

Law (Education) (192) Department.

Min. SERIES.

G.O. No. 1204

DATED 30-6-1926

28

[Despatch Abstract.]

Strengthening of the Subordinate Inspecting Agency - Modification
of orders.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
1150	1-6-27						
2419	19-11-29						

Law (Education)

DEPARTMENT.

1879A
14/5/26

Received



Registered

192

Strengthening of the subordinate inspecting agency- Men's Branch
Modification of Orders - request for.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

R.O.C.

No. 1071 A/25, dated 9th May 26

Spare copies

Station Madras Dated the 9th May 1926 192

From (Name) R.G.Grieve, Esq., M.A., F.R.G.S.,

(Designation) Ag. Director of Public Instruction

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras

Law (Education)

Department.

Sir,

While sanctioning the further strengthening of the subordinate inspecting agency (Men's branch) by the employment of 83 additional Deputy Inspectors of schools in the Junior grade Government have stated in para 3 of their order No. Press 656 Law (Education) dated 19th April 1926 that 12 out of the 83 posts should be kept vacant for the present and should be filled up only as permanent vacancies arise in the Senior grade of Deputy Inspectors. I have the honour to request that Government will be pleased to modify the above order in the light of the following circumstances.

2. The subordinate inspecting agency consists of 258 Deputy Inspectors of schools on Rs.75-5-100-10-150 each per mensem with a selection grade on Rs.150.10.250 per mensem for

2
25 per cent of the cadre and these 258 posts are distributed as shown below:-

For the Agency	6	Deputy Inspectors
For Muhammadan schools	15	"
For Mappilla schools	6	"
For Hindu schools	231	"
Total	258	

K
of the 237 Hindu and mappilla ranges, three are vacant and these three are at present in charge of officiating incumbents. It is obvious that Junior grade Deputy Inspectors cannot be placed in charge of vacant ranges as they have not the necessary clerical establishment. Besides under para 4 of the G.O. quoted above they will have to be attached to the offices of Deputy Inspectors of schools (Senior grade) and will have to work under the supervision of the latter. It will not also be possible for a Senior grade Deputy Inspector to run two ranges with his existing establishment. There are thus practical difficulties in giving full effect to the scheme as sanctioned by Government. At the same time it is imperatively necessary to afford relief to the existing staff of Senior Deputy Inspectors to cope with the inspection of the large number of elementary schools which have recently come into existence. I accordingly request that Government will be pleased to permit me to continue the 12 surplus posts of Deputy Inspectors in the Senior grade until such time as effect is given to the Taluk Deputy Inspectors' scheme submitted with my letter R.O.C.No. 1071 A/25, dated 7th October 1925 and to entertain immediately 71 Deputy Inspectors in the Junior grade, who will be regarded as relief officers and attached to ranges which have the largest number of schools and ~~and~~ given schools where the need is the greatest.

I may state in this connection that since the submission of my original scheme for the reorganization of the subordinate inspecting agency, there has been a further increase in the number of Elementary schools for boys in the plains in actual working order (the number of schools for Hindu and Mappilla boys as it stood on 31-12-1925 being 42,048 Vide statement enclosed) and on the assumption that each officer

3.
3
will be called upon to conduct the inspection of 133 schools, these 42,048 schools would require for their annual inspection 316 officers. But the number available is only 308 (237 Senior Deputy Inspectors available for Hindu and Mappilla ranges plus 71 Junior Deputy Inspectors available under G.O. No. Press 656 Law (Education) dated 19th April 1926). Apart from this provision will have also to be made for the inspection of new schools opened after 31st December 1925 as well as for these that will be opened during the course of the year with the aid of Provincial funds and consequently the existing number of Deputy Inspectors including the additional officers in the Junior grade will not suffice. About this, however, and other kindred matters relative to the scheme as now sanctioned by Government, I propose to address Government separately at a later stage.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

A Rama Ray
for Director of Public Instruction.

P.V.S. 11/5

Statement showing the number of Hindu and Mappilla Elementary schools for boys (excluding Muhammadan schools) in the plains as it stood on 31-12-25 and the number of Inspecting officers additionally required.

Districts.	No. of Ely schools for boys on 31-12-25	Existing No. of Dy. Inspectors (Senior Grade exclu ding the Dy.ing No. of Inspectors schools at the Agency of 133 schools and for Muhn. for each Dy. Inspector	No. of Dy Inspectors required for the inspection of the exist ing No. of schools at the rate	No. of officers additionally required.
------------	---	--	--	--

1	2.	3.	4.	5
1. Gnjajam	2069			
2. Vizagapatam.	2427			
3. Godavari East	1890			
4. Kistna	2260			
5. West Godavari	1508			
6. Guntur	2956			
7. Kurnool	1616			
8. Bellary	1030			
9. Anantapur	1185			
10. Cuddapah	1580			
11. Nellore	1881			
12. Madras	276			
13. Chingleput	1663			
14. North Arcot	1431	237	316	79
15. Chittoor	1249			
16. South Arcot	2237			
17. Tanjore	2032			
18. Trichinopoly	1664			
19. Madura	1417			
20. Ramnad	1321			
21. Tinnevely	1826			
22. Coimbatore.	1571			
23. Salem	1202			
24. The Nilgiris	127			
25. Malabar	2728			
26. South Kanara	902			
Total	42048	237	316	79

133) 42048 (316
 399
 214
 133
 818
 798
 20

C.No.1819 A - From the D.P.I., R.O.C. No.1071 A/25 dated 9-5-26.

Subject.- Strengthening of the Subordinate Inspecting Agency - Modification of orders.

The number of deputy inspectors for ordinary Hindu ranges excluding the City of Madras and the Agency is at present 229. In submitting proposals in October last for the strengthening of the subordinate inspecting agency the D.P.I. suggested that a deputy inspector might be employed for each of the 217 revenue taluks and that each deputy inspector might be given the assistance of a junior deputy inspector on a lower scale of pay. In accordance with this scheme the Director proposed that 12 out of 229 posts in the senior deputy inspectors cadre might be abolished. This scheme was ~~not~~ ^{and has before} accepted by Government ^{Committee. The Committee} ~~the Finance Department~~ ^{however suggested} that at the rate of 133 ^{for each deputy} ~~for each deputy~~ ^{inspector 312} ~~inspector 312~~ ^{or 252} ~~or 252~~ ^{in addition} ~~in addition~~ ^{the existing} ~~the existing~~ ^{number} ~~number~~ ^{would be sufficient} ~~would be sufficient~~ ^{to do the work} ~~to do the work~~ ^{of the} ~~of the ^{senior grade} ~~senior grade ^{might} ~~might~~ ^{be} ~~be ^{reduced} ~~reduced ^{from 252 to 240} ~~from 252 to 240 ^{and that 83 junior deputy} ~~and that 83 junior deputy ^{inspectors on a lower scale might be employed.} ~~inspectors on a lower scale might be employed.~~ ^{At the same time} ~~At the same time ^{they stated} ~~they stated ^{that 12 posts in the senior} ~~that 12 posts in the senior ^{grade need not be abolished at once} ~~grade need not be abolished at once~~ ^{and that they} ~~and that they ^{might be retained until such time as the posts in} ~~might be retained until such time as the posts in ^{question fell vacant either by the retirement of} ~~question fell vacant either by the retirement of~~ ^{the existing incumbents or other causes and that} ~~the existing incumbents or other causes and that~~ ^{the 12 posts in the junior grade might be kept} ~~the 12 posts in the junior grade might be kept ^{vacant for the present and filled up only as} ~~vacant for the present and filled up only as ^{permanent vacancies arose in the 12 surplus posts} ~~permanent vacancies arose in the 12 surplus posts ^{in the senior grade.} ~~in the senior grade.~~ ^{The D.P.I. now} ~~The D.P.I. now~~ ^{represents} ~~represents~~ ^{that} ~~that ^{there are practical difficulties in giving full} ~~there are practical difficulties in giving full~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

①
page 167 of p. no 656
19.4.26.

para. 4 - p. 109 note with do

para. 3 do

①

Submit

Submitted that Finance need not perhaps object to the proposal. But, in order to facilitate the redistribution of the ranges ^{on a talukwar basis} on a subsequent date and the abolition of the surplus posts, it may be stipulated that the next 12 permanent vacancies in the senior Dy. Inspectors' cadre should be left vacant and only officiating appointments made in those vacancies. Subject to this remark, the draft order may be accepted.

unc
4.6.26

7.6.26

Law

Fin. No. 15636 E-7-10
9.6.26.

K. C. 2487
9/6/26

Law (Eon) 049.

The draft has been amended as required by Finance. For orders as to mine

12
14.6.26


V. TK
14.6



108
11/6/26

add a para -

The draft should also draw attention to the fact that the redistribution of ranges on a Talukwar basis should be arranged & gradually put effect to. ^{During} as there has been he reported - The Scheme has been in the Talukwar system & should not in any account be delayed -
14/6.



Please see paragraph 3 added to the draft order.

C.V.V
15-6-26

The original proposal of the D.P.I was a talukwar distribution--a deputy inspector for each taluk with a junior grade officer to assist him. In all he required 217 senior officers with 217 junior grade officers for his scheme. The Finance Committee turned down the proposal, but recommended only the sanctioning of additional inspecting hands on the original basis, of 133 schools for each Dy. Inspector. This was sanctioned by Government. The proposal as approved had no reference to the Talukwar distribution at all. Finance note at (A) on page 3 above proceeds on the assumption that the talukwar arrangement was sanctioned by Govt. The basis for this observation was apparently the note of that department (para 4) on page 10 of the papers with G.O.No: 656 dated 19-4-26. This suggestion was not pursued and ⁱⁿ the subsequent notes both of this department and of the Finance Dept, there ^{was} no reference to it. The final form of the G.O which was accepted by Finance sanctioned the additional hands on the basis of the number of schools, without reference to the talukwar arrangement.



29 28.656 (D)
19.4.26
K. C. 2487

(The strength of the senior Dy. Inspectors was fixed on the basis of 1 officer for each Revenue Taluk including Muzich & special ranges.)



K. C. 2394
28/6/26
Eon no 1819A-2
25/6/26



Fin. No. 18305 E-7-10
25.6.26

The order may issue with paragraph 3 ^{as now} now inserted. I do not see how the scheme can be worked except on a talukwar basis. Fin may see again before issue.

12
25/6/26

V. TK
23.6.

18305 E-7-10
25.6.26

(Previous Finance note in ph 3-4 infra) Finance (E.T.)
The draft order may be perused and the case returned to Law (Eon) no.
Law
26.6.26

$\frac{Q}{19/5}$
 $\frac{V.T.F.}{20.5}$

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed

192

READ AGAIN G.O. No.
192

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DETTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED OF, BEING Awaiting FOR DISPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.