

Law & Education (1926) Department.

Mis SERIES.

G.O. No. 15-357,

DATED 13-8-26.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Allowances - Acting - Promotions from posts of
clerks in subordinate offices to the lower division in the
Director of Public Instructions office - permitted.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CF. 30


G. No 1123

Law (Education)

DEPARTMENT.

②
13

Received



Registered

192

A-En 25884
9/7/26

Direction- Office of the Director of Public Instruction-

transfer of clerks from subordinate offices and fixing of pay

etc., to Government - submitting.

Law (Edu.) sept

Encl. 110.

25884-1

12726 Referred to the Accountant General for
remarks.
(By order)

10

The Accountant Genl.

ENCLOSURES.
No.
Spare copies



Current

No. 434 F/26

San

Station Madras

Dated the 30th June 1926

192

From (Name) R.G. Grieve, Esq., M.A., F.R.G.S.,

(Designation) As Director of Public Instruction.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras

Law (Education)

Department.

Sir,

I have the honour to address Government regarding the pay admissible to Mr. Raju Sastri and Mr. P. Sambiah who are now officiating as clerks in the Lower Division of my office, in the scale of Rs.40-40-2½-65-3/2-80, but who hold substantive appointments elsewhere.

2. Of the two clerks mentioned above Mr. Raju Sastri holds a permanent appointment as Librarian in the Teachers' College, Saidapet, in the scale of Rs.35-35-1½-50-1-60, while Mr. Sambiah holds a substantive appointment as fourth clerk in the office of the Inspector of European schools, in the scale of Rs.40-40-1½-55-1-65. The two clerks were transferred to officiate in the Lower Division of my office on 17th July 1925 (Vide also statement showing the pay, length of service etc., enclosed hereto). They were drawing

14 info

pay at the rate of Rs.47 and 44½ respectively before their transfer and under Fundamental rule 32 their pay was fixed at Rs.47½ and 45 on joining my office. Mr. Raju Sastri was also granted the ^{benefit} ~~profit~~ of the city allowance of Rs.5 admissible to clerks in the city offices. The increased rates of pay of the clerks, as fixed by me were accepted in audit up till May 1926. While admitting the city allowance of Rs.5 in the case of Mr. Raju Sastri, the Accountant General has, however, objected to the grant of increased emoluments of Rs.0-8-0 in both the cases, under the rule quoted above, on the ground that officiating promotions from posts of clerks in the lowest scale in the subordinate offices to those of clerks in the lower Division of my office are inadmissible on the presumption that the duties performed in the higher offices are not different from those performed

Pay in the substantive post plus city allowance	Rs52	in the lower
Pay in the officiating post including city	52½	office and that
Difference	½	the appointments
Pay in the substantive post	44½	are of the same
Pay in the officiating post	45	class under para
Difference	½	2 of the G.O.No.

1220 Fin., dated 13th December 1921.

3. I would, in this connection, invite the attention of Government to para 27 of the Accountant General's letter T.M. 12200 dated 16th May 1921, wherein he has stated that the classification of offices into A. B. and C on different scales of pay is evidently based on the character of the work done and that there will be no objection to clerks in any one of these offices or from the mufassal being permitted to act in Secretariat or other offices on a higher scale of pay. These principles have been recognized by Government in their order No. 1220 Financial dated 13th December 1921. While officiating men with no substantive appointment enjoy automatically the benefit of the city scale it appears inequitable that clerks transferred from the subordinate offices to the head office in the interests of public service, should be denied the benefit of the scales

sanctioned to the higher class office. The officiating promotions have been granted in the case of the clerks referred to supra in view of the fact that the posts in the Lower Division of the Director of Public Instruction's office involve duties and responsibilities of greater importance than those in a subordinate office and as such the concessions granted to them are justifiable.

4. It is hardly necessary for me to emphasise here that transfers of experienced clerks in the lowest grade in subordinate offices have to be effected, in the exigencies of public service, if the efficiency of the head office is to be maintained at a high level and that such transfers conduce to administrative convenience. I may further mention that in their order No. 775 Law (Education) dated 13th May 1924, Government have permitted the grant of acting promotions with acting allowance in the case of clerks and head clerks of subordinate offices transferred to posts in the Upper Division of my office and I consider it desirable that similar concessions should be granted to clerks in the subordinate offices transferred to posts in the Lower Division of my office.

5. In the circumstances set forth above, I request that Government will be pleased to approve my action in having granted increased emoluments to the two clerks on their transfer to my office, to allow them to draw increments in the scale of Rs.40 -- 80 and to extend the concession to similar transfers that may be made to my office in future.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,


Your most obedient servant,

A Rama Rao

for Director of Public Instruction.

P.V.S. 3/7

[illegible]

L-8a 2903A
27/7/26.

Subject.

Enclosures :—

FORT ST. GEORGE, MADRAS,

No. Edn.P.A.1-1-34.

Dated the 24th July 1926

FROM

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,

Madras.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

Law (Education) DEPARTMENT.

f 1 ante
Reference:--Endorsement No.2588.A-1. dated the 12th July 1926
Sir, on Director of Public Instruction's letter No.
434.F/26. dated the 30th June 1926 requesting
Government to permit acting promotions with
acting allowances from the posts of clerks in the
subordinate offices under the Director of Public
Instruction to that of the Lower Division of this
office.

R e t u r n e d .

2. Government have specifically sanctioned officiating
promotion to the post of Upper Division clerks in Director
of Public Instruction's office from the post of clerks on
Rs.35-60 or 40-60^{etc.} Vide G.O.No.775. Law Education General

dated the 13th May 1924.

3. If Government consider that the posts of clerks in the Lower Division of Director of Public Instruction's office involve duties and responsibilities of greater importance than those of lowest grade clerks in offices subordinate to him, there is no audit objection to their delcaring that officiating promotions, with allowances are permissible from the latter class of posts to the former.

unusual
Deputy Accountant General.

VSR.

Ref. C No. 2588A From the D.P.I. No. 434F/26 dated 30-6-26
-do- Endt. to the A.C. No. 2588A-1 D/12-7-26

Ref. C No. 2903A From the A.C. No. Edu. P.A. 1-1-348 24-7-26
Allowances - Acting - promotions from posts of clerks in the subordinate offices to the lower division in the D.P.I.'s office

Posts of lower division clerks in the office of the D.P.I. carry a pay of Rs 40-80; while ^{clerical} ~~subordinate~~ posts in the office subordinate to the D.P.I. carry a pay of Rs 35-60 or 40-65, i.e. a lower scale of pay. The D.P.I. appointed two clerks carrying the lower scale of pay - elsewhere to act as clerks in the lower division of his office and fixed their pay in that division, ^{but according to Fundamental Rule 32} ~~as~~ The A.C. objected to the proceeding on the ground that officiating promotions from posts of clerks in the lowest scale in the subordinate offices to those of clerks in the lower division of the D.P.I.'s office ~~are~~ ^{are} inadmissible on the assumption that the duties performed in the higher office ~~are~~ ^{are} not different from those performed in the ^{subordinate} ~~lower~~ offices and that the

ie at the stages next above their substantive pay

the appointments ~~are~~ of the same class under paragraph 2 of G.O. No. 1200 Fin of 13-12-21.

The D.P.D. now says that the posts in the lower division of his office involve duties and responsibilities of greater importance than those in a subordinate office and as such the concessions granted to the line clerks in question are justifiable. For the reasons stated in paragraph 4 of his letter he requests that his action in having granted ~~in~~ increased emoluments to the line clerks on their transfer to his office may be approved, and that the concession may be extended to similar transfers that may be made to his office in future.

The A.C. has no objection to the D.P.D.'s proposals if the Govt. concurs in the view of the D.P.D. at P above. 2 When Govt. permitted the grant of acting promotions with allowances from the posts of clerks and head clerks on Rs 40-65 or 35-60 and 65-85 or 60-80 respectively in the office subordinate to the D.P.D. to the posts of clerks in the Upper division of his office, they have

p 2 cf.

P
=

p 3 cf.

p 5 cf.

fo 775 (K)
13-5-24

Finance by
Secy's note in the
margin on p 10 of
G.O. 775 (K)
13-5-24

2287668
5-8-26

x city scale
o corresponding
uniformal scale.

(K)

~~conceded~~ ^{conceded} that the duties of ~~are~~ of a different character and that officiating promotion ~~is~~ permissible. On the same analogy and in view of the D.P.D.'s statement at P on 2 ante there seems to be no objection to complying with the request of the D.P.D.

A soft order is submd for approval. It may issue with the concurrence of Finance.

all
3-8-26
le
all

all
4/8
5
V.TK
5/8

Fin

Finance (C&R)

The question for Consideration is whether officiating promotion may be allowed to the Lower Division posts in the Office of the D.P.D. (Pay Rs 40-40-2½-65-3½-80) from the posts of clerks and librarians on Rs 40-65 ~~and~~ 35-60 in the offices subordinate to the D.P.D. office.

2. In G.O. No 775 (Edu) of 13-5-24 officiating promotion was allowed to the ~~posts~~ Upper Division Post (Pay Rs 65-4-12).

- + city scales
- ② Corresponding municipal scales.

Law (Edⁿ) no.

File no 22876-1 cr
11/8/80

L. En 3198A
121876

Less
CR
12/10

Date.	Initials.
-------	-----------

When copied

When examined

When signed

When despatched

Allowances - Acting - Promotions
from post of clerk in subordinate
offices to the lower division in
the D. P. Office - permitted.

READ AGAIN G.O. No., dated 192 .

” ” ” ” ” 192 .

READ ALSO :—

C. No. 2588A From the _____, No. _____, dated _____ 192

” ” ” ” ” ” 192

” ” ” ” ” ” 192

ORDER.

mis

No. 1535, dated 13. 8. 1926

The Govt are pleased to permit the grant of acting promotions with allowances from the post g. clerks and librarians on Rs 40-65 and ~~35-60~~ 35-60 ~~respectively~~ in the offices subordinate to the S. P. 9 to the post of clerks in the lower division of the Director's office.

The Case of K R Rg
Raju Sobli and P. Sambiah
is referred to in the P.O's letter
no. 434F/26 of 30.6.1926 viz
he

h. 1 cf.

be governed by these orders

ul
3-8-26

ul
4/8

GA
4/8

V.K.
5/8

DESPATCHED
7/14-AUG 26

To

The P. J. Clerk ref. to his ltr
no. 434 P/26 of 30-6-26
... A. G. Clerk ref. to his ltr
no. 434 P. A-1-1-26 of 20-6-26
... Finance Dept ✓

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed

192

READ AGAIN G.O. No.
192

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED OF, BRING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.