Scott. II-29--27,004--25-11-25.

Law (1926) Department.
(Education)

Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 1449

DATED 30-7-1926

[Despatch Abstract.]

Elementary Schools.

Taluk Boards in Tanjore district--advised to shoft certain -------to localities not already provided with schools.

A 1

P.S. 4—800—24-10-23.

TBS
26/4 ②

PRIVATE SECRETARY'S OFFICE, MADRAS.

12108

23 APR 1926

Memo. No. 3 - 15/26

Transferred to the Secretary to Government, Local Self Government Department, for favour of disposal.

40

Enclosures :

(By order of His Excellency the Governor.)

Private Secretary.

Madras Governor's Camp,
The 21st April 1926.

2

9-4-1926
Tiruvadi

L.S.G.

His Excellency
சென்னை கவர்னர் துரை அவர்கள் ஸமுகத்துக்கு
சென்னை

Translation on p 49

தஞ்சாவூர் ஜில்லா தஞ்சாவூர் தாலுக்கா திருவாடியிலுள்ள பொதுஜனங்கள் எழுதிக்கொண்ட மகஜர் நாமா.

1) தஞ்சாவூர் ஜில்லா தஞ்சாவூர் தாலுக்கா திருவாடியில் [illegible] தாலுக்கா போர்டு [illegible] அவர்களால் [illegible] எயிடெட் ஸ்கூல்களுக்கு [illegible] மேற்படியார் 19.6.24ல் ஒரு போர்டு பாடசாலை பையன்களுக்காக திறக்கப்பட்டது.

2) அப்படியே பாடசாலை இவ்வூரில் உள்ள எயிடெட் ஸ்கூல்களுக்கு இடைஞ்சலாக இருப்பதால் பையன்களுடைய [illegible] விருத்தியாகவில்லை என்றும். இவ்வூரில் உள்ள ஏழைப்பிள்ளைகளுக்கு இவ்வூரில் உள்ள எயிடெட் ஸ்கூல்களில் [illegible] [illegible] என்றும் அப்படியே இடைஞ்சலாக உள்ள பாடசாலையை பள்ளிக்கூடம் இல்லாத ஊர்களில் வைக்கவேண்டியதென்றும் நாங்கள் பல தடவைகளில் [illegible] மேற்படியாரிடம் கேட்டுக்கொண்டதை [illegible]. [illegible] [illegible].

3) [illegible] உள்ளவர்களுக்கு [illegible] மேற்படி பள்ளிக்கூடம் இல்லாத ஊருக்கு மாற்ற வேண்டுமென்று ஜி. ஓ. நெ. 117 லா. எஜுகேஷன் தேதி 27.1.26ல் உத்திரவு பிறந்திருக்கிறது.

4) [illegible] நாங்கள் எயிடெட் ஸ்கூல்களை விருத்தி செய்ய வேணும் என்றும். போர்டு பாடசாலையை பள்ளிக்கூடம் இல்லாத ஊர்களில் எயிடெட் ஸ்கூல்களுக்கு இடைஞ்சல் [illegible] வைக்கவேண்டுமென்றும் உத்திரவு பிறந்திருக்கிறது.

5) இவைகளை அனுசரித்து திருவாடியிலுள்ள [illegible] தாலுக்கா போர்டு பாடசாலையை பள்ளிக்கூடம் இல்லாத ஊருக்கு மாற்ற வேண்டுமென்று கவர்மெண்டார் அவர்கள் ஜி. ஓ. நெ. 117 லா. எஜுகேஷன் தேதி 27.1.26ல் [illegible] உத்திரவு செய்யப்பட்டிருக்கிறது.

6.) மேல்கண்ட காரணங்களால் உத்தேசமான [illegible] [illegible] போர்டார் ஸ்கூல் பள்ளிக்கூடத்தை எடுக்கவில்லை [illegible] அவசியமான காலத்தை தெரிவித்துக்கொள்கிறோம்

7) அப்படி காலதாமதம் செய்தால் திருவாடியில் உள்ள எயிடெட் ஸ்கூல் [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

(8) மேல்கண்ட போர்டு [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] போர்டு ஸ்கூ [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] பள்ளிக்கூடம் இல்லாத [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] மேல்கண்ட உத்தேசமானது [illegible] பள்ளிக்கூடம் இல்லாத ஊர்களில் [illegible] போர்டு ஸ்கூல்கள் [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] திருவையாறு போர்டு ஸ்கூல் [illegible] [illegible]வில்லை.

1 [illegible]
2 [illegible]
3 [illegible]
4 सरस्वत [illegible]
5 [illegible]
6 சுப்பிரமணிய வாத்தியார்
7 [illegible]
8 [illegible]
9 [illegible]
10 [illegible]
11 H. Subramaniyar
12 S. Ramanathu aiyer
13 G. [illegible]
14 [illegible]
15 S. [illegible]
16 Rajanga Sukhaiyer
17 T.A. Subramania Iyer
18 [illegible]
19 [illegible]
20 T.A. Swaminathayyer
21 [illegible]
22 [illegible]
23 [illegible]
24 [illegible]
25 [illegible]
26 S. Subramaniyar
27 [illegible]
28 [illegible]
29 T.S. [illegible]
30 S. Ramachandran Iyer
31 [illegible]
32 [illegible]
33 [illegible]
34 T. [illegible] aiyer
35 [illegible]
36 [illegible]
37 V. Sattanatha desicar
38 [illegible]
39 S. [illegible]
40 S.R. Krishnamoorthy aiyar
41 [illegible]
42 P. Mahadevan

COPY OF PROCEEDINGS OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION, MADRAS.

L. Dis. No. 2025. B/24 dated 2-2-26.

Letter R.C. No. 68. of 25 dated 24-12-25, from the District Educational Officer, Tanjore.

The attention of the District Educational Officer, Tanjore, is directed to G.O. No. 117, Law (Education) dated 27th Jan 26 communicated with the Director's proceedings Dis. No. 457/26 dated 2nd February 26 and he is request to inform the correspondent of the aided school Tiruvady, that suggestion has been made to remove the Board Elementary School, Tiruvady, to a school less centre.

C.S.6/26
-2-26.

Communicated to the Dy. Inspectors of schools, Tanjore and Tirukkattupalli ranges and the correspondent, Saraswathi Ambal School, Tiruvady.

(Sd.) V. Srinivasachary.
Ag. Dt. Educational Officer,
Tanjore.

(True copy)

3

5

(5)

43 S. [illegible]moorthy iyer

44 சா. சுப்பிரமணிய அய்யர்

45 K. [illegible]

46 V Ekanathaiah

47 R. Ramaswamy

48 T. K. L. Narayan

49 T. G. Krishnamurthi.

50 [illegible]

51 T. P. [illegible]

52 [illegible]

53 மாணிக்கம் பிள்ளை

54 [illegible]

55 [illegible]

56 [illegible]

57 [illegible]

58 [illegible]

59. [illegible]

60. [illegible]

61. T. R. Venkataraman

62. S. Sundaram

63. [illegible]

64. [illegible]

65 [illegible]

66. [illegible]

67 [illegible]

68. R. Ramasamy iyer

69 M. V. Krishnamoorthy

[illegible]

71 [illegible]

72. S Seetharaman

73 [illegible]

74 K. Panchanathan Iyer

75 A K Venkataraman

76 R. Thiagarajan

77 S. Natarajan

78 S. Venkatasarman.

79 [illegible] பிள்ளை
80 S. Ganesan.
81 M. Subramanian
82 ~~Subrahmanya~~ Iyer
83. T. S. Vythnatha Iyer
84. S. Narahari Iyer
85. S. [illegible]
86. T. S. Gangadhara Iyer
87 Ramaswamy Pillai
88. R. Natarajan.
89. K. Rajagopala Iyer
90. [illegible]
91. Palaniyandi Pillai
92. [illegible]
93. Venkataraman.
94 [illegible] Iyer
95. T. S. Subramania Iyer.
96 [illegible]
97 S. Arokiaswami Pillai
98 [illegible]
99. [illegible]
100 [illegible]
101 [illegible]
102 [illegible]
103 [illegible]
104 K. [illegible]
105 [illegible]

Extract from the Proceedings of the Tanjore District Educational Council, dated 27--3--26.

L. Edn 1741 A
7/5/26

--o--

7-MAY-1926
LAW DEPARTMENT

Present :-- 1. M.R.Ry., I. Kumaraswami Pillai Avl., B.A., President.

Ex-officio members.

2. M[illegible] Pennirselvam, President, District Board, Tanjore (Bar-at-law.)
3. [illegible] C. Srinivasachariar, B.A., L.T., Ag. District Educational Officer, Tanjore.

Elected members.

4. Janab A.K.M. Mohideen Maricair S.B. - Negapatam.
5. M.R.Ry., S.R. Rajagopala Iyengar Avl., Mannargudi.
6. ,, R.C. Venkatarama Naidu Garu Kumbakonam.
7. ,, K.C. Subramaniam Chettiar Avl., Bar-at-law, Negapatam
8. ,, N.R. Swamiappa Mudaliar Avl., Tirutturaipundi.
9. ,, C.S. Krishnaswami Iyer, B.A., B.L., - Papanasam.
10. ,, S. Govindaswami Sindurayar Avl., Papanasam.
11. ,, A.V. Ramayya Naidu Garu. - - - - Pattukkottai
12. ,, A.V. Devasirvatham Pillai Avl., -do-
13. ,, S. Thillainayagam Pillai Avl., Mayavaram.

Nominated members.

14. ,, K. Kulandaivelu Nayanar Avl., Mannargudi
15. Janab Khan Bahadur / V. Hameed Sultan Maricair S.B. M.L.C. - Negapatam.

Read:- No. IV. Recommendations of the x x Recognition committee of this date on subjects related to x x it.

Res:-- Approved.

(sd) I. Kumaraswami Pillai
President.

(True extract)

D.Dis.No. 52 /26. dated 30--4--26.

Communicated to the Secretary to Government, Law (Education) department, Madras for favour of information with a copy of the President's letter on the subject.

Copy to the President, Taluk Board, Tanjore and the District Educational Officer, Tanjore for favour of information.

I. Kumaraswami
President.

K.R./4--5--26.

Extract

8 ~~8~~ 11

Extract from the recommendations of the Recognition committee dated 27--3--1926.

(7)

---------o---------

VII

Read:-- No. IV. G.O.No. 117 Law (Education) dated 27-11--26 regarding the opening of a Board school at Tiruvadi and the Taluk Board President's further protest thereagainst.

Res:-- The Committee is of opinion that the continuance of the Board school at Tiruvadu is essential in the interest of the - advancement of mass education in the town.

(sd) I. Kumaraswami Pillai
President.

(True copy)

President.

K.R./4--5--26.

Copy of communication letter R.C.No. 1137 Edn. dated 21st Febry. 1926 from the President, Taluk Board, Tanjore to the District Educational Officer, Tanjore .

I have the honour to inform you that I cannot carry out your wishes without taking the views of my board. I may also point out that the objections raised by you were considered by the Union and Taluk Boards and by the District Educational Council and that these bodies have sanctioned the opening of the school after fully satisfying themselves about the need for a Board school at Tiruvadi. Your predecessor in Office was of opinion that the Board school might be opened there. The Government was not in possession of all these facts and they were not made aware of the inadequacy of the private schools to serve the public needs of the town. The District Educational authorities somehow took a fancy for the aided manager Mr. Nagaraja Iyer and I bolstered up his claims. The Union Board, Tiruvadi insists upon the retention of the Board school and I cannot lightly disregard their opinion in view of the fact that the residents of the village are paying an education tax in the hope that the poorer section of the Tiruvadi residents, the mass which constitute the major portion of the population will get Education gratis. This the private manager cannot do. He has an eye to his own profit. He wants in equipment; he wants in efficiency. He has to exercise stringent economy on all hands in his eagerness to reap rich harvest of gain. His limited resources do not afford any scope for the expansion of Education ~~faxxxxitex~~ facilities. The opening of a board school is not likely to affect the interests of the aided manager as the poorer pupils alone are expected to seek admission in a board school as the well-to-do residents have a natural ~~Board~~ tendency to keep away from a charity or a free school and they prefer to be paying scholars. It is also good to have healthy rivalry as public interests are likely to be better advanced when two schools compete for a higher degree of efficiency.--

These

DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION
C.No. 1203 B/26
11 MAY 1926
MADRAS

10 ~~10~~ ~~15~~ (9)

These schools compete for a higher degree of efficiency. These are some of the grounds that weighed with the local bodies and your predecessor in office when they decided upon the opening of this Board school.

I would therefore urge upon the authorities to reconsider their decision. The Government and the Director of Public Instruction have evidently been misled by the unfounded claims of the aided manager.

I think under the circumstances you would do well to move the District Educational Council to withdraw the recognition before you ask me to close the school.

Any how I shall let you know in due course the views of my board in regard to this question.

I. Kum

K.R./4--5--26. President.

C.nos. 1730A & 1741A

Endt. 1730A-1 / 10 5 26 Law (Edn.) Deptt.

Referred to the Director of Public Instruction for remarks (By order)

Ramanujachari
8/5

Asst. Secy. to Govt. Law Deptt.

8/5

8/5

DIST. EDUCATIONAL OFFICER 22 MAY 1926 Rc 65/25 TANJORE

22-5

NAs 1137 B/26
10-5-26

C.F. 30

Law(Education) **DEPARTMENT.** 11

Received ....192 . Registered 192

L. Ed 2280
22/6/26

Subject.

Board Elementary School, Tiruvadi- Taluk Board, Tanjore

ENCLOSURES.
No.
Spare copies

R. C. No. 1203 B/26

Station Madras . Dated the 15- 6- 1926 192

From (Name) R. G.Grieve Esq., M.A.,

(Designation) Ag. Director of Public Instruction
Madras.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras
Law(Education) Department.

Sir,

In resubmitting herewith the papers referred to me for remarks in Government Endorsement No.1730 A-1 dated 10- 5- 26 I have the honour to state as follows:- p. 10 ante

VIII 2. Attention is invited to my letter R.C.No.826 B/25 dated 15- 1- 26 disposed of in G.O.No.117 Law(Education) dated 27- 1- 26. In spite of the orders contained in VIII G.O.No.117 Law(Education) dated 27- 1- 26 the Taluk Board has not taken steps to remove the school from the locality. The District Educational Council has also considered the question and is of opinion that the continuance of the school at Tiruvadi is essential in

the

12

p 8 ante the interests of the advancement of mass education in the town.

3. Tiruvadi is a Union with 654 boys and 703 girls of school age, of which 441 and 289 respectively are at school now. So 213 boys and 414 girls of school age are yet to be provided for. There are 13 Educational institutions including the Central High School, Tiruvadi and the Sanskrit college and of these 11 are elementary schools. Of these 11 elementary schools 8 are for boys. Two of these 8 schools are Labour schools intended for the Adi Dravida children and the remaining 6 schools are for caste boys. One of these six is the Board school at Tiruvadi in question.

4. It is observed that the three schools (i) the Board school, Tiruvadi (ii) the Saraswathi Ambal Aided School and (iii) the D.U.P aided school, are situated close to each other the distance between the Board school and the D.U.P being 175 yards and that between the Board school and the Saraswathi Ambal School being 236 yards. The two aided schools are long standing and well conducted schools, while the Board school was started in its present place only in June 1924 and the sanitary condition of the Board school is reported to be far from satisfactory. These aided schools have been prejudicially affected by the starting of the Board school. The correspondent of the Saraswathi Ambal School already protested against the opening of the Board school and it was considered along with G.O.No.117 Law(Education) dated 27- 1- 26. (VIII) The school going population of the Union may justify the opening of an additional school, but the objection taken by the departmental officers from the very beginning was as to the exact location of the school.

5. It is stated by the President, Taluk Board in pp 9-10 ante his letter dated 21- 2- 26 that the District Educational Council have sanctioned the opening of the school after

13

(11)

p 8 ante

fully satisfying themselves about the need of a Board school at Tiruvadi. It is also stated that the District Educational Officer was of opinion that a Board school might be opened there. The school was opened in its present locality on 17- 6- 24 and the District Educational Council decided to drop the objection to the opening of the school only in its resolution No.8 dated 15- 8- 25 ie more than a year after the school had been opened. The Board opened the school without the previous sanction of the council. The District Educational Officer accorded approval to open a Board school at Tiruvadi on the express condition that it is opened in a locality where it is really needed and that there is no unhealthy rivalry between this and the existing schools. The Taluk Board ignored this condition and opened the school near 2 aided schools. The District Educational Council accorded permission to open a Board school within the limits of Tiruvadi and not in the particular locality where it had been opened before even this permission was accorded-please see councils' resolution p. 16 infra No.VII dated 13- 6- 25(copy enclosed).

5. In the concluding portion of the letter of pp 9-10 ante the President, Taluk Board dated 21- 2- 26, he requests the District Educational Officer to move the District Educational Council to withdraw the recognition. The District Educational Officer states that formal recognition has not been granted to the school by the council. The recognition application is still under correspondence between the Deputy Inspector of schools and the President, Taluk Board. Since the District Educational Council has approved of the school in its p.16 cf resolution No.VII dated 13- 6- 25 and has still adhered to the same view in its resolution No.IV dated p. 8 cf 27- 3- 26 despite the orders contained in G.O.No.117 (VIII)

117 Law(Education) dated 27- 1- 26, the President, Taluk Board thinks that recognition has been accorded to the school by the council. In view of the council's opinion expressed in the two resolutions, the granting of recognition is now only a formal matter.

6. In these circumstances, I recommend that the Taluk Board may be advised to shift the Board school from its present place where it is superfluous and is affecting prejudicially the aided schools close by to a place between the hamlets of Ammalagraharam and Parithukudi, inhabited by a backward community called Moopans for whom educational facilities are not adequate. It is reported that the inhabitants have promised to provide a rent free school house. The shifting of the school will be in the interests of the expansion of Elementary Education in the Tiruvadi Union. The closure of the Board school at Tiruvadi was also suggested in the report on the Elementary Education survey of the District. (If the Board refuses to move the school from the present locality, Government may cancel (x) the resolution of the District Educational Council granting in formal recognition to the school, when the amount spent by the Taluk Board on the school will be surchargeable.) — omit when copying

( ) omit in copying

(x) This can be done only under Section 21 of the E.E. Act. but under that Section Govt can cancel the resolution only if ~~#~~ in the opinion of Govt the resolution is illegal or in excess of the powers conferred by Law. It is therefore doubtful whether the Govt can cancel the resolution in the present instance.

pp 17-18 infra

7. In this connection, I would also invite the attention of Government to the extract from the District Educational Officer's letter dated 25-5-26 (copy enclosed), from which it will be observed that the local boards in Tanjore District open Board schools in villages already served by aided schools and where they are therefore superfluous. This is opposed to the policy of Government to encourage aided agency and the District Educational Council has so far done little to enforce this policy. I therefore suggest

suggest that the local bodies in the Tanjore District should be required to adhere to the policy of opening schools in schoolless centres and warned against interfering with aided schools by starting Board schools where they are not required.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

A Rama Rau
15/6/26

for Director of Public Instruction

a.r. 15/6/26

SKN
12/6.

Copy of extract from the Proceedings of the Tanjore District Educational Council, Tanjore dated 13- 6- 26 1925?

****

Read No. VII . Papers relating to the opening of a Board School at Tiruvadi.

Res:- In view of the fact that the education cess is being levied by the Tanjore Taluk Board and being collected also within the area of the Tiruvadi Union, this council is of opinion that the request of the Union Board of Tiruvadi asking for provision for free education of pupils within the union area is reasonable. Therefore the council recognises the necessity for the opening of a Taluk Board school within the town limits in spite of the fact of there being aided schools well conducted though they be. As it is reported that some of the villages complaining ~~all~~ Comprising the union board of Tiruvadi have no schools at all the President, Taluk Board is requested to open schools in these villages.

Mr. A.T.Pannirselvam proposes and N.R.Samiappa Mudaliar seconds the above resolution.

Carried

Sd) I. Kumaraswami Pillai,
President

(True copy)

***

A Rama Rau
18/6
For Director of Public Instruction

Extract of para 9 of District Educational Officers letter. 9/ 25.5.26

***

9) Unfortunately, Tiruvadi is not a solitary case. Instances of Local Bodies opening schools in villages already served by schools are increasing in number. To mention only a few:

The Mayavaram Taluk Board has opened and still continues to maintain in spite of the advice to the contrary from this office and of a suggestion made in the Report on the Educational Survey of this District, a Board School at Kilaperumballam which is already served by a well conducted aided school, while there are in its area 26 villages with absolutely no schools (vide correspondence ending with your Proceedings R.O.C.No. 714 B/26 dated 30 - 3- 26)

The Tiruturaipundi Taluk Board, though advised by this office not to do so, opened a Board school at Nedumbalam where it was superfluous and killed the aided school that had existed there, while it had 14 school less villages in any one of which it might have located that school with more advantage. The District Educational Council accorded their approval to this action of the Taluk Board(Vide correspondence ending with Proceedings R.C.NO. 635 B of 26 dated 26- 3- 26)

The Pattukottai Taluk Board has opened, notwithstanding advice to the contrary from this office a board school in Mavadukirichi, a village already served by a school, out of the provincial subsidy placed at their disposal in G.O.No.1550, Law(Education)dated 4- 9- 25 to open schools in schoolless villages. The District Educational Council has approved of this action of the Taluk Board(Vide correspondenc ending with my letter R.C.No. 474 S of 25 dated 23- 3- 26.

18

The Negapatam Taluk Board has opened Board schools at Nagalur and Pudupathur where they are superfluous since they are already served by schools, though it might have with advantage utilised this provision for providing with schools two of the 17 villages within its area which are absolutely school-less.

One or two other similar cases have also been recently reported.

***

A. Rama Rau
18/6

for Director of Public Instruction

C 1203 B/26

H1

P.S. 4—1,000—18-12-22.

DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION
R.C. 1203 B/26
17 JUN REC'D
MADRAS

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVT. LOCAL SELF GOVT. DEPARTMENT
26 MAY 1926

PRIVATE SECRETARY'S OFFICE, MADRAS.

(15) 63

1534

Memo. No. 3-107/26.

T.R.S.
26/5

28

Transferred to the Secretary to Government, Local Self Government Department, for favour of disposal. in continuation of this office endorsement No.3-15/26, dated 21st April 1926.

Transferred to Local Govt Finally on 5/5/26 with L.S.G. no 12108-1.

ENCLOSURES: 1

(By order of His Excellency the Governor.)

C no 2149 A

Endt. 2149 A-1 Law (Edn.) Dept.
16/6/26
Referred to the Director of Public Instruction for remarks in continuation of Govt. endt. no 1730 A-1 d/ 10-5-26
(By order)
Ramanujachari 16/6
Asst. Secy. to Govt. Law Dept.

Private Secretary.

MADRAS GOVERNOR'S CAMP,
The 22nd May 1926

To
The Director of
Public Instruction

To. The Excellency The Governor of Madras (16) 65

[illegible] (For English abst vide p 4 infra)

1) [illegible] 1925 [illegible] 9.12.1925 [illegible]

2. [illegible] 19.6.24 [illegible]

3. [illegible] G.O. No. 117 [illegible] 24.1.26 [illegible]

4. [illegible] 12.5.25 [illegible] 9.12.1925 [illegible]

5. [illegible] 600 [illegible] 12.5.25 [illegible]

6. [illegible] 1926 [illegible]

7. [illegible] G.O. No. 117 [illegible] 24.1.26 [illegible]

3

Copy

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

கோவிந்தசாமி [illegible]

[illegible]

சு- [illegible] பெருமாள் [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

சா- கிருஷ்ண[illegible]ப்பன்

அ- சோமசுந்தர[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

நாராயணசாமி [illegible]

S. Manikkam.

[illegible]

[illegible]

சா- திருவேங்கட[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] கோபால[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

சொக்கநாத[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] பெருமாள்[illegible]

வே. [illegible]

வே- [illegible] கோபால[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

பெருமாள்

கந்தசாமி [illegible]

மாணிக்க[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] சாமி [illegible]

[illegible]

துரைசாமி[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] பெருமாள்

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

செல்லப்ப[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

---

4 (17) 67

Abstract.

Mahazar from the inhabitants of Panthikudicamma near Tiruvadi Tanjore Tk.

To H E the Governor. — Referred to D.P.I. [illegible] 17-3-[illegible]

In continuation of our petitions to Your Excellency, the P.T.B. Tanjore & the Pt. Dt. Edn Council Tanjore we beg to state that the Tk Bd, Tanjore has opened an Ely school in Tiruvadi with a view to obstruct the progress of several aided schools that going in the locality. On our representation that there was no need for the Bd Ely school in Tiruvadi the Govt in their order no 114 Local (Edn) d 24/1/26 directed the transfer of the institution to a schoolless centre. There is at present no school in our village though the need for one is keenly felt. We accordingly petitioned the authorities to shift the school at Tiruvadi to our place but till now there has been no response. We pray that Govt may issue orders to have the Bd school transferred to our [illegible] as early as possible

alw VIII

ப. சுப்பிரமணியமுப்பனார்
து. தங்கவேலுமூப்பனார்
அ. நடராஜமூப்பனார்
அம்மாகிளிம்மி
அ. சாமிமுப்பனர்
வை. ரெங்கசாமி ஆசாரி
சின்னசாமி
சாமிநாதமூப்பனா
கலியபெருமாள்
ஓ. சொணஆசாரி
பிச்சைமூப்பநார்
கோவிந்தசாமி [illegible]
வேங்கடராமமூப்பனார்
[illegible]
பெரியபிள்ளை
P. [illegible]
[illegible]
இருத்திரசம்பிள்ளை
[illegible] நந்தசாமிபிள்ளை
ம. பொன்னுசாமிவேளார்
க. மாரியப்பர்
C. Lockalingam Pillai
A. Kaliyaperumal

C.F. 30

LAW (EDUCATION) **DEPARTMENT.**

18

To be added to main file

Received .... 192 UNDER SECRETARY TO GOVT. OF MADRAS 5-JUL-1926 LAW DEPARTMENT Registered L. & 2493 A 192
5/7/26

Subject.

Board Elementary School Tiruvadi

ENCLOSURES.
No.
Spare copies

R.O.C. No. 1203 B/26

Station Madras *Dated the* 29th June 1926 192

From (Name) R.G-Grieve Esq., M.A., F.R.G.S.,

(Designation) Ag. Director of Public Instruction.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras

LAW (EDUCATION) **Department.**

Sir,

In continuation of my letter R.C.No. 1203 B/26 dated 15th June 1926, I have the honour to resubmit herewith the papers referred to me for remarks in Government endorsement No. 2149 A-1, dated 16th June 1926 and to state that I have already submitted my remarks on this question in the letter quoted above.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
A Rama Rau
2/7
for Director of Public Instruction

P.R.K. 1/7
SKW
2/7.

(19)

L.E.

C.No. 12108. from certain residents of Tiruvadi
Tanjore d. 9/4/26.

Re. Transfer of the Bd. Ele. School
to a school less centre.

VIII

The petitioners complain that though the Govt directed in G.O. 117 Law (Edn) d. 27.1.26 the transfer of the Bd. elementary school at Tiruvadi to a school less centre the Tanjore Tk Bd has taken no steps in the matter till now. They also complain that the continuance of the school is acting ~~hindrance~~ as a hindrance to the progress of the aided schools in the locality.

As this relates to an order issued by the Law (Edn) dept the petition may be transferred to that for disposal.

L. Edn 1730A w.o.
6/5/26

GOVT. OF MADRAS
6 MAY 1926
LAW DEPARTMENT

3/5/26.

MR
6.5.26

Law (Edn) w.o.

Law (Edn.) Dept

L.Ed. 12108 – 1 her
5/5/26
4/5
p.TCF

[C.No. 1741A] from the P.D.E.O. Tanjore No. 52/26 d. 30-4-26

The D.E.O. ~~Tirur~~ Tanjore considers that the Board School at Tiruvadi should be continued there. The remarks of the D.P.I. may be invited

2

in the first instance. Draft endorsement on p. 10 C.F. is for approval

A.I.

8/5/26

8/5

8/5

p. 10 C.F.

[Endt. No. 1730A-1 dt. 10.5.26] —— Sent in original to D.P.I.

[C. No. 2280A] from the D.P.I. No. 1203 B/26 dt. 15.6.26. — pp. 11-18 C.F.

In the circumstances stated in the Director's letter on pages 11-15 C.F. the taluk board, Tanjore may be asked to shift the board school, Tiruvadi, from its present place to ~~a place~~ the locality recommended by the D.P.I. in para 6 on p. 14 C.F.

2. With reference to para 7 on pages 14-15, the extract ~~of para~~ from the D.E.O.'s letter on pages 17-18 C.F. may be communicated to the taluk boards concerned and they may be asked to transfer the schools to any of the school-less centres within their jurisdiction and to report the fact to Govt.

3. Subject to approval a draft order is subd. It may be sent to L.S.G. w.o. for acceptance

1 JUL 1926

T.B.S.
2/7

18911

26/6/26

26/6

28/6

V.T.K.
29.6

L.S.G.

~~The first para may be amend~~

Govt. no 2280A-1
1.7.26

1 JUL 1926

3

L.S.G.

The draft order may be accepted and the file returned to Law (Edn.) w.o.

L.S.G. 5.7.26

5.7.26

6.7.26

This case illustrates the need for a rule having the force of law prescribing previous approval of the Edl. Dept. for local boards' opening schools in areas served by aided schools, as a condition of recognition. This general question has been raised by Law (Edn.) in another file.

x is the file relating to E.E. Survey Report

+(Subd. to Secy. today separately)

MR

MR
6.7.26

18/7/26

~~Lee~~

Law (Edn.) w.o.

9/7

Accepted
C.N.
7.7.26

Mad
7/7

18911

L.S.G. wo. 18911-1
10/7/26.

12/7

L-Ed 2634A
10/7/26.

10 JUL 1926

Law (Edn.) Dept.

Draft may issue

13/7/26

13/7

13/7

V.T.K.
14.7

M
2

The first para may be amended as to advise the Taly. Bd. We should not interfere with their powers. It is wrong in principle -

Para 2 of the draft is unnecessary.

It has been represented to me that the D.E.O. is not sympathetic in all the cases. Pending my visit to the District, any action in the matter should not be taken.

The attention of the Tq. Bd. concerned ~~may~~ might be drawn to the Memo. already issued by Govt. advising that Bd. Schools should not be started where good aided schools exist. 15/7/26

~~Beyond this it is not necessary at this stage to request the Board to take any action now.~~

Revised draft subd. for approval

18/7/26

SECRETARY TO GOVT. LAW DEPARTMENT 15-JUL-1926

Recd 22/7

G.O. 476 L.E.
29.3.26
840 L.E.
14/5/26

(21)

With reference to the Hon'ble the Minister's note on pages 3-4 ante, it is submitted that when the taluk board, Guntur, opened a board elementary school at Gundimeda where there was already an aided elementary school, the Govt. ~~have~~ ordered that the board school should be removed to one of the school less centres in the area under the jurisdiction of the board and that the fact should be reported to Govt. Vide

(B) G.O. No. 476 L.E. d. 29.3.26. Again when the same taluk board opened a board school at Vajendla where there were already several aided schools the board was asked to transfer the school ~~either to close the school or~~ to ~~shift~~ it to a school less centre and to report the

Vide G.O. 1116 14-6-26 (M) (O) fact to Govt. Vide G.O. No 52 L.E. d. 12-1-26. The board has since reported that the school would be closed from 15-6-26. Thus the Govt. have been direct the removal of schools newly opened if there had been aided schools in the

2. The taluk board, Tenali, opened a board school at Modukur a large village with a population of 3,796. The D.E.O. Guntur, refused to grant recognition to the school on the ground that there were two aided schools already working in the village. As however the village was a very large one it has been considered desirable that the board school should be retained in spite of the existence of two aided schools in the same village. The Govt. have therefore directed that the taluk board should (under Section 41(3) of the E.E. Act) appeal to the D.P.I. against the orders of the D.E.O. refusing to grant recognition. Vide G.O. No. 840 L.E. d. 14.5.

(V)

~~5~~ 6

3. It is separately proposed in connection with the report on the E. E. Survey of the Madras Presidency that the rules framed under the E. E. Act should be so amended as to require that a taluk board should obtain the previous approval of the D. E. O. for the opening of a board school in a locality already served by one or more aided schools. The file is now in Finance.

4. It is for consideration whether this may lie over pending the Hon'ble the Minister's visit to Tanjore or whether the draft order as amended by the Hon'ble M. E. and revised with reference to his remarks on pages 3-4 ante should now issue.

AP
24/7/26

CR
27.7.26

[illegible]
26/7

V. TK
28/7

M. E.

The revised draft as the lines indicated by me may issue.

[illegible]
29/7

Revised draft for approval

AP
28/7/26

CR
29.7.26

[illegible]
29/7

V. TK
30/7

29 JUL 1926
LAW DEPARTMENT

	Initials.	Date.
Received in Fair copying Section.	M	30/7
Copied ..		
Examined ..	[illegible]	31/7
Signed ..		
Despatched ..		

Elem. Schools

Tk Bd. in Tanjore district ~~asked~~ advised to shift certain [illegible] to localities not already provided with schools

Further papers
C No 4[illegible]
30/10/26

Reg. - C No 5268 [illegible] 1656/1927
20.12.26.

DESPATCHED
Eight 31 JUL 1926
RS

Ⓐ

To

The P. T. B. Tanjore (with a copy of the D. P. I.'s letter app 11-15 C. F.)

.. P. T. B. Mayavaram }
.. do Pattukkottai }
.. do Tirutturaipundi } with extract from D. E. O.'s letter app 17-18 C. F.
.. do Negapatam }

.. ~~P. D. E. O. Tanjore~~

.. P. D. E. O. Tanjore (with copy of D. P. I.'s letter & of the extract app 17-18 C. F.)

.. D. P. I. (copy)

.. L. F. ——— (do)

Sectt. II-3-5,703-6-12-24.

C No 1741, 1730, 2280
2449, 2493, 2634

Law (Edn.) Dept.

(22)

Order 1449 D/30-7-26
Mis

A copy of the letter from the D. P. I. No. 1203 B/26 d/ 15-6-26 is communicated to the P. T. B. Tanjore. The President is ~~informed that it is~~ advised ~~advisable~~ to shift the board school at Tiruvadi from its present place to the locality suggested by the Director in paragraph 6 of the letter.

2. An extract of para 9 of the letter from the D. E. O. Tanjore d/ 25-5-26 is communicated to the Presidents of the taluk boards of Mayavaram, Tirutturaipundi, Pattukkottai and Negapatam. ~~They are requested to transfer the schools~~ Their attention is ~~invited~~ drawn to G. O. No. 1510 L. & E. ~~[illegible]~~ d/ 31-8-25 and they are ~~informed~~ advised ~~requested~~ to consider the ~~that it is advisable to remove the~~ ~~remo~~ question of removing the schools referred to by the D. E. O. to centres not provided with board or aided elementary schools.

AP
18/7/26

CR
29/7

V. TK
30/7

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed
192 .

READ AGAIN G.O. No. 192 . DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED OF, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.