

Law (1925) Department
(Education)

Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 752

DATED 8-6-1925

[Despatch Abstract.]

Establishment.

Deputy Directress of Public Instruction, Madras--post in
the Madras Educational Service--created temporarily.

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
436/A-1	L.E.	23.12.24				
436/A-1	"	2.12.24				
1444	L.E.	10.9.24				

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.


C. 18,658-3-01-23.

LAW (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT.

Received 192 . Registered 192 .

Subject.

Post of Deputy Directress - Revival of - Proposals -
submitted.



ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies

R.O.C.No. 3339-C/24.

Station Madras, Dated the 12th November, 1924.

From (Name) R.LITTLEHAILES, Esq., M.A.,

(Designation) DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

LAW (EDUCATION) Department.

Sir,

In submitting herewith a copy of letter No.2/24 dated 7-11-24 received from the Secretary, Gazetted Women Officers Association, requesting the appointment of a Deputy Directress, I have the honour to state that on the afternoon of 7-11-24 I received a deputation from the Association. The deputation consisted of Miss.de la Hay, Principal of the Queen Mary's College for Women, Madras, Miss.Corrie Gordon, Kindergarten Lecturer, Teachers' College, Saidapet, and Mrs.M.Varugis, Head Mistress, Secondary Section, Government Hobart Secondary and Training

(P.T.O)

School

2
School for Muhammadan Women, Royapettah. They desired that the post of Deputy Directress should be revived and that an experienced officer should be appointed to it. They did not press for the appointment being included in the Indian Educational Service, but stated that they would be satisfied if it was either in the Indian Educational Service or the Madras Educational Service, provided that the officer to be appointed was a woman with long experience. The deputation felt that at the present time there was not sufficient personal touch between the women officers of the Department and the office of the Director of Public Instruction.

(B) 2. I would like to invite the attention of Government in this connection to para 1(i) of G.O.No.1444 Law (Education) dated 10-9-24 and to state that I have included provision in the budget for an Indian Educational Service post as Deputy Directress, but would suggest that the provision be altered to that of an officer of the Madras Educational Service with a view to the appointment of a Deputy Directress in the Madras Educational Service, from such date as Government is pleased to direct.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Transeo
for Director of Public Instruction.

M.R.P.14/11.

3
Letter No.2/24 dated 7th November 1924, from the Secretary, Gazette Officers Association, Madras, to the Director of Public Instruction

I have the honour to state that I have been directed by the Gazetted Women Officers' Association to lay before you the following resolution which was unanimously passed at its meeting held on November 1st, 1924 at Queen Mary's College, at which $\frac{2}{3}$ of the strength of the Association was either present personally or expressed their opinion by letter.

The Resolution is as follows:-

"That the Director of Public Instruction be informed of the desire of the Association for the appointment of a Deputy Directress, such Deputy Directress to hold the status of a Deputy Director but with opportunities for touring round the Presidency".

I am further directed to inform you that the G.W.O. Association has in reply to a reference on the matter, received a hearty and unanimous support of the above Resolution from the Non-Gazetted Women Officers' Association.

The main reasons for framing the above resolution are as follows:-

- (1) The members of both these Associations are of opinion that such an officer is an absolute necessity in the Director of Public Instruction's office, for helping women who come there on business. This applies especially to members of the S.E.S., and members from the mofusal. At present women are often restrained by nervousness from explaining their difficulties fully either to the Director or any of the men officers in the Director of Public Instruction's Office. Also the information regarding the Women's branch has now to be sought for from various officials and is often arrived at only after much difficulty. A Deputy Directress would as formerly have full information about the work of the women's branch.
- (2) Non official women - (e.g.) Missionaries, etc., would freely discuss with a Deputy Directress, matters relating to women's education about which they hesitate to trouble the Director

(P.T.O)

himself

himself and such discussions would probably prove extremely useful. Further a Deputy Directress could come into intimate touch with such women and their institutions.

(3) The members are also of opinion that it is very important that there should be a woman in the Director of Public Instruction's Office who would present the Woman's aspect of various general questions and represent the woman's cause in a manner which one cannot expect from men.

(True Copy)

James
for Director of Public Instruction.

[CAO-4258A/24]

Law (Education)

Memo 4258A/24-31 025.4.45

Ref D.D.I.'s letter No.

No. 3339C-24 of 12.11.24

Revival of the post of
Deputy Directress.

The D.D.I. is informed that a sum of Rs 5000 has been provided in the current year's budget towards the salary of a Deputy Directress of Public Instruction who will be an officer of the M.S. (Law Branch).

The Director is requested to state from what date the appointment of Deputy Directress was ordered in G.O. No. 1444 (Law Branch) of 10.9.45. He held in abeyance should be revived and submit his recommendation for filling the post when revived.

not put up

D.D.I. (memo)

DESPATCHED

29 APR 1925

LAW (EDUCATION)

DEPARTMENT.

Received


191

Registered

191

Subject.

Revival of the post of Deputy Directress of Public
Instruction, Madras.



ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies

Roc No. 32390/24

Station (Camp) Ootacamund, Dated the 16th May 1925. 191

From (Name) R.G. Grieve, Esq., M.A., F.R.C.S.,

(Designation) Ag. Director of Public Instruction,

Madras.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law (Education) Department.

Sir,

With reference to Law (Education) Memo No. 4258 A/24-4 dated 29th April 1925, I have the honour to request that you will be so good as to obtain the sanction of Government to revive the post of Deputy Directress of Public Instruction with effect from 1st July 1925 and to create a temporary post in the Madras Educational Service (Women's Branch) for the purpose in place of the Indian Educational Service post now held in abeyance.

2. I also recommend that a duty allowance of Rs. 100/- per mensem be attached to the post of Deputy Directress in the Madras Educational Service for which provision

provision has already been entered in the Budget Estimate for 1925-26.

3. Recommendations have been made in a separate communication for filling up the post of Deputy Directress in the Madras Educational Service.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

R. G. G. G.

Ag. Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

under
circulation

(b) Law (Education) Dept
[No. 4258 A] from the D.P.I., No. no.

3339. of 24 of 12.11.24.

Subject: Post of Deputy Director of
Public Instruction

(B)

In G.O. no. 1444 Law (Education) of
10.9.24 the post of Deputy Director
was kept in abeyance pending the
issue of orders on the report of the
Rec Commission.

2. The D.P.I. has, however, provided
in the Budget Estimate for 1925-26 a
sum of Rs. 6600 towards the pay
of Deputy Director in the D.P.I.
(Women's Branch). This department
also agreed to the retention of the
provision. But the D.P.I. now
recommends that the provision
may be ^{for the salary} ~~in respect~~ of an Officer of
the Madras Educational Service
(Women's Branch). He does not
state which officer ^{is to} ~~be~~ he
appointed to the post. The
necessary provision can be
made only with reference
to the person to be appointed

$$400 + 100 + 50 \\ = 550 \times 12 = 6600$$

to the post and the state from which she is to be appointed. Perhaps a provision of Rs 6000 — (Rs 400 + 100) x 12 — will suffice and Finance may be asked to include this provision in the budget estimate for 1925-26 under other charges

Shig.
26.11.24

21.11.24 VTK
22.11

Finance 40

Finance (B.E. I)

In April 1924, Finance suggested that the retention of the post of Deputy Director (held in abeyance) was unnecessary. In August the S.P.S. suggested that the post be not abolished but held in abeyance as it would probably be necessary to review the whole situation after the S. of S. passed orders on the report of the Lee Commission. Orders were issued accordingly in G.O. 1464 Bd d. 10.9.1924.

2 In the budget for 1925-26 the S.P.S. entered provision for an J.E.S. Dy. Directress, but Finance has omitted it in view of A. supra.

3 Law has recommended provision for one U.S.S. Dy. Directress. The reasons for continuing to hold the post in abeyance still hold good. No provision



Law 428 8A-1
22.11.24
fin ch 270 B.E.I.
22-11-24

p 40 of (B)

p 41 of (B)

A

p 65 of (B)

provision need therefore be entered at the present stage

4 It is not known how the Law Dept. arrives at Rs. 6,000 for 1925-26. The post that will be created will be an U.S.S. post on Rs. 200 in the scale of (200-20.400-35-500) and the provision for 1925-26 should be only Rs. 2,400 plus Rs. 1,200 for special pay at Rs. 100 p.m. But special pay of Rs. 100 is admissible only to an J.E.S. Dy. Directress. It should ^{probably} be reduced in the case of an U.S.S. officer. ~~another~~

22.11.24

RWD

fin ch 270 B.E.I.

22/11

Law

Law/Education

The provision of Rs. 6,000 was ^{suggested} ~~made~~ in view of the fact that the officer to be appointed ^{Dy. Director} ~~he~~ is a senior and experienced ^{and Rs. 6,000 has to be provided in the budget under the head "Director"} ~~But~~, as stated by Finance, the ^{officer to be appointed to the U.S.S. cadre in place of the officer selected for the post of Dy. Director} ~~will be~~ paid only Rs. 200/- ^{as per} ~~as~~ it is not known which officer will be selected for the appointment, ~~the post is in abeyance~~ ~~reduced~~. A reduction may be

4
made in the budget ~~which~~ ^{but} which
will be affected by her promotion.
~~The officer to be selected is now~~
~~employed.~~ The D.P.I. may be
consulted as to the officer to be
appointed. ^{as Dy. Director,} The allowance of Rs 100
is, however, necessary as the allowance
is intended for the officer doing duty
as Dy. Directress and not for the
officer to be newly appointed. ^{H.M. ESCadre,} The
personal assistant to the D.P.I. in the
M.E.S. is also granted a ^{Residence all} special
allowance of Rs 100. On this analogy
the allowance should be paid to
the Deputy Directress also. The
main point, however, for consideration
is the question of entering a
provision for the post of Deputy
Directress. The need for the
revival of the appointment has
been fully explained in the
D.P.I.'s letter. The file may be
circulated to M₂ & C₄ for orders.

Shis
28.11.24
MS
28.11.24

M₂
C₄

The appointment will be in M.E.S. only.
Provision may be made of Rs 5000 only
& the actual need to fill it
up will be left to the Dy. Director
to be submitted when the order is issued on
the Report of the Dy. Director.



pay 400
allowance 100
500 x 12 = 6000

pay 200
allowance 100
300 x 12 = 3600



Law Secy 4258/12
12.12.24
Fin. Ch. 846/885
13.12.24
MS
11.12.24

5
I do not quite know how Rs 3600
is arrived at. It appears that
the maximum amount that can
be needed is Rs 3600
Rsl
3/12/24

Law (Education) Department
As noted already, the provision needed for the
pay of the Deputy Directress for a full year is
Rs. 6,000. But if the appointment is to be made
sometime late in 1925-26, the provision may be
limited to Rs. 5,000 as noted by M₂. The net
additional allotment required on account of the
revival of the appointment will be only Rs. 3600
as stated by H.M. (Finance). But the amount to be
provided under 'Direction' should represent the
full provision for the pay and allowance of the
officer to be posted actually to do the work of
the Deputy Directress, a reduction being made as
pointed out on page 3 above ~~under~~ some other appro-
priate head. This explains the necessity why
Rs. 6,000 or Rs. 5,000 as mentioned by M₂ should be
provided under 'Direction'.

CA
4.12.24
V.T.K.
5/12

M₂
C₄

Rsl
9/12/24

The file may be forwarded to Finance for necessary
provision being made in the budget for 1925-26.

CA
11.12.24
Finance

Finance (B.E.S.)

[Finance listed last on pp 2-3 ante]

H.M. C. has accepted the proposal to enter a provision ^(of Rs. 5000) for the post of Deputy Director in the B.E. for 1925-26.

p 5 ante

The intention of the Law Com. Dept. seems to be to provide for 10 months in 1925-26 for a M.E.S. officer on Rs. 400 plus Rs. 100 special pay.

The only officer in the M.E.S. (Women's Branch) who is at present drawing Rs. 400 is Miss Ida Gunther for whose pay provision has been made under the Queen Mary's College for Women.

A provision of Rs. 5,000 may be included under "Pay of Officers - Voted" under "Direction" and a sum of Rs. 2,000 deducted from the provision for pay of officers - voted - in the Queen Mary's College. The net result is that provision is made for an M.E.S. officer on the minimum pay (Rs. 200) plus special pay at Rs. 100 for 10 months.

Rs. 4,000 for pay at Rs. 400 for 10 months.
Rs. 1,000 for special pay at Rs. 100 for 10 months.

Difference between Miss Gunther's pay at Rs. 400 and that of her substitute at Rs. 200 for 10 months.


No deduction shd be made, pending the appointment - then the provision can be resumed
RWD 18/11.

18/12/24

18/12/24

A provision of Rs. 5,000 has been made for the post of Deputy Director under "Direction". The file may be returned to Law Com.

Law Edu 4638A



19.12.24

19.12.24


For no. 316 B.E.S. 20.12.24

Law Com. 407

Law Com.

A sum of Rs. 5,000 has been provided in the current year's budget for the Deputy Director. The post of Deputy Director has been ordered to be kept in abeyance pending the issue of orders on the report of the Lee Commission.

With reference to paragraph 2 of the D.P.'s letter on page 2 C.f. he may be asked to state from what date the appointment should be revived. ~~It may perhaps be~~ ^{and also} ~~asked~~ to submit his recommendation for filling up the post when revived. Attention is drawn to



Ask D.P.1

V.T.K. 24.4

22.4.25

M2's note on page 4 ante. The position of the Secy of State on the provincialization of the JES has not yet been received. May we keep the JES appoint in abeyance for the present and create an

22/4/25

M.E.S. post. without waiting for orders on the Lee Commission's report?

CA
23.4.25

A memo to the D.P. is submitted

Miss
27.4.25

CA
28/4

Memo. 4208 A-4 of 29.4.25 to the D.P.
[Cno: 1774A] from the D.P. No. no.

3339 of 16.5.25

A draft order ~~sanctioning the post~~
of Deputy Directress, ~~keeping the post~~
~~under the D.P. and sanctioning~~
~~the creation of post in the M.E.S.~~
~~is submitted.~~ It may be
sent to Finance for acceptance.

(Recommendation
for filling the post
has been sent in
Circ.)

Miss
27/5/25

CA
21.5.25

V.K.
23.5

Finance u.o

Law Cno 4208 A-4-5

25.5.25

Finance (E.T)

In paragraph 1(1) of G.O. No. 1444, Law
(Education), dated 10-9-24 Government ordered
that the post of Dy. Directress of Public



Fin 19934 ET
20.5.25

Instruction in the Indian Educational Service
should be kept in abeyance pending the issue of
orders on the report of the Lee Commission. At the
time of the last budget the D.P.I. wanted provision
to be included for a Dy. Directress in the Madras
Educational Service. A provision of Rs5,000 was
accordingly included in the current year's budget
for an M.E.S. Dy. Directress on the understanding that
the actual need for filling up the post would be
examined after orders were received on the recom-
mendations of the Lee Commission report - vide
M-2's note at foot of p. 4 ante. The orders of
the Secretary of State have not yet been received.
The Law Department now propose to create ~~the~~ a
post of Dy. Directress in the M.E.S. from 1st July 1925
up to 1st March 1926 or till orders are passed on
the recommendations of the Lee Commission, whichever
is earlier. This is not in accordance with M-2's
note referred to above. The decision of Government
may therefore be taken on the point.

pp 1-2 CF.

p 219 CBE

para 1 of draft B.O.

K.R.


CA
27.5.25

The order does not seem to be
in line with the M-2 note - but perhaps
the latter part of the note should be
taken as referring to the post in the
IES.

M.M.
29.5.25

Law (E.T)

Fin no. 19934 ET
1.6.25



M 2

27/6/25
V.K.
4.6.

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____ } 192
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O. No. _____, DATED _____
 192

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES to C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITO.

PAPERS NOT FILED OF, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.

12