

Law (Education) (1926) Department.

Mis SERIES.

G.O. No. 168,

DATED 3-2-26.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Indian Educational Service - Request of
M.R.Ry. P.V. Seshu Ayyar for modification of G.O.No.
1907 Law (Education) dated 4th November 1925 -
Declined.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
50	1907	L.E	4.11.25	50	524-45	L.E	4.5.22
50	1475	L.E	25.8.25				
50	1321	L.E	30.7.25				
50	1319	L.E	30.7.25				
50	713	L.E	8.5.24				
50	384	L.E	14.3.24				
50	1686	L.E	1-12-23				


FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CF. 30-41,000-8-9-24.

LAW (EDUCATION)

DEPARTMENT.



Received 192 . Registered 9.1.1926

Subject.

Petition from M.R.Ry Rao Bahadur P.V. Seshu Ayyar Avl
Principal, Government College, Kumbakonam requesting to
draw the arrears of pay after the removal of pause.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies

N.R.C. No. 2049 C/25

Station Madras , Dated the 7th January 1926 192

From (Name) R.Littlehailes Esq., M.A.,

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

LAW (EDUCATION)

Department.

Sir,

Submitted to Government, Law (Education) Depart
ment.

A Rama Rao
8/1/26
for Director of Public Instruction

P.R.K. 8/1

R.C. No. 443 of 25.

Kumbakonam,

12th Decr. 1925. (3)

From

M.R. Ry P.V. Seshu Aiyar Avl., B.A., L.T.,

Principal, Govt. college,

Kumbakonam.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law, (Education), Department.

Thro'

The Director of Public Instruction,

M a d r a s.

Sir,

I feel very thankful to the Government for the G.O. No. 1907, Law (Education), dated 4th November 1925, exempting me from the pause at Rs.600/- as prayed for in my memorial dated 20th August 1925. In that memorial, however, there was one prayer of mine, viz., that I be given arrears of pay consequent on the removal of the pause, at least from 1st September 1924, which does not seem to have received consideration at the hands of Government. (G.O. referred to above allows increase of pay consequent on the removal of the pause only from 1st September 1925). I beg to submit that my case is very different from that of the other officers of the Indian Educational Service promoted from the Madras Educational Service along with me, and deserves special consideration for the following reasons:-

1. All the Officers of the Indian Educational Service who have been exempted from the pause with effect from 1st September 1925, are comparatively young and can rise to a pay of at least Rs.1000/-, whereas I can rise only to Rs.900/- and that too only just 4 months and some days before retirement which is to take place in January 1927.

2. In the calculation of the average pay for purposes of pension for the three years immediately

4101319 23.5.25
1321230 7.25
1475 25.8.25

(H. M. Chakravarti, Asst. Secy. to Govt. of Madras, Law Department)

(3) (4)

preceding the date of retirement, it is only the actual pay received by an officer for that period that counts (vide note 3 of Section 487, of C.S.R.) and consequently for such calculation of average pay, I have the benefit of the removal of the pause only for 1 year, 4 months and some days, Out of such period of three years, whereas none of the other officers are to retire within three years from 1st September 1925 and hence all of them will have the full benefit of the removal of the pause in the calculation of the average pay for purposes of pension.

3. Even if my prayer for the granting of the arrears of pay from 1st September 1924 be granted, I will have the benefit of the removal of the pause in the calculation of average pay only for two years 4 months and some days out of the three years preceding the date of retirement. Though the logical consequence of the removal of the pause will entitle me to an increase of pay from 1st September 1921, in my memorial referred to above, I limited myself, in respect of arrears of pay, to 1st September 1924, because I was told that no officer who had been exempted from the pause was granted arrears of pay for any period prior to that date.

4. It may be said that I am receiving a duty allowance of Rs.150/- which counts towards pension. In reply I may be permitted to state that some officers who have been exempted from the pause are getting similar duty allowances and that others who may not be now getting such allowances are likely to be appointed in their own turn, to places carrying such allowances.

In view of the above considerations, I most respectfully pray that Government will be pleased to grant me arrears of pay, consequent on the removal of the pause with effect from 1st September 1924 as was

*Mr. Rama Rao
+ Ramaswami
Srinivasan were
exempted from 1-9-24
as they had not yet
crossed the pause
stage*

*Mr. Rama Rao
is getting a duty
allowance of Rs. 150/-
as Dy. S.D.O.
Khammam District
where he is
was in receipt
of a printer
allowance*

4 (5) 5

done in the case of some officers who were recently exempted from the pause.


I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

P. S. S. in aijar

Principal.



The Principal of the Government of India

10 DEC 1934


Principal

to be the Principal of the Government of India

proceeding the date of retirement, it is only the special
pay received by an officer for that period that counts
(vide note 3 of Section 37, G.O. No. 11, 1934) and consequently
for such calculation of average pay, I have the benefit
of the removal of the pause only for 1 year, whereas
some days out of such period of three years, whereas
none of the other officers are to receive within three
years from 1st September 1933 and hence all of them will
have the full benefit of the removal of the pause in the
calculation of the average pay for the case of pension.
3. Even if my request for the granting of the 27-
years of pay from 1st September 1934 be granted, I will
have the benefit of the removal of the pause in the cal-
culation of average pay only for two years 4 months and
some days out of the three years preceding the date of
retirement. Though the logical consequence of the re-
moval of the pause will entitle me to an increase of pay
from 1st September 1931, in my memorial referred to above,
I limited my claim in respect of arrears of pay to 1st
September 1934, because I was told that no officer who
had been exempted from the pause was granted arrears of
pay for any period prior to that date.
4. It may be said that I am receiving a daily allow-
ance of Rs. 150/- which counts towards pension. In reply
I may be permitted to state that some officers who have
been exempted from the pause are getting similar daily
allowances and that others who may not be now getting
such allowances are likely to be appointed in their own
turn, to places carrying such allowances.
In view of the above considerations, I most
respectfully pray that Government will be pleased to
grant me arrears of pay, consequent on the removal of
the pause with effect from 1st September 1934 as was

From
M.R. Ry. P.V. Seshu Ayyar, B.A., L.T., F.E.S.

Principal,
Govt. College,
Kumbakonam.



To

The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

R.C. No. 443 of 25
Dy 12-12-'25.

Praying for sanction
to draw the arrears of
pay after removal of
pause.

5-12-25
4-12-25
3-12-25
2-12-25

Law (Education) Department.

C.No. 275 A - From the D.P.I., N.R.C., No.2049 C/25
dated 7-1-26.

Subject.- M.R.Ry. P.V. Seshu Ayyar - Exemption
from pause - Request ~~to be~~ grant ^{of} arrears of pay
from 1-9-24.

In G.O. No. 1907 Law (Education), dated
4-11-25, M.R.Ry. P.V. Seshu Ayyar was exempted from
the pause at Rs 600 in the I.E.S. time scale, but
was allowed to draw enhanced pay only with effect
from 1-9-25 instead of from 1-9-1921. He now ~~so~~
requests that, as he is due to retire in January 1927,
he may be allowed enhanced pay at least with effect
from 1-9-24, so that he may have the benefit of addi-
tional pay for a period of about 2 years and 4
months and thus be enabled to earn some increase to
his pension.

2. In his note on page 19 of notes to
G.O. No. 1321 L.E. dated 30-7-1925, Mr. Grieve stated
that he was not disposed to favour the grant of the
concession (i.e. exemption from the pause at Rs 600)
with retrospective effect. Accordingly Messrs.
Chakravarthi, Anantapadmanaba Rao and Ranganathan
were exempted from the pause only with effect from
1-9-25. Mr. Seshu Ayyar, ~~however~~, states that his
case is different, as he is due to retire in January
1927, whereas the above mentioned ~~officers~~ ^{to them} will
~~enjoy~~ the benefit of increased pay for periods exceed-
ing 3 years and can thus earn the full pension ad-
missible ^{to them} under the rules. The grant of the conces-
sion prayed for by Mr. Seshu Ayyar will involve the
Government in an extra expenditure of Rs 600 for the
present.

3. The D.P.I. has not made any recommenda-
tion on Mr. Seshu Ayyar's behalf. The file may be
sent U.O. to Finance for remarks.

S.M.S. NY
12/12/25

CA
22/12

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

192

READ AGAIN G.O. No. _____ DATED _____
 192

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO C. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT ... A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DETTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED OF, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.