

Law (1926) Department.
(Education)

Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 1567

DATED 18-8-1926

[Despatch Abstract.]

Legislative Council Question.

Grants to Deaf and Dumb School, Madura--notes recorded.

FURTHER PAPERS.[illegible]

Rao Bahadur C. Natesa Mudaliyar:

THE LAW (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT.

2130. Will the hon. the Minister for Education be pleased to state:—

(a) ~~that the~~ whether it is a fact
that the Madura Municipality was
by Government
asked not to give the house rent to
Madura Deaf and Dumb school;

(b) whether it is a fact that ~~only~~ *any* furniture grant is refused to the above school;

(c) whether it is a fact that the school was asked to postpone the deputing of one of the teachers for training to Mysore till 1st April 1926:

(d) ~~that~~ ^{the} whether it is a fact that the school is threatened with its extinction by ^{at} withdrawing its temporary recognition from 1st April 1926 on the grounds that it is not well accommodated, not well equipped and not well staffed by sufficient number of teachers in spite of the Government's action regarding the grant

(e) what is the grant sanctioned for Madura Deaf and Dumb school for the year 1924-25:

(f) whether the accounts of the

(This point has been raised
in another questionnaire
since the

(A) regards this idea

(11) $\frac{468}{2.4.25}$ (A)

(It is observed from the annual
report by the D.P. with his budget
for 1925-26 that this school was
given a teaching grant of Rs 11.28
in 1924-25.)

Question

of the Madura Deaf and Dumb school are being audited by certified auditors; if so, why the Government cannot sanction just half of the net cost audited in accordance with their policy?

K.S.M.

Will the hon. the Minister for Education be pleased to state:-

(a) whether it is a fact that the Madura Municipality has not to give the net cost of the Madura Deaf and Dumb school;

There is no half net cost policy in the case of special schools

(b) whether it is a fact that the furniture grant is refused to the above equipment was deferred until the school was permanently recognised;

(c) whether it is a fact that the school was asked to postpone the deputation of one of its teachers for training until after the defects pointed out by the R.E.O. in his inspection report were remedied;

(d) whether it is a fact that the school is threatened with its extinction by the withdrawal and of its temporary recognition from 1st April 1926 on the grounds that it is not well accommodated, not well equipped and not well staffed by sufficient number of teachers in spite of the Government's promises regarding the grants etc;

(e) what is the grant sanctioned for the Madura Deaf and Dumb school for the year 1924-25;

(f) whether the accounts of the Madura Deaf and Dumb school are being audited by certified auditors; if so, Mr. V. S. Pattabhirama Ayyar of Tanjore, why the Government cannot sanction just half of the net cost audited in accordance with their policy?

(b) The question of grant for furniture grant is refused to the above equipment was deferred until the school was permanently recognised;

(c) The school was asked to postpone the deputation of one of its teachers for training until after the defects pointed out by the R.E.O. in his inspection report were remedied;

(d) The school is no longer held in Madura so that even the temporary recognition granted no longer applies to it;

(e) Rs. 695

(f) The accounts of the school for the year 1924-25 were audited by Mr. V. S. Pattabhirama Ayyar of Tanjore. Teaching grants to schools for special education are awarded under sections 44 and 45 of the Grant-in-Aid Code.

DESPATCHED 9-2-1926

V.T.

Question No. 2130

Draft answer

Question

Will the hon. the Minister for Education be pleased to state:--

(a) whether it is a fact that the Madura Municipality was asked by Government not to give the house rent to Madura Deaf and Dumb school;

(b) whether it is a fact that ^{any} furniture grant is refused to the above school;

(c) whether it is a fact that the school was asked to postpone the deputing of one of the teachers for training to Mysore till 1st April 1926;

(d) whether it is ^a fact that the school is threatened with its extinction by the withdrawal ~~and~~ of its temporary recognition from 1st April 1926 on the grounds that it is not well accommodated, not well equipped and not well staffed by sufficient number of teachers in spite of the Government's actions regarding the grants etc;

(e) what is the grant sanctioned for the Madura Deaf and Dumb school for the year 1924-25;

(f) whether the accounts of the Madura Deaf and Dumb school are being audited by certified auditors; if so, why the Government cannot sanction just half of the net cost audited in accordance with their policy?

(a) The answer is in the negative

(b) The question of grant for furniture was deferred until the school was permanently recognised;

(c) The school was asked to postpone the deputation of one of its teachers for training until after the defects pointed out by the D.E.O. in his inspection report were remedied.

(d) The school is no longer held in Madura so that even the temporary recognition granted no longer applies to it;

(e) Rs. 698

(f) The accounts of the school for the year 1924-25 were audited by Mr. N. S. Pattabhirama Ayyar of Ayyampet, Tanjore. Teaching grants to schools for special education are awarded under sections 44 and 45 of the Grant-in-Aid Code.

DESPATCHED
12-31 MAR 1926

CV 14.2.26 8/5 16.3.26

CR 17/3 V.T. 17.3

2.E501B
25226
(5)

Law Dept. III-8-4,000-1-12-24

QUESTION.—No. 2130

for the meeting of the Legislative


Council to be held on the During The 1926 Session

SUBMITTED that two copies of this Question may be forwarded to the
Law (Education) Department.

The Question has been admitted.

23/2

CS 25/1



To

The Law (Edn) Department.

Law (Edn)

Submitted that the D.P.S. may be requested to suggest an ~~answer~~ answer to the question. After the file is returned by the D.P.S., the L.S. & dept. may also be consulted as regards clause

(a).

28/2

V.F.

1.3

D.P. 1 u (cf. m)

26.2.26

26.2.26

26.2.26

[Faint handwritten notes and stamps on the left page, including "DEPARTMENT" and "MADRAS"]

U.O.Reply No. *2568* 12.3.26

The following is the information which I have:-

Clause (b): The question of grant for equipment was deferred until the school was permanently recognised;

Clause (c): The school was asked to postpone the deputation of one of its teachers for training until after the defects pointed out by the D.E.O. in his inspection report were remedied;

Clause (d): The school is no longer held in Madura so that even the temporary recognition granted no longer applies to it;

Clause (e): Rs.698;

Clause (f): The accounts of the school for the year 1924-25 were audited by Mr.N.S.Pattabhirama Ayyar of Ayyampet, Tanjore. Teaching grants to schools for special education are awarded under sections 44 and 45 of the Grant-in-Aid Code.


Law (Ed?)

A draft answer to clauses (b) to (f) is submitted. The case may be sent to L.S.G. no. with reference to clause (a).

cur
16.3.26
81x
16.3.26

V.K.
17.3
17.3

L.S.G.



En 7235
13.3.26



En 50182
17.3.26

8321

E.T.M.S.
18/3

6

123.

The Madura Municipal Council has been paying Rs 100 monthly to the Deaf and Dumb School for house rent from 1st April 1922 to 30th June 1924. The school having already been in receipt of a Govt. grant. This payment was irregular in view of Rs 100. 2013 Lm 22nd July 1924 as it related to a period prior to the issue of this order. This irregularity was however condoned as a ~~special~~ special case and the payment of the Cuthi butai was also sanctioned, as recommended by the DPT upto the end of March 1925. The withdrawal of the Govt. was also sanctioned. Should not be given indefinitely but should be obtained on each occasion it was proposed to make the Cuthi butai. The Municipal Council has not subsequently applied for Govt. sanction to continue this payment. It is said that the Govt. ~~has~~ ^{have not so far} asked the Council not to give house rent to the school, as stated in the question.

2. The answer to clause (a) may therefore be

The answer is in the negative.

YMS
22/3/26
20/3/26

MR
22-3-26

2336
Lm 12/26

23/3/26
24/3/26


8324-1 Lm
23/3/26

pp 3-4 of 1346 Lm
22/4/25

cf 20 422 Lm
20/3/24

VIII original
file

Lo. 1346 Lm
22/4/25



Law (20-1) Dept

In order as to circulation.

SA
24-3-26

9
25/3

V.F.
25.3.

M2

M1

28-3-26



Government grants to the Madura Deaf and Dumb school.

1970 Q.—Rao Bahadur C. NATESA MUDALIYAR: Will the hon. the Minister for Education and the hon. the Minister for Local Self-Government be pleased to state—

- (a) whether it is a fact that the Madura municipality was asked by Government not to give the house-rent to Madura Deaf and Dumb school;
 - (b) whether it is a fact that any furniture grant is refused to the above school;
 - (c) whether it is a fact that the school was asked to postpone the deputation of one of the teachers for training to Mysore till 1st April 1926;
 - (d) whether it is a fact that the school is threatened with its extinction by the withdrawal of its temporary recognition from 1st April 1926 on the grounds that it is not well accommodated, not well equipped and not well staffed by sufficient number of teachers in spite of the Government's actions regarding the grant, etc.;
 - (e) what is the grant sanctioned for the Madura Deaf and Dumb school for the year 1924-25; and
 - (f) whether the accounts of the Madura Deaf and Dumb school are being audited by certified auditors; if so, why the Government cannot sanction just half of the net cost audited in accordance with their policy?
- A.—(a) The answer is in the negative.
(b) The question of grant for equipment was deferred until the school was permanently recognized.
(c) The school was asked to postpone the deputation of one of its teachers for training until after the defects pointed out by the District Educational Officer in his inspection report were remedied.
(d) The school is no longer held in Madura so that even the temporary recognition granted no longer applies to it.
(e) Rs. 698.
(f) The accounts of the school for the year 1924-25 were audited by Mr. N. S. Pattabhirama Ayyar of Ayyampet, Tanjore. Teaching grants to schools for special education are awarded under sections 44 and 45 of the Grant-in-aid Code.

Leg. Council - Question -
grant to deaf and dumb school, Madura -
notes recorded.

80. 1567 2 18. 8-26

Recorded.

16.8.26
819
16/8/26

9
17/8

21-3-12



When given for copying—
When copied—
When examined—
When signed—

192

READ AGAIN G.O. No. DATED
192

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH RITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED OF, BEING PRESENT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.