

Law (1925) Department.
Education?

Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 776

DATED 11-6-1925

[Despatch Abstract.]

Establishment.

Raghava Achariyar, M.R.Ry.V. Acting Assistant--Government
Madrasa-i-Azam--officiating pay--orders passed.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

C.F. 30-41,000-8-9-24

Law (Education)

DEPARTMENT. (2)

Received 192 . Registered 192

309
335-4
26/1/25

Subject.

Requesting the sanction of Government to fix the salary of M.R.Ry V. Raghava Acharya, officiating Assistant Government Madrasa-i-azam

ENCLOSURES.

No. /

Spare copies

R.O.C. No. 3202 C/24

Station Madras, Dated the 22nd January 25 192

From (Name) R. Littlehales, Esq., M.A.,
(Designation) Director of Public Instruction

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law (Education)

Department.



I have the honour to request that Government will be pleased to fix the officiating salary of M.R.Ry V. Raghava Acharya, M.A. (History) L.T. Officiating Assistant, Government Madrasa-i-azam at Rs.95 per mensem in the circumstances stated below.-

As the services of an experienced teacher of History were required for the Government Madrasa-i-azam M.R.Ry V. Raghava Acharya, temporary Junior Assistant on Rs.93 3/4 in the Government Secondary Training School, Nellore was appointed as officiating Assistant in the Government Madrasa-i-azam. He joined the post on 15-9-24.

2

It was then thought that Mr. V. Raghava Acharya would be eligible for a pay of Rs.95 in his new post, as the Accountant General has stated in similar cases, that when a person holding a temporary post was appointed to officiate in the regular cadre, there was no audit objection to his pay being fixed at the next higher stage in that cadre under Fundamental Rules 32, the pay he drew in the temporary post ^{being} treated as his substantive pay. But the Accountant General has subsequently ruled that Mr. Raghava Acharya can draw pay only at Rs.75 as Officiating Assistant in the Madrasa-i-azam on the ground that the pay drawn by him in the temporary post should not be taken into account in fixing his pay in the scale of Rs.75-5-100 vide his objection statement (copy enclosed). The Accountant General has also informed me that the question as to whether the temporary pay drawn in a temporary post can be regarded as substantive pay has been referred by him to Government for orders and that the order of Government have not yet been received by him. As it would be a hardship to make the teacher in this case suffer a reduction of pay on account of a transfer made in the interests of public service ^{and} ~~that~~ on the understanding ~~is~~ that he would be eligible for a pay of Rs.95 per mensem, I beg to request that Government will be pleased to fix his pay at Rs.95 per mensem in the scale of Rs.75-5-100 as officiating Assistant Madrasa-i-azam with effect from 15th September 1924

I have the honour to be,
S i r,
your most obedient servant,

Chud

P.V.S. 23/1.

for Director of Public Instruction.

3

3

From the Accountant General, No. A.B.V./Edn 708, dated 3rd October 1924 to the Principal, Madrasa-i-azam.

Bill for Rs.1575-11-0 has been passed for Rs.1561-15-0

Pay for V. Raghavachari for the period he was on transit Viz., 9th to 14th and was acting 1st Assistant from 15 to 30th has been allowed at Rs.75 only instead of at Rs.93-12 claimed by you as he has been appointed to the post of school Assistant on the scale 75-5-100 for the first time. The pay drawn by him in the temporary post at Nellore should not be taken into account in fixing his pay in the above scale.

(True Copy)

Chud

for Director of Public Instruction

p 14 of 60

no 9/4/22

5-11-22

(R)

p 3 extra

since disposed of


3865

2-5-25

Law (Education)

DEPARTMENT. (4)

Received



192

Registered

192

Subject.

Requesting early orders of Government on this office

letter recommending to fix the salary of M.R. Ry V. Raghava

Acharya at Rs.95 per mensem.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies.

R. C. No. 3202 C/24

Station Madras, Dated the 24-3-25 192

From (Name) R.G. Grieve Esq., M.A.,

(Designation) Ag. Director of Public Instruction
Madras

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law (Education)

Department.

Sir,

I have the honour to invite the attention of Government to my letter R.C.No.3202 C/24 dated 22-1-25 requesting to fix the officiating salary of M.R. Ry V. Raghava Acharya, Officiating Assistant, Government Madrasa-I-Azam at Rs.95 per mensem and to request the favour of early orders on the same.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Director of Public Instruction

A.R. 24/3/25

Date.	Initials.
-------	-----------

Establishment.

Raghava Acharya,
M. R. Ry. U., Ag. Assistant,
Govt. Madrasa. & Azam-
Ufficialing pag.
6 vols passed.

READ AGAIN G.O. No., dated 192 .

” ” ” ” ” 192 .

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 309e. From the _____, No. _____, dated _____ 192 .

” ” ” ” ” ” 192 .

..... 11 11 " " 192

ORDER.

142

No. _____, dated _____, 192 .

The Government direct that the pay of M. R. Raj. U. Raghava Acharya, officiating Assistant, Govt. Madras. L. Azam, be fixed at Rs 95 a month in the scale of Rs 75. 8. 00 with effect from the 15th Sept. 1924.

10

The D. P. J (with refer:
his letter R.O.C. No.
3202c/24 d. 22-1-25)

" A. G. the Financier.

" Finance Dept.

San Edn 40309C-1/25

3506

Finances 4-0

Biru.

28/1

28.1.25

Note:-

—

Finance w/o for acceptance.

8

V.TK

Finance (CS & P)

The question of issuing a rule that pay drawn in a temporary post should be considered not as substantive pay but as pay drawn in an offg. capacity is separately under consideration at the instance of the A.G. & Pending orders on that case, the A.G. has refused to allow pay drawn in a temp. post to count towards the fixation of initial pay.


2. In the present case, the circumstances in which a temporary post on Rs. 93 3/4 was created are not clear. It is also not known as to how long that post is likely to have continued and whether an outsider has again been appointed to that temp. post. If so, it would appear that this post would serve to give a higher initial pay to a very no. of officers. Subd.

(linked case).
distilled
(Lg 386 Fin
25-25)

for orders whether Lawton may be requested to obtain the above information before ~~the~~ examining the case further.

Long
20. 2. 25/25

Law Edu 637C
26.2.25



I don't like this proposal of the B.O. at all. Its effect is to put an outsider on a stage, the time-scale much higher than that attained by his seniors in permanent service.

Can Law furnish the information about the temporary post, how long it has lasted & when it was likely to be abolished.

25.2.25

Fin. No. 3526-100A

Law (Edn)

Law (Edn)

The temporary post in question is the one in the Secondary Training Section of the Training School for Masters, Vellore. This training section is on a temporary footing having been opened in 1917 (G.O. No. 1105 Ed. d/29.8.17). In 1920 when the scheme of a two years' training for Secondary grade teachers was adopted, the Govt. on the recommendation of the Director of Education

The employment of an additional assistant on Rs 75 in this and other similar training schools. When the proposition started for giving effect to revised terms scales of pay to teachers in training schools was approved it was decided that the temporary ~~teacher~~ posts in question might continue to be on the sanctioned rate of pay + the percentage temporary increase (Rs 75 + 15 3/4).

Ed. 1108 Ed. 22.9.20

2. So far as Nellore is concerned, sanction for the continuation of the temporary training section and the staff therein is being renewed periodically.

When applying for the last renewal, the Director reported that the D.E.O. was of opinion that the training section might be retained permanently. The Director himself refrained from asking for permanent retention apparently because Law Edus 309C/25-2 he was had under consideration a comprehensive scheme as to the future of training schools. vide ~~16.2.26~~ 3 & 4 of G.O. No. 463 Ed. 16.2.26.

The sanction awarded in this G.O. expired on 30th June 1926.

10823



3. The file may go to Finance with the above information.

17.3 Finance u.o.

Finance (C.S.R.)

Subd. with reference to Secy's note on p.3 supra.

(P) 2. Please see G.O. No. 386 Fin. S. 25 ^{which introduced} ~~where~~ a subsidiary rule under J.R. 9(28) declaring that the pay attached to a temporary post is not substantive pay. This rule was issued at the instance of the A.G. ^{in order} so that the mere fact of an officer having for a short time held a higher paid temporary post ~~may~~ not secure ^{for} him an unduly great benefit. In view of the above it does not appear that there is a case for giving the concession in the present case. For orders.

11525

3. As regards the temporary post at Nellore and other places, it is subd. that the question whether the pay of such posts should not properly be fixed at the minimum of the scale applicable to officers of the same status, (cf. J.R. 39) may be suggested for the consideration of Law (Edn).

Fin no. no. 10823-1 BSR 12/5/25

10823 9.5.25 9/5/25

Law Edn

610

Law (Edn) Dept

The Finance Dept does not agree to the fixing of the initial pay of Mr. R. Raghava Acharya at Rs 95 a month and quotes the

subsidiary rule under Fundamental

rule 9 (28) which was issued only on No 386

2.5.25

(P)

in support of its view.

Prior to the issue of this rule, the A.G. appears to have allowed officiating promotions under R. R. 32 in similar cases.

A p. 14 of (K)

In view of this and of the fact that the teacher was appointed on the understanding that he would be eligible for a pay of Rs 95 a month, it is for consideration whether his initial pay may not be fixed at Rs 95 as a special case.

p. 2 of

Finance may be asked to reconsider its view.

19186

The point raised in paragraph 3 of the Finance Dept's note will be taken up separately.



Law (Edn) No 309 C-3

18.5.25

14.5.25

16.5

Finance no

14.5.25

711

(2)

Finance (C.S.N)

65 supra

Submitted for orders whether the previous view may be reconsidered. If so, Law may first be requested to obtain the remarks of the A.G. on para "A" in his note at p. 6 supra.

h. En 1664 C 5/6/25



En no. 19186-1 CSR

4/6/25

29/5/25

Finance will agree to Rs 75/- + personal pay of Rs 18/- in this case as it appears that some promise was held out to the officer. The personal pay will be absorbed gradually as increments are earned.

Law (Edn)

Law (Education) department.

A draft order is submitted for approval. After it is issued the point raised in paragraph 3 of the Finance note (page 5 ante) may be taken up.

order for issue 1/6/25

6/6/25

9.6.25

10.6.

Law (Education) DEPARTMENT.

Date.	Initials.
-------	-----------

1

•

Raghava Acharyar M.R.Ry. V. Ag. Assist.
ant, Government Madrasa -i-Azam, Officiat-
ing pay - Orders passed.

READ AGAIN G.O. No., dated..... 192

” ” ” ” ” 192

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 309 From the _____, No. _____, dated _____ 192

” ” ” ” ” ” 192

” ” ” ” 192

ORDER.

Misc.

No. 976, dated 11-6- 1925

The Government ~~direct~~ ^{are unable to approve of the proposal} that the pay

of M.R.Ry. V. Raghava Acharya, Officiat-

ing Assistant, Government Madrasa-I. Azam
should be fixed at Rs 95. His pay should
be fixed at Rs. 75 a month in the scale

of Rs. 75-5-100 with effect from the
15th September 1924. He is also allow-

ed to draw a personal pay of Rs. 184

which will be pro-tanto reduced a s

increments are earned.

To the Director of Public Instruction
(with reference to his letter R.O.C.
3202C/24, dated 22-1-1925.)

" Accountant General through Finance.

" Finance department.

12 JUN 1925

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

192

READ AGAIN G.O. No. _____
 192

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED OF, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.