

Law (1926) Department.
(Education)

Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 56

DATED 12-1-1926

8

[Despatch Abstract.]

GRANT.

Building--Women's Christian College, Madras--inclusion in
approved list of certain repairs and alterations--negatived.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

LAW (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT.

Received



192

Registered

192

Subject.

Women's Christian College, Madras - submitting for approval estimates for improvements.

Ed-3139B
13/11/25

ENCLOSURES.

No.

R.C. No.3179-D/24.

Spare copies

Station Madras Dated the 9th November 1925.

From (Name) R.LITTLEHAILES, Esq., M.A.,

(Designation) DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras

LAW (EDUCATION) Department.

Sir,

During the year 1923-24 the management of the Women's Christian College, Madras, carried out extensive repairs and alterations to the College buildings at a cost of Rs.18,751/- and included the expenditure in the Financial statement of the College for 1923-24. In scrutinising the Financial statement in question for the purpose of assessing the teaching grant the management were informed that aid in respect of the expenditure referred to above should be sought separately under Chapter VIII of the Grant-in-Aid Code.

2.

(P.T.O)

2. The Principal of the College has now submitted estimates aggregating Rs.18,751 for the following works and requests a half grant thereon under Chapter VIII of the Grant-in-Aid Code.

(1) Repairs to the main building.	Rs.5,954-8-3
(2) Repairs and alterations to the Chapel in order to convert it into a hospital. ..	3,826-2-9
(3) Restoring the right bank of the river Cooum on the Northern boundary.	6,352-1-9
(4) Removing and restoring the water supply main and the construction of an additional feeder tank.	2,618-8-6

	Rs.18,751-5-3

3. I submit herewith the estimates in question together with a copy of the Principal's letter dated 30-1-25 explaining the nature of the repairs and alterations. As regards item (3) in para 2 supra,

I have to state that it represents the portion of the scheme referred to in para 1 of my letter to Government R.C.No.1895-D/24 dated 23-10-24 disposed of in G.O.No.144 Law (Education) dated 30-1-25.

4. All the estimates have been scrutinised by the Deputy Consulting Architect and the Chief Engineer, Madras. The latter officer has corrected the estimates. The corrected estimates come to Rs.17,450-15-3 shown below:-

Item 1 of para 2 supra	Rs.5,398-10-3
Item 2	3,597-9-9
Item 3	5,836-2-9
Item 4	2,618-8-6

	Rs.17,450-15-3

I recommend that the works mentioned above be approved by Government and sanction accorded to their inclusion in the list of approved works at an aggregate cost of Rs.17,451/-.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

E. M. Bowel

for Director of Public Instruction.

M.R.P.10/11.

10/11

Copy of letter dated 30-1-1925 from the Principal, Women's Christian College, Madras, to the D.P.I., Madras.

In continuation of my letter dated 19th December 1924 and in reply to your letter R.O.C.No.3179-D/24 dated 16-1-25, I have the honour to submit herewith the estimates for repairs and alterations to buildings, and construction of revetment and improvement of water supply in this College compound. The following estimates are submitted:-

I. Detailed measurement of certain repairs executed in the Women's Christian College Building. These are actual quantities taken from the bill submitted by the contractor who executed the work. The valuation is made upon the rates tendered by him prior to the execution of the work. The total amount of the estimate is therefore the actual amount paid to the contractor; namely, Rs.5,954-8-3.

II. Measurement of certain repairs and alterations to a building formerly used as a Chapel in order to make it into a Hospital. The same remarks as to quantities and valuation made above about I, apply to this estimate. This work was executed by the same contractor simultaneously with the above work. The total amount is Rs.3,826-2-9.

III. Detailed measurements for restoring the right bank of the River Cooum on the northern boundary of the Women's Christian College. Items 1 to 20 in the abstract estimate are the actual quantities of the work done as per bill paid to the contractor and valued at his tendered rates and amount to Rs.5,966-11-0. Items 1921-24 are departmental expenses which were incurred in connection with the work, making the total cost Rs.6,352-1-9.

IV. This is comprised of two portions, (1) the estimate submitted by the licensed plumber for removing and relaying the water supply main including municipal charges paid to the Madras Corporation and (2) the estimate for constructing an additional feeder tank for the Hostel. The first portion of the estimate is in two parts:- the first one, Rs.1,909-5-9, being the charges for laying the mains alone and the second, Rs.475-12-5, for taking a branch connection to the Hostel feeder tank. The second portion of the estimate is Rs.557-8-3, being the amount paid to the contractor as per quantities executed by him in

accordance

(P.T.O)

4

accordance with his tendered rates. The first portion of the estimate was approved by the Corporation of Madras and the work was let to the licensed plumber for labour contract alone. All necessary materials, etc., were supplied departmentally. There was a saving of Rs.324-2-4 thereby. The total expenditure was therefore Rs.2,618-8-6.

11.11.25 (True Copy)

E. M. Bower

for Director of Public Instruction.

Building grant - women's
christian college, Madras.

CF [C-3139B] From the D.P.O., No. 3179 D/24
of 9-11-25.

In the circumstances reported, it is submitted that the Govt. may condone the irregular ~~action~~ of the management of the women's christian college, Madras, in having carried out the repairs and alterations in question without first consulting the D.P.O. (case of this kind are becoming more frequent) - please see the similar case disposed of in G.O. 144 of 30.1.25 and the note on p. 17 of that G.O. A draft order is submitted.

(Xiii)

cor
16.11.25
811
18.11.25.

(Xiii)

The case is Exactly the same as that disposed of, in respect of the same institution, in G.O. No 144 of 30.1.25. The works were Executed in 1923-24, without apparent & previous approval. Their cost was included in the financial Staff; on the irregularity being pointed out the condonation is now recommended. The amount

involved in large - viz Rs 17.451. One of the works was a continuation of the work (restoring of the bank of the Cooum) that was approved with some hesitation. All the works should not have been treated as ordinary repairs which need not be previously approved.

Q
18/11

(XIII)

Give a list of works (with cost etc) which have been marked for grant for this instⁿ

V.T.
19.11

The following list gives details of works relating to the women's christian college, Madras, which have been approved by the Govt.:-

Nature of scheme.	Amount of estimate Rs.	Grant admissible Rs.	Remarks.
(1) construction of a science block and a college hall [sanctioned in 1925 vide also paragraph 4 of order of 2.8.1947 & 12.11.25 (T)]	3,50,000	2,25,000 ($\frac{2}{3}$ grant for science block + $\frac{1}{3}$ grant for hall)	It is understood from the D.P.O's office that a grant of Rs. 2.14 lakhs roundly has been paid so far.
(2) Restoration of the right bank of the Cooum forming the northern boundary of the college compound [Sanctioned in 8.11.48 30.1.25 (XIII)]	7,510	3,755	Grant not yet paid to college.

Q
21.11.25

cvv
20.11.25
8/9
20.11.25

As the rules have not been complied with, I recommend an one fourth grant.

V.T.
22.11

M2

The cases of this sort are increasing and the rules are not complied with. The applicant is liable to be refused. The D.P.O find no reasons of previous sanction was not obtained for these works and the expenditure was included in the financial statement without exhibiting the same as special remark. Unless there is satisfactory explanation the applicant is liable to be refused.

Ref: dm -

23/11/25



From paragraph 1 of the

director's letter on p.1 of, it would appear that the management of the college carried out the works in question under the mistaken impression that they came under 'ordinary repairs' and included the expenditure in the financial statement of the college for 1923-24; and

(X) Cf. also paragraph 1 on p.1 and paragraph 3 on p.10 of 2.11.48 30.1.25 (XIII)

4
After scrutiny of the financial statement
and the D.P.I. informed them ~~between~~
that the works ^{should} ~~shall~~ be treated as
falling under the 'building grant'
rules in the grant-in-aid code.

For orders whether the
application should be rejected.

cur
23.11.25

8/8

23.11.25

GA
24/11

S

VTK

25.11

M2

There are two letters & address sent

replies. Ask D.P.I. if the

management has any other explanation

to the

26.11.25

Draft no. note to the D.P.I. is

submitted.

cur

30.11.25

GA
2/12

S

VTK
2.12



[3139 B]

U.O. Note.

From the D.P.I., R.C. No. 3179D/24, dated 9-11-25.

Subject--Building grant--Women's
Christian College, Madras.

In the case reported in the D.P.I.'s letter read
above, the management of the Women's Christian College,
Madras, carried out certain improvements to the Col-
lege buildings without first consulting the D.P.I. as
required by the rules in the Grant-in-Aid Code.

From paragraph 1 of the Director's letter, it would
appear that the management carried out the works in
question under the mistaken impression that they came
under "ordinary repairs" and included the expenditure
in the financial statement of the college for 1923-24.
After scrutiny of the financial statement, the Direc-
tor informed them that the works should be treated as
falling under the building grant rules in the Grant-
in-Aid Code. It is observed that the works in ques-
tion are in the nature of new works and additions
and not of repairs. The Director may be requested
u.o. to ascertain and report whether the management
has any other explanation to offer for having carried
out the works without previous reference to the D.P.I.

Law edu no. 3139B.1

3.12.25.

Lb 34 B

6.1.26

VTK

2.12

D.P.I. u.o.



U.O. Reply No. 3179-2124/5.1.26



The Principal of the Women's Christian College states that there was no intention on her part of carrying out the works referred to without obtaining sanction. Such work as the repairs on the main building and the alteration of an old building into a hospital had to be done during the long vacation. The improvement of the water supply and the construction of the revetment were also urgent. All this expenditure was originally included under repairs in the financial statement.

[Signature]
5.1.26

Law (C.M.)

Resubmitted with reference to

M₂'s note on p. 4 ante.

cur
7.1.26
8A
7.1.26

The plea that is now put forward is that the works had to be executed urgently. ~~Even~~ Even then the D.P.I.'s previous approval could have been obtained. The institution is ~~in~~ in the City & very near the D.P.I.'s office. The authorities are in touch with the Depart.

* I would not have taken long to obtain it & the works could not have been executed in a day or in a week.

I do not think ^{it} ~~there~~ should be included.

V.T.
8.1



M₂

The Director should see that he has struck in among the rules. *[Signature]*

The the Finance Bureau has been struck in interpreting the application of funds in said buildings. D.P.I. has asked to put up financial statement.

When despatched

inclusion in approved list of certain
repairs & alterations -
Negative.

” ” ” ” ***** ” ”

C. No. 3139B From the D.P.O., No. 3179, dated 9-11-1925.
3124

” ” ” ” ” ” ” 192

” ” ” ” ” ” ” 192

No. 56, dated 12-1- 1926.

The Govt. are unable to sanction the inclusion in ^{list of} ^{works} the approved ~~list~~ of the repairs and alterations to the buildings of the women's Christian college, Madras, referred to in the D.P.S.' letter read above.

DESPATCHED
JAN 1926

curv
11.1.26

$$\frac{819}{11 \cdot 1 \cdot 26}$$

The D.P.G. (with plans etc.
ret?)

When given for copying
 When copied
 When examined
 When signed

192

READ AGAIN G.O. No.
 192

DATED

CURRENT No.

2

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT ..

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED OF, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.