

Law (Educ) (1926) Department.

1926

Ms' SERIES.

G.O. No. 1138

DATED 22. 6. 1926

[Despatch Abstract.]

Subsidy - Municipal High School, Walajapet - Enhancement of
- on account of additional teacher for form IV - Refused.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

G.S. 5,000-18-6-23.

Municipal

DEPARTMENT.

Received 192 . Registered 192 .

Subject.

Subsidies - Distribution to local bodies for Secondary Education -
Net cost system - Replaced by fixed subsidy system. Revision
prayed for.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies.

C. No. 156/26.

Station Walajapet, Dated the 24th March 1926.

From (Name) M.R.Ry., W.M. Ramalingam Mudaliar Avergal,
Chairman,

(Designation) Municipal Council, Walajapet.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Local Self Government Department.

(Through The Director of Public Instruction Madras.)

Sir,

I have the honour to invite the kind attention of
Government to G. O. No. 270, dated 19th February 1926 replacing
the net cost system by fixed subsidy system in the case of
Secondary schools managed by local bodies in which the ~~gross~~
annual fixed subsidy for the Municipal High School here has
been arrived at Rs. 2849/-

2. The procedure adopted in fixing the above subsidy, has
been, as stated in paragraph 3 of the above G. O., based on
the average of the net ~~cost~~ expenditure sanctioned in the years
1922-23 and 1923-24.

3. In this connection I may point out that Government

have in their order No. Mls. 3765 L & M dated 15-10-1925

sanctioned the employment of an additional teacher on -

Rs. 80 - 2 - 90 (biennial) and have further ordered that

the net expenditure on this account will be taken into

account in sanctioning the subsidy for the school. This

expenditure has not been taken into account in calculating

the fixed subsidy for the school. The additional section

was opened in the current year and the new teacher

appointed with effect from 24-7-25, but as this appoint-

ment according to the G.O. takes effect from 1-9-25 the

subsidy already sanctioned for the year 1925-26, ie, Rs 2849

has to be raised by Rs. 510/- the average cost for six

months calculated at ----- or Rs. 85 a month. Simi-

larly the subsidy for 1926-27 has to be raised by Rs.

85 X 12 or Rs. 1020/-

4. I have the honour to request, that in the above circumstances, Government will be pleased to issue orders for the revision of the subsidy sanctioned in G. O. No. 270, dated 19th February 1926 in so far as it relates to the High School here.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,


Your most obedient servant,

Andam Zibun
Chairman. No. 3.76

C.F. 55-41,000-8-9-24.

Local Self Government DEPARTMENT.

Received




Registered

10262 192

Subject.

Municipal High School, Walajapet - enhancement of the fixed subsidy.



ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies

R.O.C. No. 721 B/26

Station Madras, Dated the 31st March 1926

From (Name) R. Littlehailes Esq., M.A.,

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

LOCAL SELF GOVERNMENT Department.

Sir.

Endorsement on letter C.No. 156/26, dated 24th March 1926 from the Chairman, Municipal Council, Walajapet

Submitted to Government.

2. Reference is invited to my endorsement R.O.C.

No. 1669 B/25, dated 21st September 1925 disposed of in G.O. No. 3765 L & M dated 15th October 1925 and it will be observed therefrom that the proposal for the entertainment of the additional teacher did not involve any extra expenditure during 1925-26 and that the ultimate cost would be Rs335. But in calculating the extra cost, the Chairman, Municipal Council has not taken into account the additional fee income realised from the additional pupils (i.e) the number of pupils in excess of the regulation number, in Form IV. In

In submitting proposals for the payment of subsidies to local bodies for secondary education for 1926-27 the subsidy sanctioned for the school will be proposed to be enhanced so as to include the additional extra cost on account of the additional teacher.

3. Necessary provision has been made for the purpose in the budget estimate for 1926-27.

R. R. Sankar
for Director of Public Instruction

P.R.K. 31/3

Law. (Edn) Dept.

Memo No. dated

Subject: Municipal High School, -
Walajapet - Enhancement of
the fixed subsidy - C.M.C's
letter No. 156/26, dated
24-3-26.

....

In his endorsement No. 1669 B/25, dated 21st September 1925, (copy enclosed) the Director of Public Instruction estimates that the net additional expenditure on account of the employment of a teacher for the second section of the IV form in the Municipal High School, Walajapet, is Rs. 335 for 1926-27. This amount will be added to the fixed subsidy payable to the school in the current year.

To

The C.M.C. Walajapet. *Art. copy 7-15-25*
" D.P.I. *D.P.I. send to 7-5-26*
Copy to L.S.G. Department. 7 *(D)*

Note

On page 8 of G.O. No. 3765 L.M. dated 15-10-25, this Department noted that in view of the introduction of the fixed subsidy system, the Council might meet the ~~additional~~ expenditure on account of the employment of the additional teacher for the IV form in the Walajapet High School. The Finance Department accepted this proposal. But the L.S.G. Department did not amend the draft order and in paragraph 2 of the G.O., it ~~is~~ stated that the net additional expenditure would be taken into

account in sanctioning the subsidy for the school. In view of the commitment thus made, a sum of Rs. 335/- may be sanctioned in the current year towards the cost of the additional teacher employed. The draft may be sent to Finance for acceptance.

COA
7.5.26
7.5.26
7.5.26
7.5.26

7.5.26
7.5.26
7.5.26

7.5.26
7.5.26

Fin 11-5-26



Law (Edn.) 1119 B-1
11-5-26



Fin No 14749 Exp.
13-5-26

Fin. (Exp.)

Prior to the issue of G.O. 270 Law (Edn.) d. 19-2-26, the full net cost of the Municipal High School, Walajahpet was being met from Provincial funds. In the above G.O. a fixed subsidy of Rs. 2849 p.a. was sanctioned for the school with effect from 1925-26. The fixed subsidies sanctioned by Govt. will be revised only every 3 years. In the interval, all additional expenditure should be borne by the local bodies concerned.

2. In July 1925, the C.M.C. Wallajapet applied to Govt. for the appointment of an additional teacher for the school. The L.S.G. deptt. put up a draft order sanctioning the employment of an addl. teacher and stating that the additional

** There is a separate proposal (accepted by Finance) to increase this amount from 1925-26 on account of the revision of pay of teachers effected by the H.C. in 1922-23 & 1923-24. Law (Edn.) have made reference to this.*

[para 6 on p. 2 of G.O. 270]

pp. 1-2 of G.O. 3765 L & M
15-10-25

C. O. at D.

para 2 of G.O.

para 2 of G.O.

p. 8 of G.O. at place

p. 9 of ibid.

pp. 1-2 C.F.

p. 1-2 infra

There is a separate proposal (accepted by Finance) to increase this amount from 1925-26 on account of the revision of pay of teachers effected by the H.C. in 1922-23 & 1923-24. Law (Edn.) have made reference to this.

pp. 1-2 of G.O. 3765 L & M
15-10-25

p. 89

expenditure on this account would be taken into account in sanctioning the subsidy for the school. When the draft order was sent to Law (Edn.), they pointed out that there was no additional expenditure in 1925-26 on account of the employment of the teacher and that if there should be any additional expenditure in 1926-27 the local body should meet it from its own funds.

Finance agreed with Law (Edn.). The L.S.G. deptt did not, however, amend the ~~draft~~ order. It was issued as originally put up by L.S.G. committing Govt. to an ~~additional~~ expenditure on account of the additional teacher.

3. The C.M.C. Wallajahpet has now pointed out that the fixed subsidy of Rs. 2849 sanctioned in G.O. 270 Law (Edn.) d. 19-2-26 does not include the cost of the additional teacher sanctioned in G.O. 3765 Law (Edn.) d. 15-10-25. The Law (Edn.) deptt. propose that the net cost on account of the additional teacher (Rs. 335 p.a.) may be added to the fixed subsidy (Rs. 2849) payable to the school.

4. It is submitted that para 2 of G.O. 3765 L & M dated 15-10-25 should be taken to have been superseded by para 6 of G.O. 270 Law Education dated 19-2-26.

The fixed subsidy of Rs. 2849 sanctioned in the latter G.O. represents the average net expenditure incurred on the school in the years 1922-23 and 1923-24. There was no extra cost in 1925-26 on account of the employment of an additional teacher. As already pointed out by Law (Edn.), the extra cost in 1926-27 and subsequent years on account of the additional teacher should be met by the municipal council itself.

If an additional subsidy is to be sanctioned in the present case, it will be difficult to resist

lar applications from other local bodies for in-
creasing the fixed subsidies/in G.O.No.270 Law (Edn)
dated 19-2-26. In the circumstances, the Law (Edn)deptt.
may be requested to inform the Municipal council that
with reference to paras 3 and 6 of G.O.270 Law (Edn)
dated 19-2-26. no additional subsidy is due to it.

21.5.26.
21.5.26
22.5.26

Law

Law (Edn) Deptt.

A.S. For orders whether the Municipal
Council, Walajapet, may be
informed as suggested by Finance
above. If so, the draft order
below is for approval. It
may issue after acceptance
by the L.S.G. deptt.

31.5.26
8/11
7.6.26
9.6.26
V.TK
9.6
L.S.G
U.O.

L.S.G

The draft may be accepted in
this deptt.

11-6-26

Perhaps it would save the case's mind if
the draft expressly stated that the extra
subsidy will be taken into consideration
when the subsidy is next revised.

14.6.26

P


P




S.

L-En 1307B
ms 25/5/26

Fin: W.O. 14749 Exp-1.
25-5-26.



Law (Edn) no 1119B-2
11-6-26



1696-1
14-6-26



See

Law (Edn) no

14/6


Law (Edn) Deptt.

The addition suggested by L.S.G. has
been made to the draft which may now
be issued.

8A
17.6.26.

8/6

V.TK
19.6



Initials.

When despatched

Municipal High School, Palajapet - Enhance-
ment of - On account of additional teacher
for form IV - Refused.

” ” ” ” ” 192

C. No. 119 B From the C.M.C. Walajpet G No. 156/26, dated 22-3-1926.

” ” ” ” ” ” 192

” ” ” ” ” ” 192

No. 1138, dated 22. 6. 1926

The attention of the C.M.C.,
Walajapet, is drawn to the orders in
paragraph 6 of G.O.No.270 Law (Edn),
dated 19-2-26. The Government are un-
able to sanction any increase in the
fixed subsidy payable to the Municipal
High School, Walajapet, during the cur-
rent ~~year~~ triennium, on account of the
employment of a teacher for the addi-
tional section of form IV in the
school.

The extra expenditure will be taken into ~~account~~ consideration when the subsidy is next revised

To

The C.M.C., Walajapet

" D.P.I. (with refce. to his
Endt. R.C.C. 721 B/26
dated 31-3-20

" L.S.G. Department. ✓

C-P.A.

$$\frac{8x}{7.6.2t}$$

9.6.26

$$\frac{\sqrt{T_k}}{q}$$

DESPATCHED
9223 JUN 1928

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

192

READ AGAIN G.O. No. _____
192

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED OF, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.F.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.