

Law (1925) Department.
(Education)
Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 917

DATED 1 - 6 - 1925

[Despatch Abstract.]

Elementary Education Fund.

Conveyance charges in the case of gosha girls declared to be permissible.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

C.F. 18,658-3-01-23.



Local Audit

DEPARTMENT.

Received

192

Registered

10659

192

Subject.

Went for 16th

ENCLOSURES.

No.

No. *re 14.1.4*

Spare copies

Station Fort St. George, Dated the *15th* April 1925.

From (Name) *J.M. HOOPER Esquire.*

(Designation) *Examiner of Local Fund Accounts, Madras*

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Local Self Government Department.

Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honour to state that in G.O. No.292, Law (Education) dated 24-2-1923, the control and management of the Government Elementary Mahomedan Girls' schools at Mylapore - and Perambore were transferred to the Corporation of Madras. From G.O. No.593, Home (Education) dated 14-4-1921, it appears - that Government sanctioned charges for the conveyance of pupils attending the Mahomedan Girls' school, Mylapore while it was under the control and management of Government. On the -- analogy of this sanction, the Corporation of Madras sanctioned similar expenditure in the case of the Mahomedan girls' schools at Perambore and Thiruvateswaranpet, in their Proceedings dated 22-11-1923 and 27-1-1925 respectively.

But-

But in G.O.No.1890 Law(Education) dated 3-12-1924, and in G.O.No.192 Law(Education) dated 7-2-1925, the Government have held that no expenditure should be incurred from Elementary Education Funds on the feeding and clothing of the depressed classes in elementary schools under local bodies and on such items as the provision of umbrellas for the pupils in question. In view of the principle underlying these orders and also the definition of "Elementary Education" in section 3(V) of the Elementary Education Act of 1920, it is doubtful if the above expenditure, viz., for the provision of conveyances can be treated as a legitimate charge upon the Elementary Education Fund or the General Fund of the Corporation of Madras and if it can be admitted in audit.

I solicit the favour of early orders on the above point both in the case of the Corporation and also other local bodies.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Examiner of Local Fund Accounts.

R.V.N.

Subject ^{Dutch} Provision of conveyances - Elementary Education Fund.
General Fund Corporation of Madras.

Cra 10659 from the Examiners L.F. Accounts. No. M.E. 14.1.47/154

There seems to be ^{specific} no provision in the Madras City Municipal Act, 1919, authorizing the Corporation of Madras to incur ^{from its general fund} the expenditure of the kind referred to by the Examiners of L.F. Accounts. in his current letter, unless it is considered to be one incidental to Primary Education. Clause (K) of rule 4 of the rules in Part I of Schedule V. It can however be considered an extraordinary charge under rule 10 of the above rules sanctioned by the Govt.

2. It is doubtful whether in view of the orders already passed on the subject the expenditure now in question i.e. provision of conveyances can be incurred from the Elementary Education fund. Perhaps the Law (Edn) Dept. maybe consulted.

The charge can certainly be treated as an extraordinary item of expenditure and sanctioned by Govt if it is debited to the General fund.

2. Whether it can be treated as incidental to Elementary Education and debited to the Elementary Education fund.

is a question for Law (Eon)

18/4

Paying for conveyance is quite different from paying for food & umbrellas.

Law (Eon)

Law (Eon) Dept.

medical 20/4

L. E. 1408 A

L.S.G. No. No 10659-124 M

21-4-25



Reference is solicited to the note of the U.S.

(Drafting) and this Department note at pp. 11-14 of

L.S. No. 1890 Law (Eon) 3.12.24 and to the opinion

of the Advocate-General at page 7 of the same

L.S. ~~the question was passed as whether~~ Conveyance charge of pupils

cannot be a legitimate charge on the elementary

education fund. The views of U.S. (Drafting)

may be invited U.S. in the first instance.

26.4.25

U.S. (Drafting) No

Law (Eon) 1408 A-1

28-4-25

Law (Drafting) Department

Drafting 578

29.4.25



'Conveyance charge' I think is an expense which is incidental to Elementary Education especially in the case of gosha girls. In my opinion it may properly be met out of the Elementary Education Fund.

27 4/5/25

I agree with U.S.

V.T.K. 5.5

This file may be returned to this dept. after it is finally disposed of.

Drafting No. 578-1

7.5.25



11011

Law (Eon) 1408 A-1

18.5.25



L. E. 1850 A

28/5/25

medical 21/5

Law (Eon) No

L.S.G. No. 14014-124 M

23.5.25



A.S.

A draft order is submitted; it may issue after perusal by L.S.G.

CR 14.5.25

V.T.K. 16.5

L.S.G. No

L.S.G. (dtn) Dept

The file may be returned to Law (Eon) no. after perusal.

20/5

21/5

Law (Eon) Dept

For orders as to issue. Attention is solicited to the Advocate-General's opinion of 12-5-25 that only expenses incurred on ed. can be debited to the fund. Should we consult him about this point specifically?

28/5/25

NOTED.

V.T.K.

29.5

Issue V.T.K. 29.5

Issue V.T.K. 29.5

When despatched

Initials.

Elementary Education Fund.-- conveyance charges--in the case of gosha girls--declared to be permissible.

” ” ” ” ” 192

READ ALSO :—

[illegible]

192

ORDER.
Mis

No. 917, dated 1-6- 1925

The Govt. are advised that conveyance charges in the case of -
gosha girls attending elementary
schools under the control of local
bodies ^{Constitute} ~~is~~ ^{is} an ^{expense} ~~expense~~ incidental
to elementary education and may
properly be met from the Ely. Edn.
Funds of local bodies.

DESPATCHED

2 JUN 1925 *AL*

The Exr. of L.F. Accounts
(with ref to his letter
~~No. M.C.~~ dated 15th
April 1925)

The D.P.I
The President, Corpn. of Madras

$$\frac{62}{14.525}$$

~~V.TK~~
16.5

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____ } 192
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O. No. _____ DATED _____
 192

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO C. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT ... A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED OF, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.