar

President.

28-10-24.

/True extract/

D.D.P/1/7/25.

J.A.
President.

6
Proceedings of the District Educational Council, Madura

Name:-- R. Foulkes Esquire., President.

Resolution No.25, dated the 25th Feb.1925.

Read the following:--

R.O.C.No.1232 Ed1/24, dated 31-10-24 of the President,
Taluk Board, Usilampatti, requesting approval of the appointment
of two senior secondary grade teachers as supervisors on Rs 40/-
plus 10 plus 20 per mensem temporarily for one year with effect
from 1-11-24.

Resolution:-- A p p r o v e d.

/Sd/ C. Venugopal

1-3-25

for President

/True extract/

D.D.P/1/7/25.

7
(Signature)
President
me

Law (Education)

DEPARTMENT. 8
6

Received 192 . Registered 192 .

Subject.

Taluk Board Usilampatti - Employment of two Supervisors.

1-Ed 2629A
27/7/25

ENCLOSURES.

No.

R.O.C. No. 1451 B/25

Spare copies

Station Madras, Dated the 20th July 1925 192 .

From (Name) R.G. Grieve, Esq., M.A., F.R.C.S.,

(Designation) Ag. Director of Public Instruction

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law (Education) Department.

~~xx~~ Sir,

Endorsement on letter R.O.C. No. 1232 Edl., dated 30th June 1925 from the President, Taluk Board, Usilampatti.

Submitted to Government.

2. It is observed from the President's letter R.O.C. No. 1232 Edl., of 25, dated 13th July 1925 (copy enclosed) that Supervisors are required to discharge the functions of supervising headmasters in the area for which they are appointed temporarily for one year. I support the Board's proposal subject to the condition that the extra cost is met from the Board's own funds other than the proceeds of the education cess and the equivalent

Government contribution payable under section 37 of the
Madras Elementary Education Act of 1920.

A Rama Rao
21/7

for Director of Public Instruction.

P.V.S. 21/7

Letter from the President, Taluk Board, Usilampatti
to the Director of Public Instruction, R.O.C.No. 1232/25,
dated 13th July 1925.

Adverting your letter R.C.No. 1451 B/25, dated
8th July 1925, I have the honour to state in reply that the
expenditure on account of the entertainment of two Supervisors
is to be met from the Elementary Education fund. The two men
appointed are not properly speaking, Supervisors but are required
to discharge the functions of supervising headmasters in the
area for which they are appointed temporarily for one year. Pro-
vision has also been made for this charge in the budget estimates for
the year 1925-26 under "Expenditure" ordinary.

C. No. 2629A

Law (Edg) Dept

Memo 2629A-1928-7-1

Employment of two Super

Letter from the P.T.B. Usilampatti

No 1232 Edg 30.6.25

A copy of the P.T.B. Usi-
patti, is requested to report whether
the taluk board is prepared to meet
the pay and allowance of the two Super-
visors from the Board's own funds, other
than the proceeds of the education
tax and the equivalent Govt. Contri-
bution payable under Section 37 of
Madras Elementary Ed. Act, 1920.

AP
23/7/25

MA
24/7/25

A
24.7.25
✓

V.T.
27.7

20
The P.T.B. Usilampatti (memo)
Raffa Bawa

DESPATCHED

26 JUL 1925

DEPARTMENT. 8



192

Registered

192

Subject.

Employment of Supervisors of elementary schools - Usilampatti Taluk Board.- Information furnished.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies

Roc.No. 1232-Edn., of 1924.

Station Usilampatti, Dated the 1st August 1925.

From (Name) Rao Sahib J.A. Vethanayaka Devar Avl.

(Designation) President, Taluk Board, Usilampatti.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

LAW (Education) Department.

Sir.

Adverting to Government Memorandum No.2629-A-1, dated 28th July 1925, I have the honour to submit, that it would not be possible for the Taluk Board to meet the pay and allowances of the two supervisors from its own funds, other than the proceeds of the education tax and the equivalent Government contribution, payable under section 37 of the Madras Elementary Education Act, 1920. One-fifth of the ordinary resources of the Board has

already been

Listed low
at 8% per
30 percent

12.
already been set apart for the maintenance of elementary schools.
The Taluk Board is therefore unable to meet the charge in
question from its ordinary funds.

I have the honour to be,


Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

McThammaselvan
President

V.S./1-8-1925.

Copy to the Director of Public Instruction, Madras, in
continuation of this office Roc.No.1232-Edn., of 1924 dated 13th July
1925.



9 13 22 2392 A 27
Appointment -- School Supervisors -- Melur Taluk Board -- Sanction
sought for.

R.O.C.No.171-C-25.
(Enc. Three)

Melur Taluk Board Office,
Dated the 2nd July 1925.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Law (Education) Department,
MADRAS.

End 2392 A-10
Law (Ed.) Dept.
Referred to the Director of
Public Instruction for
remarks (By order)

Through

The Director of Public Instruction,
MADRAS.

Ammaiyasathan
Asst. Sec. to Govt. Law Dept.

Sir,

I have the honour to state that the appointment of two
supervisors on Rs.40/- plus Rs.15/- fixed travelling allowance
per mensem each permanently for all the schools in Melur and -
natham ranges under this Board has been sanctioned by this Taluk
Board in its Resolution No.147 dated 29-9-24 (copy enclosed) and
the District Educational Council, Madura, has approved the above
appointment in its Resolution No.11 dated 30-10-24 (copy enclosed).
The Melur range supervisor has been appointed from 1-10-24 and the
natham range supervisor from 25-10-24. Subsequently, the pay and
travelling allowance of the supervisors has been raised to Rs.45/-
and Rs.20/- respectively by the Taluk Board in its Resolution
(copy enclosed)
no.285 dated 30-3-25. The cost of the establishment has been duly
included in the budget of the Elementary Education Fund. I, therefore,
request that sanction may kindly be accorded for the appointment of
the supervisors.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

h. h. h. h. h.
President.

DESPATCHED
5. JUL. 1925

m:n:3-7.

3-7-25

10 14 8
Proceedings of the Melur Taluk Board

Passed at its Monthly Meeting held on 30-3-25

AT MELUR.

Proceedings No. 285 of 24-255

PRESENT: The Rev. M. S. Thirithuvathason, President.

2. M. R. Ry. P. Vyapuri Ambalagar Avl., Vice-President.
3. " R. Renganatha Pillai Avl., Member.
4. " M. Ponnuswami Konar Avl., "
5. " S. P. Manthaisalugai Ambalagar Avl., Member.
6. " N. P. Karuthapulian Ambalagar Avl., "
7. " P. C. Ayyar Ambalagar Avl., "
8. " P. Devasahayam Avl., "
9. Mohamed Meeran Rowther Sahib Bahadur, "
10. Mohamed Chan. Khan Rowther Sahib Bahadur, "
11. Shahul Hussain Fareeth Rowther Sahib Bahadur, "
12. N. P. M. Peer Mohamed Rowther Sahib Bahadur, "

READ: Natham Supervisor's petition dated 7-2-25, praying to sanction him a salary of Rs. 45/- with a fixed travelling allowance of Rs. 22½ per mensem considering the heavy work that is being experienced by him and the hard days of famine.

Also:- Other supervisor's petition to the same effect, owing to the increase of work etc.

And:- Also President's note proposing to the Board to sanction each supervisor of this taluk Rs. 45/- as pay and Rs. 20/- as fixed travelling allowance per mensem with effect from 1-4-25 considering the increased responsibility given to them.

The extra cost may be met from the budget provision of 25-26.

RESOLUTION: S A N C T I O N E D.

(Sd.) M. S. Thirithuvathason,
30-3-25.
President Melur Taluk Board.

R.O.C. No. 171-C-25

(True copy.)

-Dis. No. -----192--.

Communicated to the President, District Educational Council,
Madura, for favor of necessary sanction at an early date.

Melur Taluk Board Office,

Dated 3 - 7 - 1925 min: 3-7.

Copy to _____

President
resuear

17 15

Passed at its **Monthly Meeting** held on 29-9-24

AT M E L U R.

Proceedings No. 147 of 24-25.

PRESENT: The Rev.^m. S. Thirithuvathason, President.

2.	M.R.Ry.P.Vyapuri Ambalagar Avl.,	Vice-President.
3.	" A.Puraiswami mudaliar Avl.,	Revenue Divisional Officer and member.
4.	" S.P.Manthaisalugai Ambalagar Avl.,	Member.
5.	" R.Renganatha Pillai Avl.,	" .
6.	" R.M.Udayappa chettiar Avl.,	" .
7.	" M.Ponnuswami Konar Avl.,	" .
8.	" P.Devasahayam Avl.,	" .

READ: President's note dated 25-9-24 requesting the Board to sanction the appointment of 2 supervisors of elementary schools for Melur and Natham ranges on Rs.40/- plus Rs.15/- fixed travelling allowance per mensem each permanently.

To be met from Education tax of the board.

S A N C T I O N E D.

RESOLUTION :

(Sd.) M.S.Thirithuvathason,
29-9-24.
President Melur Taluk Board.

(True copy.)

Dis. No. 192 .

Melur Taluk Board Office, }
Dated 3 - 7 - 1925. } m:n:

Copy to _____

Presidea

Q. 25

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL COUNCIL, MADURA.

Dated the 30th October 1924.

Resolution No. 11.

READ:- R.O.C. 252-C-24, dated 30-9-24 of the President, Taluk Board, Melur, requesting sanction for the appointment of 2 supervisors of schools for Melur and Matham ranges on Rs. 40/- plus Rs. 15/- fixed travelling allowance per mensem, each permanently.

RESOLUTION:- A P P R O V E D.

(True Extract)

Dis. No. 330/24.

Communicated to the President, Taluk Board, Melur.

Office of the District
Educational Council,
Madura, dated 6-11-24.

Sd/- C. Venugopal
For President.

(True Copy)


m:n:

President, Taluk Board,
Melur.

27/25

13 17
R.O.C.No. 1504 B/25, dated 18th August 1925

Law Education endorsement No. 2392 A-1 dated 10th July 1925
on letter R.O.C.No. 171 C/25, dated 2nd July 1925 from the
President Taluk Board, Melur.



Resubmitted.

It is reported that there are only 75 Elementary schools under the management of the Taluk Board. According to the Elementary Education survey, there are only 3 school-less centres with a population of 500 and above to be provided with schools. In view of these and in view of the fact that the Taluk Board area comprises only a single Taluk, one Supervisor would be sufficient. The employment of two Supervisors is an extravagance.

3. It is observed from the Board's resolution dated 29-9-24 that the expenditure on account of the entertainment of Supervisors is to be met from the education tax. Since Government have recently begun to take objection to the utilization of the Education cess on purposes other than the opening of new schools or higher standards, I recommend that the Board's proposal may be approved subject to the condition that the additional cost is met from the Board's own funds other than the proceeds of the Education cess.

Rama Ray
20/8/25

for Director of Public Instruction.

To the Secretary to Government, Law (Education) Department.

P.V.S. 19/8
[Signature]

LAW (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT.

Received



Registered

192

40887
d. a. 34576

Employment of a Supervisor - Taluk Board, Madura

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies

R.O.C. No. 1597 B/25

Station Madras, Dated the 22nd October 1925

From (Name) R.G. Grieve Esq., M.A.,

(Designation) Ag. Director of Public Instruction

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

LAW (EDUCATION) Department.

Sir,

Endorsement on letter R.O.C. No. 443 E/24, dated 17th July 1925 from the President, Taluk Board, Madura.

Submitted to Government.

2. In view of (i) the impending increase in the inspecting agency (ii) the unwillingness of the Board to meet the cost of the Supervisor from their general funds and (iii) the possible conflict of opinion between the departmental inspecting officers and the Supervisor if he does not work directly under the Deputy Inspector, the proposal is not recommended.

A Rama Ray
24/10/25
for Director of Public Instruction

P.R.K. 24/10

The cost may be met from the E. & F. fund.

As the cost may be met from the E. & F. fund, the proposal is not recommended. In the case of the Taluk Board, the cost of the Supervisor is not recommended. In the case of the Taluk Board, the cost of the Supervisor is not recommended. In the case of the Taluk Board, the cost of the Supervisor is not recommended.

Even after the increase there will be only one officer for 133 schools. Vide P.C. Recommendation.

LOCAL

FUND

DEPARTMENT.

Received 192 . Registered 192 .

Subject.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies

Rec No. 443E/24

Station MADURA,

Dated the 17th July 1925.

From (Name) M. R. Ry. P. G. Muthu Chettiar. Avergal.
M.L.C.,
(Designation) President, Taluk Board, Madura,

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Through, The Director of Public Instruction, Law Education Department.
Madras.

I have the honour to state that the Board has sanctioned the entertainment of a Supervisor for supervising the Board Schools that are under the control of this Taluk Board as a trial for six months in its resolution No. 116 dated 29-8-24 (copy enclosed).

2. As the entertainment of a Supervisor is absolutely necessary, I request that the sanction of the Government may kindly be accorded for the same and to incur the

the charges from the Elementary Education Fund.



I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your Most obedient Servant,

K. V. Ramachari

Vice President.
For President.

17/7

M. T. B. Form No. 3-2000-20-8-24.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE TALUK BOARD, MADURA.

மதுரை தாலுகா போர்டு தீர்மானங்கள்.

No. 116 dated 29-----8-----192 4

Read:—வாசிக்கலானது Note of the President to entertain a Supervisor for supervising the Board Schools that are under the control of this Taluk Board and also proposing Rs.40 plus 10 as salary and fixed Travelling Allowance.

Resolution:—தீர்மானம் Sanctioned as a trial for six months.

Sd/. P. C. Muthu Chetty,
President.

/True Extract/

Office of the Taluk Board,
Madura.
Dated 17---7---25.

K. V. Ramachari

For President.

17/7

C No 2629; 288303/84

From the P.T.B. Usilampatti, Rec 12328 30.6.28
Melur 1504 8.8.25
Subject :- Employment of supervisors for elementary schools.

The Taluk Boards of Usilampatti and Melur propose to employ supervisors of elementary schools and to debur the expenditure involved, to the Elementary Education funds under their control. The D.P.I. supports the proposal to employ supervisors but considers that the cost should be met from the general funds of the Boards. In a similar case, the Dindigul taluk board was informed that the Govt. would not object to the employment of supervisors ^{provided} ~~proposed~~ the cost was met by the board from its funds other than the proceeds of the education tax and the equivalent Government contribution. The taluk board has since agreed to the proposal. The President, Taluk Board, Usilampatti, ^{however} states that the board is unable to meet the charge from its general funds. The Taluk Board, Melur, has not yet been definitely asked, on the point.

2. Hitherto Superintendents of Schools have been employed by municipal councils ^{which} ~~and~~ have introduced compulsory elementary education, and their pay is met from the elementary education fund. The Usilampatti taluk board has 121 schools under its management and the Melur taluk board 75 schools. There are seven villages with a population of more than 500 ⁱⁿ ~~the~~ area of the former and 3 in that of the latter taluk board which are still unprovided with schools. The Govt. have under consideration a proposal to employ supervisors of elementary schools and it is doubtful whether local bodies should employ this agency ~~for~~. It is therefore for orders.

whether the boards may be informed that the Govt. will not accept the proposal to employ supervisors unless the cost is met from the general revenues of the boards. It is observed that the taluk boards have already employed the supervisors.

3. The D.P.I.'s suggestion that one supervisor will suffice for the Melur taluk board may be communicated to the taluk board.

26.8.25
8/19

26.8.25

Let this lie over till some a decision is reached on the question of a subordinate inspecting staff.

V.T.K.
27.8

p.9 CF

p.17 CF

Please see the opinions of the Hon'ble the Advocate General communicated in Government Order No.953, Law (Education), dated 8th June 1925. The Assistant Examiner of Local Fund Accounts, Northern Circle, inquired whether the pay of Superintendents or Supervisors of Elementary Schools may be treated as expenses incurred on elementary education and whether the debit of the charge to the Elementary Education Fund may be admitted in audit. I considered that the pay of such Superintendents or supervising head-masters was as much chargeable to the Elementary Education Fund as the pay of teachers and that no objection need be raised and I informed him accordingly.

2. In Government Order No.1063, Law (Education), dated 22nd June 1925, and Government Order No. R. 333, Law (Education), dated 17th August 1925, the proposal of the Dindigul Taluk Board to appoint three Supervisors of Elementary Schools was accepted on condition that the charge was met from the General revenues of the Taluk Board and not from the proceeds of the Education Tax or the equivalent contribution by Government. I presume that this order applies only to the particular case and that it should not be taken as a decision on the general question as to whether the charge is a proper debit to the Elementary Education Fund or not. Will Law (Education) kindly let me know whether my view in paragraph 1 above is correct and whether the condition laid down in the case of the Dindigul Taluk Board should be applied to other similar cases as well? A general decision is necessary for the sake of a uniform audit.

Law (Education) u.o.



Encls: 4 85-1

9.10.25
X-2 3857A

10.10.25

Law (Education) Dept.
The remainder of the 25 may be inserted (u.o.)

The question raised by the Examiner on p. 3 ante may be considered after a decision is reached on the question of a Subordinate inspecting Staff.

Vide Secy's orders on p. 2 ante. In view of the opinion ^{ad} _{14/10/25} given by the Examiner, it is for consideration whether the charges already incurred by local bodies in the employment of supervising staff may not be admitted as a legitimate charge on the Ely. Edn. Fund.

^{my} _{16/10/25} The Advocate General is shortly to advise us on the general question. We may await his opinion.

Ch. corr. ^{V.T.K.} _{18/10}

Law (Education) Department

(5)

The Advocate General's opinion has since been received. It has been decided that the pay of supervisors of schools employed by local bodies with the previous approval of Govt, may be debited to the Ely. Edn. Fund.

2. Final orders on the question of the re-organisation of the subordinate inspecting agency can be issued only after the budget is passed by the Legve. Council.

3. The Taluk boards of Usilampatti, Melur and Madura may be asked to report the expenditure hitherto incurred on the employment of supervisors of schools, and such expenditure may be ordered to be met from the Ely. Edn. Fund.

When given for copying _____
When copied _____ } 192
When examined _____
When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O. No. _____ DATED _____
192 .

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO G. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTERS OF GOVERNMENT. _____

STATEMENT WITH DETTO. _____

PAPERS NOT FILED OF, BEING URGENT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.