

Law (Education) (1926) Department.

Mis SERIES.

G.O. No. 1089.

DATED 11-6-26.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Elementary Education Act,- Rules framed
under - Payment of grants-in-aid - Pro-rata
reductions.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

G.F. 30-5,452-8-8-23.

DEPARTMENT.

Received



Registered

153 1926

Requesting to obtain the specific orders of the Government in regard to the pro-rata reductions to be made in the teaching grants, as contemplated in rule 2 of teaching grant rules framed under section 42(2) of the Madras Elementary Education Act of 1920.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies

Ref. No. 51-E.C. of 1926.

Station N e l l o r e, Dated the 29th April, 1926.

From (Name) M.R.Ry. B. Ramachendra Reddi Garu, B.A., M.I.C.

(Designation) Vice President, in charge, section 19(2) District Educational Council, N e l l o r e.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law (Education) Department.

Sir,

In inviting the kind attention of the Government to the circular letter of the Director of Public Instruction, Madras, to all the Presidents of District Educational Councils (except Tinnevely) copy enclosed, I have the honour to state as follows:

There was a 'pro-rata' reduction effected in the teaching grants for 1924-25. After careful consideration, this Council decided in its resolution No.2 dated 14-3-1926 to effect a pro-rata reduction only in the increased grants earned by the schools. Under rule 2 of teaching grant rules framed under section 42(2) of the Madras Elementary Education Act of 1920, the discretion of District Educational Councils is not fettered in as



much as there is nothing requiring the District Educational Councils to effect a 'pre-rata' reduction on the assigned grants, irrespective of the fact whether the schools get increase, par, or decrease. It can therefore be upheld, with correctness that the procedure adopted by this Council during March, 1925 was not, in any way irregular, as under the present rules.

2. I have placed the circular letter of the -- Director of Public Instruction, Madras to all Presidents of District Educational Councils (except the President, District Educational Council, Tinnevely) mentioned above, before this Council at its meeting held on 27-2-1926 and a copy of its resolution, thereon is also enclosed.

3. A copy of the letter D.Dis.No.29-E.C. of 1926 dated 29-1-1926 of the ex-President of this Council, addressed to the Director of Public Instruction, Madras with reference to his Proceedings R.C.No.1148-B-25 dated --- 26-11-1925 is also enclosed herewith for the perusal of the Government.

4. I have the honour to request you to be so good as to obtain the orders of the Government to modify or cancel the circular letter of the Director of Public Instruction, Madras, R.C.No.1148-B-25 dated 19-2-1926, as the procedure outlined therein by the Director of Public Instruction is not in consonance with the rules framed by the Local Government under section 42(2) of the Madras Elementary Education Act of 1920, which allow a sufficient latitude to the District Educational Councils for exercising their discretion in view of the local conditions in the several districts.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

B. Ramachandra Reddi
Vice President, in charge,

7-5-1926. J.J.S.

section 19(2).

Enclosures.

Copy of Circular letter, R.C.No.1148-B/25 dated 19th February, 26 the from the Director of Public Instruction, Madras to all Presidents of District Educational Councils (except Tinnevely).

It is observed that in many districts the pre-rata reduction referred to in Rule 2 of the teaching grant rules framed under section 42(2) of the Madras Elementary Education Act of 1920 does not appear to have been effected properly. Some District Educational Councils have been making the pre-rata reduction only on the increases sanctioned over the assessed grants, with a view to meet the total assignment from the allotment available with the council. This procedure will have the effect of lowering the tone of good schools and damping the spirit of enthusiastic teachers.

2. The term 'Grants' referred to in the rule quoted above should be taken to mean the grant finally assigned by the Council on the recommendation of the inspecting officers pre-rata reductions should therefore be made on the assigned grants irrespective of the fact whether the schools get increase, par, or decrease.

Copy of Resolution No.22 dated 27-2-1926 of the District Educational Council, Nellore.

Members Present:-

1. M.R.Ry. B. Ramachandra Reddi Garu, B.A., M.L.C., Vice President, section 15(1).

X

X

X

Subject.- Read letter from the Director of Public Instruction, Madras, R.C.No.1148-B/25 dated 19-2-1926 informing that pre-rata reductions should be made on the assigned grants irrespective of the fact whether the schools get increase, par or decrease.

Resolution.- The Government will be addressed for an interpretation of the rule, as the Council does not agree with the Director.

B. Ramachandra Reddi,
27-2-1926.
Vice President, section 15(1).

4
Copy of the letter of the ex-President, D.P.S. No. 29-E.C. of 1926 dated 29-1-1926 to the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

In inviting your attention to your Proceedings R.O.No. 1148-B-25 dated 26-11-1925 addressed to the District Educational Officer, Nellore, I have the honour to state that the District Educational Council decided for want of funds to reduce pro-rata the increases sanctioned over the assessed grants of 1924-25. The Council did not effect any reduction in the assessed grants or in the reduced grants, earned by schools, as it was not necessary to do so. The Council had always sufficient discretion in determining the increase of the grants to be assigned to each school. After paying due consideration to the circumstances, under which increases were allowed, it decided to effect a pro-rata reduction in the increases alone so as to limit the expenditure to the allotment made for this district.

This procedure is not inconsistent with the provisions of the rules framed under section 42(2) of the Madras Elementary Education Act of 1920.

(Three Copies)

B. Ramachandran
Vice President, in charge,
section 19(2).


J.J.S.7-5-1926.

CF. 30

L. a w (Education)

DEPARTMENT. 5

Received



Registered

192

Subject.

District Educational Council, Nellore - pro rata reduction definition - Government orders solicited.

L. En 2042A
3/6/26

ENCLOSURES.

No.

R.O.C. No. 1305 B/26

Spare copies

Station Madras Dated the 31st May 1926

From (Name) R.G. Grieve Esq., M.A.,

(Designation) As: Director of Public Instruction

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras

L a w (Education)

Department.

Sir,

In resubmitting herewith Government endorsement No. 1843 A-1 dated 19-5-26 I have the honour to state that my circular referred therein was found to be necessary as the pro-rata reductions were not being properly made in many districts including Nellore and the circular follows my view already accepted by Government in G.O. 1604 L.E., dated 14-9-25. There is no reason to modify this G.O. and therefore none to modify or cancel the circular.

I have the honour to be, sir,
Your most obedient servant,

A Rama Ray
1/6

For Director of Public Instruction

TR 1/6

Sum
1/6

Lawlor (204) (S) DEPARTMENT.

	Date.	Initials.
When received for Fair-copying.		W. J. L.
When copied	..	
When examined	..	
When signed	..	
When despatched	..	

Elementary Education Act

Rules framed under — Payment
of grants in aid — Pro-rata
reductions.

READ AGAIN G.O. No., dated 192 ..

” ” ” ” ----- ” 192

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 18723A From the PDR, Nellore., No. Ref 57c, dated 29.4.1926.

" " 2047A " " ~~209~~ " " 1205B " " 31. 5-1926

" "....." " "-----" " "....." " "....." 192

ORDER.

No. 1089, dated 11-6- 1926.

mis

Rule 2 on p 4 of 208
H
21.2.22

The Govt. consider that the views contained in the D.P.D.'s circular letter R.C. No. 1148 B/25, of 19.2.26, are based on a correct interpretation of the rule relating to "Pro-rata" reduction of teaching grants. It is not therefore necessary to modify or cancel the circular in question.

DESPATCHED

12th JUN 1926 庚

2.

The P.D.E., Nellore.

Copy to the DPJ

24A
7.62
be

~~9.6.26~~
5

 ~~$\sqrt{74}$~~
9.6

When given for copying _____
When copied _____ } 192
When examined _____
When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O. No. _____ DATED _____
192

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO C. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT. _____

STATEMENT WITH DITTO. _____

PAPERS NOT FILED OF, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL. _____

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.