

Law Education (1926) Department.

India SERIES.

G.O. No. 1594.

DATED 19-8-26.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Establishment:-

Deputy Inspectors and School Assistants to be considered as on the same scale of pay with effect from 1st March 1922.

2351 e

BACK PAPERS.

[illegible]

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
<i>Ans 2062</i> <i>2-11-26</i>							

CF. 30

Law (Education)

DEPARTMENT.

Received 192 . Registered 192

Subject.

Requesting orders of Government as to whether G.O.

No.94 Mis Law(Education) dated 22- 1- 26 treating the two cadres of Deputy Inspectors of Schools and School Assistants as on the same scale of pay for purposes of rules 22 and 30 of the Fundamental Rule has retrospective effect from 1-3-22.

ENCLOSURES.

No

R.C. No. 3045 C/24

Spare copies

Station Madras Dated the 19/7/26 192

From (Name) R. G. Grieve Esq., M.A.,

(Designation) Ag. Director of Public Instruction

M a d r a s .

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras

Law(Education)

Department.

Sir,

In their order No.94 Mis Law(Education) dated 22- 1- 1926, Government directed that,for purposes of rules 22 and 30 of the Fundamental Rule, Deputy Inspectors of Schools and School Assistants should be ^{considered} ~~considered~~ as on the same scale of pay and that they should not be given higher initial pay when transferred from one cadre to another. In his communication No.Edn.1-80 dated 27- 5- 26, copy enclosed, the Accountant General has stated that it has been held by his office that the orders in G.O.No.94 Mis Law(Education) dated 22- 1- 26 should be considered in conjunction with G.O.No.Mis.60 Law (Education) dated 15- 1- 24 and that it must be considered to apply with effect from 1- 3- 22. I considered

considered the two cadres of Deputy Inspectors of Schools and School Assistants as distinct and separate from 1- 3- 24 up to the date of issue of G.O.No.94 Mis Law(Education) even though the two cadres carried the same scale of pay and now I think, the acceptance of the Accountant General's view will involve additional expenditure to Government . Arrears of pay will have to be given to officiating incumbents transferred from one cadre to the other from 1- 3- 24 as the two cadres of Deputy Inspectors of Schools and School Assistants have to be treated alike for purposes of pay and increments. As I consider it safe to act upon the Accountant General's view with the orders of Government in the matter, I have the honour to request Government to kindly state whether G.O.No.94 Mis Law(Education) dated 22-1-26 should be considered to apply with effect from 1-3-22.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

Rama Ray
— 22/7

for Director of Public Instruction

A. R. 20 /7/26

SW
22/7.

Copy of letter No.Edn. 1-80 dated 27th May 1926 from the Accountant General, Madras to the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

"With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter R.O.C.No.3045 C/24 dated 29- 4- 26, I have the honour to state that it has been held by this office that the orders in G.O.No.94 Mis Law(Education) should be considered in conjunction with G.O.No.Mis.60 Law (Education) dated 15- 1- 24 and that it must be considered to apply with effect from 1- 3- 22.

2, A statement in the enclosed form in respect of subordinates whose pay requires to be revised under this decision may be forwarded to this office for scrutiny and report. The statement should also include names of officers whose pay has been fixed either under special orders of Government or in consultation with this office. No arrears should be drawn without specific instructions from this office in each case.

Name of officer.	Details of periods of officiating, temporary and permanent services in both cadres with leave taken.	Rate of pay drawn at different periods.	Rate admissible under this office Letter No.Edn if 1-80/27-5-26 any	Arrears due	Overdrawn amounts	Remarks
------------------	--	---	---	-------------	-------------------	---------

Time copy

Rama Ray
— 22/7

For Director of Public Instruction

C.No.2356 C.

From the D.P.I. R.C.No.3045 C/24, dated 19-7-26.

Subject

Enquiring whether the cadres of Deputy Inspectors^{al} and School Assistants should be considered to have been on the same scale of pay from the 1st March 1922.

Prior to the reorganization of the Subordinate Education Service, Deputy Inspectors (formerly Sub Asst. Inspectors) and Licentiate teachers^(L.T's) employed in training schools were included in one cadre, namely, the Sub Assistant Inspectors' cadre, the pay of which ranged from Rs.75 to Rs.200. In the revision, teachers in training schools and Deputy Inspectors were brought into two distinct cadres, the School Assistants' cadre and the Deputy Inspectors' cadre, the pay of the two cadres being fixed as follows:-

Deputy Inspectors' cadre.

Ordinary Grade. .. Rs.75-5-100-10-150.

Selection grade
(25% of the cadre) Rs.150-10-250.

School Assistants' cadre.

First Grade. .. Rs.150-10-250 (12 per cent of the cadre)
Second Grade. .. Rs.100-10-150 (40% of the cadre).
Third Grade. .. Rs. 75--5-100 (48% of the cadre).

2. In his letter R.O.C. No.2151-C/23, dated 13-11-23 the Director pointed out that it would take some time for the two cadres to be made altogether distinct, that transfers from the ^{tutorial} ~~historical~~ branch of the service to the inspection branch and vice versa had to be made in the exigencies of ^{the} public service and that it would be a hardship to officers without substantive appointments, if service in one branch was not allowed to count for increments in the other.

11-3-27
From 60
15-1-24 (Y)

5
He, therefore, proposed that the two cadres of Deputy Inspectors and School Assistants might be treated as similar for purposes of the ~~initial~~ initial pay of officers transferred from one cadre to the other, for a period of two years from the 1st March 1922. The proposal was accepted by the Government - Vide G.O.No.60, dated 15-1-24. This order ceased to be operative from the 1st March 1924 and from this date ^{up to 22-1-26} the two cadres ~~were~~ were treated as distinct.

2. In 1925, in connection with a ruling given by the Auditor General, the A.G. raised the question whether the School Assistants and Deputy Inspectors should not be considered to be on the same scale of pay. In G.O.No.94, dated 22-1-26, it was ruled that the two classes of officers should be considered to be on the same scale of pay and that officers transferred from one cadre to the other should not be started on a higher initial pay on account of the transfer.

The A.G. holds that the ^{combined} effect of the two G.Os. is that the two cadres should be treated as similar with effect from the 1st March 1922. If the A.G.'s view is accepted, transfers made from one branch of the service to the other during the period from 1-3-24 to 22-1-26 when the two cadres were treated as different, will have to be reviewed now.

3 Two classes of officers have to be considered in this connection:--

(i) Officers holding substantive appointments.

(ii) Officers without any substantive appointment.

Class (i). If the cadres are treated as similar as proposed by the A.G., officers should not get officiating promotions on account of their transfer from one branch to the other. --

As, however, the two cadres have been treated as distinct from 1-3-24 to 22-1-26, ^{such} officiating promotions would have been allowed. ~~which would have been allowed~~

(Y)

pp 1-2 of G.O. No. 94 22-1-26 (X)

(X)

under F.R 22 & F.R 30. p. 3 of

rule 30 of the Fundamental rules. p. 2 of

X

p. 2 of

p. 3 of

Group 94 22-1-26 (X)

23560-1 6826
6 - AUG - 1926
22992

5
-5-
~~mainly as a result of such promotions~~ If the A.G.'s proposal is accepted, the amounts drawn ~~on the account of the A.G.'s proposal~~ should be recovered.

Class (ii). As the two cadres have been treated as distinct, service of an officer without a substantive appointment, in one of the cadres should not have counted for increments in the other cadre in which he officiated. The acceptance of the A.G.'s proposal will result in arrears of pay being given to officers transferred from one to the other.

The Director has referred only to the effect of the proposal on officers coming under class (ii), but not to its effect on officers coming under class (i).

The additional expenditure on account of arrears of pay will, to some extent, be compensated by the recoveries to be made from officers under class (i). The exact financial liability can be gauged only after the details referred to by the A.G. in his letter are furnished.

4 As the principle that the two cadres of Deputy Inspectors and School Assistants should be treated as similar has been accepted by the Government, the A.G.'s proposal may be accepted with the concurrence of the Finance Department.

The file may be sent to the Finance Department.

4.8.26. 8/4.8.26.
578 5
V.T.K. 6.8.

Fin 11.0

Finance (es.R)

This Dept may also accept the proposal of the A.G. to declare ^{the} with effect from 1-3-22

Q10
9/8/26

Law (Ed) no

A draft order is

Subd.

$\frac{1300}{14/8} = \underline{3}$
14.8.26

$\frac{a}{16x}$ $\frac{v \cdot T}{17.8}$

155
✓TK
17

File no 22992-1Crv

$$\begin{array}{r} 26120 \\ - 12810 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Date.	Initials.
-------	-----------

When copied

When examined

When signed

When despatched

READ AGAIN G.O. No. 94 dt, dated

” ” ” ” ”

READ ALSO :—

C. No. 2356 From the _____, No. _____, dated _____ 192

" "-----" " "....." " 192

192

ORDER.

No. 1594
~~43~~, dated 19. 8. 1926

Me is

The Government agree with the Accountant General that for purposes of Rules 22 and 30 of the Fundamental Rules Deputy Inspectors of Schools and School Assistants should be considered as on the same scale of pay with effect from the 1st March 1922.

In

The S.A. J. with refer-
to his letter, No. 3045 e/pb
d. 19.7.26.)

" A. G. thro' the Finance Dept.
" Finance Dept.

$\frac{2000}{14/8}$ $\frac{2000}{14.8}$ $\frac{2000}{17.8}$ $\frac{2000}{17.8}$

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

192

READ AGAIN G.O. No. _____
 192

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT ... A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DUTY.

PAPERS NOT FILED OF, BRING ALREADY FOR DISPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.