

Law (Education) (1926) Department.

mis. SERIES.

G.O. No. 1017

DATED 2-6-1926.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Medical inspection

District Board, North Aroor - schools - half grant from
provincial funds - declined.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

C.F. 30-5,000-16-3-25.

Law (Education) DEPARTMENT.

1306
h-En 106512
23/4/26

Received 192 Registered 192



Subject. Medical Inspection of Schools.

ENCLOSURES.

No. One

Spare copies. -

R.O.C.G.O. No. 122/D.B. of 1925.

Station Vellore Dated the 16th April 1926.

From (Name) M.R.Ry.Rao Bahadur K.Krishnaswami Naidu Garu
M.L.C.
(Designation) President, District Board, North Arcot.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law (Education) Department.
Fort Saint George, Madras.

Sir,

With reference to Government order No.641 Law Education dated 29th April 1925, laying down a set of instructions for the introduction of medical inspection in Secondary Schools for boys, I have the honour to state that the District Board's resolution No.27 dated 31-3-1926 observed that the medical inspection of pupils in schools is necessary. But at the same time, after much deliberation, it has not been in favour of levying any fees on pupils to meet even a portion of the expenditure involved. On the other hand, it has agreed to bear a moiety of the expenditure and resolved to request Government to bear the other moiety. Accordingly, I request that Government will be pleased to - - -

contribute

This is opposed to para 1(5)(b) of Govt 641 29-4-25

---2---

contribute to this District Board a moiety of the total expenditure involved. In this connection, I need hardly point out that one and all the school centres under this Board is situated in union villages such as Arni, Wandiwash, Polur, Tiruvattipuram and Kuppam and a majority of the people in and around those villages are not sufficiently educated so as to appreciate the advantages of the proposal and that as such, --- even the demand of a small fee for the purpose would be misunderstood by them and ~~lead~~ tend to the ultimate withdrawal of the pupils from the --- institution.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

President.

V.R 20/4

3

Extract from the Minutes of Proceedings of the District Board,
North Arcot, passed at its Meeting held
on the 31st March 1926, at 1-30 P.M.

1. M.R.Ry. Rao Bahadur K. Krishnaswami Naidu Garu M.L.C. President.
2. President, Taluk Board, Tirupattur.
3. President, Taluk Board, Vellore.
4. President, Taluk Board, Polur.
5. " G. Perumalswami Reddiar Avargal.
6. " K.M. Singaravelu Mudaliar Avargal.
7. The Reverend Joseph Gnanadicam.
8. " M.B. Rangaswami Reddiar Avargal.
9. " Rao Sahib V.A. Arunachala Mudaliar Avargal.
10. " Rao Sahib J.G. Swami Doss Nadar Avargal.
11. " P. Sivasamba Ayyar Avargal.
12. " Venkatasubba Reddi Garu.
13. " P.R. Sitarama Ayyar Avargal.
14. " V. Ratna Mudaliar Avargal.
15. " K. Pattuswami Gavundar Avargal.
16. " C. Thimma Reddiar Avargal.
17. " V.A. Subbarayalu Naidu Garu.
18. " M. Venkata Reddi Garu.
19. " A. Ragavalu Naidu Garu.
20. " S.M. Rajachidambara Mudaliar Avargal.
21. " P.A. Adimoolam Avargal.
22. " R.C. Hanumantha Gavundar Avargal.
23. " M.V. Bhimaraja Mudaliar Avargal.
24. " K.A. Vajjavelu Mudaliar Avargal.
25. " G. Venkatesam Chettiar Avargal.

Resolution No. 27.

Read Government order No. 641 L.E. dated 29th April 1925 issuing instructions for the medical inspection of pupils in secondary ---- schools under the management of local bodies and sanctioning a system of grants-in-aid in the case of schools under local bodies and private management.

The medical inspection of pupils is necessary and the Board resolves to bear one half of the expenditure from its own funds, the other half being borne by the Government.

(True extract)

NORTH ARCOT DISTRICT BOARD'S OFFICE,
Vellore, 16th April 1926.

V.R 20/4

For President.

Law (2nd) Sept

C. 1306 C - From the P.D.B. North
Arest, Ro. c/No 122.D.B of 1925 &
16.4.26.

Subject Exemption of pupils in
the D.P. Board schools from
the payment of fees for
medical inspection.

Under the scheme of medical
inspection sanctioned in Govt. 208 Home (2nd)
& 12.2.20, the award of subsidies to local
bodies towards the cost of ~~making~~ the
inspection was contingent on the levy
of fees from pupils so as to cover
at least one-third of the cost,
while private managements were ^{allowed} ~~given~~
a discretion to levy fees or not.
In the case of both local bodies
and private managements, the
maximum grant payable from
Provincial funds was limited to
one-third of the total expenditure
incurred.

In 1921 the District Board,
Rodavani, requested the Govt to
exempt the pupils in the schools
under

(S)

under the control of the Polavaram Taluk Board from paying the special fees as they were too poor to pay, the grant, however, being limited to one-third of the cost as laid down in the rules. Though this proposal did not entail any extra expenditure on Provincial funds, the Government declined to entertain the District Board's request as it was in contravention of the rules - vide the H.M.'s note on page 8-9 of Govt. 1326 & 16.9.24.

Govt. 1326 & 16.9.24 (X)

2. The revised scheme of medical inspection sanctioned in Govt. 641 & 20.4.25 has ~~gone~~ ^{done} away with the discretion left to private managements in regard to the levy of special fees. Private managements ~~as~~ well as local bodies should levy special fees, if they should be eligible for a grant from Provincial funds, which is limited to one-third of the total expenditure.

(X)

(L)

The ~~request~~ ^{request} of the President, D.T. Board, N. Arcot, practically amounts to a proposal that the pupils in the secondary schools under its control ~~should~~ be exempted

exempted from the payment of special fees for medical inspection. ~~as the~~ ^{He states that the} people in the villages are not sufficiently educated to appreciate the advantages of medical inspection and that the levy of even a small fee would ~~ultimately~~ ^{ultimately} lead to the ~~ultimately~~ withdrawal of the pupils from the schools. This argument loses much of its force in view of the fact that medical inspection is to be made compulsory in schools under local bodies, with effect from the next school year.

Further the District Board ~~is~~ is willing to bear only one-half of the expenditure and asks that the other half may be met from Provincial funds. ^{Only one-third of} ~~the expenditure may be met from Provincial funds, which~~ ^{means the} present case is thus less strong than the one which was rejected in 1921.

3. A draft order desiring to comply with the D.T. Board's request is submitted. It may be sent to L. Sec. for perusal before issue



Law (Gen), 1306 & 1
4-5-26

13301

1.3.26

30.4.26

3.5

L.S.G.

ling.

Y
Long ~~1756~~
1375726.14521e

MR
19-5-26

DS ✓

Free
22.5.26

~~See~~

Thro D

$$\frac{278}{1215} \quad \frac{\text{km}}{1875}$$

TR 2015

Cre
4/5/26

Law (Edu)

mad
22/5

5/25/5

Lawrence Dept. Leaves 13304-1
2675/26

May ~~last~~ issue

8
27.5.26 13
27.5.26

2875

V.TK ✓
31.5

TO GOVT. OF MADRAS
JUN 1926
DEPARTMENT #

A circular ink stamp from the Law Department, Secretary to Govt. of India. The text "SECRETARY TO GOVT. OF INDIA" is curved along the top inner edge, and "LAW DEPARTMENT" is curved along the bottom inner edge. In the center, the date "11 MAY 1926" is stamped horizontally. The stamp is slightly faded and has a textured, ink-like appearance.

L-En 1663c

2715728

Sectt. II-5 (b)-25,000-25-11-25. (6

Date.

Initials.

When received for Fair-copying.

When copied .. 2-6-26

When examined ..

When signed .. 216

When despatched ..

Medical inspection

Dr. Board, N. Arcot -

schools — half grant
from Provincial funds.

READ AGAIN G.O. No. _____, dated _____ 192 *declined*

” ” ” ” ” 192 .

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 1306 From the _____, No. _____, dated _____ 192_____.

” ” ” ” ” ” 192

” ” ” ” ” ” 162

ORDER.

No. 1017, dated 2. 6 1926

Mis

The Government are unable to accede to the request of the President, D.C. Board, North Arcot, that one-half of the expenditure on account of the medical inspection of pupils in the secondary schools under its control should be met from Provincial funds.

The President is informed that no deviation will be permitted, from the rule

rule laying down that the
award of subsidies to local
bodies towards the cost of
medical inspection shall
be contingent on the levy
of special fees from pupils
so as to cover at
least one-third of the
cost.

$\frac{2}{115}$

$\frac{1}{2}$

30.4.26

V.T.K.

$\frac{1}{2}$
30.4.26

3.5

DESPATCHED
JUN 1926

To The P.D.B. ✓ W. Arch

(with refer to his

letter No. 110

122 D.B. of 25

of 16.4.26

" The D.D. F (A of ex
+0)

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed

192

READ AGAIN G.O. No.
192

DATE

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED OF, BEING Awaiting FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.

B = Not to be copied.

P = Copy abstract only.

Q = Copy heading only.