

Law (1925) Department.
(Education)
Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 963

DATED 8th June 1925

or-9

[Despatch Abstract.]

Grant

Building - Ramakrishna Mission Students' Home Residential

High School, Mysore - Revised proposals - approved.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

C.F. 30-11,000-8-9-24.

LAW (EDUCATION)

DEPARTMENT.

9

Received 192 . Registered L. Eu 1287B 192

14/5/25

CA

Subject.

Government Building- The Ramakrishna Mission Students
Home, Residential High School, Mylapore.



31920
83562
115482

ENCLOSURES.

No.

R.O.C. No. 1167 D/23

Spare copies

Station Madras, Date the 11th May 1925 192 .

From (Name) R.G.Grieve Esq., M.A.,

(Designation) Ag. Director of Public Instruction

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

LAW (EDUCATION)

Department.

Sir.

In G.O.No. 72, Law (Education), dated 17th January 1924, Government sanction the inclusion in the list of approved works of the scheme for the construction of a building for the Ramakrishna Residential High School, Mylapore, at a cost of Rs 89920 (inclusive of Rs 31920 for site)

The building originally designed for the school approved in G.O.No. 72, Law (Education), dated 17th January 1924, was ^{intended} included to provide accommodation ~~only~~ for the higher forms viz. Forms IV, V and VI. The management has now proposed to open Forms I to III and has submitted revised plans and estimates for the building at an increased cost

of Rs 126630- Vide plan and estimates herewith enclosed.

p3 mpa
The Deputy Consulting Architect has estimated ^{the} cost of the building at Rs 83562/- Vide his note (copy enclosed) and the total cost of the scheme as approved by the Deputy Consulting Architect comes to Rs 115482 i.e. 83562 plus Rs. 31920 cost of the site.

I have therefore the honour to recommend that the scheme may be placed in the list of ~~the~~ approved works at an increased cost of Rs 115482.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

W. C. S. S. S.
for Director of Public Instruction

P.R.K. 12/5

P
12/5

Copy of note from the Deputy Consulting Architect to Government dated 2nd May 1925 to the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

Ref: Your telephone message dated 1-5-1925

Herewith please find the returned Ramakrishna file completed with the original and revised estimates.

With further reference to this office letter of the 18th February page 18 supra, it is now necessary to state that the piers as designed and erected are not sufficient, as shown in the above quoted letter, for the addition of a terraced upper storey, but only a tiled upper storey can be carried in ~~a~~ safety on the piers provided. This being the case, superfluous work has been executed, as a tiled upper storey could have been carried on walls $4\frac{1}{2}$ " less ~~less~~ in thickness. In consequence, it is suggested that a deduction for this unnecessary work be made from the total

Estimate. Necessary quantities having been taken out and priced at (1) the rates shown in the Estimate total to Rs 4600 and this amount should be deducted from the total of the Estimate as well as Rs 31920/- which is shown against the item "Land acquisition" as officiating Consulting Architect cannot hold himself responsible for the correctness of this valuation. A shed for materials at Rs. 150/- is normally covered by the builders' profit on the -- contract and should be deducted also. These items total Rs 36670/- and this office can approve the accounts at Rs 83562/- only plus the cost of land acquisition to be considered by other authority.

4600
31920
150
36670

Q must be
126.630
36.670
89.960

(Tree Copy)

W. C. S. S. S.
In Director Public Instruction

89.960
83.562
6.398

1670
1670
3038
6398

When received for Fair-copying.

Date.

Initials.

When copied

When examined

When signed

When despatched

Grant.

Building -- Ramakrishna Mission

Students: Home Residential High School,

Mylapore -- Revised proposals - approved.

READ AGAIN G.O. No. G.O. No. 766 Law dated

5-6-1923.

~~192~~

G.C. No. 72 LAW (Edw)

17-1-1924.

192

READ ALSO :—

C. No. 1287 B. From the D.P.I. R.O.C., No. 1167 D/23 dated 11-5-1925/92

” ” ” ” ” ” 192

" "..... " 77 " " 192

ORDER.

Misc.

No. 903, dated 8-6- 1925.

In modification of G.O. No.72 Law (Education), dated 17-1-1924 the Govt. approve the revised proposals ^{for} relating to the ~~scheme~~ regarding the construction of a building for the Residential high school attached to the Ramakrishna Mission Students' Home, Mylapore, and sanction the inclusion of the revised estimate relating there to amounting to Rs. 121,880 (inclusive of Rs.31,920 for site) in the list of approved works maintained in pursuance of G.O.No.572 Law(Education) dated 10-5-1922. The attention of the management should be drawn to the Deputy Consulting Architect's notes dated 2-5-25. While this approval does not convey any guarantee of grant, it will render the work eligible for a grant of Rs. 60,940 under the

the provisions of the grant-in-aid code, if and when funds become available, provided that all the conditions in the code are duly complied with.

To
The D.P.I. (with enclosures ~~return~~
returned)

A.G.

DESPATCHED

9 JUN 1925

Note.

Please see the Deputy Consulting Architect's Note on page 3 of c.f. He proposes to cut out from the estimates for buildings proper only the following items:--

Rs.

(i) Deductions on account of unnecessary work pointed out by the Architect. 4,600

(ii) Cost of site. 31,920

(iii) Shed for materials. 150

36,670


When these are deducted from the total estimate of Rs. 126,630, the balance is Rs. 89,960, and not Rs. 83,562 as stated in the Architect's Note and in the D.P.I.'s letter (page 2 of c.f.). The difference between the two figures is Rs. 6,398 (89,960 - 83,562).

From an examination of the abstract of the estimates it appears that the total of the last three items makes up a ~~sum~~ ^{Herein} ^(Equal to the above difference) sum as shown below:--

Petty supervision. 1,670
Expert supervision. 1,670
Architect's fees. 3,058

6,398

at flag (E)
last 3 items in p 2 of abstract of estimates at (E)



G.O. No. 766
5-6-23

p 3 of (D)

83,562
6,398
89,960

The D.P.I. may perhaps see the draft before issue.

As regards the omission recommended on account of the extra thickness of walls built (Rs. 4,600), we may merely ask D.P.I. to draw the attention of the management to the Architect's note and leave it to them to come up again to Government if they can justify their action by further arguments.

CPA
16.5.25

CPA
16.5.25
D.P.I. u/o

Law (En) no. 1287 B-1
18.5.25

No. 1167.2/25 d/28.5.28

The omission of Rs 6398
for Export Petty Supervision
& Architects fees was
accidental & based on a
misunderstanding of the
by C.A.'s note.

RGP
28/5/25.

Law (Edn) Deptt.

The file may ~~now~~ be
circulated to the Honble the
Minister for approval of
the draft order.

CPA
2.6.25
1000
2.6.25
M2

6/6.15



When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____ } 192

READ AGAIN G.O. No.
192

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT ..

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED OF, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.