

Government of Madras

LAW (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 1532, 13th August 1926

Subsidies—Distribution to local bodies for secondary education—1926-27—Ordered.

20

10/17/34 L.C.

10/17/34

10/17/34

P.D.

2

Government of Madras
LAW (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 1532, 13th August 1926

Subsidies—Distribution to local bodies for secondary education—1926-27—Ordered.

READ—the following papers:—

G.O. No. 270, Law (Education), dated 19th February 1926.

From the Director of Public Instruction, R.O.C. No. 687-B/26, dated 8th June 1926.

Order—No. 1532, Law (Education), dated 13th August 1926.

The Accountant-General is requested to place at the disposal of the local bodies concerned the amounts specified against them in column 6 of the annexure to these proceedings, which represent the subsidies payable to those bodies towards the maintenance of the secondary schools under their control. The subsidy due to the Ellore Municipality will be withheld and adjusted towards the overpayment made to it in previous years.

2. The subsidy of Rs. 3,600 sanctioned to the Tiruppattur Municipal Council should not be paid to it but should be adjusted against the unspent grants refundable by the Council under G.O. No. 1675, L. & M., dated 19th June 1924.

3. The Presidents of District Boards and Chairmen of Municipal Councils concerned are requested to present the necessary bills at the Treasury for the amounts due and obtain payment.

4. The expenditure will be met from the sources indicated below:—

(i) Rupees 1,52,000 payable to Municipal Councils.

From the appropriation of Rs. 7,06,800 in the current year's budget estimate under "31. B.c.—Education—Secondary—Grants to local bodies for secondary education—Grants-in-aid—Educational—Voted—Recurring—Teaching."

(ii) Rupees 2,950 payable to the Agency District Boards of Polavaram and Vizagapatam.

From the appropriation of Rs. 4,700 in the current year's budget estimate under "31. R.B.c.—Education—Secondary—Grants to local bodies for secondary education—Agency—Grants-in-aid—Educational—Voted—Recurring—Teaching."

(iii) Rupees 5,33,600 payable to other District Boards.

From the appropriation of Rs. 7,06,800 in the current year's budget estimate under "31. B.c.—Education—Secondary—Grants to local bodies for secondary education—Grants-in-aid—Educational—Voted—Recurring—Teaching".

(By order of the Government, Ministry of Education)

V. T. KRISHNAMA ACHARIYAR,
Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.
,, Accountant-General, through the Finance Department.
,, Presidents, District Boards, and Chairmen, Municipal Councils concerned.
,, Examiner of Local Fund Accounts.
,, Local Self-Government Department.
,, Finance Department.

ANNEXURE

Distribution of subsidies among district boards and municipalities for the maintenance of secondary schools in 1926-27.

Serial number.	Name of District Board or Municipality.	Amount of subsidy fixed in G.O. No. 270, Law (Education), dated 19th February 1926.	Excess amount if any to be adjusted in 1926-27.	Additional subsidy sanctioned during 1926-27.	Amount payable in 1926-27 [column (3) - column (4) + column (5).]
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
(a) District Boards.					
1	Ganjam	52,050	..	..	52,050
2	Vizagapatam	26,400	..	..	26,400
3	Godavari East	39,700	..	..	39,700
4	Kistna	22,750	..	..	22,750
5	Guntur	26,050	..	..	26,050
6	Kurnool	30,350	..	..	30,350
7	Bellary	32,450	..	..	32,450
8	Anantapur	21,900	..	250	22,150
9	Cuddapah	19,700	..	..	19,700
10	Nellore	23,350	..	..	23,350
11	Chingleput	13,450	..	..	13,450
12	North Arcot	15,100	..	..	15,100
13	Chittoor	33,650 (a)	763 (b)	..	32,885
14	South Arcot	18,250	..	..	18,250
15	Tanjore	21,450	..	..	21,450
16	Trichinopoly	17,800	..	47	17,850
17	Madura	17,250	..	..	17,250
18	Ramnad	8,400	..	..	8,400
19	Tinnevely	12,650	..	..	12,650
20	Coimbatore	13,300	..	..	13,300
21	Salem	27,000	473	..	26,550
22	Malabar	29,400	..	..	29,400
23	South Kanara	12,150	..	..	12,150
Total ..		..	..	..	5,33,600
(b) Municipalities.					
1	Chicacole	2,000	..	..	2,000
2	Berhampur	3,900	..	..	3,900
3	Bimlipatam	4,000	..	..	4,000
4	Anakapalle	2,800	..	..	2,800
5	Vizianagaram	2,050	..	..	2,050
6	Rajahmundry	400	..	..	400
7	Bezawada	2,900	..	(c) 2,041	4,950
8	Ellore	100	223	..	..
9	Palacole	3,000	..	..	3,000
10	Narasaraopet	5,550	..	..	5,550
11	Kurnool	10,200	..	..	10,200
12	Nandyal	1,850	..	..	1,850
13	Bellary	8,550	..	..	8,550
14	Hospet	1,650	..	(d) 1,568	3,200
15	Adoni	11,700	..	..	11,700
16	Tadpatri	3,800	..	..	3,800
17	Hindupur	4,400	..	..	4,400
18	Anantapur	2,850	(e) 1,655	..	1,200
19	Cuddapah	17,950	..	..	17,950
20	Nellore	3,850	..	..	3,850
21	Walajpet	(f) 3,850	199	(g) 990	4,650
22	Gudiyattam	6,950	..	..	6,950
23	Tirupattur	3,600	..	..	3,600
24	Tiruvannamalai	500	..	..	500
25	Villupuram	1,350	..	..	1,350
26	Mayavaram	3,550	..	..	3,550
27	Dindigul	2,150	..	..	2,150
28	Palni	2,550	(i) 69	..	2,500
29	Karur	3,550	..	..	3,550
30	Tiruppur	4,900	..	..	4,900
31	Udamalpet	1,450	..	..	1,450
32	Ootacamund	12,400	..	..	12,400
33	Calicut	1,000	..	..	1,000
34	Cannanore	1,900	96	..	1,800
35	Periyakulam	400	..	..	400
36	Chirala	1,000	..	..	1,000
37	Proddatur	3,650	..	..	3,650
38	Pollachi	1,250	..	..	1,250
Total ..		..	..	..	1,52,000
(c) Agency District Boards.					
1	Vizagapatam	950	..	..	950
2	Polavaram	1,050	..	950	(j) 2,000
Total ..		..	..	..	2,950

- (a) Revised with reference to G.O. Mis. No. 685, Law (Education), dated 23rd April 1926.
 (b) G.O. Mis. No. 685, Law (Education), dated 23rd April 1926.
 (c) Additional subsidy sanctioned in G.O. No. 1252, Law (Education), dated 6th July 1926.
 (d) Additional subsidy for the years 1925-26 and 1926-27 sanctioned in G.O. No. 3468, L. & M., dated 7th August 1926.
 (e) G.O. Mis. No. 261, Law (Education), dated 18th February 1926.
 (f) Revised with reference to G.O. No. 3207, L. & M., dated 27th July 1926.
 (g) Additional subsidy due for 1925-26 with reference to Government Order ibid.
 (h) Amount not to be paid to the council—vide paragraph 2 of order.
 (i) Government Memorandum No. 708 B-1, dated 25th March 1926.
 (j) Increased to Rs. 2,000 with reference to G.O. No. 758, Law (Education), dated 1st May 1926.

G.O. No. 1532, 13th August 1926

Subsidies—Distribution to local bodies for secondary education—1926-27—Ordered.

Read—the following papers:—

G.O. No. 270, Law (Education), dated 19th February 1926.

From the Director of Public Instruction, R.O.C. No. 687-B/26, dated 8th June 1926.

Order—No. 1532, Law (Education), dated 13th August 1926.

The Accountant-General is requested to place at the disposal of the local bodies concerned the amounts specified against them in column 6 of the annexure to these proceedings, which represent the subsidies payable to those bodies towards the maintenance of the secondary schools under their control. The subsidy due to the Ellore Municipality will be withheld and adjusted towards the overpayment made to it in previous years.

2. The subsidy of Rs. 3,600 sanctioned to the Tirupattur Municipal Council should not be paid to it but should be adjusted against the unspent grants refundable by the Council under G.O. No. 1675, L. & M., dated 19th June 1924.

3. The Presidents of District Boards and Chairmen of Municipal Councils concerned are requested to present the necessary bills at the Treasury for the amounts due and obtain payment.

4. The expenditure will be met from the sources indicated below:—

(i) Rupees 1,52,000 payable to Municipal Councils.

From the appropriation of Rs. 7,06,800 in the current year's budget estimate under "31. B.c—Education—Secondary—Grants to local bodies for secondary education—Grants-in-aid—Educational—Voted—Recurring—Teaching."

(ii) Rupees 2,950 payable to the Agency District Boards of Polavaram and Vizagapatam.

From the appropriation of Rs. 4,700 in the current year's budget estimate under "31. R.B.c—Education—Secondary—Grants to local bodies for secondary education—Agency—Grants-in-aid—Educational—Voted—Recurring—Teaching."

(iii) Rupees 5,33,600 payable to other District Boards.

From the appropriation of Rs. 7,06,800 in the current year's budget estimate under "31. B.c—Education—Secondary—Grants to local bodies for secondary education—Grants-in-aid—Educational—Voted—Recurring—Teaching."

(By order of the Government, Ministry of Education)

V. T. KRISHNAMA ACHARIYAR,
Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

- .. Accountant-General, through the Finance Department.
 .. Presidents, District Boards and Chairmen, Municipal Councils concerned.
 .. Examiner of Local Fund Accounts.
 .. Local Self-Government Department.
 .. Finance Department.

[Annexure.]

ANNEXURE
Distribution of subsidies among district boards and municipalities for the maintenance of secondary schools in 1926-27.

Serial number.	Name of District Board or Municipality.	Amount of subsidy fixed in G.O. No. 270, Law (Education), dated 19th February 1926.	Excess amount if any to be adjusted in 1926-27.	Additional subsidy sanctioned during 1926-27.	Amount payable in 1926-27 (column (3) + column (4) + column (5).)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
(a) District Boards.					
1	Ganjam	52,050	..	..	52,050
2	Visagapatam	26,400	..	..	26,400
3	Godavari East	39,700	..	..	39,700
4	Kistna	22,750	..	..	22,750
5	Guntur	26,050	..	..	26,050
6	Kurnool	30,350	..	..	30,350
7	Bellary	32,450	..	..	32,450
8	Anantapur	21,900	..	250	22,150
9	Cuddapah	19,700	..	..	19,700
10	Nellore	23,350	..	..	23,350
11	Chingleput	13,450	..	..	13,450
12	North Arcot	15,100	..	..	15,100
13	Chittoor	33,650 (a)	762 (b)	..	32,888
14	South Arcot	18,250	..	..	18,250
15	Tanjore	21,450	..	..	21,450
16	Trichinopoly	17,800	..	47	17,847
17	Madura	17,250	..	..	17,250
18	Ramnad	8,400	..	..	8,400
19	Tinnevely	12,650	..	..	12,650
20	Coimbatore	13,300	..	..	13,300
21	Salem	27,000	475	..	26,525
22	Malabar	29,400	..	..	29,400
23	South Kanara	12,150	..	..	12,150
	Total ..	..	..	..	5,33,600
(b) Municipalities.					
1	Chicacole	2,000	..	..	2,000
2	Berhampur	3,900	..	..	3,900
3	Bimlipatam	4,000	..	..	4,000
4	Anakapalle	2,800	..	..	2,800
5	Visianagaram	2,050	..	..	2,050
6	Rajahmundry	400	..	..	400
7	Bezwada	2,900	..	..	2,900
8	Ellore	100	234	(c) 2,041	2,375
9	Palacole	3,000	..	..	3,000
10	Narasaraopet	5,550	..	..	5,550
11	Kurnool	10,200	..	..	10,200
12	Nandyal	1,850	..	..	1,850
13	Bellary	8,550	..	..	8,550
14	Hospet	1,650	..	(d) 1,568	3,218
15	Adoni	11,700	..	..	11,700
16	Tadpatni	3,800	..	..	3,800
17	Hindupur	4,400	..	..	4,400
18	Anantapur	2,850	(e) 1,655	..	4,505
19	Cuddapah	17,950	..	..	17,950
20	Nellore	3,850	..	..	3,850
21	Walajapet	(f) 3,850	199	(g) 990	4,939
22	Gudiyattam	6,950	..	..	6,950
23	Tirupattur	3,600	..	..	3,600
24	Tiruvannamalai	500	..	..	500
25	Villupuram	1,350	..	..	1,350
26	Mayavaram	3,550	..	..	3,550
27	Dindigul	2,150	..	..	2,150
28	Palni	2,550	(i) 62	..	2,612
29	Karur	3,550	..	..	3,550
30	Tiruppur	4,900	..	..	4,900
31	Udamalpet	1,450	..	..	1,450
32	Ootacamund	12,400	..	..	12,400
33	Calicut	1,000	..	..	1,000
34	Cannanore	1,900	..	..	1,900
35	Periyakulam	400	..	..	400
36	Chirala	1,000	..	..	1,000
37	Proddatur	3,650	..	..	3,650
38	Pollachi	1,250	..	..	1,250
	Total ..	..	..	..	1,52,000
(c) Agency District Boards.					
1	Visagapatam	950	..	..	950
2	Polavaram	1,050	..	950	(j) 2,000
	Total ..	..	..	..	2,950

- (a) Revised with reference to G.O. Mis. No. 685, Law (Education), dated 23rd April 1926.
 (b) G.O. Mis. No. 685, Law (Education), dated 23rd April 1926 (No. 1,999-806-1-765).
 (c) Additional subsidy sanctioned in G.O. No. 1252, Law (Education), dated 6th July 1926.
 (d) Additional subsidy for the years 1925-26 and 1925-27 sanctioned in G.O. No. 3468, L. & M., dated 7th August 1926.
 (e) G.O. Mis. No. 261, Law (Education), dated 18th February 1926.
 (f) Revised with reference to G.O. No. 3207, L. & M., dated 27th July 1926.
 (g) Additional subsidy due for 1925-26 with reference to G.O. ibid.
 (h) Amount not to be paid to the council—vide paragraph 2 of order.
 (i) ~~Revised~~ Government Memorandum No. 708B-1, dated 25th March 1926.
 (j) Increased to Rs. 2,000 with reference to G.O. No. 753, Law (Education), dated 1st May 1926.

PREFERENCE

URGENT (a)

PREFERENCE.
Law & Education DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 1532, dated 13.8.1926.

(b)

Number of MS. pages sent { Correspondence.....
(b¹)
Notes.....

Brief subject. Subsidies for Secondary Education

(c) Number of copies required when struck off (not including copies for volumes and editors' table which are retained in the Press).	E. T. copies to supply requisitions for purchase by the public.	Complete correspondence and orders.	Notes
---	---	-------------------------------------	-------

FOR RECORD.*			
Madras Records	...	4	20
Ooty Records	...		5
For filing with original	...		1
Total	..		26

FOR ISSUE.†

Signature copies.....	5				
India Office.....					
Govt. of India.....	Dept.				
Revenue Department.....					
Public Works Department.....					
Local and Municipal Department.....					
Educational Department.....					
	Dept.				
Public Dept.....	}				
Judicial Dept.....					
Political.....					
Financial Dept.....		Total			
Marine Dept.....					
Ecclesiastical Dept...	}				

Spare copies		Total
The	S. P. J.	50 ✓
The	A. G.	3 ✓
The	P. D. B. S.	25
The	(M. C. S.)	40
The	Exam. M. T. Act.	41 ✓
The	L. S. G.	
The		
The		
The		
The		
The	DESPATCHED	
The	7th 8th SEP	
The		
Total		159

Copies distributed on ‡(Press Clerk).
† Copies distributed on ‡(Press Despatcher).

† To be initialled and dated

[P.T.O.]

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE
SECRETARIAT.

(a) If a paper is marked to be printed urgently, the Superintendent of the section concerned should sign the Press slip. The Superintendent should exercise a careful discretion in the matter.

(b) Each letter, memorandum, or order or set of orders, in the file of correspondence should be headed in succession with the Roman numeral I, II, III, etc., the number being written in red ink or blue chalk above the item. The particular papers to be printed in the special issue-copies should be indicated by the Roman numeral (I, II, etc.) by which that item has been headed in the correspondence file. All matter, including marginal remarks or references, to be printed should be written in ink and matter which should not be printed should be encircled. Initials and signatures that are not quite legible should be re-written distinctly.

(b¹) For rules regarding printing of notes, see rule 315 of the office Manual.

(c) The number of copies and the details of distribution should be filled in by the Superintendent or Referencer concerned.

(d) In the absence of special instructions to the Press to keep matter standing for a specified period, ordinary papers of 8 pages and under will be kept standing for *one week*, and larger papers for *two weeks only*. *The type of notes and of all confidential papers is distributed immediately after striking.*

(e) This slip, properly and completely filled in, should accompany every paper sent to Press; it will be returned by the Press when proofs are furnished; it should be sent to the Press when a call is made for revised proofs or when a corrected proof is sent for striking. When finally returned by Press it should be filed with the original order or letter.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE PRESS.

(a) If, in the opinion of the Superintendent of the Press, a paper has been marked urgent unnecessarily, he should send the Press slip to the Registrar with a remark to that effect.

(b) Unless a note to the contrary is made against the matter, pencil entries should not be printed. The Superintendent of the Press may bring to the notice of the Registrar any instance of incomplete or careless editing.

(c) Signature copies of letters to the Secretary of State or to the Government of India should be printed on hand-made paper.

(d) This slip should be returned by the Press to the Secretariat when proofs are furnished; it will be sent back to the Press when proofs are returned corrected and should be finally returned to the Secretariat when struck-off copies are supplied.

(e) The names of the Secretary and Members should be printed in full when they occur on the right hand side, only the first time when they appear on the left side, the initials alone being printed later.

TO PRESS, MADRAS, OOTY.

A
Please send proof in duplicate. The papers have been carefully edited.

B
Proof returned corrected. Please strike off and send number of copies as indicated.

As the matter is clear manuscript and has been carefully edited, (b) proof need not be sent. Please print and supply number of copies as indicated.

Type may be released after usual interval at once.

Type may be released after usual interval.

Referencer.
Clerk.

Referencer.
Clerk.

Referencer.
Clerk.

Supt.

Supt.

Supt.

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS.

Please show proof of urgency.

CPA
12-8-26

TO BE FILLED IN BY THE PRESS.

Diary.	Initials of Press official.
Received in Press on.....	13/8/26 Ch
First proof furnished on.....	16/8/26 Ch
Call for revised proof received on.....	
Revised proof furnished on.....	
Corrected proof received for striking on.....	
Final copies supplied on.....	

Account of copies.	Complete copies.	Notes.	Issue copies.	Special E.T. edition.
Supplied to Secretariat for Record.....				
" " " Issue.....				
" " " for Extra E.T. copies to supply requisitions for sale to the public.				4
For Volumes.....				
For supply to newspapers and institutions admitted to the privileges of the E.T.				
Total ...				

CF. 20



DEPARTMENT.

Received

Registered

192

Subject.

Subsidy - maintenance of Board and Municipal Secondary Schools - 1926-27

ENCLOSURES.

No.

R.O.C. No. 687 P/26

Spare copies

Station Madras Dated the 8th June 1926

From (Name) R.G. Grieve Esq., M.A.,
(Designation) As Director of Public Instruction

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras
I a w (Education) Department.

Sir,

With reference to para 5 of G.O.No.270 L.E., dated 19-2-26, I have the honour to state that the District Educational Officers were requested to submit the necessary certificates in regard to the maintenance of the number of secondary schools in the past year and all the District Educational Officers, including the Agency Educational Officer, and the Inspectress of Girls' Schools, Western Circle have submitted the certificates. It is observed from the certificates that all the local bodies have maintained the number of secondary schools they had on the date of the order fixing the

the grant. A statement showing the subsidy payable to each local body in the current year towards the maintenance of secondary schools is submitted herewith. The fixed subsidies payable under G.O.No.270 L.E., dated 19-2-26 are recommended for payment in the current year, with certain modifications in respect of the following local bodies:-

(i) The District Board Chittoor. The subsidy payable in 1926-27 to the District Board under G.O.No.270 L.E., dated 19-2-26 is Rs.34,600 inclusive of the subsidy of Rs.11,511 payable towards the maintenance of the Government High School Chittoor transferred to the management of the District Board. With reference to G.Os.Nos. 455 L.E., dated 26-3-26 and 685 Mis., L.E., dated 23-4-26 the subsidy payable to the Board High School, Chittoor is to be reduced from Rs.11,511 to Rs.10,542. Taking this amount into account, the total subsidy payable to the District Board has been reduced from Rs.34,600 to Rs.33,631 in the current year. The fixed subsidy payable will be Rs.33,650. With reference to para 2 of G.O.No.685 Mis., L.E., dated 23-4-26 a sum of Rs.763 (Rs.1069 - Rs.306 = Rs.763) being the overpayment made in 1925-26 has to be adjusted in the current year. The subsidy recommended for payment in the current year is therefore only Rs.32,868 or Rs.32,850 (Rs.33,631 - Rs.763 = Rs.32,868).

(ii) District Board Salem The net cost in respect of the Board High Schools at Dharmapuri and Krishnagiri for 1920-21 was not adjusted as the statement of actual receipts and charges for 1920-21 was not transmitted by the Board. In 1925-26 the statement of actual receipts and charges received from the President District Board was scrutinised and it is observed that there was an excess amount of Rs.400 to the credit of the Board High School Dharmapuri and a sum of Rs.72-15-0 or Rs.73 to the credit of the Board High School Krishnagiri or a total sum of Rs.472-15-0 or Rs.473 standing to the credit of the high schools in respect of the year 1920-21. The President District Board has already been informed by me that the excess sum of Rs.473 referred to will be adjusted from the fixed subsidy payable to the District Board in 1926-27. In these circumstances, the deduction of a

sum of Rs.473 is recommended and the amount payable in the current year to the District Board Salem is only Rs.26,527 or Rs.26,550.

(iii) Municipal High School, Ellore. With reference to G.O.No.78 L.E., dated 20-1-25 a sum of Rs.2115 has to be adjusted. In 1925-26 a sum of Rs.1892 (Rs.100 fixed subsidy plus Rs.1792 adjustment of net cost for 1924-25) was adjusted against the overpayment of Rs.2115 leaving a balance of Rs.223 (Rs.2115 - 1892). The fixed subsidy payable in the current year is only Rs.100 against the unspent balance of Rs.223, still remaining to be adjusted. Hence no amount is recommended for payment in the current year.

(iv) Municipal High School, Anantapur. A sum of Rs.4660 being the unspent balance remaining with the Council out of the Provincial grants paid in the previous years has to be adjusted with reference to G.O.No.261 Mis, L.E., dated 18-2-26. In 1925-26 a sum of Rs.3005 was adjusted against the excess payment of Rs.4660, leaving a balance of Rs.1655 as shown in

Subsidy payable in 1925-26	
Fixed subsidy	Rs. 2870
Adjustment for 24-25	639
	<u>3509</u>
Amount paid for the first 9 months at Rs.56 per mensem	504
Balance not paid	<u>3005</u>

the margin (Rs.4660 - Rs.3005). It is proposed to deduct the balance of Rs.1655 from the fixed subsidy payable in the current year and the balance (Rs.2850 - Rs.1655 = Rs.1195 or Rs.1200) is only recommended for payment.

(v) Municipal High School, Walajapet. A sum of Rs.4903 being the excess payment of 1924-25 had to be adjusted in 1925-26. But as the subsidy payable in 1925-26 was only Rs.4704 (Fixed subsidy Rs.2849 plus Adjustment for 1924-25 Rs.1855) which was adjusted against the overpayment, a balance of Rs.199 (Rs.4903 - Rs.4704) has still to be adjusted and it is proposed to deduct the amount in the current year. With reference to the Director's Endorsement R.C.No.721 E/26 dated 31-3-26 addressed to Government in the Local Self Government Department a sum of Rs.335 being the ultimate extra cost on account of the entertainment of an additional teacher sanctioned in G.O.No.3765 L & M dated 15-10-25 has been taken into account.

(vi) Municipal High School, Palni. With reference to Government Memo No. 708 B-1 dated 25-3-26 the excess amount of Rs. 69 paid to the Municipal Council Palni in 1925-26 is proposed to be deducted from the fixed subsidy payable in the current year.

(vii) Municipal High School, Cannanore. It was originally reported by the Municipal Council Cannanore that the unspent balance which stood to the credit of the Municipal Council on 1-4-25 out of the Provincial grant paid in the previous year was Rs. 618 and this was ordered to be adjusted in Government memo No. 465 B-1 dated 23-2-26. But it was subsequently reported by the Chairman that the exact unspent balance out of the recurring subsidy of Rs. 1920 paid in 1924-25 was only Rs. 556 and not Rs. 618. Out of the unspent balance of Rs. 556, a sum of Rs. 460 was adjusted in 1925-26 with reference to Government Memo No. 465 B-1 dated 23-2-26. The balance of Rs. 96 (Rs. 556 - Rs. 460) is therefore proposed to be deducted in the current year. The Chairman Municipal Council has already been informed of this deduction.

(viii) Municipal Council, Bezwada. With reference to my letter R.C.No. 398 B/26 dated 11-5-26 a sum of Rs. 2041, which represents the total amount of special fees, taken into account in the adjustment of net cost of the schools in the previous years, is also recommended for payment in the current year.

(ix) Municipal Council, Tiruppathur. A sum of Rs. 3600 is payable to the Municipal Council Tiruppathur in the current year, but no amount is recommended for payment in view of the orders contained in G.O. No. 1675 L & M dated 19-6-24. If the unspent balance of Provincial grants have all been recovered from the Municipal Council then the amount may be paid.

2. The total amount recommended for payment is Rs. 684500

District Boards	Rs. 5,33,300	and the expenditure can be met from the
Municipalities	1,51,200	provision of Rs. 706800 in the Civil Budget
	<u>6,84,500</u>	

Estimate for 1926-27 under "31.B(c) Education-Secondary-grants to local bodies for secondary education-Grants-in-Aid Educational-voted-recurring-Teaching." I may also state in this connection that the extra cost on account of the revision of the pay of

separately file certain teachers in the Municipal High School, Walajapet, proposed to be sanctioned by Government can also be met from the anticipated savings, available in the current year's budget provision referred to above.

3. The Agency District Boards. With reference to G.O. No. 758 L.E., dated 1-5-26 the subsidy payable to the Board Middle School, Polavaram has been raised to Rs. 2000. The total amount payable to the Agency District Boards is Rs. 2950 and this can be met from the current year's provision of Rs. 4700 under "31 R.B.C. Education-Secondary-Grants to local bodies for Secondary Education - Agency Grants-in-Aid - Educational - Voted Recurring Teaching."

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

R. R. S. K. a
For Director of Public Instruction

T. R. 9/6

SW.
9/6.

Distribution of subsidies among District Boards & Municipalities for the maintenance of Secondary Schools in 1926-27

Sl. No.	Name of District Board or Municipality	Amount of subsidy fixed in G.O. No. 2702 E. of 19.2.26	Excess amount if any to be adjusted in 1926-27	Additional subsidy sanctioned during 1926-27	Amount payable in 1926-27 (column 3 + column 4 + column 5)	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	(a) District Boards					
1	Gangam	52,050			52,050	
2	Vizagapatnam	26,400			26,400	
3	Godavari East	39,700			39,700	
4	Kistna	22,750			22,750	
5	Guntur	26,050			26,050	
6	Ximool	30,350			30,350	
7	Bellary	32,450			32,450	
8	Anantapur	21,900		250	22,150	
9	Cuddapah	19,700			19,700	
10	Nellore	23,350			23,350	
11	Chungelput	13,450			13,450	
12	North Arcot	15,100			15,100	
13	Chittoor	33,650 (a)	763 (b)		32,850	(a) Revised with reference to G.O. No. 685 Mis. & E. dated 23-4-26
14	South Arcot	18,250			18,250	(b) Please see G.O. No. 685 Mis. & E. dated 23-4-26 (Rs 1069-306 = Rs 763)
15	Tanjore	21,450			21,450	
16	Trichinopoly	17,800		47	17,850	
17	Madurai	17,250			17,250	
18	Ramanad	8,400			8,400	
19	Tinnevely	12,650			12,650	
20	Coimbatore	13,300			13,300	
21	Salem	27,000	473		26,550	(Rs 27000 - Rs 473 = Rs 26527 or Rs 26550)

~~2~~

7

7



k

72

3

8

11

7

8

	(1) Municipalities				
1	Chicaole	2,000		2,000	
2	Berhanpore	3,900		3,900	
3	Bimlipatam	4,000		4,000	
4	Anakapalle	2,800		2,800	
5	Vizianagaram	2,050		2,050	
6	Rajahmundry	400		400	
7	Bezawada	2,900		4,950	(c) Included with reference to the Director's letter Re no 398 B/26
8	Ellose	100	223	-	11.5.26
9	Palacole	3,000		3,000	
10	Narasaraopet	5,550		5,550	
11	Xunool	10,200		10,200	
12	Varisyal	1,850		1,850	
13	Bellary	8,550		8,550	
14	Hospet	1,650	1568 ⁽²⁾	3,200 1,650	(2) Additional subsidy (for the years 1925-26 & 1926-27) sanctioned in G.O. No 3468 LHM 7.8.26.
15	Adoni	11,700		11,700	
16	Tadpatri	3,800		3,800	
17	Hindupur	4,400		4,400	
18	Anantapur	2,850	1655(d)	1,200	(d) (Rs 2850 - 1655 = 1195 or 1200) G.O. No 261 M.S. & E dated 18.2.26
19	Cuddapah	17,950		17,950	
20	Nellore	3,850		3,850	
21	Walajapet	3850 ^(K) 2,850	199	990 ^(K) 335^(c) 4650	(K) Revised with reference to G.O. No 3207 LHM 27.7.26. (L) Additional subsidy due for 1925-26 with reference to G.O. No 3468 LHM 7.8.26. (c) Included with reference to the Director's endorsement Re no 721 B/26 dated 31.3.26. addressed to the Local Self Govt.

(c) Included with reference to the Director's letter Re no 398 B/26 11.5.26

(2) Additional subsidy (for the years 1925-26 & 1926-27) sanctioned in G.O. No 3468 LHM 7.8.26.

(d) (Rs 2850 - 1655 = 1195 or 1200) G.O. No 261 M.S. & E dated 18.2.26

(K) Revised with reference to G.O. No 3207 LHM 27.7.26.
(L) Additional subsidy due for 1925-26 with reference to G.O. No 3468 LHM 7.8.26.
(c) Included with reference to the Director's endorsement Re no 721 B/26 dated 31.3.26. addressed to the Local Self Govt.

12

22	Gudiyattam	6,950		6,950
23	Trimpathur	3,600		3,600 ^(m)
24	Truvannamalai	500		500
25	Vallupuram	1,350		1,350
26	Mayavaram	3,550		3,550
27	Dindigul	2,150		2,150
28	Palni	2,550 (g) 69		2,500
29	Karur	3,550		3,550
30	Trimpur	4,900		4,900
31	Udamalpet	1,450		1,450
32	Cottacamund	12,400		12,400
33	Calicut	1,000		1,000
34	Cannanore	1,900	96	1,800
35	Salon	6,000		6,000
36	Periyakulam	400		400
37	Chirala	1,000		1,000
38	Prodattur	3,650		3,650
39	Pollachi	1,250		1,250
		1,54,500	2,242	2,376
				15,200

(c) Agency District Boards

1	Vizagapatnam	950		950
2	Polavaram	1,050		950
		2,000		2,950
4	18			

9

12 9

8

Amount not to be paid to the Council - vide paragraph 2 of order.

(g) (Rs 2,550. As per No 2481 or 2500 Please see Govt Memo No 708-B.1 of 25-3-26)

(T)

CPA 1000

15,180 - 1000

(h) Increased to Rs 2000 with reference to go no 758.2E 1.5.26

R.A. Sultana

For Director of Public Instruction

13
Law (Ed) Dept.

C. No. 1418B

From the D.P.J. Q.O.C.
No. 687 B/26 & 8-6-26

Subject: Distribution of subsidies
to local bodies for the maintenance
of secondary schools for 1926-27.

1. The D.P.J. submits proposals for the distribution of the fixed subsidies payable to local bodies in the current year for the maintenance of the secondary schools. They ~~propose~~ call for the following remarks:

2. Municipal high school

Walajapet. The Director has included in the subsidy shown against the Municipal Council, Walajapet, a sum of Rs. 385 which represents the extra cost on account of the employment of an additional teacher sanctioned

in P.O. No. 3755 dt. 15.10.25. In another file ~~dealing with the same~~ it has been proposed not to include the fixed subsidy already sanctioned for the school in P.O. No. 270 dt. 19.2.26 on this

2-
this account. The amount of Rs. 335 included by the Director may however be disallowed.

(ii) Municipal high school, Kumpattur.

The subsidy payable to the Municipal Council, Kumpattur, in the current year is Rs. 2600, but no amount has been recommended by the

Director ~~for payment~~ in view of the orders in G.O. No. 1675 L & M of 19.6.24. The L.S.G. Dept

may be requested to state whether the suspense balances of provincial roads have all been recovered from the Council and, if not, what amount is still refundable by it. After L.S.G. has noted on this point, the amount, if any, payable to the Council ~~after the recovery of the suspense balances~~ may be included in the statement.

(iii) Municipal College, Salem.

The Director has shown the fixed subsidy of Rs. 6000 sanctioned for the Municipal College, Salem, as the amount payable to the Council in the current year. In another file it has been proposed to increase

p 4 cf

(K) in linked file (disturbed)

See K on page 4 and L.S.G. note on page 4 info

p 9 cf

11

3-
increase the subsidy paid to the college from Rs. 6000 to Rs. 7000. The file on the subject ~~is not~~ has been sent to the Finance Dept for acceptance of draft order. The subsidy of Rs. 6000 shown against the Municipal Council, Salem, may therefore be raised to Rs. 7000.

3/ The Director's proposals may otherwise be accepted. A draft order is submitted for approval. It may be sent to L.S.G. and Finance for acceptance. ~~It is~~ In the last two

C.P.A. 17.6.26

years the subsidy due for secondary education was disbursed in twelve monthly instalments under G.O. No. 153 dated 12th May 1924. With reference

to the orders in paragraph 5 of G.O. No. 270 dated 19.2.26, the Director proposes to distribute the fixed subsidies in one lump sum in the current year.



Lans (Edn) no 14 K B-1 23.6.26.



18091

SK 18.6.26.

AK 21.6.26.

V.T.K. 22.6

L.S.G. } Fin }

Leg

The draft order on a/c accepted
in the Dept and the file ~~not~~ passed on
to 8000 to 7 in a/c a/c.

Law
3/7/26

Please see linked file re the
refund of grants by the Tirupattur
M.C. The M.C. has still to re-
fund Rs 5,376. The Law (Edn) Dept
may be requested to add a
para to its draft sanctioning
the grant of Rs 3,600 to the M.C.
and asking the A.G. to credit
the grant to Prov. funds in
partial adjustment of unspent
grants refundable by the Council
under G.O. No. 1675 L & M of 19-6-24. (K) in linked
file

The case may be ~~returned to~~
~~Law~~ passed on to Finance no.

Ry
7/26
13/26

1000/577

an amount of Rs 2401 is under
discussion. If this is left out for the present
the amount payable to the Tirupattur M.C. is

$$3600 - 5376 + 2401 = Rs 625$$

CSE

17726

20845 EXP

5376 19-2-26

2401
2975

Through B. Section
to note the refund of
provincial funds by
the Tirupattur M.C.
- vide note on p. 2 supra.

need 5/7

(15 of linked file)
(disturbed)

K

6001
5376
625

1000/577
17726

18094-1
19/7/26

15

Finance (Exp)

Submitted that the draft put up by
Law (Edn) may be accepted subject to the
remarks below:

2. Municipal High School, Wallajapet. This
department has recently agreed to an additional
subsidy being given on account of the revision
of pay of teachers and the entertainment of additional
teachers in this school. The subsidy of Rs 2,650
proposed will have to be raised.

3. Municipal High School, Tirupattur. Please
see the L.S.G. Dept notes on page 4 ante. The
of unspent balance of Provincial grants
amount to be refunded to Govt by the Municipal
Council is Rs 5,376. But the Municipal Council
requests that as a sum of Rs 2,401 has already been
spent by it, the amount to be refunded may be
taken as (Rs 5376 - 2401) Rs 2975. No decision has
been arrived at on this point. It is submitted
that pending final orders, the whole of the grant
due to the Municipal Council for Secondary Education
in the current year, viz., Rs 3,600, may be adjusted
to the credit of Provincial funds.

4. Municipal College, Salem. Please see
para 2 (iii) of Law (Edn) note on pages 2-3 ante.
This dept has not agreed to raise the subsidy
to this institution from Rs 6,000 to Rs 7,000.
The amount may, therefore, remain at Rs 6,000

para 1(v) on p. 3 of + para 207
on p. 1-2 nt.
File in L.S.G.

[This is different from
the proposal at M. on page 4 ante]

Item 21 on p. 8 of

10/26

File in L.S.G.

Item 23 on p. 9 of
26/7

Item 35 on p. 10 of

Since decided to give
Rs 6,500 in 1926-27
10/26


Under consideration.
2. In the case of the Salem
Municipal Council, the Council is unwilling
to pay interest on the ^{balance of} Provincial funds
retained

retained by it. The interest up to 31.8.26 amounts to Rs 12068. The question arises whether the grant now payable should be withheld till the question about the payment of interest is settled. The Council is also taxing Govt. land at a high rate. If this grant is now paid there will be only the trunk road grant of Rs 900 and odd, which is not large. Perhaps the Council may be given a sufficiently long rope and the question of withholding the grant may be considered next year, if by then the Council does not take up a reasonable attitude.

This is being
seriously considered
28.2.26

For
L.S.G. No. 22186-1A

31.8.26



Fin. No. 22514 Exp.
4-8-26.

Finance (Exp)

Please see notes from page 5 ante

2 Salem Municipal Council - [para 2 of L.S.G. Asst Secy's note on pages 7-8 ante] The position is this. On 31-3-1922, the Municipal Council had with it an unspent balance of Govt grants amounting to Rs 61,690. The Govt ordered that this amount should be refunded to them in 4 equal annual instalments together with interest from 1-4-1922 at the prevailing rates from time to time. The Municipal Council has so far paid 3 instalments amounting to Rs 46,267. The balance yet to be refunded is Rs 15,423. It has not paid any interest so far and the amount of interest due to Govt up to 31-3-26 is Rs 12,068. The Municipal Council is evading ~~interest~~ payment of interest. The question is under separate consideration in L.S.G.

(ascertained from L.S.G. File is in that dept - not yet seen in Fin.)

* Item 35 on p 9 CF

para 2 of draft order

In the circumstances, it is submitted that it will be preferable to withhold the subsidy of Rs 6,500 proposed in this case. As in the case of the Tirupattur Municipal Council, the amount may be adjusted to the credit of Provincial funds pending a decision on the question of payment of interest by the Salem Municipal Council

3 Subject to ^{orders on} ~~the remarks in~~ para 2 on page 9 ante, the draft ~~may~~ be accepted.

7.8.26. 7.8.26 8.8.26

Law

Edu Dept.

A.S.

Please see notes on pp 7-9 ante. The Finance dept suggests that the subsidy of Rs. 6,500 payable to the Salem Municipal Council should be withheld and adjusted to the credit of provincial fund ~~the~~ pending a decision on the question of payment of interest by the Council. L.S.G. will have to be consulted further on the proposal. If the draft order is to be issued after this question is settled the distribution of subsidies to local bodies may be delayed still further. It is desirable to place the subsidies at the disposal of the local bodies as early as possible. Orders in regard to the subsidy payable

Fin. no. 0.22514 Expd 9-8-26.
Stamp: DEPT. OF REVENUE, GOVT. OF MADRAS, 10-8-1926
Eon 1849 16 98 26.

payable to the Salem Municipal Council may be held over for the present. ~~and the draft order issued.~~ The subsidy shown against the Municipal Council ^{in the annexure to the draft} has been omitted ~~from the draft~~. For orders as to issue of the draft 2 After issue of the draft further action will be taken in regard to the subsidy payable to the Salem Municipal Council.

V.T.K.

CPA
10.8.26
SK
10.8.26.

3. Orders have just been issued in L.S.G. sanctioning an additional subsidy of Rs 1568 for the last + current years for the Mann's high school, Hospet. This has been included in the annexure to the draft.

Draft sent to Pres on 12/8

CA
11.8.26

V.T.K.
12.8.

	Date.	Initials.
When received for Fair-copying.		
When copied	..	
When examined	..	
When signed	..	
When despatched	..	

Subsidies.

Distribution to local bodies for secondary education - 1926-27 - ordered.

READ AGAIN G.O. No. 270 Law (Edn) dated 19-2-26. 192

” ” ” ” ” 192

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 1418 B. From the D.P.I. R.O.C., No. 687 B/26, dated 8-6-1926.

" " " " " " "

192

" " " " ~~~~~~ " ",..... " " 192

ORDER

Press.

No. 1532, dated 13. 8. 1926

The A.G. is requested to place at the disposal of the local bodies concerned the amounts specified against them in column 6 of the annexure to these proceedings, which represent the subsidies payable to those bodies towards the maintenance of secondary schools under their control. The subsidy due to the Ellore Municipality will be withheld and adjusted towards the overpayment made to it in previous years.

2. The subsidy of Rs.3,600. sanctioned to the Tiruppattur Municipality Council should not be paid to it but should be adjusted against the unspent grants refundable by the Council under G.O. 1675 No. L & M., dated 19-6-1924.

3. The P.D.Bs. and C.M.Cs. ~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Concerned

are requested to present the necessary bills at the Treasury for the amounts due and obtain payment.

4. The expenditure will be met from the sources indicated below:--

- (i) ^{Rs. 1,52,000} ~~Rs. 1,50,450~~ payable to Municipal Councils. From the appropriation of Rs.7,06,800 in the current year's budget estimate under "31.B.c-Education - Secy.-grants to local bodies for secy.. education - grants-in-aid Educational - Voted - recurring - teaching." p 226 CBE.
- (ii) Rs.2,950 payable to the Agency Dt. Boards of Polavaram and Vizagapatam. From the appropriation of Rs.4,700 in the current year's budget estimate under "31.R.B.c -Education - Secondary - Grants to local bodies for secondary education - Agency - grants-in-aid - Educational - Voted - recurring - teaching". p 254 CBE.
- (iii) Rs.5,33,600 payable to other Dt.Boards. From the appropriation of Rs.7,06,800 in the current year's budget estimate under "31.B.c. Education - Secondary - grants to local bodies for secondary education - grants-in-aid Educational - Voted - recurring - teaching". p 226 CBE.

CBE
29.7.26
8/19
29.7.26.

CR
29/7

V.TK
30/7

Annexure.

(H.E. statement at pp.6-9 c.f.)

DESPATCHED
28-SEP-26

To

The D.P.I. ✓
The A.G. through Finance. ✓
The P.D.Bs. and C.M.Cs. concerned. ✓
The Examiner of L.F.Accounts. ✓
The L.S.G. Department. ✓
The Finance Department. ✓