

Law Education (1926) Department.

Mis SERIES.

G.O. No. 92.

DATED 22-1-26.

[Despatch Abstract.]

MEDICAL INSPECTION.

Contribution from Provincial funds - Proportion to

the total cost - Question whether the management can be relieved

of contribution - Papers recorded.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CF. 304-1,899 Bks. - 9-9-25.

OFFICE OF THE

Dated _____ 192

Hours _____ Minutes.

TELEPHONIC MESSAGE.

From

The D. P. 9

To

The Secy Land (24m)

Repe 80.41 land (24m) d 29.4.25
re Medical Inspy of Boys in secondary
schools

(1) Will refer to para (1) (b) of
the 80 quoted above. Please say
whether it is permissible for
a management to levy 2/3 of
the remuneration to be paid
to the medical inspectors by
way of Spl. fees on the pupils
and receive the balance of 1/3
as Govt. grant. Subject to

From

the upper limit prescribed

To

in the 80.

for
7.1.25.

Question (1) — whether two-thirds of the cost of

medical inspection may be recovered as special
fees from pupils

under paragraph

1(5)1

(I)

1 (5) (b) of G.O. No. 641 of 29.4.25
 the special fees levied from pupils
 should cover at least one-third
 of the cost of medical inspection.
 There is no objection to two-thirds
 or even the whole cost of medical
 inspection being recovered from
 the pupils.

Question (2) — Whether, when two-
thirds of the cost ^{have been} recovered from
the pupils, the remaining one-third
may be claimed by the management
from the Government, the management
itself paying nothing.

under

(I)

paragraph 1 (5) (a) of G.O. No.
 641 of 29.4.25 the maximum
 grant payable from Provincial
 funds shall be limited, subject
 to certain ^{upper} limits, to one-third of
 the expenditure incurred by the
 management.

The phrase 'expenditure by the
 management' here means the
 total cost of medical inspection,
 made up of fees from pupils and
 Contribution from the management's
 funds. The maximum contribution

from Provincial funds ~~expend~~
will amount to one-half of the
~~total~~ contribution by the management
over and above the fees realised
from pupils. The following

cf Govt No

$$\frac{1809}{17.12.21} \text{ (C)}$$

examples illustrate the position:—
I Case where pupils pay one-third of the cost

A Special fees from pupils 6

B Contribution by the management 12

Total cost 18

C Contribution from Provincial funds 6

Net expenditure from the management's funds Rs 6

II Case where pupils contribute two-thirds of the cost

D Special fees from pupils 12


E Contribution by the management 6

Total cost 18

F Contribution from Provincial funds 3

Net expenditure from the management's funds 3

The management and the Government share equally the cost in excess of the fees realised from pupils. If the Government were to pay one-third of the ^{total} cost in the specific case cited by the D. P. I, the management ^{will} ~~would~~ be relieved ^{altogether} ~~entirely~~ of any contribution. This is contrary to the intentions of the Govt—vide Govt No 1809 Law (Hon) of 17.12.21 and Memo No 2445-C-1 of 28.8.25 which relate to the case of local bodies. The same principle applies to private managements also.



Govt No 61C.4
9.1.26

(in Memo No 2445-C-1
of 28.8.25)

To be returned
Govt No 15A.C
20.1.26

D.P.I. No 734 of 28.8.25
19.1.26



2 The above note may be sent to the D. P. I. for perusal.

871 VTK
S 9.1

D.P.I. No

Law (Hon) Sept

The papers may be

Medical inspection

Contribution from Provincial funds. Proportion to the total cost—question whether the management can be of

for future reference

Order

21/1

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

A	A	A	A	A	A	A
---	---	---	---	---	---	---