Sectt. II-29—27,004—25-11-25.

①

# Law (Edn) (1926) Department.

mis SERIES.

**G.O. No.** 1344

**DATED** 15. 7. 1926

⑩

---

**[Despatch Abstract.]**

Subsidy for maintenance of sessional schools in 1926-27

Payment to certain District Boards - Sanctioned.

## BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | |

## FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | 1807 L.E<br>16/7/ | | | | |

Previous papers

G.O 839 L.E. dt 14.5.26
Endt 4672 A-1 dt 9-12-25 Law (General)
G.O 2041 L.E. dt 30.11.25
G.O 3403 Law (General) dt 10.11.25
G.O 1522 Law (General) dt 6.5.25
G.O 1521 Law (General) dt 6.5.25
G.O 1918 Law (Edn) dt 8.12.24
G.O 1482 Law (Edn) dt 19.9.24

---

C F. 30

Law(Education) DEPARTMENT.

②

SECRETARY TO GOVT. OF MADRAS
30 JUN 1926
DEPARTMENTS

Received ........ 192 Registered ........ 192

L.E 2428 A
30/6/26.

Subject.

Sessional schools- continuance in 1926- 27 and payment of subsidies.

| |
|---|
| ENCLOSURES. |
| No. |
| |
| Spare copies |

R. C. No. 805 B/26

Station Madras Dated the 29- 6- 26 192

From (Name) R.G.Grieve Esq., M.A.,
(Designation) Ag.Director of Public Instruction
Madras.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras
Law(Education) Department.

Sir,

In the Budget Estimate for 1926- 27 a sum of Rs.25,100 has been included in the lump sum of Rs.26,300/- under "31 C(c) Education- Primary- grants to local bodies for primary education- grants in aid- educational- voted ~~voted~~- recurring miscellaneous" towards the maintenance of sessional schools and I have the honour to submit herewith my proposals for the distribution of the amount towards the maintenance of the several schools in the current year. In 1925- 26 the subsidies were sanctioned in G.Os.No.1521 and 1522 Law(General) dated 6- 5- 25, 3403 Law(General) dated 10- 11- 25 and 2041 Law(Education) dated 30- 11- 25.

Fr. 230 C.B.E
(The balance out of Rs. 26,300 is for the Municipal Arts School, Kumbakonam.)

Ⓓ Ⓖ Ⓐ

2. The District Educational Officers were requested

requested to report on the working of the sessional schools in 25- 26 and to state with reasons whether they consider the continuance of the schools during 1926- 27 necessary. My recommendations are based on the reports of the District Educational Officers.

D

3. The sessional school at South Arcot is reported to have worked satisfactorily with 35 students and two teachers. In G.O.No.1521 Law(General ~~Education~~) dated 6- 5- 25 a sum of Rs.2060 was sanctioned for payment and no unspent balance is remaining with the District Board out of the provincial grant paid in the previous year. X The District Educational Officer considers that the school may be closed with advantage from 26- 27- The District contains 4 training schools and the number discharged from them annually after training comes to 160 trained teachers of Elementary lower grade alone, besides teachers of other grades. Pupils of backward classes with Elementary school leaving certificates are easily available for admission into training schools, as the Labour department have opened a large number of Elementary schools in the District. The District is sufficiently advanced and all the lower Elementary Training schools attract men from depressed classes also. In view of these facts I concur with the District Educational Officer in his ~~their~~ opinion that the school may be closed. X

S. Arcot – to be closed

O

4. The continuance of the sessional school at Ramnad was sanctioned in G.O.No.1522 Law(Education) dated 6- 5- 25 and a subsidy of Rs.1930 was paid. The District Board has utilised the full amount in 25- 26. The school is reported to have worked satisfactorily in 25- 26 with 19 pupils. Admissions were restricted to backward classes. I recommend the continuance of the school and the payment of a sum of Rs.1930 to the

Ramnad Rs 1930.

---

3

the District Board in the current year as 20 students belonging to Adi Dravida and other educationally backward communities are reported to be available for admission into the school.

5. Trichinopoly District.

V

The attention of Government is invited to G.O.No.839 Mis Law(Education) dated 14- 5- 26 refusing to revive the sessional school at Lalgudi ~~at~~ in Trichinopoly dt. The President, District Board, Trichinopoly, however, requests the payment of a sum of Rs.943- 6- 4 being the expenditure incurred by the Board from its own funds on account of the maintenance of the Hindu and Hindustani sessional schools till the end of April 1925. There were two sessional schools under the District Board, Trichinopoly. The Hindu sessional school was maintained entirely out of Board's funds. The subsidy payable to the Hindustani sessional school was discontinued with effect from 1- 4- 24. Please see Government memo Law(Education) No.2570 A- 3 dated 31- 3- 24. In G.O.No.1918 Law(Education) dated 8- 12- 24 the continuance of the school for 1924- 25 was sanctioned on condition that the cost of maintenance was met from the funds of the District Board. The Hindu sessional school maintained out of board's funds was also ordered to be closed at the end of 24-25 in para 4 of G.O.No.1482 ~~Fin.~~ Law(Education) dated 19- 9- 24. Hence the request of the President, District Board is inadmissible.

(x) p 8 of G.O. no 1482 / 19-9-24

W

P.

W

Trichi – nil.

6. Anantapur District.

In G.O.No.3403 L.G dated 10-11-25 a sum of Rs.2000 was sanctioned for payment in 25- 26 for the sessional school at Anantapur, but the District Board did not revive the school in 25- 26 and draw the

(S)

the amount from the treasury during that year. Please see Government Endorsement Law(General) No.4672 A- 1 dated 9- 12- 25. The District Board has resolved to revive the sessional school from June 1926 and the President, District Board requests to accord the necessary sanction. The District Educational Officer is not in favour of reviving the school as the products of the sessional school are not in general of satisfactory attainments. The number of teachers belonging to the backward classes to be benefited by the revival of the sessional school is reported to be 70. The District Educational Officer's view is ~~not~~ no doubt correct, but as all the 70 teachers mentioned above cannot be admitted into the training school in the District. I recommend the revival of the school for one year and a subsidy of Rs.2000 sanctioned in G.O.No.3403 Law(General) dated 10- 11- 25,may be paid in the current year.

[illegible] Rs 2000

(Q)

7. Bellary District.

(Q)

Though a sum of Rs.2620 was sanctioned for payment in 25- 26 to the District Board, Bellary in G.O.No.3403 L.G dated 10- 11- 25 for the maintenance of the sessional school at Bellary the school was not revived on the ground that the grant was received late. The amount was drawn by the Board and the entire amount remains as unspent with the Board. It is reported that there are 300 unpassed men eligible for admission to the sessional school. Many of them belong to the Lingayat community which is a backward class. The District Educational Officer therefore recommends the opening of a sessional school for a year or two especially for the backward classes. The revival of the school is recommended but no subsidy need be paid in the current year, as the entire amount of Rs.2620/-

[illegible]ry. 2620 already paid in 1925-26 to be utilised in 1926-27

---

(4)

paid in 25- 26, remains as unspent with the board, which can be utilised in the current year.

8. East Godavari.

Vide G.O 3403 L.G 10.11.25 (Q)

The entire amount of Rs.1900 paid in 25- 26 has been utilised by the Board towards the maintenance of the sessional school at East Godavari in 25- 26. The school is catering to the needs of the depressed classes in the District. The District Educational Officer, East Godavari recommends the continuance of the school for two years more as the teachers of the depressed classes are very much benefited by the school. I recommend the continuance and the payment of a sum of Rs.1900 in the current year

East Godavari Rs 1900

9. Tanjore District.

(Q)

The sum of Rs.3700 sanctioned for the continuance of the sessional school at Tanjore in 25-26 was not drawn by the Board and the school was not revived as the question was under correspondence between the District Educational Officer and the Commissioner of Labour. The District Educational Officer Tanjore is not in favour of reviving the sessional school as the number of teachers to be admitted to the sessional school is only 57. It is reported that this number can be replaced by better qualified teachers. The District Labour Officer is also not in favour of reviving the school as there are three training schools in the District. Last year the opening of a sessional school in Tanjore was sanctioned mainly on the recommendation of the Labour department. As that Department does not consider it necessary to have a sessional school, the revival of the school is not necessary.

Tanjore. Nil

10. Chingleput District.

G.O 3403 L.G 10.11.25 (Q)

The subsidy of Rs.3550 sanctioned in 25- 26 for the maintenance of the sessional school at at Chingleput was not utilised by the Board as the school was not opened. The entire amount remains as unspent balance. The Board requests the payment of a sum of Rs.700 towards the initial equipment of the school. The school was not opened in 25- 26 pending this question. The Board is unable to meet this cost . The District Educational Officer recommends the grant. As the sum of Rs.3550 remains as unspent with the Board, I recommend that permission be granted as a special case for utilising a sum of Rs.700 out of the unspent balance towards initial equipment, the balance (Rs.3550- Rs.700 - Rs.2850) being taken into account in paying the subsidy for the current year towards the maintenance of the school. There are nearly 40 teachers who are likely to seek admission into the sessional school. The District Educational Officer reports that a school may be tried in 26- 27. Deducting the unspent balance of Rs.2850(3550-700), the payment of a subsidy of Rs.700 in the current year towards the maintenance of the school is recommended

Rs
ngleput.. 700 to be paid now
+ 3550 (already paid in 1925-26 to be utilised now)

11) The sessional schools at Cuddapah and North Arcot were opened in 25- 26 and both the District Boards utilised the provincial grants. The District Board, North Arcot utilised the provincial grant in full, while in the case of District Board, Cuddapah there is an unspent balance of Rs.781 out of the grant paid in the previous year. I recommend the continuance of both the schools. The payment of a sum of Rs.1846 is recommended to the District Board, North Arcot while the District Board, Cuddapah should be paid Rs.2199 (Rs.2980- Rs.781) after deducting the unspent balance of Rs.781.

2980
781
2199

Rs
ddapah 2222+758=
2,980
rcot Rs1846-Ⓐ

| | Rs |
|---|---|
| rcot.. | 1846 |
| ddapah | 2199 |

Ro.2041 XE
30.11.25
Ⓐ
Ro 3403 XG
6.11.25

12) According to the above recommendations the total amount payable to the District Boards in the current year towards the maintenance of sessional

schools is Rs.10575 as shown in the margin I recommend the payment of the above subsidy, the expenditure being met from the budget provision indicated in para 1 above. I also request the favour of very early orders on the question, so as to enable the District Boards to open the schools in the current year.

**District Boards.**

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Ramnad | 1930 ✓ | p: 2 ate |
| Anantapur | 2000 ✓ | p: 4 ate |
| East Godavari | 1900 ✓ | p: 5 ate |
| Chingleput | 700 ✓ | p: 6 ate |
| North Arcot | 1846 ✓ | p: 6 ate |
| Cuddapah. | 2199 ✓ | p: 6 ate |
| | 10575 | |

13. In this connection, I may also state that I propose to discuss the question of the staffing of schools for the depressed classes with the Commissioner of Labour, as I consider that there is a distinct danger of setting a standard of qualification for teachers in schools for these classes inferior to that required in ordinary schools, whereas a higher standard even would be desirable.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

T R Sundaram
for Director of Public Instruction

a.r. 29/6/26
SW 29/6

3403 Law (Genl) dt 10.11.25
1520 Law (Genl) dt 6.5.25
1521 Law (Edn) dt 6.5.25

Post copy of Telephone dated 17-4-1926.

8 (6)

G.O. No. 3403 Law (Genl) dt 10.11.25 put up by Law (Genl) in the file became av on 27/4

From

The Director of Public Instruction,

Madras

20 APR 1926
LAW DEPARTMENT

To

The Secretary to Government,

Law (Education) Department

A sum of Rs 25,1000- has been included in the lump provision of Rs 26,300 under "31 (C) (6) Education Primary grants to local bodies for primary education - grants-in-aid Educational voted - recurring - miscellaneous" towards the maintenance of sessional schools in 26-27. Kindly let me know whether the separate sanction of Government should be obtained for the continuance of the sessional schools in 26-27 or whether it is sufficient if I submit to Government in the usual course my proposals for the distribution of the amount among the respective District Boards. If it is the latter, I presume that the District Boards can continue in the current year such of the schools xxxx as have been revived in anticipation of the sanction of the usual Provincial recurring grant. Please let me know whether my presumption is correct.

/True copy/

J. C. Chinnappa 19-4
for Director of Public Instruction

P.R.K.

Post copy to:-

The Secretary to Government,

Law (Education) Department.

P.R.K. 17/4

sw 19/4

9 (X)

## Sessional Schools

Law (Edn.) Deptt.

In accordance with the recommendation of the Retrenchment Committee, the Govt. consider the question of abolishing all Sessional Schools for masters excepting the School for Savaras at Parlakimedi and the Schools intended mainly for backward classes. In G.O. No. 1482 L.E. of 19.9.24, the Govt. approved the proposal for the closure of the ~~[illegible]~~ Sessional Schools maintained by the district boards of Chingleput, Vellore, Salem, Kurnool etc. ~~[illegible] [illegible] Muhammadan Sessional School, Vellore~~ Sanction was however accorded to the retention of the Muhammadan Sessional School, Vellore, (X) until further orders and to the continuance of the undermentioned Schools ~~till~~ during 1925-26: I

not put up ○

C.No. 3511

(X) G.O 1171 L.E. of 18.11.24 ○

not put up

(T) G.O 1521 L.E. of 6.5.25

(F) G.O 1522 L.E. of 6.5.25

G.O 3403 L.E. 6.11.25

(O) in linked file

(1) Sessional School maintained by the Dt. Bd. S. Arcot
(2) do do Ramnad
(3) do do Cuddapah
(4) do do Anantapur
(5) do do Bellary
(6) do do E. Godavari
(7) do do Tanjore
(8) do do Chingleput
(9) Hindu Sessional ~~do~~ School, Lalgudi ~~do~~ maintained by the Dt. Bd. Trichinopoly.

2. ~~In the linked file~~ The Hindu Sessional School at Lalgudi, however was closed on 30.4.25 and

10

and in the circumstances stated in the DPI's letter on pages 7-8 CF in the linked file it is proposed to accept the ~~proposal~~ suggestion that the school need not be reopened ~~hereafter~~. For the reasons stated in the Director's letter referred to above ~~that~~ it may (not) be found necessary to sanction the continuance of ~~at least~~ some of the other schools mentioned above and the Director may therefore be informed in reply to his telephonic message cited above that the specific sanction of Govt. should be obtained for the continuance of the schools during 1926-27 and subsequent years.

The following reply is for approval

**From** The Secy. to Govt. Law Deptt.

**To** The D.P.I.

Ref your T.M. d/ 17.4.26

Sanction of Govt. should be obtained for the continuance during 1926-27 of the sessional schools ~~under~~ maintained by local bodies unless the local bodies are prepared to meet the entire cost of maintenance from their own funds.

AB
28/4/26

30/4.

30/4

Issued
1.5.

D.P.I's reply file to [illegible] please
P.C. also to go

Eg 3403 Law General
6.11.25 put up
for C No 1624 A
Sent to [illegible]
with 1624 A
30/4

---

11

(8)

Law (Edn) Deptt.

[C No. 2428A] from the DPI No. 805 B/26 d/ 29.6.26

Subject Sessional Schools. Payment of Subsidies.

p. 1-7. C.F

The proposals of the DPI may be approved. As usual sanction may be accorded to the ~~continu~~ continuance of the schools till the end of the current official year. The Director may be asked to (send a) report early next year as to which of the schools should be continued in 1927-28. Draft Subd. It may be sent to ~~the~~ Finance and Labour departments u.o for acceptance

AB
4/7/26

5/7

5.7.26

VTK
6.7.

Fin }
P.W.D (Labour) }

V.E

Econ No 2428 A-1
6.7.26

7418 A
JUL 1926

Fin C No 19400 Exp
6-7-26

× Budget provision = Rs 25,100
Amount actually required = 10,575
∴ Saving = 14,525

Budget to note
Noted
9/7/26

Finance (Exp.)

Submitted that the draft order may be accepted.

2 The Law (Edn) deptt may be requested to surrender the balance of Rs. 14,525 to this deptt

7.7.26.

7.7.26.

9.7.26

9.7.26

S.

Law P.W.D

Fin W.O. 19400 Exp

1~~2~~

P. W. & Labour Dept.

Draft may be accepted and the file returned to Law (Edn) Dept.

10/7

10/8

Rtd 12/7 26

P. W. & L. w.o 7418A-1
13.7.26.

L. En 2688 A
13/7/26.

UNDER SECRETARY TO GOVT. OF MADRAS
13 JUL 1926
LAW DEPARTMENT

**Law (Edn) Dept.**

With reference to para 2 of the Finance Dept note on p. 1 ante, a paragraph has been added to the draft. Vide para 7 ~~of the draft~~ thereof.

The draft may now issue

13/7/26

14.7.26

14/7

Issue

15/7

---

(9) 136

Sectt. II-5 (b)—6,004—25-11-25. (6)

| | Date. | Initials. |
|---|---|---|
| When received for Fair-copying. | | |
| When copied .. | 15/7 | A.K. |
| When examined .. | 16/7 | |
| When signed .. | | |
| When despatched .. | | |

Law (Education) DEPARTMENT.

Subsidy for maintenance of sessional schools in 1926-27 - Payment to certain district boards sanctioned

READ AGAIN G.O. No. ............, dated ............ 192 .

" " " " ............ " ............ 192

READ ALSO:—

C. No 2428 A From the D.P.I., No. 805 B/26 dated 25.6. 1926.

" " ............ " " ............ " " ............ 192 .

" " ............ " " ............ " " ............ 192

ORDER.

No. 1344, dated 15. 7. 1926

para 4, p2 CF
.. 8, p. 5 ..
.. 11, p. 6 ..

The Government sanction the continuance during 1926-27 of the sessional schools in the Ramnad, East Godavari, Cuddapah and the N. Arcot districts, ~~sanction is accorded~~ and to the payment to the district boards of those dts. ~~Ramnad, East Godavari, Cuddapah and the N. Arcot~~ of the sums of Rs 1,930/-; Rs 1,900/-; Rs 2,199/-; and Rs 1,846/- respectively towards the maintenance of the schools in the current year. The District Board, Cuddapah, is permitted to utilise in the current year the unspent balance of Rs 781 remaining with it out of the subsidy paid in 1925-26.

x See p. 7 C.F.

para 11, p. 6 C.F.

2. The Government sanction the revival of the sessional schools at Anantapur and Bellary in the current year. They approve of the proposal of

para 6 + 7 on p. 3-4 C.F.

14 7 11

the D.P.I. that the District Board, Anantapur should be paid a subsidy of Rs 2000/- for the maintenance of the school in ~~the current year~~ 1926-27 and that the District Board, Bellary be permitted to utilise in the current year the sum of Rs2,620/- which was paid to it last year but which was not utilised in that year.

p. 4 CF

para 7 on pp: 4-5 CF

3. The Government approve also of the proposal that the District Board, Chingleput may be permitted to open a sessional school in the current year. It is reported that the sum of Rs 3,550 paid to the district board for the opening of the school in 1925-26 remains unspent with the board. Permission is granted to the board for utilising out of this amount a sum of Rs 700/- on initial equipment and the balance of Rs 2,850 on the maintenance of the school in the current year. Sanction is accorded also to the payment of a sum of Rs 700 in 1926-27 towards the maintenance of the school in the current year.

para 10 on pp: 5-6 C.F.

4. The Government agree with the D.P.I. that the sessional school in South Arcot ~~may~~ should be closed in the current year and that ~~the revival of the school in the Tanjore district~~ to revive the school in the Tanjore dt it is not necessary. They also agree that the request of the P.D.B. Trichinopoly for ~~a sum~~ the payment of Rs 943-6-4 ~~for~~ towards the maintenance of the Hindu and Hindustani sessional schools till the end of April 1925 is inadmissible.

para 3, p 2 CF

para 9, p 5 CF

para 5, p 3

5. The D.P.I. is requested to report in April 1927 whether any of the schools referred to in paragraphs 1-3 of these proceedings need be continued in 1927-28 and if so whether any subsidy should be paid for their maintenance in that year.

10 3/12 15

6. The A.G. is requested to arrange for the payment ~~xx~~ to the undermentioned district boards of the amounts specified against their names at an early date:-

| Name of Dist. Board. | Amount sanctioned |
|---|---|
| Ramnad | Rs ~~1930~~ 1,930 |
| Anantapur | 2000 |
| E.Godavari | 1900 ✓ |
| Chingleput | 700 ✓ |
| N.Arcot | 1846 |
| Cuddapah | 2199 |
| Total | 10575 |

7. The D.P.I. is requested to ~~surrender~~ report why the balance of Rs 14,525/- provided in the C.B.E for 1926-27 for the maintenance of board Sessional Schools should not be surrendered

7. 2 2 2 2

para 12, p 7 CF

8. The expenditure will be met from the provision of Rs 26,300 in the C.B.E. for 1926-27 under "31-c-(c)-Education-Primary-Grants to local bodies for primary education - Grant-in-aid - educational - voted - recurring-miscellaneous."

p. 230 C.B.E 26-27

DESPATCHED
JUL 1926

5/7/26

5/7

5/7

6.7

To

The P.D.B. Ramnad ✓
.. Anantapur ✓
.. E Godavari ✓
.. Chingleput ✓
.. N. Arcot ✓
.. Cuddapah ✓
.. Bellary ✓
.. S Arcot ✓
.. Tanjore ✓
.. Trichinopoly ✓
.. P.D.E.s of the above ten districts ✓
.. D.P.I. ✓
.. Ex. of L.F.A. ✓
.. A.G. Mero. Finance ✓
.. Finance ✓

*When given for copying*
*When copied*
*When examined*
*When signed*
} 192 .

READ AGAIN G.O. No. 192 . DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED OF, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

*N.B.*—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.