Government of Madras

LAW (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 877, 23rd May 1925

Subsidy—Opening of new elementary schools—1925-26—Sanctioned.

118

Record

The accompanying proof was removed from the original G.O. No. 877 dt. 23.5.25 sent to Press on 10/7/25. The has returned. Please restitch it with the original of G.O. 877 dt. 23.5.25

10/7/25

Government of Madras

Law (Education) Department.

2 JUN 1925

10 JUL 1925

MADRAS

2

G.O. No. 877, 23rd May 1925

Subsidy—Opening of new elementary schools—1925-26—Sanctioned.

READ—the following paper :—

From the Director of Public Instruction, dated 29th April 1925, R.O.C. No. 1682-B/24.

Order—No. 877, Law (Education), dated 23rd May 1925.

The Government sanction the distribution of subsidies to the taluk boards mentioned in the annexure to these proceedings to enable them to open new elementary schools for boys in villages with a population of 500 and over now unprovided with schools. The total number of schools to be opened is 1,017 (558 schools in villages with a population of 1,000 and over and 459 schools in villages with a population of 500 to 1,000). The annual cost of their maintenance is Rs. 2,92,380. A sum of Rs. 100 will also be provided for the equipment of each school.

2. The presidents of the taluk boards are requested to take steps for the opening of the schools on the 1st July 1925. The location of the schools should be settled in consultation with the District Educational Officer and the President of the District Educational Council concerned.

3 The presidents of the taluk boards and the departmental inspecting officers should endeavour to obtain, if possible, from the villagers houses free of rent for the location of the schools. Charges on the equipment of schools should be kept as low as possible.

4. Sanction is accorded to the payment to the taluk boards in the current official year of the sum specified against each in column (10) of the annexure to these proceedings. The grant is subject to the condition that the full number of schools specified in column (4) of the annexure are opened. The Accountant-General is requested to arrange for the payment of the amounts. The presidents of the taluk boards should present the necessary bills at the treasury for obtaining payment or adjustment of the amounts sanctioned. The amounts are contributions to the elementary education funds and should be credited thereto.

5. The total expenditure of Rs. 2,96,620 will be met from the following sources :—

(i) Rs. 1,94,920 from the provision of Rs. 14,41,100 in the current year's budget estimate under " 31. C. (*c*) Education—Primary—Grants to local bodies for primary education—Voted—Grants-in-aid—Recurring—Teaching ; " and

(ii) Rs. 1,01,700 from the provision of Rs. 1,01,700 in the current year's budget estimate under " 31. C. (*c*) Education—Primary—Grants to local bodies for primary education—voted—Grants-in aid—non-recurring—Equipment. "

(By order of the Government Ministry of Education)

V. T. KRISHNAMA ACHARIYAR,
Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.
,, Presidents of Taluk Boards concerned.
,, Presidents of District Boards concerned.
,, Presidents of District Educational Councils concerned.
,, Examiner of Local Fund Accounts.
,, Accountant-General (through Finance Department).
,, Finance Department.

[Annexure.

Law (Edn) Deptt.

Res.

Please carry out the Corrections and supply the copies asked for early.

2.6.25.

2/6/25

ANNEXURE

Statement showing the proposals for opening schools in areas under cess-levying taluk boards.

Name of taluk board.	Number of centres of schools started in which will serve groups of centres with a population of		Number of schools proposed to be opened.	Annual cost.			Cost of maintenance in the current year, i.e., from 1st July 1926.	Cost of equipment at Rs. 100 per school.	Total amount to be paid during the current year, i.e., columns (8) and (9).
	1,000 and above.	500 to 1,000.		Pay of teachers at Rs. 19 per mensem for 75 per cent of teachers who will be trained and at Rs. 15 per mensem for the rest who will be untrained.	Rent at Rs. 4 per mensem and contingencies at Rs. 2 per mensem per school.	Total annual cost, i.e., columns (5) and (6).			
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
				Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Anantapur—									
Dharmavaram	1	15	8	1,728	576	2,304	1,536	800	2,336
Penukonda	11	18	20	4,320	1,440	5,760	3,840	2,000	5,840
Gooty	3	27	17	3,636	1,224	4,860	3,240	1,700	4,940
Anantapur	1	23	12	2,592	864	3,456	2,304	1,200	3,504
Arcot, South—									
Cuddalore	12	18	21	4,500	1,512	6,012	4,008	2,100	6,108
Vriddhachalam ..	7	21	17	3,636	1,224	4,860	3,240	1,700	4,940
Chidambaram	..	30	15	3 276	1,030	4,356	2,904	1,500	4,404
Tindivanam	27	3	28	6,048	2,016	8,064	5,376	2,800	8,176
Tirukkoilur	24	6	27	5,820	1,944	7,764	5,176	2,700	7,876
Bellary—									
Adoni	4	10	9	1,956	648	2,604	1,736	900	2,636
Chingleput—									
Saidapet	14	4	16	3,456	1,152	4,608	3,072	1,600	4,672
Chingleput	23	5	25	5,364	1,800	7,164	4,716	2,500	7,276
Tiruvallur	13	17	22	4,728	1,584	6,312	4,208	2,200	6,408
Chittoor—									
Chittoor	7	19	17	3,636	1,224	4,860	3,240	1,700	4,940
Madanapalle	3	9	7	1,500	504	2,004	1,336	700	2,036
Coimbatore—									
Coimbatore	16	12	22	4,728	1,584	6,312	4,208	2,200	6,408
Pollachi	19	11	24	5,184	1 728	6,912	4,608	2,400	7,008
Palladam	7	1	8	1,728	576	2,304	1,536	800	2,336
Cuddapah—									
Royachoti	1	18	10	2,136	720	2,856	1,904	1,000	2,904
Ganjam—									
Chatrapur	6	24	18	3,864	1,296	5,160	3,440	1,800	5,240
Berhampur	4	26	17	3,636	1,224	4,860	3,240	1,700	4,940
Chicacole	2	28	16	3,456	1,152	4,608	3,072	1,600	4,672
Ghumsur	..	10	5	1,044	360	1,404	936	500	1,436
Godavari, East—									
Cocanada	9	3	10	2,136	720	2,856	1,904	1,000	2,904
Peddapur	..	30	15	3,276	1,080	4,356	2,904	1,500	4,404
Pittapur	6	11	12	2,592	864	3,456	2,304	1,200	3,504
Rajahmundry	10	20	20	4,320	1,440	5,760	3,840	2,000	5,840
Ramachandrapur ..	..	7	4	864	288	1,152	768	400	1,169
Guntur—									
Ongole	3	9	7	1,500	504	2,004	1,336	700	2,036
Bapatla	2	21	13	2,772	936	3,708	2,472	1,300	3,772
Tenali	1	3	3	636	216	852	568	300	868
Narasaraopet	3	14	10	2,136	720	2,856	1,904	1,000	2,904
Sattenapalle	4	26	17	3,636	1,224	4,860	3,240	1,700	4,940
Guntur	4	11	9	1,956	648	2,604	1,736	900	2,636
Kanara, South—									
Karkal	3	6	6	1,272	432	1,704	1,136	600	1,736
Udipi	11	4	13	2,772	936	3,708	2,472	1,300	3,772
Coondapur	13	13	20	4,320	1,440	5,760	3,840	2,000	5,840
Kasaragod	2	10	7	1,500	504	2,004	1,336	700	2,036
Uppinangadi	24	6	27	5,820	1,944	7,764	5,176	2,700	7,876
Mangalore	3	9	8	1,728	576	2,304	1,536	800	2,336
Kistna—									
Bandar	6	16	14	3,048	1,008	4,056	2,704	1,400	4,104
Gudivada	6	6	9	1,956	648	2,604	1,736	900	2,636
Godavari, West—									
Narasapur	Nil.								
Tanuku	Do.								
Kurnool—									
Kurnool	2	2	3	636	216	852	568	300	868
Dhone	2	18	11	2,364	792	3,156	2,104	1,100	3,204
Nandyal	..	4	2	408	144	552	368	200	568
Koilkuntla	..	3	2	408	144	552	368	200	568
Markapur	..	10	5	1,044	360	1,404	936	500	1,436

12072-6208-1992

Statement showing the proposals for opening schools in areas under cess-levying taluk boards—*cont.*

Name of taluk board.	Number of centres schools started in which will serve groups of centres with a population of		Number of schools proposed to be opened.	Annual cost.			Cost of maintenance in the current year, i.e., from 1st July 1925.	Cost of equipment at Rs. 100 for school.	Total amount to be paid during the current year, i.e., columns (8) and (9).
	1,000 and above.	500 to 1,000.		Pay of teachers at Rs. 19 per mensem for 75 per cent of teachers who will be trained and at Rs. 15 per mensem for the rest who will be untrained.	Rent at Rs. 4 per men sem and contingencies at Rs. 2 per men sem for school.	Total annual cost i.e., columns (5) and (6).			
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
				Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Madura—									
Usilampatti	4	21	15	3,276	1,080	4,356	2,904	1,500	4,404
Melur	..	2	1	180	72	252	168	100	269
Madura	..	3	2	408	144	552	368	200	568
Dindigul	9	5	12	2,592	864	3,456	2,304	1,200	3,504
Malabar—									
Kottayam (to be amalgamated with Chirakkal).	9	..	9	1,956	648	2,604	1,736	900	2,636
Walluvanad	13	2	14	3,048	1,008	4,056	2,704	1,400	4,104
Kurumbranad (to be amalgamated with Calicut).	5	9	9	1,956	648	2,604	1,736	900	2,636
Chirakkal	14	11	20	4,320	1,440	5,760	3,840	2,000	5,840
Wynaad (District Board, Malabar).	10	9	15	3,276	1,080	4,356	2,904	1,500	4,404
Ernad	18	12	24	5,184	1,728	6,912	4,608	2,400	7,008
Palghat	3	26	16	3,456	1,152	4,608	3,072	1,600	4,672
North Arcot—									
Polur	14	16	22	4,728	1,584	6,312	4,208	2,200	6,408
The Nilgiris—									
District Board	1	7	4	864	288	1,152	768	400	1,168
Ramnad—									
Ramnad	15	15	23	5,004	1,656	6,660	4,440	2,300	6,740
Sivaganga	19	11	24	5,184	1,728	6,912	4,608	2,400	7,008
Salem—									
Salem	16	10	21	4,500	1,512	6,012	4,008	2,100	6,108
Trichinopoly—									
Perambalur	4	19	14	3,048	1,008	4,056	2,704	1,400	4,104
Udayarpalayam ..	11	19	21	4,500	1,512	6,012	4,008	2,100	6,108
Trichinopoly	6	17	15	3,276	1,080	4,356	2,904	1,500	4,404
Lalgudi	6	11	11	2,364	792	3,156	2,104	1,100	3,204
Karur	15	10	20	4,320	1,440	5,760	3,840	2,000	5,840
Tanjore—									
Tanjore	5	11	10	2,136	720	30,856	1,904	1,000	2,904
Pattukottai	12	18	21	4,500	1,512	6,012	4,008	2,100	6,108
Vizagapatam—									
Vizagapatam	7	23	19	4,140	1,368	5,508	3,672	1,900	5,572
Narasapatam	23	7	27	5,868	1,944	7,812	5,208	2,700	7,908
Goigonda	17	8	19	4,140	1,368	5,508	3,672	1,900	5,572
Total ..	558	911	1,017	2,19,156	73,224	2,92,380	1,94,920	1,01,700	2,96,620

Sectt. II-5 (b)—5,003—6-12-24. (6)

Law (Education) DEPARTMENT.

	Date.	Initials.
When received for Fair-copying.		
When copied ..		
When examined ..		
When signed ..		
When despatched ..		

Subsidy-Opening of new Elementary Schools-1925-26—Sanctioned.

READ AGAIN G.O. No. , dated 192 .

" " " " " 192 .

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 1538 A. From the D.P.I. R.O.C., No. 1682-B/24 dated 29-4-1925 192 .

" " " " " " 192 .

" " " " " " 192 .

ORDER.

No. 877 dated 23-5- 1925.

Press.

The Government sanction the distribution of subsidies to the taluk boards mentioned in the annexure to these proceedings to enable them to open new elementary schools for boys in villages with a population of 500 and over now unprovided with schools. The total number of schools to be opened is 1,017 (558 schools in villages with a population of 1000 and over and 459 schools in villages with a population of 500 to 1000). The annual cost of their maintenance is Rs. 2,92,380. A sum of Rs. 100 will also be provided for the equipment of each school.

2. The presidents of the taluk boards are requested to take steps for the opening of the schools on the 1st July

1925. The location of the schools should be settled in consultation with the District Educational Officer and the President of the District Educational Council concerned.

3. The presidents of the taluk boards and the departmental inspecting officers should endeavour to obtain, if possible, from the villagers houses free of rent for the location of the schools. Charges on the equipment of schools should be kept as low as possible.

4. Sanction is accorded to the payment to the taluk boards in the current official year of the sum specified against each in column 10 of the annexure to these proceedings. The A.G. is requested to arrange for the payment of the amounts. The presidents of the taluk boards should present the necessary bills at the treasury for obtaining payment or adjustment of the amounts sanctioned. The amounts are contributions to the elementary education fund and should be credited thereto.

5. The total expenditure of Rs. 2,96,620 will be met from the following sources:-

(1) Rs.1,94,920 from the provision of Rs. 14,41,100 in the current year's budget estimate under "31.C(c) Education-Primary-Grants to local bodies for Primary Education-Voted-Grants-in-aid-

The grant is subject to the condition that the full number of schools specified in Col. 4 of Statement are opened

Pay 212 C.S.C.

653

Very urgent

Fin (Edn) dept 3.45 Pm.

Fin Dr

6

G.O. No. 688 d. 23.4.26
" " 756 " " 1.5.26
" - 1278 " " 8.7.26
" " 1470 " " 3.8.26

M Srinivasan
25.9.26

Please give
above

Law (Estt.) Deptt.

No. 877/23.5.25. original files
for furnishing info to ~~Secretariat~~
S.R.P with reference to his T.M
Requisition
A Rambandham
8/7/25

12
877

To

The C.M.E. Tanjore

-Recurring-Teaching, "and

(ii) Rs. 1,01,700 from the provision of Rs. 1,01,700 in the current year's budget estimate under" 31.(e) Education-Primary-Grants to local bodies for Primary Education-voted-Grants-in-aid-non-recurring-Equipment."

Page 213 (15).

The D.P.I.
,, Presidents of Tk. Bds. concerned.
,, Presidents of Dt. Bds. concerned.
,, Presidents of Dt. Edl.Councils concerned.
,, Examiner of L.F. Accounts.
,, A.G. through Finance.
,, Finance Department.

DESPATCHED
8 JUN 1925

Statement showing the proposals for opening schools in areas under cess-levying Taluk Boards.

Name of Taluk Board	No of centres of schools started in which will serve groups of centres with a population of		No of schools proposed to be opened.	Annual cost			Cost of maintenance in the current year i.e from 1st July 1925	Cost of Equipment at Rs 100/- per School	Total amount to be paid during the current year. i.e cols 8 + 9.	Remarks
	1000 & above	500 to 1000		Pay of teachers at Rs 19/- pm for 75% of the who will be trained & at Rs 15/- pm for the rest who will be untrained	Rent at Rs 4/- pm & contingencies at Rs 2/- pm per school	Total annual cost i.e cols. 5 + 6				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Anantapur Dt										
Dharmavaram	1	15	8	1728	576	2304	1536	800	2336	
Penukonda	11	18	20	4320	1440	5760	3840	2000	5840	
Gooty	3	27	17	3636	1224	4860	3240	1700	4940	
Anantapur	1	23	12	2592	864	3456	2304	1200	3504	
Arcot South										
Cuddalore	12	18	21	4500	1512	6012	4008	2100	6108	
Vriddachalam	7	21	17	3636	1224	4860	3240	1700	4940	
Chidambaram	–	30	15	3276	1080	4356	2904	1500	4404	
Tindivanam	27	3	28	6048	2016	8064	5376	2800	8176	
Tirukoilur	24	6	27	5820	1944	7764	5176	2700	7876	
Bellary										
Adoni	4	10	9	1956	648	2604	1736	900	2636	
Chingleput										
Saidapet	14	4	16	3456	1152	4608	3072	1600	4672	
Chingleput	23	5	25	5364	1800	7164	4776	2500	7276	
Tiruvellore	13	17	22	4728	1584	6312	4208	2200	6408	
Chittoor										
Chittoor	7	19	17	3636	1224	4860	3240	1700	4940	
Madanapalle	3	9	7	1500	504	2004	1336	700	2036	
Coimbatore										
Coimbatore	16	12	22	4728	1584	6312	4208	2200	6408	
Pollachi	19	11	24	5184	1728	6912	4608	2400	7008	
Palladam	7	1	8	1728	576	2304	1536	800	2336	
Cuddapah										
Royachoti	1	18	10	2136	720	2856	1904	1000	2904	
Ganjam										
Chatrapur	6	24	18	3864	1296	5160	3440	1800	5240	
Berhampur	4	26	17	3636	1224	4860	3240	1700	4940	
Chicacole	2	28	16	3456	1152	4608	3072	1600	4672	
Ghumsur	–	10	5	1044	360	1404	936	500	1436	

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Godavari (East)										
Cocanada	9	3	10	2136	720	285	1904	1000	2904	
Peddapur	-	30	15	3276	1080	435	2904	1500	4404	
Pittapur	6	11	12	2592	864	345	2304	1200	3504	
Rajahmundry	10	20	20	4320	1440	576	3840	2000	5840	
Ramachandrapur	-	7	4	864	288	115	768	400	1168	
Guntur.										
Angole	3	9	7	1500	504	200	1336	700	2036	
Bapatla	2	21	13	2772	936	370	2472	1300	3772	
Tenali	1	3	3	636	216	85	568	300	868	
Narasaraopet	3	14	10	2136	720	285	1904	1000	2904	
Gattenapalli	4	26	17	3636	1224	480	3240	1700	4940	
Guntur.	4	11	9	1956	648	260	1736	900	2636	
Kanara Sunta										
Tarkal	3	6	6	1272	432	170	1136	600	1736	
Udipi	11	4	13	2772	936	370	2472	1300	3772	
Coondapur	13	13	20	4320	1440	576	3840	2000	5840	
Kasaragod	2	10	7	1500	504	200	1336	700	2036	
Uppinangadi	24	6	27	5820	1944	776	5176	2700	7876	
Mangalore	3	9	8	1728	576	230	1536	800	2336	
Kistna										
Bandar	6	16	14	3048	1008	406	2704	1400	4104	
Gudivada	6	6	9	1956	648	260	1736	900	2636	
Godavari (West)										
Narasapur	- Nil -									
Tanuku	- Do -									
Tanuku										
Tanuku	2	2	3	636	216	85	568	300	868	
Ellore	9	18	11	2364	792	315	2104	1100	3204	
Nandyal	—	4	2	408	144	552	368	200	568	
Tadpatri	—	3	2	408	144	552	368	200	568	
Markapur	—	10	5	1044	360	1401	936	500	1436	

Rd.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Madura										
Vedalampati	4	21	15	3276	1080	4356	2904	1500	4404	
Melur	-	2	1	180	72	252	168	100	268	
Madura	-	3	2	408	144	552	368	200	568	
Dindigal	9	5	12	2592	864	3456	2304	1200	3504	
Malabar										
Cottayam (Taluks amalgamated with Ernad)	9	-	9	1956	648	2604	1736	900	2636	
Walluvanad	13	2	14	3048	1008	4056	2704	1400	4104	
Tirurangadi (Taluks amalgamated with Ernad)	5	9	9	1956	648	2604	1736	900	2636	
Chirakkal	14	11	20	4320	1440	5760	3840	2000	5840	
Calicut	~~12~~	~~18~~								
Wynad (Taluks added)	10	9	15	3276	1080	4356	2904	1500	4404	
Ernad	18	12	24	5184	1728	6912	4608	2400	7008	
Palghat	3	26	16	3456	1152	4608	3072	1600	4672	
South Arcot										
Polur	14	16	22	4728	1584	6312	4208	2200	6408	
The Nilgiris										
District Board	1	7	4	864	288	1152	768	400	1168	
Ramnad										
Ramnad	15	15	23	5004	1656	6660	4440	2300	6740	
Sivaganga	19	11	24	5184	1728	6912	4608	2400	7008	
Salem										
Salem	16	10	21	4500	1512	6012	4008	2100	6108	
Trichinopoly										
Perambalur	4	17	14	3048	1008	4056	2704	1400	4104	
Udayarpalayam	11	19	21	4500	1512	6012	4008	2100	6108	
Trichinopoly	6	17	15	3276	1080	4356	2904	1500	4404	
Lalgudi	6	11	11	2364	792	3156	2104	1100	3204	
Karur	15	10	20	4320	1440	5760	3840	2000	5840	
Tanjore										
Tanjore	5	11	10	2136	720	2856	1904	1000	2904	
Pattukottai	12	18	21	4500	1512	6012	4008	2100	6108	

Vizagapatam										
Vizagapatam	7	23	19	4140	1368	550	3672	1900	5572	
Narasapatam	6	4	8	1728	576	230	1536	800	2336	}
~~Gajapatam~~	17	3	19	4140	1368	550	3672	1900	5572	}
	30		46	10008	3312	1332	8880	4600	13480	
								4600		
Total	558	911	1017	219156	73224	29230	194920	101700	296620	

A Rama Rao
— 30/4/25
for Dr. Director of Public Instruction

TM

From

The Supdt. D.P.'s Office,

12/

To The Supdt. L (Education)

Ref your T.M of today regarding the opening of 1017 New Cly Schools.

Salkonda is a Taluk under the jurisdiction of the Taluk board Narasapatam. It may therefore be clubbed with Narasapatam Taluk board.

1/6

Law (Education) Departm

13

3

Memo 3336A-2 & 9.9.24.

The Ely.Edn.Conference held at Ootacamund in May 23 recommended that "with a view to the gradual expansion of Ely.Education in rural areas, each village with a population of 500 inhabitants and over should have a school" In G.O.No.916 Law (Edn) dated 5-6-24 the Govt.directed the institution of an educational survey in all the taluks in the Presidency (excepting the Agency and other hill tracts) as an essential preliminary for working out a comprehensive programme for expansion of elementary education.

2 In the current official year, it is proposed to move for a supplementary demand at the meeting of the Legve.Council to be held in Octr. next, for a sum of about Rs.1.60 lakhs to be distributed as subsidies to taluk boards which levy education tax to enable them to open addl.elementary schools(840 in all) in villages with a population of 1000 and over.

3 The D.P.I is requested to formulate his proposals as to measures to be adopted in 1925-26 for the further spread of elementary educ

tion in the Presidency in the light of the follg. observations:-

(i) Excluding the 840 villages referred to above, there are still 435 villages with a population of 1000 and over unprovided with schools in the areas under the jurisdiction of ~~the~~ Taluk boards which levy the education tax. Preference should be given to these villages in the matter of the opening of ~~the~~ new schools.

(ii) Next in order might be taken up the backward ~~districts~~ like the Ceded Dts. & Chittoor. The economic condition in these districts is so unsatisfactory that it is not possible for the local bodies to levy the education tax in the same way as other taluk boards in the Presidency. Four Taluk boards in the Bellary district, four in the Cuddapah district and one taluk board in the Chittoor district have not yet levied the education tax. In the areas under the jurisdiction of these taluk boards there are 65 villages with a population of 1000 and over ~~which have yet to be~~ provided with schools.

~~Ann A~~
Bellary
Rayadrug
Hospet
Harpanhalli
Cuddapah
Badvel
Rajampet
Proddatur
Chandragiri

(iii) The case of villages with a population of 500 to 1000 unprovided with schools in the areas under the jurisdiction of taluk boards which levy the ~~cess~~ ~~may~~ ~~has already been~~ cess be considered. There are in all 1985 villages and, as a beginning, schools might be opened in one-third of these villages in the coming year.

14

4 The Director is requested to call for detailed proposals with regard to the opening of schools in the abovementioned class of villages from the Dt. Edl. officers concerned. The reports of the Dt. Edl. officers, which should be based on the materials ~~collected~~ collected for the educational survey, should be in the follg. form:-

Name of Taluk

(1) Village
(2) Population
(3) Population of school-going age
(4) No. of inhabited centres
(5) Distance from the nearest school-aided or Board school of the important population centres.
(6) why a separate school is needed to serve the village and whether such a school will serve all the population centres.
(7) whether the villagers can provide a building rent free.
(8) whether a trained teacher will be available.

5 The report ~~for~~ ~~of~~ each district should be submitted to Govt. ~~as~~ separately as soon as it ~~becomes~~ ~~ready~~ ~~ready~~ and all the reports should be submitted to Govt. by the 15th Octr. 1924. Full particulars as to the recurring & non-recurring cost involved should be furnished with each report.

So that the proposal may be considered ~~in time~~ for the budget of 1925-6

To
The D.P.I.

Remind on 10-10-24

8.9.24 8.9.

C.F. 30—18,558—3-01-23.

Law (Education) **DEPARTMENT.**

Received 7-NOV-1924 GOVT. SECRETARIAT TOGH. LAW DEPARTMENT 192 . *Registered* 192 .

Subject. Opening of new elementary schools in 1925-26

Bellary District.

Law Edn, Dept

Memo No. 3336A-2 dt 9-9-24 Connected papers have been sent to finance again on 22/11/24 for making necessary provision in Part II of the budget estimates for opening new schools in 1925-26. The returns of that dept may be awaited. The G.O. contains proposals relating to one district - Bellary. Proposals relating to other districts are awaited

ENCLOSURES.
No. statement
Spare copies.

22/11/24 NK 24/11 R.O.C. No. 1682-B/24 24.11.24

Station Madras , *Dated the* 5-11-1924. 192 .

From (Name) R. Littlehailes Esq. M.A.,

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law (Education) Department.

Sir,

With reference to Government Memo No. 3336 A-2 dated 9-9-24, I have the honour to submit herewith a statement showing the schools proposed to be opened in the Bellary District.

2. In the jursidiction of Taluk Board, Adoni, which levies the education cess, there is only one village (Kadimetla) with a population exceeding 1000 having no recognised schools whatever. This village was originally included in the proposals submitted to Government for the opening of new elementary schools in the current year, but on the report of the District Educational Officer it was excluded in the circumstances explained in my letter R.C.

7

Expansion of Elementary Education in 1925-26.

16

8

Name of District.	Name of Taluk Board.		Name of village.	Population.	Population of School age.	No. of uncivilized Centres.	Distance from the nearest school aided or ~~Co-operative~~ Board School of the important population centres	Why a separate school needed to serve the village + whether such a school will serve all the population centres.	Whether the villagers can provide a Building rent free.	Whether a trained teacher will be available.	Estimated Cost	
											Recurring	Non Recurring
Bellary.	Adoni.											
		1.	Basapuram.	990	149	1	3 miles to Adoni Municipal School.	Far away to the Boys and yes.	The D.E.O. reports that arrangements will be made.	yes.	300	100.
		2.	Gummanur.	945	142	1.	2½ miles from other schools.	— do —	Arrangements will be made	yes.	300	100.
		3.	Kallugutla.	833.	125	1.	2 miles to Belagal Board School	— do —	— do —	yes	300	100.
		4.	Degalahal.	786.	125	1.	2½ miles from other Schools.	— do —	— do —	yes	300	100
											1200	400.
	Rayadrug.	1.	Ramapuram.	1315.	200.	1.	4 miles from Pedda Kowlamba.	Far away and hence necessary.	no.	no.	252	100.
		2.	Hurlihalu.	1062.	160.	1.	2 miles from the Secondary Schools	— do —	~~no~~ no.	no.	~~252~~ 504	~~100.~~ ~~200~~
									no	no	252	100
											504	200
	Harpanahalli.	1.	Jogarikatti.	1049.	not available.	5.	2 miles from the 2 aided schools in Subarbs.	— do —	no.	~~no~~ yes.	300	100.
	Bellary.	1.	Veni Veerapuram.	1123.	120.	1.	3 miles from Hu[illegible]tini	— do —	no.	no.	252	100.

For Director of Public Instruction

C.F. 30—18,658—3-01-23.

LAW (EDUCATION) **DEPARTMENT.**

179

Received ..... 192 . *Registered* ..... 192 .

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVT.
25 NOV 1924
LAW DEPARTMENT

Subject.

Opening of new Elementary Schools in 1925-26 - Anantapur District.

L. Coll 4318A
257/1/24

ENCLOSURES.
No. 1 Statement.
.....
Spare copies.....

R.C No. 1682-B/24.

Station Madras , *Dated the* 21st November, 1924.

From (Name) R.LITTLEHAILES, Esq., M.A.,

(Designation) DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

LAW (EDUCATION) Department.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter R.C.No.1682-B/24 dated 5-11-24, I have the honour to submit herewith a statement showing the schools proposed to be opened in the Anantapur District.

2. In the jurisdiction of the Taluk Board, Gooty, there are only 4 school-less villages with a population of 1,000 and above, which are within the Gooty Taluk. All the villages in the Tadpatri Taluk are provided with schools. Though the Board levies the Education cess only in the Tadpatri Taluk, the villages with a population of 1000 and above situated in the Gooty Taluk are included in the statement, since the Taluk is backward (para 3(ii) of

4147A
3326A

Government Memorandum No.3336 A-2 dated 9-9-24).

2. There are 13 school-less villages with a population of 500 to 1000 in the Tadpatri Taluk. Since the District Educational Officer does not recommend the opening of a school in one of these villages, as there is a Board school within half-a-mile of the village, the number of school-less villages is taken as 12, and 4 villages one-third of 12 are included in the statement in the order of the population of school-age.

3. According to the District Educational Officer's report there are only 3 villages with a population of 1000 and above unprovided with schools within the area under the jurisdiction of the Taluk Board, Dharmavaram. These three villages are included in the statement under para 3(i) of Government Memo No.3336 A-2 dated 9-9-24. There are 36 school-less villages with a population of 500 to 1000 within this Taluk Board. The District Educational Officer considers that no separate school is necessary in three of these villages. Excluding the three, there are 33 villages. Accordingly 11 villages (1/3 of 33) are included in the statement, in the order of the population of school-age under para 3(iii) of the Government Memo.

4. In the area under the jurisdiction of the Taluk Board, Anantapur, all the villages with a population of 1000 and above except one are provided with schools. The District Educational Officer has reported that recommendation will be made to the Taluk Board to transfer to this village an ill-worked school from another village. Further in connection with the current year's proposals, the President reported that schools are being opened in all villages of this type with the proceeds of the Education cess. Accordingly none of these villages is included in the statement.

There are 18 school-less villages with a population of 500 to 1000 within this Taluk Board. According to the District Educational Officer's report, schools are not required in two of these villages. Therefore the number of villages is taken as 16 and 5 of them included in the statement, in the order of the population of school-age.

5. There are 24 villages with a population of 1000 and above unprovided with schools within the area under the jurisdiction of the Taluk Board, Penukonda. The District Educational Officer reports that separate schools are not necessary in two of these villages; hence the number of villages to be considered is taken as 22, and they are included in the statement under para 3(i) of the Government Memo.

There are 25 school-less villages with a population of 500 to 1000, of which three do not require separate schools. The number of the villages to be considered is therefore taken as 22, and 7 of them are included in the statement in the order of the population of school-age.

6. In cases, where it is definitely known, that buildings can be had free of rent, no provision is made in the estimated recurring cost for the payment of house-rent.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Directorof Public Instruction.

M.R.P.22/11.

Anantapur District.

19

12

Name of District	Name of Taluk Board		Name of village	Population	Population of school age	No of inhabited centres	Distance from the nearest school aided or Board school of the important population centre.	Why a separate school is needed to serve the village & whether such a school will serve all the population centres	Whether the villagers can provide a building free of rent.	Whether a trained teacher will be available	Estimated cost		
											Recurring	Non-recurring	
1	2		3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
			Gooty Taluk	Villages with a population of 1000 and above.									
	Gooty	1	Karakamuntala	1047	210	3	2½ miles	Not easily accessible to children as the schools are far away from the villages. Will serve all the population centres	Attempts will be made to secure buildings rent free.	yes	300	100	
		2	Melagonda	1357	268	4	2½ miles			yes	300	100	
		3	Chyabala	2006	95	3	1½ miles			yes	300	100	
		4	Jakkula Cheruvu	1320	388	4	1½ miles			yes	300	100	
			Tadpatri Taluk	Villages with a population of 500 to 1000.									
Anantapur	Gooty	1	Kummanamala	951	228	7	4 miles	No school within a mile Hence a new school is necessary. The main village is in decaying condition. A school located at Rangarajanikunta will serve all inhabited Centres.	yes	No	204	100	Salary of the teacher maintained 15 panchayet wards — 2 " 17 No provision is made for house rent as a building rent free can be obtained
		2	Narakatavemula	790	141	2	1½ miles.	Kandapuram one of the centres of population is 2 miles from Surepalli aided school which is too far from this population centre. Hence a separate school is necessary.	yes	No	204	100	No provision is made for House rent as a building rent free can be obtained.
		3	Chintalapalli	723	138	1	4 miles	No separate school within a mile. Hence a school is necessary.	yes	Do	204	100	

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
		4. Mallagundla	667	138	3	2 1/2 miles	The School will serve only Mallagundla the other 2 population centres being far off.	yes	No	204	100	The exact location of the school may be settled by the Pt. I. B in consultation with the D.E.O.
										20,16	800	
		Villages with a population of 1000 + above.										
	Dharmavaram I.	Katakambasamudram	1400	210	10	2 miles from a recognised Elementary school	No school closeby + a School is necessary - yes	yes	yes	252	100	
	2	Gankaralakunta agarifalli	1112	167	2	2 miles	-do- ..	yes	yes	252	100	
	3	Kaniyamcheruvu	1158	174	7	4 "	-do- do	yes	yes	252	100	
										756	300	
		Villages with a population of 500 to 1000.										
	1	Godduvelagala	972	145	13	2 miles	No school closeby + yes	yes	yes	252	100	
	2	Marrikammadinne	955	143	9	2 miles	-do- -do-	do	do	252	100	
	3	Somayajulapalli	916	137	6	3 miles	-do- -do-	do	do	252	100	
	4	Jarikentapalli	902	135	3	4 miles	-do- -do-	do	do	252	100	
	5	Isadum	842	126	8	4 miles	-do- -do-	do	do	252	100	
	6	Gauskampalli	820	122	6	1 1/2 miles	-do- -do-	do	do	252	100	
	7	Errappagaripalli	800	120	3	3 miles	-do- -do-	do	do	252	100	
	8	Virappapalli	800	120	3	2 1/2 miles	-do- -do-	do	do	252	100	
	9	Eddarlanandlapalli	800	120	2	1 3/4 miles	-do- -do-	do	do	252	100	
	10	Allugundu	789	118	3	1 1/2 miles	-do- -do	do	do	252	100	
	11	Gunijepalli	760	114	1	4 miles	-do- -do-	do	do	252	100	
										2772	1100	

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
			Villages with a population of 500 to 1000								
	Anantapur	1 Vllikallu	818	182	2	4 miles	No school close by children have to cross a stream - yes	yes	yes	252	100
		2 Rachapalle	567	130	1	2 miles	No school close by. yes	yes	yes	252	100
		3 Narasapuram	722	124	2	2½ miles	No school within easy reach of the pupils — yes	yes	yes	252	100
		4 Kakanta	657	122	2	3 miles	No school close by yes	yes	yes	252	100
		5 Naranjigundlapalli	568	103	1	3½ miles	No school within easy reach of the pupils. — — yes	Do	Do	252	100
										1260	500
			Villages with a population of 1000 and above.								
	Penukonda	1 Cholamari	1079	306	3	4 miles	No school close by and will serve all the population centres	No	yes	300	100
		2 Nidimamidi	1072	230	8	2 miles	— Do — — Do —	No	yes	300	100
		3 Pandipartki	1065	141	3	2 miles	— Do — — Do —	No	yes	300	100
		4 Challapalli	1091	176	3	5 miles	— Do — — Do —	No	yes	300	100
		5 Kammavaripalli	1256	190	4	2½ miles	— Do — — Do —	No	yes	300	100
		6 Kandasamam	2644	500	5	3 miles	— Do — — Do	No	yes	300	100
		7 Mallapalli	1255	180	4	2 miles	There is a big tank between Narasimhapalli and Mallepalli and a school	yes	yes	252	100

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
							School is necessary. - yes				
	8	Mandalapalle	2289	335	8	4 miles	No school close by and will serve all the population centres	No	yes	300	100
Anantapur Dt (continued)	9	Pellern	1308	195	6	3 miles from a recognised school.	No school close by and will serve all the population centres.	No	yes	300	100
	10	Vodigapalli	1069	150	2	2 miles	-Do- -Do-	No	yes	300	100
	11	Tendur	1204	214	3	4 miles	-Do- -Do-	No	yes	300	100
	12	Kodiganahalli	1874	218	4	2 1/2 miles	-Do- -Do-	No	yes	300	100
	13	Mada	1991	526	6	3 1/2 miles	-Do- -Do-	No	yes	300	100
	14	Narasamudri	1492	226	5	1 1/2 miles	-Do- -Do-	No	yes	300	100
	15	Nidraghatta	1033	157	2	3/4 miles	-Do- -Do-	No	yes	300	100
	16	Ravudi	1194	183	2	1 1/2 miles	-Do- -Do-	No	yes	300	100
	17	Thammidehalli	1752	257	1	1 1/2 miles	-Do- -Do-	No	yes	300	100
	18	Amidalagondi	1380	197	6	4 miles	-Do- -Do-	No	yes	300	100
	19	Bommagonda vahalli	2280	329	8	3 miles	-Do- -Do-	No	yes	300	100
	20	Chandakacherala	1222	178	4	2 1/2 miles	-Do- -Do-	No	yes	300	100
	21	Kekka (Kekathi)	1073	165	8	5 miles	-Do- -Do-	No	yes	300	100
	22	Dodderi	1326	198	5	1 1/2 miles	-Do- -Do-	No	yes	300	100

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
			Villages with a population of 500 to 1000									
Anantapur (continued)	Penukonda (cont'd)	Krishnapuram	823	326	4	3 miles from a recognised school.	No school cure by - yes	No	yes	300	100	
	2	Magecherroo	664	185	2	4 miles —	-Do- — Do.	yes	yes	252	100	
	3	Tengedu	966	172	4	5 miles —	-Do- — Do.	No	yes	300	100	
	4	Narasapuram	758	156	3	1½ miles -	-Do- — Do.	No	yes	300	100	
	5	Nadimpalli	954	145	4	3 miles -	-Do- — Do.	No	yes	300	100	
	6	Reddipalli	898	130	2	1½ miles.	The aided school does not satisfy	yes	yes	252	100	
	7	Pettipalli	830	120	5	4 miles	No school cure by and yes.	No	yes	300	100	
										2,556	2,900	

for Director of Public Instruction.

22/11/24

C.F. [illegible]—18,658—3-01-23.

LAW (EDUCATION) **DEPARTMENT.**

24 17

Received 3rd DEC 1924 . *Registered* ..... 192 .

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT LAW DEPARTMENT

Subject.

Opening of new schools - 1925-26 - Kurnool District.

2-En 4470
3/12/24.

ENCLOSURES.
No. Statement
Spare copies.....

R.C No. 1682-B/24.

Station Madras , *Dated the* 28th November, 1924 .

From (Name) R.LITTLEHAILES, Esq., M.A.,

(Designation) DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

LAW (EDUCATION) Department.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter R.C.No.1682-B/24 dated 21-11-24, I have the honour to submit herewith a statement showing the schools proposed to be opened in the Kurnool District.

2. According to the report received from the President, Taluk Board, Kurnool, in connection with the proposals for the opening of new Elementary Schools in the current year, there were only two school-less villages with a population of 1000 and above in the area under the jurisdiction of the Taluk Board and schools have been sanctioned for these villages in G.O.No.1598 Law (Education) dated 16-10-24.

(P.T.O)

The

The District Educational Officer now reports that there is one more school-less village with a population of 1000 and above under the Taluk Board. The question of the inclusion of this village in the next year's proposal is under correspondence with the President, Taluk Board, and the result will be reported to Government later on.

There are 2 school-less villages with a population of 500 to 1000 in the area under the jurisdiction of this Taluk Board and one of them having the largest population of school-age is included in the statement, with reference to para 3(iii) of Government Memo No.3336-A-2 dated 9-9-24.

3. In the area under the jurisdiction of the Taluk Board, Dhone, the number of school-less villages with a population of 500 to 1000 is 15. Five of them, (one-third of the total) are included in the statement, in the order of the population of school-age. The District Educational Officer reports that a Chavadi can be had rent free in all these villages, but, since the location of schools in Chavidies is contrary to the orders contained in G.O.No.329 Home (Education) dated 17-3-19, provision has been made in the estimated recurring cost for the payment of house rent on account of these schools.

4. There are three villages with a population of 500 to 1000 unprovided with schools in the area under the jurisdiction of the Taluk Board, Nandyal. One of them (one-third of the total) is included in the annexed statement.

5. In the area under the jurisdiction of the Taluk Board, Koilkuntla, the number of school-less villages with a population of 500 to 1000 is only 6, and 2 of them, one-third of the total number, are included in the statement in the order of the population of school-age.

6. Under the Taluk Board, Markapur, the number of school-less villages with a population of 500 to 1000 is five and two of them are included in the present proposal in the order of the population of school-age.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Director of Public Instruction.

M.R.P.1/12.

Expansion of Elementary Education – 1925-26 – Kurnool District.

Name of District.	Name of Taluk Board.	Name of Village.	Population.	Population of School-age.	No. of inhabited Centres.	Distance from the nearest school, aided or Board School of the important population Centre.	Why a separate School is needed and whether such a school will serve all the population centres.	Whether the villagers can provide a building rent free.	Whether a trained teacher will be available.	Estimated Cost: Recurring.	Estimated Cost: Non-recurring.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Kurnool		**Kurnool Taluk**	**Villages with a population of 500 to 1000**								
	Kurnool —										
	1. Peeda Tekur —		908	236	4	1½ miles —	The aided school which was working in the village failed for want of support.	No	yes	300	100
		Dhone Taluk									
	Dhone —										
	1. Ambapuram		550	173	1	3 miles —	Children cannot attend school, Walking these long distances. A School is hence necessary in each of these villages and the School can serve only the particular Centre of population in which it is situated.	It is reported that a chavidi can be had rent free in all these villages but as the location of Schools in chavidi is contrary to the orders contained in G.O. No. 329 H.E. dated 17-3-19 provision is made for the payment of house rent.	yes	300	100
	2. Kothapally —		546	171	1	3 miles —			yes	300	100
		Pattikonda Taluk									
	3. Pothapad —		564	169	2	2 miles —			yes	300	100
		Dhone Taluk									
	4. Hussainapuram (Hamlet of Bhanipally)		633	161	3	4 miles —			yes	300	100
	5. Yerakalacheruvu		779	141	1	8 miles —			yes	300	100
		Nandikotkur Taluk								1500	500
	Nandyal —										
	1. Mallayala —		791	160	2	2 miles —	The distance is too much for the young children to go. A separate school is needed. It will serve all the population centres.	No	yes	300	100
		Sirvel Taluk									
	Koilkuntla —										
	1. Gontala vaipla penta		713	127	6	2 miles —	The distance is too much for young children hence a separate school is necessary. It will serve the population centres.	No	yes	300	100
		Koilkuntla Taluk									
	2. Kammukuntla —		792	119	2	1½ miles —		No	yes	300	100
										600	200
		Cumbum Taluk									
	Markapur —										
	1. Patcherla —		614	187	3	2 miles —		No	yes	300	100
	2. Edavalli —		736	145	2	2 miles —		No	yes	300	100
										600	200

for Director of Public Instruction.

G.F. 30—41,000—8-9-24.

LAW (EDUCATION) **DEPARTMENT.**

22

Received ..... *Registered* ..... 192 .

L. Ed 4611 A
19/12/24

Opening of new Elementary Schools - Kurnool District.

ENCLOSURES.
No. 1
Spare copies .....

R.C. No. 1682-B/24.

Station Madras , *Dated the* 17th December, 1924.

From (Name) R.LITTLEHAILES, Esq., M.A.,

(Designation) DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

LAW (EDUCATION) Department.

Sir.

44204

In continuation of my letter R.C.No.1682-B/24 dated 28-11-24, I have the honour to report that the District Educational Officer, Kurnool, recommends the opening of a school at Bastipad, a school-less village with a population of 1000 and above in the area under the jurisdiction of the Taluk Board, Kurnool. The required particulars are shown in the statement appended to this letter.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for Director of Public Instruction.

M.R.P.18/12.

TALUK BOARD, KURNOOL.

Name of Village.	Population.	Population of School-age.	No. of inhabited centres.	Distance from the nearest aided or Board School of important population centre.	Why a separate school is needed to serve the village and whether such a school will serve all the population centres.	Whether the villagers can provide a building rent free.	Whether a trained teacher will be available.	Estimated cost. Recurring.	Estimated cost. Non-recurring
								Rs.	Rs.
Bastipad	1,207	320	4	1½ Miles.	School existing in the main village stopped its work on account of the death Teacher-Manager. No other manager can get on in the village. People have petitioned for a Board School.	No	Yes	300	100

for Director of Public Instruction.

C F. 30—41,000—8-9-24.

Law (Education) **DEPARTMENT.**

29
24

Received ..... 192 . *Registered* 5th JAN 1925 192 .

SECRETARY TO GOVT. OF MADRAS
JUDICIAL LAW DEPARTMENT

Subject.

Opening of new elementary schools - 1925-26, Chingleput District.

L. Ed 21
611/25

ENCLOSURES.
No. 1 Statement
Spare copies .....

R.O.C. No. 1682 B/24

Station Madras , *Dated the* 24th December 24 192 .

From (Name) R. Littlehailes, Esq., M.A.,

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law (Education) Department.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter R.C.No. 1682 B/24, dated 28th November 1924, I have the honour to submit herewith a statement showing the schools proposed to be opened in the Chingleput District.

2. There are four school-less villages with a population of 1000 and above in the area under the jurisdiction of the Taluk Board, Chingleput and these have been included in the statement. There is another school-less village with a population of 1000 and above, but the District Educational Officer does not consider it necessary to have a separate school in that village, since the distance from the nearest school is only half a mile.

4420A

25

There are 75 school less villages with a population of 500 to 1000 under the jurisdiction of this Taluk board; in the case of 15 villages, the District Educational Officer does not recommend the opening of separate schools, since the distance from the nearest school is half a mile and less and as there are no physical barriers. Excluding these 15, there are 60 villages, and one third of this number Viz., 20 villages are included in the statement, in the order of the population of school age.

3. All the villages in the Saidapet Taluk are provided with schools. There are two villages with a population of 1000 and above in Sriperumbudur Taluk under the Saidapet Taluk Board and they have been included in the statement. The number of school less villages with a population of 500 to 1000 in Sriperumbudur Taluk is seven and one third thereof, Viz., 2 villages are proposed to be provided with schools in the order of the population of school age.

4. The District Educational Officer recommends the opening of separate schools in 12 villages with a population of 1000 and above in the area under the jurisdiction of the Taluk Board, Tiruvellore. It is observed that in the case of 2 villages included in the twelve, the distance from the nearest school is only half a mile and less. Excluding these 2 villages, the remaining 10 villages have been included in the statement.

There are 53 school less villages with a population 500 to 1000 under this Taluk Board. Eighteen villages, being one third of the total are proposed to be provided with schools next year, in the order of the population of school age.

I have the honour to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

for Director of Public Instruction.

P.V.S. 26/12.

Villages with a population of 1000 & above.

Chingleput	Chingleput	1 Vadavar	1334	263	2	2 miles	nearest school not easily accessible	No	Yes	300	100
		2. Orikal	1378	252	2	3 ..	do do	No.	Yes	300	100
		3 Thennambakam	1005	~~207~~ 195	5	2 ..	do do	No	Yes	300	100
		4. Athiyur Mellkuli	1045	221	6	1½ ..	Nearest school not easily accessible. both small population combined.	No	Yes	300	100

Villages with a population of 500 to 1000

		1 Manimbakkam	846	263	2	1 mile	The number of school going children is too many to be accommodated in the nearest Board aided school and justifies a separate school for each village Yes	No	Yes	300	100.
		2 Manyalam	921	Illegible	4	1 Mile	do do	No	Yes	300	100
		3 Simpiyanyeri	760	239	3	1½ Miles	Nearest school not easily accessible & too far Yes	No	No.	252	100.
		4. Manjiperam	708	225	5	2 miles	Nearest school too far away Yes	No	No	252	100
		5 Sempendi	914	221	2	2 miles	Nearest school too far away Yes	No	No	252	100
		6. Seekinamkuppam	911	216	2	2 miles	Nearest school 2 miles off. Yes	Villagers may Consult bgmn after free hours	Yes after 1.4.25	200	100
		7. Kilpadiper	973	216	2	2 miles	No school within easy reach Yes	No	Yes	300	100
		8 Valvadur	772	200	4	2½ miles	Nearest school 2½ miles off Yes	No.	Yes	300	100
		9. Marutuvambakkam	659	200	4	2½ miles	Nearest school too far away . . Yes.	No.	No	252	100
		10. Kalattur	804	199	3	2 miles	Nearest school too far away . . Yes.	No	No	252	100
		11. Manabakkam	653	199	3	2 miles	Nearest school 2 miles off. Yes	No	Yes after 1.4.25	300	100
		12 Pelapper	815	193	2	2 miles	Nearest school too far away . Yes.	No	No	252	100.
		13. Buthathurai	818	192	4	2½ miles	Nearest school too far away. Yes.	No	No	252	100
		14. Perumpakam	852.	189	4	1 Mile	The Number of school going children is too many to be accommodated in the nearest Board or Aided school & Justifies the opening of a separate school for each Yes	No	Yes	300	100

		15 Manpalam	747	186	3	2 miles	Nearest ~~school~~ ~~Road accessible for all the Children during school time~~ ~~too far away~~ yes	No	No	252	100	31
		16 Vedanthangal	879	182	4	1 mile	Nearest school not easily accessible for all the children during rainy time the natural barrier yes	No	No	252	100	
		17. Melavalam	700	179	4	1½ miles	Nearest school 1½ miles off . . . yes	No.	yes after 1.6.25	300	100	
		18. Periyanthani	883	178	2	1½ miles	No school within easy reach yes	No	yes	300	100	
		19. Thenpathani	804	174	3	2½ miles	Nearest school 2½ miles off. Yes	No	yes after 1.6.25	300	100	
		20 Chittamur	529	174	1	2 miles	Nearest school too far away. yes	No.	No	252	100	
											1100	2400
Chengalpet	Sriperumbadur	1 Sembarambakam	1283	278	2	2 miles	Because this is no school within a mile. yes	No	yes	300	100	
		2. Udayarpalayam	1215	181	2	2 miles	do	No	yes	300	100	
		villages with a population of 500 to 1000										
		3 Santavelur	931	218	3	2 miles	The nearest school is 2 miles off. The school will serve all the population centres	No	yes	300	100	
		4. Vedamangalam	594	139	3	1½ miles	nearest school is 1½ miles off. yes	villagers promise to erect a thatched building	yes	200	100.	
											1100	400
		villages with a population of 1000 & above										
	Tiruvellore	1 Krishnapuram	1233	236	4	2 miles	There is no school in these centres yes	No	No	252	100	
		2. Melakondayur	1656	294	5	2 miles	do	No	No	252	100	
		3 Pallapadi	1246	168	4	2 miles	do	No	No.	252	100	
		4. Ramanthandalam	1121	341	6	1½ miles	do	No	No	252	100.	
		5 Sirupulalpattu	2065	556	15	4 miles	do	No.	..	300	100	

28	Sirubellum	6 Nalvi	1610	343	15	1 mile	There is no school within near Centre yes	No	A bicycle teacher might be kept if this school is opened with the bridge.	300	100
		7 Vellivayal	1030	246	10	2 miles	do	No		300	100
		8 Alamatti	1063	257	8	6 miles	do	No		300	100
		9 Nandanam	1016	254	2	1 mile	do	The Deo. is requested to have a word with the bridge mundi	No	252	100
		10 Kanleabham	1190	220	11	2 miles	do	do	No	252	100
							Villages with a population 2000 to 5000				
	Tiruvillam	1 Chatram	921	270	3	3 miles	nearest school too far away - yes	No	No	252	100
		2. Kalapathu	999	268	5	1 mile	Children of school age too many to be accomodated in the nearest school. yes	No	No	252	100
		3 Mayapakkam	552	255	2	2½ miles	nearest school is too far away. yes	No	No	252	100
		4. Kannaballam	940	238	4	3 miles	nearest school too far away yes.	No	No	252	100
		5 Venkatakam	939	238	3	1½ miles	nearest school too far away yes	No	No	252	100
		6. Panayanchi	716	224	3	¾ mile	There is a lake & the Crowds yes	No	No	252	100
		7 Beedu	820	220	5	1 mile	Children of school age attending the accommodated in the nearest school yes	No	No	252	100
		8 Arunappa Vayakam pukkam	976	217	4	2 miles	nearest school too far away yes	No	Yes by the time the school is opened.	300	100
		9. Mayavaram	823	208	3	2 miles	nearest school too far away. yes	No	do	300	100.

10. Periasblapuram	818	198	5	2 miles	nearest school too far away. Yes	No	Yes by this time a school is opened	300	100	
11 Pallavadi	897	196	2	2 miles	nearest school too far away yes	No	do	300	100	
12. Paunapakam	867	181	2	5 miles	This also arises between the village & the nearest school	No	No	252	100	
13 Koranai	696	175	2	1½ miles	No school within easy reach yes	No	No	252	100	
14. Vayalur	953	172	8	3½ miles	Too far away from nearest school yes	No	do	252	100	
15. Padicpanallur	556	165	2	3 miles	Nearest school too far away yes	No	Yes by this time a school is opened	300	100	
16. Poongulam	878	163	8	2 miles	Nearest school far away yes	No	Yes by this time a school is opened	300	100	
17) Vellaithangal	704	160	2	1½ miles	do yes	No	No	252	100	
18 Ellappanai Kuppek	599	154	2	3 miles	do	No	No	252	100	
								7536	2800	

Clerk

To [illegible] 26/12

for Director of Public Instruction

[illegible] 31.12.

Expansion of Elementary Education 1925-26

Name of District	Name of Taluk Board	Name of village	Population	Population of School age	No. of inhabited Centres	Distance of the nearest aided or School from habitation	Distance from School Board Taluk Centre	Why a separate School is needed to serve the village or whether such a School will serve all the population in Centres	Whether the village can provide a building rent free	Whether a trained teacher will be available	Estimated Cost	
											Recurring	Non-recurring

34

F. 30—41,000—8-9-24.

Law(Education) **DEPARTMENT.**

33 31

Received ..... 192 . *Registered* ..... 192 .

15 JAN 1925

Subject.

L. Ed 136A
16/1/25

Opening of new Elementary schools 1925-26-

Cuddapah District.

ENCLOSURES.
No. 1 statement.
.....
Spare copies .....

R.C. No. 1682 B/24

Station Madras , *Dated the* 9-1-1925 192 .

From (Name) R.Littlenailes Esq., M.A.,

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction

Madras.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law(Education) Department.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter R.C.No.1682 B/24 dated 24-12-1924, I have the honour to submit herewith a statement showing the schools proposed to be opened in the Cuddapah District.

2. There are five school-less villages with a population of 1000 and above in the area under the jurisdiction of the Taluk Board, Cuddapah; but in the case of one of these villages, the distance from the nearest aided school is only half a mile. Excluding this, the remaining four villages are included in the statement with reference to para 3 (ii) of the

Government

Government Memo.

3. There are 3 school-less villages with a population of 1000 and above in the area under the jurisdiction of the Taluk Board, Jammalmadugu. It is observed that there are aided schools in two of these villages and leaving out these two the third village is included in the annexed statement.

4. The number of school-less villages with a population of 1000 and above under the Taluk Board, Prodattur is reported to be 10. In each of the eight of these villages, there is an aided school within a mile of the village; the District Educational Officer recommends the opening of separate schools in these villages on the grounds that the caste Hindus do not generally seek admission in the London Mission Schools and that a few of the existing aided schools are not working satisfactorily. These however are not very good reasons for opening new schools in these villages and they have accordingly been left out and the remaining two only are included in the statement.

5. In the area under the jurisdiction of the Taluk Board, Rajampet, there are only 2 school-less villages with a population of 1000 and above and the distance from the nearest school is only a mile and less. But in the case of one village there is a canal, between the village and the existing aided school, therefore the opening of a separate school in that village alone is recommended.

6. There are 10 school-less villages with a population of 1000 and above in the area under the jurisdiction of the Taluk Board, Badvel. The District Educational Officer does not recommend the

opening

opening of separate schools in four of these villages, owing to the existence of schools near by in the case of 3 villages and as the main village in the case of the fourth is uninhabited and the hamlets have schools. Excluding these four, six villages are included in the statement.

7. All the villages with a population of 1000 and above in the area under the jurisdiction of the Taluk Board, Royachoty are provided with schools. There are 15 school-less villages with a population of 500 to 1000 under the Taluk Board. In the case of six villages, the District Educational Officer does not recommend the opening of separate schools, as there are existing schools near by. Deducting these 6 villages, there remain 9 school-less villages and one third of this number viz 3 villages are included in the statement in the order of the population of school-age.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

for Director of Public Instruction

A.R. 10-1-25

Expansion of Elementary Education, Cuddapah District

Name of District	Name of Taluk Board	Name of village	Population	Population of school age	No. of inhabited centres	Distance from the nearest school aided or Board school of the important population centre.	Why a separate school is necessary to serve the village & whether such a school will serve all the population centres	Whether the village can provide a building rent free.	Whether a trained teacher will be available	Estimated cost Recurring	Estimated cost Non-Recurring
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
		School-less villages with a population of 1000 and above.									
Cuddapah	Cuddapah	1 Buggalapalle	1091	127	6	2 miles from a Board school	As there is a large school going population there is need for the School. It will serve all the centres	No	yes	300	100
		2 Ganganapalle	2193	358	12	1½ miles ..	" "	No	yes	300	100
		3 Kirlur	1385	519	7	2 miles from the nearest school	" "	No	yes	300	100
		4 Katlur	2408	399	14	1¼ miles	" "	yes	yes	252	100
										1152	400
	Jammalamadugu	Talamanchipatnam	1498	206	4	3 miles from one Mission School + 2 miles from one aided Andhra school.	Board School is quite necessary + the villagers wish to have a separate Board school. It will serve all centres.	yes	yes	252	100
	Prodattur										
	1	Chinnapasu	1477	431	5+3 cheries	There is no school in the village. The nearest village having a school in 2 miles.	There is great need for a Board School which will serve all the centres	No	yes	300	100
	2	Idamadaka	1509	207	3+3 cheries	No school in or near the locality	Board School is needed It will serve all centres	No	yes	300	100
										600	200
	Rajampet										
	1	Devasamudram	1047	190	3	¾ mile from an aided School	A separate board school is necessary. It will serve all the centres. Since there is a canal between Devasamudram & the aided School, A separate School is necessary.	No	yes	300	100

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Cuddapah (continued)	Badvel	1. Chennampalle	1568	317	7	2 miles ..	Board School is Quite necessary there is no school of any kind in the village. It will serve all centres.	No	yes	300	100	
		2. Mallapalle	1287	332	4	1½ miles ..	As there is no school of any kind in the village a Board School is quite necessary which will serve all centres.	No	yes	300	100	
		3 Rangasamudram	1126	297	6	2 miles ..	There is no school of any kind in the village will serve all the centres.	No	yes	300	100	
		4 Ramapuram	2253	490	10	2½ miles ..	There is need for a Board School which will serve all the centres.	No	yes	300	100	
		5 Chenduvay	1123	221	6	1½ miles	— Do — Do —	No	yes	300	100	

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
	6	Kakkodu	1047	117	3	2 miles	There is need for a Board School which will serve all the centres.	No	yes	300	100	
										1800	600	
		Villages with a population of 500 to 1000										
	Rayachoty	Narayanareddi-garripalle.	878	287	10	3½ miles	School is absolutely necessary and will serve all the centres	No	yes	300	100	
	2	Garugupalli	844	227	15	3 miles	Board School is necessary. There is no aided or Board school near the village.	No	yes	300	100	
	3	Tallapalli	768	115	6	3 miles	School is necessary and it will serve all the centres	No	yes	300	100	
										900	300	

Abd

for Director of Public Instruction.

AR 10/11/25

No.

Registered 191

Subject

Education Tax.

Spare copies

R. O. C. No. 436 Supr. of 1924.

Station Chandragiri, *Dated the* 29-10-1924. 191

From (Name) M.R.Ry. M. Ramakrishna Reddy Garu, B.A.(Nat.)

(Designation) President, Taluk Board, Chandragiri.

To the Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Local ~~and Municipal~~ Self Government Department.
Fort Saint George,
Madras.

Through The District Educational Officer
~~The President of the District Board,~~
Chittoor.

Sir,

With reference to the para 4 of the Government Memorandum No. 3424-A.1 dated 8-9-1924, I have the honour to inform you that the question of levying Education tax in the area of this Taluk Board was discussed very carefully at the last meeting of the Taluk Board held on the 15th instant when it decided that the Education tax need not at present be levied having regard to the fact that the major portions of the area under this Taluk Board is under the operation of the Estate's Act where the ryots have to pay zamindars enormously large sums

...greeing to meet from its resou...
15,000/- for maintenance of Elementary ...
though under the decisions arrived at by ...
ment Committee, the Taluk Board is oblig...
of Rs. 9000/- towards Elementary Educati... in lieu of its general funds
that this Taluk Board had spent last ye...
20,000/- on Educ tion at the cost of its roads ...
When the Government considers the distri...tion of any sub...s
I request that all points may be considered irrespective of the fact that any local Board has or has not assessed Educ tion tax. The mere reason that a Local Board has not assessed education tax should not be considered sufficient for depriving the local body from receiving subsidies for the opening of Elementary Schools.

I therefore request that Government may be moved to ~~exte~~ sanction a subsidy to this Taluk Board also for opening new Elementary Schools takin~~g into~~ into consideration all the -- circumstances referred to.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

S. Ramakrishna Reddy

President.

DESPATCHED
30 OCT. 1924

A.K.S. 29-10

Exd. by Cdt 29/X/24

~~Copy to the District Educational Officer, Chittoor for favour of information.~~

Extract from the Minutes of Proceedings of the Chandragiri Taluk Board passed at its meeting held in the Board Elementary Girls School at Ranipunta on Wednesday the 15th day of October 1924.

Read:- Government Memorandum No. 3424- A-1 dated 8-9-1924 requesting such of the Local Bodies as have not levied Education tax to examine the question of ~~levy~~ levy thereof so that they may place themselves in a position to open additional Elementary Schools in their areas at a reasonably early date and submit their resolutions to the Government.

Taluk Board Resolution No. 52 dated 15-10-1924.

The Board brings to the notice of the Government that it is spending from its general revenues over Rs. 15,070 towards Elementary Education Fund over at the cost of its roads and inspite of the fact that the Retrenchment Committee has fixed only Rs. 9000/- to be a fair reasonable allotment considering its general fund. The Government is therefore requested to sanction~~ing~~ the opening of the additional Elementary Schools in this Taluk Board also without considering its ineligibility for failure to assess Education tax.

/Sd/ M. Ramakrishna Reddy.

President.

(True Extract)

...C. No. 436 Supr. of 24

Taluk Board Office,
Chandragiri, Dated 29-10-24

...the President of the District ...
...referred ...

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER * CHITTOOR *
No. Cur 137
31 OCT. 1924

—18,658—3-01-23.

LAW (EDUCATION) **DEPARTMENT.**

SECRETARY TO GOVT.
2 NOV 1924
LAW DEPARTMENT

Received ..... 192 .. *Registered* ..... 192 .

Subject.

Application for subsidy - Opening of new Elementary Schools-
Taluk Board, Chandragiri.

Law Edn, Dept.

Ref Memo No 3336 A-2 dt 9-9-24 the DPI has been asked to submit proposals for the opening of new Schools during 1925-26 in batches as ~~soon as~~ particulars become available for each district. The Director's proposals for the opening of new Schools in the Chittoor District may be awaited. ~~The question of making provision in the budget for 1925-26 for the opening of new elementary~~ Schools is still under consideration in Finance.

22/4/25

R.C No. 2201-B/24.

24.11.24

Station Madras, *Dated the* 18th November, 1924.

ENCLOSURES.
No. ..... in original
.....
Spare copies.....

From (Name) R.LITTLEHAILES, Esq., M.A.,
(Designation) DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
LAW (EDUCATION) Department.

Sir,

Letter R.O.C.No.436.Sup/24 dated 29-10-24 from the President, Taluk Board, Chandragiri.

Submitted to Government.

2. According to the instructions contained in para 3 (ii) of Government Memo No.3336 A-2 dated 9-9-24, the District Educational Officer has been requested to include in the list of schools to be proposed to be opened next year, school-less villages with a population of 1000 and above in the jurisdiction of the Taluk Board.

(P.T.O)

42

3. Orders of Government fixing the Taluk Board's contribution in accordance with the recommendations of the District Committee have not been received in this office.

Still under correspondence in L.Dept.

for Director of Public Instruction.

M.R.P.19/11.

G.F. 30—41,000—8-9-24.

LAW (EDUCATION) **DEPARTMENT.**

43

Received ..... 192 . *Registered* ..... 192 .

UNDER SECRETARY TO GOVT. OF LAW DEPARTMENT 16. JAN. 1925

Subject.

Application for subsidy - opening of new elementary school- Taluk Board, Madura.

Law (Edn) Dept

May lie over pending receipt of the Director's proposals for the opening of new schools in the Madura district based on the particulars collected for the Intensive Survey (Proposals have so far been rec'd only for five districts)

AP 25/1/25 NG 21/1/25

ENCLOSURES.
No. .....
.....
Spare copies 1

R.C. No. 2504-B/24. 26/1/25

Station Madras , *Dated the* 19th January, 1925.

From (Name) R.LITTLEHAILES, Esq., M.A.,

(Designation) DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

LAW (EDUCATION) Department.

Sir,

Submitted.

2. In answer to the Circular sent out from my office in connection with the opening of new Elementary schools during the current year, the President, Taluk Board, Madura reported the names of 14 villages and stated that the cost of opening schools in these villages could be met from the Education Cess and the corresponding Government contribution. Hence no subsidy was recommended for payment to this Taluk Board.

3.

(P.T.O)

44

3. Of the 20 villages now reported, 6 are villages included in the fourteen mentioned above, and have a population of 1000 and above. Of the remaining 14 villages, 10 have a population of 500 to 1000 and 2 villages less than 500, the remaining two are only hamlets. The current year's proposals related to the opening of schools in school-less villages with a population of 1000 and above. Villages with a population of 500 to 1000 will be considered next year.

4. I may add in this connection that in the budget of the Elementary Education Fund, the Board showed a sum of Rs.14,850/- in the Revised Estimate for 1923-24 and Rs.14,500 in the Budget Estimate for 1924-25 as "appropriations from elementary education ordinary to capital". Government have in sub-para (iv) of their order No.1034 Law (Education) dated 23-6-24 deprecated the appropriation of these large amounts from ordinary receipts towards Capital expenditure. If the Board has carried out this suggestion, a considerable balance should be available with it for the expansion of elementary education.

for Director of Public Instruction.

M.R.P.14/1.

C.F 29—5,000—5-3-19.

Local Fund **DEPARTMENT**

44 45

Received ..... 19 . *Registered* ..... 19 .

Subject.

Allotment of funds for opening 20 new schools in Madura Taluk.

ENCLOSURES.
No. Cover
Spare copies

Rc No. 579E/24

Station Madura, *Dated the* 16th December '24. 19 .

From (Name) M.R.Ry. P. C. Muthu Chettiar Avergal M.L.

(Designation) President, Taluk Board, Madura.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law (Education) Department.
Fort Saint George
Madras.

Sir, Through The Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

Expansion of Elementary Education (Misc)

Sir,

With reference to Government Memorandum No.3424-A-1 dated the 8th September 1924, sanctioning in the current Financial year funds for opening 840 additional Schools, I have the honour to state that the Board has sanctioned the opening of 20 Schools as per the list given below and request that the Government may be pleased to allot the necessary grant for these schools to enable the opening of these schools as early as possible.

2.

46 2

2. Copy of Taluk Board Resolution No. 202 dated 29-11-'24 is enclosed.

1.	Anaiyur.	11.	Eliarpatti.
2.	Vilangudi.	12.	Melamathur.
3.	Mathur.	13.	Panayur.
4.	Kalikappan.	14.	Perungudi.
5.	Athalai.	15.	Velichinatham.
6.	Narasingam.	16.	Karuppayee Urani.
7.	Nallur.	17.	Melamadai.
8.	Kalimangalam.	18.	Panaikulam.
9.	Boothagudi.	19.	Nagamalai-Puducottai.
10.	Ariyur.	20.	Vedarpuliankulam.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servant,

17.12.24

For President.

45 48

47

PROCEEDINGS OF THE TALUK BOARD, MADURA.

மதுரை தாலுகா போர்டு தீர்மானங்கள்.

No. 202 dated 29----11----1924

Read:—வாசிக்கலானது Present.

M.R.Ry.	P. C. Muthu Chettiar Avergal M.L.C.	President.
"	V. Sundaram Ayyar Avergal	Member.
"	N.R.M. Muthusami Pillai Avergal	"
"	T.P. Velayutham Servaigar Avergal	"
"	M. R. Malayandi Ambalagar Avergal	"
"	N.V.C. Thangasami Chettiar Avergal	"
"	G. Meenakshisundaram Pillai Avergal	"
"	Rao Sahib K. V. Ramachariar Avergal B.A. M.L.C.	"
"	M. Abboy Naidugaru	"
"	V. R. Sivaramasubba Ayyar Avergal	"
"	P. M. Shanmugam Chettiar Avergal	"

Read:-- Memorandum No.3424-A-1 dated 8' September 1924 ordering that each village with a population of 500 inhabitants and over should have a school and the grant will be given for opening of 840 schools in the current year.

Resolution:-- Resolved to apply for grant for opening of the following twenty schools.

1.	Anaiyur.	11.	Eliarpatti. 524
2.	Vilangudi.	12.	Melamathur. 500
3.	Mathur.	13.	Panayur. 994
4.	Kalikappan.	14.	Perungudi.
5.	Athalai. 585	15.	Velichinatham.
6.	Narasingam. 677	16.	Karuppayee Urani.
7.	Nallur. 701	17.	Melamadai. 679
8.	Kalimangalam. 541	18.	NagamalaiPuducottai
9.	Boothagudi.	19.	Panikulam. 355
10.	Ariyur. 530	20.	Vedarpuliankulam. 457

Sd/. P. C. Muthu Chetty,
President.

/True Extract/.

6
11
3
20

Madura Taluk Board Office,
மதுரை தாலுகா போர்டு ஆபீஸ்.
Dated the
தேதி

17.12.24

For President.
பிரசிடெண்டுக்காக.

B.S

C 2500 8/24

Lr. Sdt/60 th
16/1/25

Lindstation Comm. No. 90 D.B. of 1925

Forwarded.

Millicaapproved
13.1.25.

North Arcot Dt Board's Office, Vellore 13-1-1925 } President.

DBR 10.1.25

DM 10.1.25

To. The Secretary to Government,
Law (Education) Department.
Madras.

UNDER SECRETARY TO GOVT.
16 JAN 1925
LAW DEPARTMENT

Opening additional

C.F. 30—5,000—25-3-24.

Chron: 90/1880/25

DEPARTMENT.

Received ..... 192 . *Registered* ..... 192 .

Law (Edn.) Dept.

Subject. The question of payment of subsidies for the opening of new Schools in the Polur taluk will be considered after the Leg Council vote for the provision for expansion of elementary education in 1925-26. This may lie over till 1.4.25

DISTRICT BOARD 8TH JAN. 1925 NORTH A...

25/4/25 ADD 26/1/25

Requesting for payment of subsidies for opening additional elementary Schools

ENCLOSURES.
No .....
.....
Spare copies.....

No. 1 of 25
T.B.

Station Polur. , *Dated the* 5th January 1925.

From (Name) M.R.Ry. M. Kuppusami Ayyar avl.
(Designation) President Taluq Board. Polur.
N.A.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Law (Education). Department.
Madras.

Sir, Through The President District Board
North Arcot
&
The Director of Public Instruction
Madras.

(1) With reference to Government memorandum No 3424 dated 8.9.24, I have the honor to state that the above Government order contemplates that such local Bodies as have levied Education-tax have prior claims for subsidies for opening additional elementary schools in their area.

In this Connection I have to bring to your
mid notice that this Board levied Education tax
n the year 1923 and approved in G.O No 1411 Law
Education) dated 14-10-'23 but that was not
ieu effect to on account of unfavourable season
of that year. This Board has again levied the
Education tax in its resolution No 13 dated 25-10-24
and approved by Government in G.O No 1765 dated
18-11-24 Law (Education) department.

I therefore request that early orders may be
passed for payment of subsidies.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

President

C.F. 30—18,658—3-10-23.

Law (Education) **DEPARTMENT.**

Received ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT 25 NOV 1924 LAW DEPARTMENT 192 ; *Registered* ..... 192 .

Subject.

Application for subsidies - opening of new Elementary schools

Taluk Board, Uppinangady.

Law Edn. Dept.

The file relating to the provision for 1925-26 for opening new Schools in villages with a population of 1000 & over is in circulation

~~This file may be sent with any budget for 1925-26 brought forward~~

1926 is needed

10/12/24

12/12

ENCLOSURES.

No. Two (2) and

Spare copies .....

R.O.C. No. 248 B/24

Station Madras , *Dated the* 21st November 24 192 .

From (Name) R. Littlehailes, Esq., M.A.,

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law (Education) Department.

Sir,

In submitting herewith letter Dis. No. 229/24 dated 3rd November 1924 from the President, District Educational Council, South Kanara, I have the honour to offer the following remarks on the several ~~dates~~ recommendations contained in the Council's resolution.

Clause (i) of the resolution.

No subsidy was recommended to be paid to the Uppinangadi Taluk Board since the President reported that schools were being opened every year with the proceeds of the Education cess and the Government contribution - Please see para 3 of my letter R.C.No. 248 B/24, dated 21st July

52 2

1924. , Subsequently when he found that the other local bodies were being given a subsidy, the President wrote to me asking for a subsidy for his board also. It was explained to him that subsidies ~~are being~~ given ~~to~~ only to those local bodies that had found themselves unable to open new schools even after the levy of the Education cess. In reply to this reference the President again reported that the information originally given by him was incorrect.

It is observed that there are 20 school-less villages with a population of 1000 and above in the area under the jurisdiction of this Taluk Board. Funds are not available in the current year to recommend the payment of a subsidy to the Board. The case will be included in the statement relating to the year 1925-26. if Government so desire

Clause II.

The present programme of subsidizing local bodies towards the cost of opening schools is concerned with providing schools in villages altogether unprovided with schools. I don't think that the suggestion made by the District Educational Council can be supported at present. Once aided schools are taken over by local bodies, the strong as well as weak schools would tend to pass under their management. Local influence with members of the Board would also come into play.

Clause III.

In regard to the cost of additional staff that may eventually be ~~regarded~~ required in the new schools, it does not appear that Government should undertake any responsibility in this direction.

In the case of new schools opened in previous years with the aid of Provincial funds, Government did not undertake to bear the cost.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

for Director of Public Instruction.

3.49 1284

O.F.- 31

53

DEPARTMENT.

Received ..... 191 . *Registered* ..... 191 .

Subject.

ENCLOSURES
No. .....
.....
Spare copies .....

Dis. No. 229 DC 4/24.

Station M a n g a l o r , e *Dated the* 3rd November 1924 ~~19xx~~ 191 .

From (*Name*) M.R.Ry. Rao Bahadur N.Subba Rao Avl.,

(*Designation*) President, District Educational Council, South Kanara.

To The Secretary to Government.

Through, The Director of Public Instruction, M a d r a s.

Sir,

(E)

With reference to G.O.No.1598 Law(Education) dated 16th October 1924, I have the honour to enclose herein a copy of the Council resolution No.41 dated 31st October 1924 for favour of neceasary orders.

I have the honour to be,

S i r ,

Your most obedient servant,

Vice President
District Educational Council

S.B.P.

Dis.No. 229 D.E.C.24.

Extract from the
Proceedings of the meeting of the South Kanara District Educational Council held on 31st October 1924.

P r e s e n t .

Ex-Officio members

M.R.Ry. Rao Bahadur N.Subba Rao Avl., P r e s i d e n t .
Do. K.Sankara Menon Avl.,B.A.(Cantab)District Educational officer,South Kanara,Mangalore.
DO. Ullatil Karunakara Menon AVL.ASSISTANT DIRECTOR OF FISHERIES (COAST)

Officio Members

Miss. M.Satyabhama Sub Assistant Inspectress of Girls schools Kanara Range,Mangalore.

Nominated non-official members.

Muhammad Puttur Saheb Bahadur
M.R.Ry. Kodialbail Angara Avl.
Shrimathi M.Laxmi Bai.

Elected non-official members.

M.R.Ry. Nellikai Venkat Rao Avargal (Vice President)
Do. Rao Bahadur S.Raghunathaiya Avl. B.A.B.L.,
Do. M.Ramakrishna Punja Avl.,
Khan Bahadur Haji Abdulla Haji Kassim Saheb Bahadur M.L.C.
M.R.Ry. A.Balakrishna Shetty Avargal
Do. Nidambur Subba Rao Avargal M.A.B.L.,
A.S.Karat Esquire B.A.L.T.,
M.R.Ry. Kundapur Narashimha Kamath Avl.,
Do. J.Naganna Hegde Avl.,
Do. Madthila Ramanna Gowda Avl.,

---oooOooo---

41. Read G.O.No.1598 Law(Education dated 16th October 1924 sanctioning the distribution of subsidies to the Taluk Boards for opening new --- elementary schools.

R e c o r d e d .

The Council strongly recommends (i) that the Government may be pleased to sanction some subsidy for the Uppinangady Taluk Board also for opening new schools in its area, (ii) That in opening new schools the Taluk Boards may, in consultation with the District Educational officer, consider the advisability of taking over the management of inefficiently and irregularly conducted aided schools, and (iii) that the Government may be pleased to also bear the cost of additional --- staff that may have to be entertained in most of these schools as the general financial condition of the Taluk Boards will not permit them to bear any additional burden.

x x x

True Extract.

Vice President,
District Educational Council.
South Kanara.

S.B.P.

DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION
Received
8 NOV 1924
MADRAS

C F. 30—41,000—8-9-24.

Law(Education) **DEPARTMENT.** 955

SECRETARY TO GOVT. OF MADRAS
Received 9 DEC 1924
LAW DEPARTMENT

Received 9 DEC 1924 192 . *Registered* 192 .

1-En 4606 ⅋
19/12/24

Subject.

Opening of new elementary schools- Taluk Board, Sattenapalli

Law (Edn.) Dept.
May lie over till the budget provision for the opening of new Schools in the next year is settled
22/12/24
NM
23/12

ENCLOSURES.
No. In original
Spare copies

R.C. No. 2429 B/24

Station Madras , *Dated the* 16-12-1924 192

From (Name) R.Littlehailes Esq., M.A.,
(Designation) Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Law(Education) Department.

Sir,

Resubmitted with reference to Government Endorsement No.4493 A-1 dated 12-12-24.

2. In connection with the proposal for the opening of new elementary schools in school-less villages with a population of 1000 and above, the President, Taluk Board Sattenapalli was requested to report the number of such villages in the Board's jurisdiction and since no reply was received in time, no proposals were submitted to Government for opening schools under this Taluk Board. Please see para 4 of my letter R.C.No.248 B/24 dated 21-7-24.

3. Of the eleven villages now suggested only 3 are

villages

villages with a population of 1000 and above, the others except Chityala and Kandulavaripalem have a population ranging from 500 to 1000. The population of Chityala is less than 500 while that of Kandulavaripalem is not known.

2. The question of opening schools in these villages, excepting Chityala, will be considered in connection with the proposals called for in Government Memo No.3336 A-2 dated 9-9-1924, if the District Educational Officer recommends.

for Director of Public Instruction.

A.R. 17-12-24

17/12

C. 29--5,000--18-6-23.

SECRETARIAT TO GOVT. OF MADRAS 9 DEC 1924 LAW DEPARTMENT

DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION Re 24298 13 DEC 1924 MADRAS

Received ..... 192 . *Registered* 192

L. Ed 44934
9/12/24

Subject.

Memorandum No.3424--A-1 dated 8th September 1924, --Expansion of Elementary Education.:-

Endt 4493 A-1 9 (12-12-24. Law (Edn) Dept
Referred to the Director of Public
Instruction for remarks (By order)

Ramanujachari
Assistant Secy to Govt

ENCLOSURES.
No.
Spare copies.

Re No. 388/E 9/12/24 17/12/24 17/12

Station Sattenapalli, *Dated the* 6th December 1924 192

From (Name) M.R.Ry P. Chinnapah Reddi Garu,

(Designation) Vice President, Taluk Board, Sattenapalli.

Under section 23(2) of the L.B.Act.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law (Education) Department.

Madras.

Sir,

Referring to Government memorandum No.3424 A-1 dated 8th September 1924, regarding expansion of Elementary Education, I have the honour to submit that the Board in their resolution No.239 dated 1-12-24, resolv to open 11 schools ~~xxxxx~~ (Copy enclosed for favour of perusal) and approach the Government for the allotment of grants and to request you willbbe so good as to obtain the sanction of Government in the matter.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

P. Chinnapah Reddi

Proceedings of the Sattenapalli Taluk Board passed at a meeting held at Sattenapalli on 1st, 2nd ~~and 3rd~~ December 1924 at 3 P.M. in the Taluk Board Office Building.:--

P r e s e n t :-

M.R.Ry P. Lakshmayya Garu,
President, Taluk Board, Sattenapalli.

Nominated members.:--

Munshi Muhammad Syed Rahamtullah Saheb Bahadur

M.R.Ry B. Kotanayakudu Garu.

Elected Members.:--

M.R.Ry V. Kotayya Garu.

" A. Seshireddi Garu,

" Ch. Pullareddi Garu.

" A. Sankaralarao Garu.

" Ch. Seetharamayya Garu.

" N. Venkatrayudu Garu.

" Bh. Venkatapareddi Garu.

" P. Pitchayya Garu.

539. Read Government memorandum No.3424 A-1 dated 8th - September 1924 regarding expansion of Elementary education--inviting attention of local authorities to the imporatnace of expanding elementary education into villages with a population of 500 inhabitants & over as per the resolution passed by the Elementary Education conference held at Ootacamund and informing that the Government have - decided to sanction in the current financial year to these bodies - the funds needed for opening additional schools in the current year, these subsidies being over and above the obligatory grant under section 37 of the Elementary Education Act.

Read also proposals of the Deputy Inspectors of schools Sattenapalli East and West Ranges recommending to open additional schools in the villages as noted in the office note of the President.

Res.:- The board resolves to open new schools in the following 11 villages and to approach the Government for the allotment -

of grants.

1. Kankanalapalli
2. Kolloor
3. Venkatayapalem
4. Bodanam
5. Machayapalem
6. Chityala.
7. Thallacheruvu.
8. Narukullapadu.
9. Dharanikota.
10. Repudi
11. Kandulavaripalem.

Sd/P. Lakshmayya,

P r e s i d e n t,
Taluk Board, Sattenapalli.

/True Extract/

Communicated to the Sectretary to Government, Local Self Government Department, Madras.

" to the President, District Educational Council, Guntur.

" to the District Educational Educational Officer, Guntur.

SATTENAPALLI TALUK BOARD OFFICE,
Dated 6th December 1924.

M.V/6-12-24.

M. Ry. F. No. 1.
1000

Local Fund Department.

UNDER SECRETARY TO GOVT. MADRAS LAW DEPARTMENT
12 DEC 1924

Received ____ 192 . Registered ____ 192 .

Subject. Ent 5407-1 11.12.24 Forwarded. The Secy to Govt, Local Self Govt Law Department. I would not disturb the 30 proposed for Tenkasi taluk. The two revenue taluks of which these are composed are somewhat backward. Cuddapah a single revenue taluk can well be content with 20 like 10 thereby saved being transferred to Tindivanam.

H Gopalaswami 11.12.24

Enclosures.
No. one

Spare copies

Cur. No. 2365 of 192 4
T. B.

Station Tindivanam, Dated the 8--12-- 1924.

[From Name] M.R Ry. A. V. Srinivasalu Reddi Garu.
PRESIDENT,
TALUK BOARD, TINDIVANAM.

To

The Inspector of
Local Boards and Municipal Councils,
Fort Saiint George, M a d r a s .

Sir,

In enclosing herein a copy of my communication to the Secretary to Government Law Education Department, Madras, No. 2365 Cur. T.B. of 1924 dated 2-12-1924 , I have the honour to request you to be so good as to kindly make your recommendations to Government, so as to enable this Taluk Board to expand the Elementary Education, under this Taluk Board area by the opening of 30 more elementary -- schools with the aid of Government subsidy.

I have thehonour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
A Srinivasalu
President.

M.S.

61

Law (Edn.) Dept.

(area under the jurisdiction of the)

In the Tindivanam Taluk Board there are
72 villages with a population of 1000 & over
unprovided with Recognized Schools. In G.O.
No. 1598 L.D. dt. 16.10.24 the Govt. have sanctioned
the opening of 30 Schools in the current year.
There is separately under consideration a
proposal to ~~open some more schools~~ (include) in the
next year's budget provision for the opening
of another instalment of Schools in the next year.
If the proposal is approved the Tindivanam T.B.
will be granted a Subsidy for the opening of
some more Schools in the next year. Subject this
may lie over till the (CBE) Budget for 1925-26 is
passed.

18.12.24

V.T.B. 19.12.24

62

C.F. 29—5,000—12-23.

Local Fund DEPARTMENT.

Received ..... 192 . Registered 5-DEC.1924 192

Subject.

Requesting to accord sanction for the opening of 30 more schools with the Government subsidy in this Taluk Board.

ENCLOSURES.
No. .....
.....
Spare copies .....

Cur. No. 2365 T.B. of 1924.

Station Tindivanam, Dated the 2 12 192 4.

From (Name) M.R.Ry. A.V.Srinivasalu Reddi Garu,

(Designation) President, Taluk Bd. Tindivanam

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law (Education) Department.

Madras.

Sir,

Through

1. The President, District Board, South Arcot,
2. The President, District Educational Council, South Arcot.

Sir,

With reference to G.O.No.1598 dated 16-10-1924, I have the honour to bring to your kind notice that in the apportionment of schools made to the several taluk boards of this District, this Taluk Board has been hit hard. Only 30 schools have been sanctioned for this Taluk Board which comprises of three taluks (Viz.) Tindivanam, Villu--puram, and Gingee), and four ranges of Deputy Inspectors

63

of schools (Viz., Tindivanam, Villupuram, Vanur and Gingee) while the same number of schools is found sanctioned to other taluk boards with a single taluk and less number of Deputy Inspectors. There is a deep discontent among the members of this Taluk Board and they are very eager that more schools should be opened this year itself.

2. In these circumstances, I request you to be so good as to sanction the opening of at least 30 more -- schools with the Government subsidy with effect from 1-1-1925.

I have the honour to be,-

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

President.

M.S.

CE. 30—41,000—8-9-24.

LAW (EDUCATION) **DEPARTMENT.** 64

Received ..... 192 . *Registered* ..... 192 .

SECRETARY TO GOVT. OF MADRAS 10 JAN 1925 LAW DEPARTMENT

Subject.

Opening of new elementary schools - 1925-26 - Vizagapatam District.

L. Ed 334A 26/1/25

ENCLOSURES.
No. Statement
Spare copies

R.O.C. No. 1682 B/24

Station Madras , *Dated the* 22nd January 1925 192 .

From (Name) R.Littlehailes Esq., M.A.,

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

LAW (EDUCATION) *Department.*

Sir,

In continuation of my letter R.C.No. 1682 B/24 dated 9th January 1925, I have the honour to submit here with a statement showing the schools proposed to be opened in the Vizagapatam District.

2. Taluk Board, Narasapatam. There are 30 school less Villages with a population of 500 to 1000 in the area under the jurisdiction of the Taluk Board/Narasapatam which are in need of schools. Under para 3(iii) of the Government Memo No. 3336 A-2, dated 9th September 1924, 10 of these -- villages are included in the statement in the order of the population of school age.

3. Under the Taluk Board, Vizagapatam the number of

65

school-less villages with a population of 500 to 1000 is sixty of which 24 villages do not require separate schools since there are schools in close proximity to them. -- Leaving these out of account 36 remain to be considered. One third of this number viz 12 villages are included in the statement in the order of the population of school age.

4. The Taluk Boards Parvatipur and Vizianagaram do not levy the education cess and in accordance with para 3(ii) of Government Memo 3336 A-2 dated 9th September 1924, villages with a population of 1000 and above in the area under the jurisdiction of the Taluk Boards which are in need of schools are included in the statement.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Director of Public Instruction

P.R.K. 23/1

Name of Dt.	Name of Taluk Board	Name of Village	Population	Population of School-age	No. of suitable Centres	Distance from nearest Sch. or Board's important p. Centre	...m the ...d aided ...hool of the ...ulation	"Why a Separate school is needed to serve the Village & whether such a school will serve all the population Centre.	"Whether Villagers Can provide a building rent free	"Whether a trained teacher will be available	Estimated Cost: Recurring	Estimated Cost: Non-recurring	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7		8	9	10	11	12	13
"Vizagapatam	Narasapatam				School less Villages with a population of 500 to 1000								
	1	Chimalapalli	785	256	2.	2 Miles		A big village - School is needed	Tahsildar has been addressed. Reply not received	Yes	300	100	
	2.	Devavaram	933	250	1	2½ Miles		No school in close proximity	"	Yes	300	100	
	3	Jantadi	958	199	5	4 Miles		" " "	"	Yes	300	100	
	4	Kondemporodi	567	196	3	1½ Miles.		Not served by Yellapalli aided school	No	Yes	300	100	
	5	Nunaparti	804	191	2	4 Miles.		No school in close proximity	Tahsildar has been addressed. Reply not received	Yes	300	100	
	6	Nagayyapeta	886	177	2	1 Mile		As the village is on the agency border there is need for a school.	Villagers can be induced to put up ~~sch~~ sheds for schools	Yes	252	100	
	7	Mulakalapalli	966	174	3	2. Miles.		Not served by Lokapeta aided school.	"	Yes	252	100	
	8.	Bhimavaram	538	170	1	2 "		No school in close proximity	Tahsildar has been addressed Reply not received	Yes	300	100	
	9.	~~Chita~~ Chintaluru	900	163	4	2 "		Not served by Veeranagaram Agraharam & So there is need for a school.	Villagers can be induced to put up shed	Yes	252	100	
	10	Kondepalli	910	161	4	1½ Miles		No school in close proximity	No	Yes	300	100	
											2856	1000	
Vizagapatam Taluq Board		Papayya Santapeta	844	281	2	1½ Mile		No school in close proximity. It serves all the Centres	No	Yes	300	100	
	2	Jedala paka Bonangi	985	264	5	2. "		" "	"	"	300	100	
	3	Tamarapalli	863	209	1	2 "		" "	"	"	300	100	
	4	Kammaru	854	194	4	1½ "		" "	"	"	300	100	
	5	Jegada	917	184	1	1½ "		" "	"	"	300	100	
	6	Mamidivalesa Ramabhadrapuram	761	183	2.	2½ "		No school in close proximity. A river on the way	No	Yes	300	100	
	7	Ramada	969	181	7	1½ "		The aided school is far away	"	"	300	100	
	8.	Sundarayyapeta	818	175	5	1½ "		No school within a Mile	"	"	300	100	

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Vizagapatam	Vizagapatam T.B.	9 Kottapalli	661	158	1	1½ Miles	Far off. It serves all the Centres.	No	Yes	300	100	
		10 Gulivendada	612	157	2	1½ "	Far off. There is also a river between	No	Yes	300	100	
		11 Thummikapalli	756	156	1	1½ "	Far off. —— do ——	No	Yes	300	100	
		12. Chittada	516	154	1	1½ "	Passage through Low fields	No	Yes	300	100	
										3600	1200	
							Schools with a population of 1000 and above.					
	Parvatipur	1 Arasabalaga	1359	351	2	2½ Mi	Considering the large population of the village a separate School is needed & it will serve all the population Centres.	No	Yes	300	100	
		2. Alagarunu	1311	160	18	5 Miles	It will serve all the population Centres.	No	Yes	300	100	
		3 Thurkuti	1476	315	19	"	—— do ——	—— do ——	do	300	100	
		4 Panukuvalasa	1061	113	5	6 Mil	—— do ——	—— do ——	do	300	100	
		5 Pedapadam	1391	229	7	3 "	—— do ——	—— do ——	do	300	100	
		6 Maripalli	1307	281	11	2 "	—— do ——	—— do ——	do	300	100	
										1800	600	
	Vizianagaram	1 Vandava	1095	229	3	2 Mile	There is no school within a radius of one mile	No	Yes	300	100	
		2 Gambhiram	1754	322	6	3 "	Distance will serve all the population Centre.	No	Yes	300	100	
		3 Mukkam	1050	209	1	2 "	do —— do ——	No	Yes	300	100	
										900	300	

For Director of Public Instruction

23/1/25

G.F. 30—11,000—8-9-24.

Law(Education) DEPARTMENT.

59 68

Received ..... 192 . *Registered* ..... 192 .

Subject.

h-Ed 365 H
28/1/25

Opening of new Elementary Schools in 1925-26

RECEIVED UNDER SECRETARY TO GOVT. OF MADRAS
28 JAN 1925
EDUCATIONAL LAW DEPARTMENT

ENCLOSURES.
No. One statement
Spare copies .....

R.C. No. 1682 B/24

Station Madras , *Dated the* 27-1-1925 192 .

From (Name) R.Littlehailes Esq., M.A.,

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction

Madras.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law(Education) Department.

Sir.

I have the honour to submit herewith a statement showing the number of school-less villages with (a) a population of 1000 and above and (b) of 500 to 1000, situated in the jurisdiction of taluk boards which levy the Education cess, in the Districts noted in the margin. Particulars in regard to the Taluk

1. Chittoor
2. Ganjam
3. Ramnad
4. Madura
5. Kistna.

boards of Madura and Melur in Madura District and of Tanuku in Kistna District have not been received and they will be reported to Government as soon

soon as received in this office.

2. The detailed particulars required in para 4 of Government Memo No.3336 A-2 dated 9-9-1924 have not yet been received from the District Educational Officers concerned, in the case of these taluk boards.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

for Director of Public Instruction

A.R. 27-1-25

OPENING OF NEW SCHOOLS in 1925-26.

No.	Name of Taluk Boards.	No. of school-less villages with a popula-tion of 1000 & above.	No. of school-less villages with a popula-tion of 500 to 1000.	One-third of the No. shown in Column 4.
1.	CHITTOOR DISTRICT.			
	1. Chittoor ..	10	43	14
	2. Madanapalle ..	37	54	18
2.	GANJAM DISTRICT.			
	3. Berhampore ..	4	31	10
	4. Chicacole ..	2	76	25
	5. Chatrapur ..	6	43	14
	6. Ghumsur ..	-	10	3
3.	RAMNAD DISTRICT.			
	7. Ramnad ..	-	88	29
	8. Sivaganga ..	20	55	18
4.	MADURA DISTRICT.			
	9. Usilampatti ..	2	31	10
	10. Dindigul ..	24	30	10
5.	KISTNA DISTRICT.			
	11. Bandar ..	6	16	5
	12. Gudivada ..	6	6	2
	13. Narasapur ..			

for Director of Public Instruction.

C F. 30—41,000—8-9-24.

LAW (EDUCATION) **DEPARTMENT.**

61

Educn 422A

Received 1 FEB 1925 192 . *Registered* 2.2 1925.

UNDER SECRETARY TO GOVT. EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT LAW DEPARTMENT

Subject.

71

Opening of new Elementary Schools - 1925-26.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies

R.C. No. 1682-B/24.

Station Madras , *Dated the* 30th January, 1925.

From (Name) R.LITTLEHAILES, Esq., M.A.,

(Designation) DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

LAW (EDUCATION) *Department.*

Sir.

In continuation of my letter R.C.No.1682-B/24 dated 27-1-25, I have the honour to submit herewith a statement showing the schools proposed to be opened in South Kanara District.

2. TALUK BOARD, MANGALORE:- According to the present population as reported by the village officers there are two school-less villages with a population of 1000and above in the area under the jurisdiction of this Taluk Board. In the case of one such village, the distance from the nearest school is less than a mile, but it is separated by a

(P.T.O)

by a hill from that school. Both the two villages are accordingly included in the statement.

There are 10 villages with a population of 500 to 1000 having no recognised school whatever. In the case of one of these, there is a school within a mile without any physical barrier between. Leaving this village out, the remaining nine are taken into account and one-third of this number is included in the statement in the order of the population of school-age.

3. TALUK BOARD, KARKAL:- There are 3 school-less villages with a population of 1000 and above and these are included in the annexed statement. The number of school-less villages with a population of 500 to 1000 is reported to be six and two villages out of the six are included in the order of the population of school-age.

4. TALUK BOARD, UDIPI:- The number of school-less villages with a population of 1000 and above is 16. Of these, three villages do not require separate schools, since there are schools within a mile. Further, the un-aided schools existing in the villages have been recommended for recognition. Excluding the three villages, the remaining 13 are proposed to be provided with schools.

The number of school-less villages with a population of 500 to 1000 is 12. Of these, three villages do not require separate schools, since there are schools within a mile of the villages, and two of the un-aided schools existing in them have been recommended for recognition. Excluding the three villages, the remaining nine have been taken into account, and 3 of them are included in the statement in the order of the population of school-age.

5. TALUK BOARD, COONDAPUR:- The number of school-less villages with a population of 1000 and above is reported to be 12. In the case of five of these villages, the distance from the nearest school is only a mile or less, without any physical barrier between. Excluding these five, the reamining seven are included in the statement.

There are 27 school-less villages with a population of 500 to 1000 and one-third of this number viz., 9 villages are included in the

in the statement in the order of the population of school-age.

6. The District Educational Officer has not submitted detailed particulars in regard to Kasargode and Uppinangady Taluk Boards; but the number of school-less villages in the jurisdiction of these taluk boards are shown separately in another statement II. In regard to the Taluk Board, Uppinangady, attention is also invited to my letter R.C.No.248-B/24 dated 21-11-24.

7. The statement II includes the number of school-less villages with a population of 1000 and above and of 500 to 1000 in the jurisdiction of Taluk Boards which levy the Education cess in the Districts named in the margin.

1. South Kanara.
2. North Arcot.
3. Coimbatore
4. The Nilgiris Dist.Board.
5. Malabar
6. Godavari
7. Madura.
8. Guntur.

In the case of the Taluk Boards of Usilampatti and Dindigul, the figure now reported may be substituted for that reported in my letter R.C.No.1682-B/24 dated 27-1-25. The detailed particulars required in para 4 of Government Memo No.3336 A-2 dated 9-9-24 have not been received from the District Educational Officers, in the case of these Taluk Boards.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Director of Public Instruction.

M.R.P.30/1.

S T A T E M E N T. II.

Name of Taluk Board.	No. of schoolless villages with a population of 1000 & above.	No. of schoolless villages with a population of 500 to 1000.	One-third of the number shown in Column 4.
1	2	3	4	5	6
1. SOUTH KANARA DISTRICT.				
1. Kasaragode ..	5	8	3
2. Uppinangady ..	20	43	14
2. NORTH ARCOT DISTRICT.			
3. P o l u r ..	14	35	12
3. COIMBATORE DISTRICT.			
4. Coimbatore ..	10	16	5
5. Palladam ..	7	1	1
6. Pollachi ..	27	26	9
4. 7. THE NILGIRIS DISTRICT BOARD.	-	16	5
5. MALABAR DISTRICT.			
8. Chirakkal ..	11	38	13
9. Kottayam ..	2	16	5
10. Kurumbranad ..	10	19	6
11. Wynad (Malabar Dist. Board).	9	11	4
12. E r n a d ..	17	48	16
13. Ponnani ..	15	30	10
14. Walluvanad ..	22	89	29
15. Palghat. ..	9	29	10
6. GODAVARI DISTRICT.			
16. Rajahmundry ..	9	15	5
17. Cocanada ..	8	-	-
18. Ramachandrapur ..	-	5	2
19. Peddapur ..	-	32	11
20. Pithapuram ..	-	7	2
7. MADURA DISTRICT.			
21. Usilampatti ..	6	47	16
22. Dindigul ..	40	50	17
8. GUNTUR DISTRICT.			
23. Narasaraopet ..	-	2	1
24. Sattenapalli ..	2	12	4
25. G u n t u r ..	1	1	1
26. Tenali ..	-	2	1
27. Bapatla ..	2	4	1
28. Ongole ..	1	10	3
	247	602	
	-10		
	237		

MRP.30/1.

for Director of Public Instruction.

Statement II Statn

Name of the District	Name of Taluk Board	Name of village	Population as per village officers	Population of school going age as per village officers	No. of inhabited centres	Distance from the nearest school aided or managed by Public from Centre	Why a separate school is necessary to serve the village & whether such a school would serve all the population in Centre	Whether the village can provide a building or not if free	Whether a trained teacher will be available	Estimates		Remarks
										Receipts	Recurring	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
South Kanara.	Municipal Taluk Board	1. Mogar	1034	202	3	6 Furlongs	Has hills on sides & in the middle of the village there is a river which is reported to be impassable from June to September	The District Educational officer has not given definite information on this matter	Yes.	300	100	
		2 Madeegodu	1023	186	1	10 Furlongs	Between the nearest school which is 10 furlongs away & the centre of the village there is a forest. The proposed school will also serve the needs of the neighbouring village.		Yes	300	100	
		3. Simanturu	922	180	1	10 Furlongs	A School in the centre of the village is quite necessary as 10 Furlongs is the distance only from the centre of the village. The Distance from the forest ends of the village to the nearest school being 16 Furlongs the school will serve the needs of the adjoining villages		Yes	300	100	
		4. Baraya.	938	175	1	3 miles.	A School is quite essential as the distance from the nearest school is 3 miles.		Yes	300	100.	
		5. Tenta Oddiyadi	967	169.	3.	1 mile	One mile is the distance only from the centre of the village but it is more than 2 miles from the farthest ends of the village which is bounded by mountains. Hence a school at the foot		Yes	300	100	

Cont 64 75

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
							If the hills appear the necessary.			1500	~~1500~~ 500	
	Kothad	1. Soden	1064	185	2.	The District Edc. officer reports that the approximate distance between 2 & 3 miles	The villages are scattered. The schools where opened will serve all the population centres	No	The District Edc officer reports that Chisoathi difficult to secure Brand teachers in this district Taluk	252	100	
		2. Mudumandi	1012	120	2.					252	100	
		3. Arambodi	1007	147	1					252	100	
		4. Mudukhosaji	912	290	2.					252	100	
		5. Kerenmudi	821	178	1.					252	100	
										1260	500	
	Thorike	1. Mudahadu	1020	167	3	1 mile	There is a river between this village and the next school is Hosala village	No	Yes	300	100	
		2. Kavadi	1333	168	3	3 miles	Educational needs require a special school. The school will be located with central place	No	Yes	300	100	
		3. Heggaye	1448	255	12	3½ miles	——do——	Yes	Yes	252	100	
		4. Kudi	1925	286	3	2 miles	do.	No	No	252	100	
		5. Bacirampalli	1380	203	5	3 miles	do.	No	No	252	100	
		6. Seva (Survey no. 33)	1326	158	3	5 miles	do.	No.	No.	252	100	
		7. Kukkehalli	1653	~~323~~ 323	8	4 miles	do	Yes.	No	~~204~~ 204	100	
		8. Haluballi	1130	187	12	3 miles	do.	No.	No	252	100	
		9. Bellampalli	1138	216	5	4 miles	——do.	No	No.	252	100	

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
		10 Badanidiyur	1369.	235	7	1 mile	There is a big stream between this village and the nearest school which is impassable in the raining season.	No	No	252	100	
		11 Perthur	1869.	667	6	1 mile	Neither school is sufficient to accommodate the needs of 667 children of school age in Perthur Centre be satisfied by the school in the adjoining village	No.	No	252	100	
		12. Kattpadi	1062.	335	2	2 miles	Educational needs require a school.	No	No	252	100	
		13. Kontyaru	2029.	361.	6	1 mile	There is a big stream between this village and the nearest school.	No	No	252.	100	
		K. Vanadora	899	142.	3.	2 miles	Educational needs requires a school The school will be located in a central place.	yes.	No	200.	100	
		15. Nanchara	951	136.	3	4 miles		No	No	252.	100	
		16. Nadumur	917.	132.	5	2 miles		yes	No	200	100	
										3982.	1600	
Coondapur		1. Sidurkanjadi	1108	132	3	2 miles.	Educational needs require a school. The school will be located in the centre of the village.	No	yes	300	100	
		2. Nayadi	1062	157	3	4 miles.		yes.	yes.	252.	100	
		3. Ampar	1890	327	2	5 miles.		No.	yes	300.	100	
		4. Ajri	1340	131	3	5 miles.		No.	No.	252.	100	
		5. Allur (Survey No 74)	1235	138	4	3 miles.		yes.	No.	200.	100	
		6. Kanyavalli	1327	162	3	5 miles.		yes.	No.	200.	100	
		7. Borgi	1109	150.	3	4 miles		No.	No	252	100	

1	2.	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
South Kanara	Coondapur Taluk	8. Marakathi	742	175	3	7 miles	Educational	yes	no	200	100	
		9. Heller (Benjawalli)	931	168	3	2 miles	Reads require	no	no	252	100	
		10. Badakere	771	162	3	2½ miles	a school. Theschild	no	no	252	100	
		11. Kampana	805	159	3	2 miles	will be located	no	no	252	100	
		12. Kundabarana Kadi	894	156	3	½ miles	in the Centre of the village	no	no	252	100	
		13. Heradi	926	155	3	4 miles		no	no	252	100	
		14. Amasebail	874	154	1	7 miles		yes	no	200	100	
		15. Hodeur	882	152	2	4 miles	—— do ——	no	no	252	100	
		16. Kenchanur	649	137	6	2 miles		no	no	252	100	
										3936	1600	

Q/2871

Asstt
For Director of Public Instruction

C F. 30—41,000—8-9-24.

Law (Education) **DEPARTMENT.**

68 79

G. 84 447A

Received ..... 192 . *Registered* 192 4/2/25

4- FEB. 1925
GOVT. OF MADRAS LAW DEPARTMENT

Subject.

Opening of new Elementary schools 1925-26.

ENCLOSURES.
No. .....
.....
Spare copies .....

Ref. on Cur. No. 1682 B/24

Station Madras , *Dated the* 2nd February 1925 192

From (Name) R. Littlehailes, Esq., M.A.,

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law (Education) Department.

Sir,

With reference to para 1 of my letter R.C.No. 1682 B/24, dated 27th January 1925, I have the honour to furnish below information regarding the number of school less villages with the population of 1000 and above and of 500 to 1000 situated in the jurisdiction of the taluk boards of Madura and Melur in Madura District and of Tanuku in Kistna District.

2. In this connection I may invite your attention to my letter R.C.No. 2504 B/24, dated 10th January 1925 submitting the application of the President, Taluk Board, Madura for an

80

additional subsidy to open new schools.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

for Director of Public Instruction.

P.V.S. 2/2.

Name of Taluk Boards.	No. of school less villages with a population of 1000 and above.	No. of school less villages with a population of 500 to 1000	ONE third of the number shown in Col.3
1.	2	3	4
1. Madura	2	19	6
2. Melur	Nil	8	3
3. Tanuku	4	22	7

for Director of Public Instruction.

C F. 30—41,000—8-9-24.

L a w (Education) **DEPARTMENT.**

69
L-5 #7/A
5/2/25

Received ..... 192 . *Registered* ..... 192 .

81

Subject.

School less villages - Salem Taluk Board.

MINUTER SECRETARY TO GOVT. OF M
5 FEB 1925
LAW DEPARTMENT

ENCLOSURES.
No. N i l
Spare copies .....

R.O.C. No. 1682 B/24

Station M a d r a s , *Dated the* 4th February 192 5.

From (Name) R.Littlehailes Esq., M.A.,

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

L a w (Education) Department.

crn 447 #
3.2

Sir,

In continuation of my letter R.C.No.1682 B/24 dated 2-2-25, I have the honour to report that the number of school-less villages with a population of 1000 and above in the area under the jurisdiction of the Taluk Board, Salem, is 10, and that of villages having a populatio of 500 to 1000, is 22.

I have the honour to be, sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Director of Public Instruction

C F. 58—41,000—8-9-24.

LAW (EDUCATION) **DEPARTMENT.**

L. 9513 A 10
7/2/25

Received ..... 192 . *Registered* ..... 192 .

82

Subject.

School-less villages- Trichinopoly District.



ENCLOSURES.
No. .....
.....
Spare copies .....

R.O.C. No. 1682 B/24

Station Madras , *Dated the* 6th February 1925 192 .

From (Name) R.Littlehailes Esq., M.A.,

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

LAW (EDUCATION) Department.

Sir.

In continuation of my letter R.C.No. 1682 B/24 dated 4th February 1925, I have the honour to report that the number of school-less villages with a population of 1000 and above and of 500 to 1000 in the area under the jurisdiction of taluk boards of Lalgudi and Trichinopoly is as shown below:-

Taluk Board.	No. of School-less Villages with a population of 1000 and above	School less villages with a population of 500 to 1000
1.Lalgudi	4	8
2.Trichinopoly	14	16.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for Director of Public Instruction.

P.R.K. 6/2

C F. 30—41,000—8-9-24.

LAW (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT. L. Sdc 547A 9/2/25

UNDER SECRETARY TO GOVT. 9- FEB. 1925 LAW DEPARTMENT

Received ..... 192 . *Registered* ..... 192 .

83

Subject.

School less villages - South Arcot District.

ENCLOSURES.
No. .....
.....
Spare copies .....

R.O.C. No. 1682 B of 24

Station Madras, *Dated the* 6th February 25 192

From (Name) R.G. Grieve Esq. M.A.,

(Designation) Ag. Director of Public Instruction

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law (Education) Department.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter R.C.No. 1682 B of 24 dated 4th February 1925, I have the honour to furnish below the No. of school-less villages with a population of 1000 and above and of 500 to 1000 in the area under the jurisdiction of Taluk Boards in South Arcot District:-

Taluk Boards	No. of school less villages with a population of 1000 and above.	No. of school-les villages with a population of 500 to 1000
1. Chidambaram	..	41
2. Cuddalore	3	21
3. Tindivanam	18	88
4. Triikoilur	24	78
5. Vridhachalam	..	19

I have the honour to be, Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

for Director of Public Instruction

C.F. 20—41,000—8-9-24.

L.Ed 749A
25/2/25

LAW (EDUCATION) **DEPARTMENT.**

72
84

Received ..... 192 . *Registered* ..... 192 .



Subject.

~~opening~~ of new schools - South Kanara District.

ENCLOSURES.
No. 8
Spare copies

R.O.C. No. 1682 B/24

Station Madras , *Dated the* 20-2-1925 192 .

From (Name) R.G. Grieve Esq. M.A.,
(Designation) Ag.Director of Public Instruction

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
LAW (EDUCATION) Department.

Sir,

With reference to para 6 of my letter R.C.No. 1682-B/24 dated 30th January 1925, I have the honour to submit herewith a statement showing detailed particulars in regard to the new schools to be opened in the Uppinangudi and Kasargode taluk Board areas.

2. It is observed that the No. of villages now reported by the District Educational Officer differs slightly from the No. furnished in the statement submitted with my letter quoted above. This is due to the fact that the figure then reported was based on the information collected in 1923, as complete statistics collected for the Educational Survey of these taluks ~~were~~ then not available.

85

3. TALUK BOARD, UPPINANGUDI:- There are 20 school-less villages with a population of 1000 and above and these have been included in the statement. The No. of school less villages with a population of 500 to 1000 is 41 and 14 villages being one third of the total number are included in the statement in the order of the population of school age.

4. TALUK BOARD, KASARGODE:- The No. of school less villages with a population of 1000 and above is only 2. Of these 2 villages, one has an unrecognised school which has applied for recognition, so that the other village alone is included in the enclosed statement. The No. of school-less villages with a population of 500 to 1000 is 10. Three villages, being one-third the total number are included in the statement in the order of the population of school age.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant.

FOR DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

G.M.23.2.25

S.I.C.

Govt. of Madras

Law (Education) Department.

G.O. No 877, 23rd May 1925

Secretary to the Govt.

Encl to W. Ref No 10759 E6/63-7 Edn
Bds & Public Health Dept.
Madras.
dated 17-5-63

Government of Madras

LAW (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT

74
Bds 27/5/63

G.O. No. 877, 23rd May 1925

Subsidy—Opening of new elementary schools—1925-26—Sanctioned.

READ—the following paper :—

From the Director of Public Instruction, dated 29th April 1925, R.O.C. No. 1682-B/24.

Order—No. 877, Law (Education), dated 23rd May 1925.

The Government sanction the distribution of subsidies to the taluk boards mentioned in the annexure to these proceedings to enable them to open new elementary schools for boys in villages with a population of 500 and over now unprovided with schools. The total number of schools to be opened is 1,017 (558 schools in villages with a population of 1,000 and over and 459 schools in villages with a population of 500 to 1,000). The annual cost of their maintenance is Rs. 2,92,380. A sum of Rs. 100 will also be provided for the equipment of each school.

2. The presidents of the taluk boards are requested to take steps for the opening of the schools on the 1st July 1925. The location of the schools should be settled in consultation with the District Educational Officer and the President of the District Educational Council concerned.

3. The presidents of the taluk boards and the departmental inspecting officers should endeavour to obtain, if possible, from the villagers houses free of rent for the location of the schools. Charges on the equipment of schools should be kept as low as possible.

4. Sanction is accorded to the payment to the taluk boards in the current official year of the sum specified against each in column (10) of the annexure to these proceedings. The grant is subject to the condition that the full number of schools specified in column (4) of the annexure are opened. The Accountant-General is requested to arrange for the payment of the amounts. The presidents of the taluk boards should present the necessary bills at the treasury for obtaining payment or adjustment of the amounts sanctioned. The amounts are contributions to the elementary education funds and should be credited thereto.

5. The total expenditure of Rs. 2,96,620 will be met from the following sources :—

(i) Rs. 1,94,920 from the provision of Rs. 14,41,100 in the current year's budget estimate under " 31. C. (*e*) Education—Primary—Grants to local bodies for primary education—Voted—Grants-in-aid—Recurring—Teaching ; " and

(ii) Rs. 1,01,700 from the provision of Rs. 1,01,700 in the current year's budget estimate under " 31. C. (*e*) Education—Primary—Grants to local bodies for primary education—voted—Grants-in-aid—non-recurring—Equipment. "

(By order of the Government, Ministry of Education)

V. T. KRISHNAMA ACHARIYAR,
Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.
" Presidents of Taluk Boards concerned.
" Presidents of District Boards concerned.
" Presidents of District Educational Councils concerned.
" Examiner of Local Fund Accounts.
" Accountant-General (through Finance Department).
" Finance Department.

[Annexure.

ANNEXURE

Name of taluk board.	Number of centres schools started in which will serve groups of centres with a population of		Number of schools proposed to be opened.	Annual cost.			Cost of maintenance in the current year, i.e., from 1st July 1926.	Cost of equipment at Rs. 100 per school.	Total amount to be paid during the current year, i.e., columns (8) and (9).
	1,000 and above.	500 to 1,000.		Pay of teachers at Rs 19 per mensem for 75 per cent of teachers who will be trained and at Rs. 15 per mensem for the rest who will be untrained.	Rent at Rs. 4 per mensem and contingencies at Rs. 2 per mensem per school.	Total annual cost, i.e., columns (5) and (6).			
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
				Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Anantapur district—									
Dharmavaram	1	15	8	1,728	576	2,304	1,536	800	2,336
Penukonda	11	18	20	4,320	1,440	5,760	3,840	2,000	5,840
Gooty	3	27	17	3,636	1,224	4,860	3,240	1,700	4,940
Anantapur	1	23	12	2,592	864	3,456	2,304	1,200	3,504
Arcot, South district—									
Cuddalore	12	18	21	4,500	1,512	6,012	4,008	2,100	6,108
Vriddhachalam ..	7	21	17	3,636	1,224	4,860	3,240	1,700	4,940
Chidambaram	..	30	15	3,276	1,080	4,356	2,904	1,500	4,404
Tindivanam	27	3	28	6,048	2,016	8,064	5,376	2,800	8,176
Tirukoilur	24	6	27	5,820	1,944	7,764	5,176	2,700	7,876
Bellary district—									
Adoni	4	10	9	1,956	648	2,604	1,736	900	2,636
Chingleput district—									
Saidapet	14	4	16	3,456	1,152	4,608	3,072	1,600	4,672
Chingleput	23	5	25	5,364	1,800	7,164	4,776	2,500	7,276
Tiruvallur	13	17	22	4,728	1,584	6,312	4,208	2,200	6,408
Chittoor district—									
Chittoor	7	19	17	3,636	1,224	4,860	3,240	1,700	4,940
Madanapalle	3	9	7	1,500	504	2,004	1,336	700	2,036
Coimbatore district—									
Coimbatore	16	12	22	4,728	1,584	6,312	4,208	2,200	6,408
Pollachi	19	11	24	5,184	1,728	6,912	4,608	2,400	7,008
Palladam	7	1	8	1,728	576	2,304	1,536	800	2,336
Cuddapah district—									
Royachoti	1	18	10	2,136	720	2,856	1,904	1,000	2,904
Ganjam district—									
Chatrapur	6	24	18	3,864	1,296	5,160	3,440	1,800	5,240
Berhampur	4	26	17	3,636	1,224	4,860	3,240	1,700	4,940
Chicacole	2	28	16	3,456	1,152	4,608	3,072	1,600	4,672
Ghumsur	..	10	5	1,044	360	1,404	936	500	1,436
Godavari, East district—									
Cocanada	9	3	10	2,136	720	2,856	1,904	1,000	2,904
Peddapur	..	30	15	3,276	1,080	4,356	2,904	1,500	4,404
Pittapur	6	11	12	2,592	864	3,456	2,304	1,200	3,504
Rajahmundry	10	20	20	4,320	1,440	5,760	3,840	2,000	5,840
Ramachandrapur ..	..	7	4	864	288	1,152	768	400	1,168
Godavari, West district—									
Narasapur	Nil.								
Tanuku	Do.								
Guntur district—									
Ongole	3	9	7	1,500	504	2,004	1,336	700	2,036
Bapatla	2	21	13	2,772	936	3,708	2,472	1,300	3,772
Tenali	1	3	3	636	216	852	568	300	868
Narasaraopet	3	14	10	2,136	720	2,856	1,904	1,000	2,904
Sattenapalle	4	26	17	3,636	1,224	4,860	3,240	1,700	4,940
Guntur	4	11	9	1,956	648	2,604	1,736	900	2,636
Kanara, South district—									
Karkal	3	6	6	1,272	432	1,704	1,136	600	1,736
Udipi	11	4	13	2,772	936	3,708	2,472	1,300	3,772
Coondapur	13	13	20	4,320	1,440	5,760	3,840	2,000	5,840
Kasaragod	2	10	7	1,500	504	2,004	1,336	700	2,036
Uppinangadi	24	6	27	5,820	1,944	7,764	5,176	2,700	7,876
Mangalore	3	9	8	1,728	576	2,304	1,536	800	2,336
Kistna district—									
Bandar	6	16	14	3,048	1,008	4,056	2,704	1,400	4,104
Gudivada	6	6	9	1,956	648	2,604	1,736	900	2,636
Kurnool district—									
Kurnool	2	2	3	636	216	852	568	300	868
Dhone	2	18	11	2,364	792	3,156	2,104	1,100	3,204
Nandyal	..	4	2	408	144	552	368	200	568
Koilkuntla	..	3	2	408	144	552	368	200	568
Markapur	..	10	5	1,044	360	1,404	936	500	1,436

Name of taluk board.	Number of centres schools started in which will serve groups of centres with a population of		Number of schools proposed to be opened.	Annual cost.			Cost of maintenance in the current year, i.e., from 1st July 1925.	Cost of equipment at Rs. 100 for school.	Total amount to be paid during the current year, i.e., columns (8) and (9).
	1,000 and above.	500 to 1,000.		Pay of teachers at Rs. 19 per mensem for 75 per cent of teachers who will be trained and at Rs. 15 per mensem for the rest who will be untrained.	Rent at Rs. 4 per mensem and contingencies at Rs. 2 per mensem for school.	Total annual cost (i.e., columns (5) and (6).			
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
				Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Madura district—									
Usilampatti									
Melur	4	21	15	3,276	1,080	4,356	2,904	1,500	4,404
Madura	..	2	1	180	72	252	168	100	268
Dindigul	..	3	2	408	144	552	368	200	568
Malabar district—	9	5	12	2,592	864	3,456	2,304	1,200	3,504
Kottayam (to be amalgamated with Chirakkal).	9	..	9	1,956	648	2,604	1,736	900	2,636
Walluvanad									
Kurumbranad (to be amalgamated with Calicut).	13	2	14	3,048	1,008	4,056	2,704	1,400	4,104
Chirakkal	5	9	9	1,956	648	2,604	1,736	900	2,636
Wynaad (District Board, Malabar).	14	11	20	4,320	1,440	5,760	3,840	2,000	5,840
Ernad	10	9	15	3,276	1,080	4,356	2,904	1,500	4,404
Palghat	18	12	24	5,184	1,728	6,912	4,608	2,400	7,008
North Arcot district—	3	26	16	3,456	1,152	4,608	3,072	1,600	4,672
Polur									
The Nilgiris district—	14	16	22	4,728	1,584	6,312	4,208	2,200	6,408
District Board									
Ramnad district—	1	7	4	864	288	1,152	768	400	1,168
Ramnad									
Sivaganga	15	15	23	5,004	1,656	6,660	4,440	2,300	6,740
Salem district—	19	11	24	5,184	1,728	6,912	4,608	2,400	7,008
Salem									
Trichinopoly district—	16	10	21	4,500	1,512	6,012	4,008	2,100	6,108
Perambalur									
Udayarpalayam ..	4	19	14	3,048	1,008	4,056	2,704	1,400	4,104
Trichinopoly	11	19	21	4,500	1,512	6,012	4,608	2,100	6,108
Lalgudi	6	17	15	3,276	1,080	4,356	2,904	1,500	4,404
Karur	6	11	11	2,364	792	3,156	2,104	1,100	3,204
Tanjore district—	15	10	20	4,320	1,440	5,760	3,840	2,000	5,840
Tanjore									
Pattukottai	5	11	10	2,136	720	2,856	1,904	1,000	2,904
Vizagapatam district—	12	18	21	4,500	1,512	6,012	4,008	2,100	6,108
Vizagapatam									
Narasapatam	7	23	19	4,140	1,368	5,508	3,672	1,900	5,572
Total ..	23	7	27	5,868	1,944	7,812	5,208	2,700	7,908
	558	911	1,017	2,19,156	73,224	2,92,380	1,94,920	1,01,700	2,96,620

Name of District.	Name of Taluk Board.	Name of village.	Population	Population of School age.	No. of habitable Centres.	Distance from the nearest school aided or Board School of the important population centre	Why a Separate School is necessary to serve the village and whether such a School will serve all the population centres.	whether the villagers can provide a Building rent free	whether a trained teacher will be available	Estimated cost		Remarks
										Recurring	non Recurring	
South Kanara.	Uppinangadi.	1. Koyyur.	1794.	296.	3.	5 miles.		yes.	No.	204	100.	
		2. Kalanwanja.	1047.	151.	3.	8 miles.		yes.	No.	204	100.	
		3. Mabdy.	1253.	106	3	4 miles.		yes.	No.	204	100.	
		4. Vadibnal.	1047.	174	2	6 miles.		No	No	252	100.	
		5. Belal.	1701	265	3.	No School near.		No.	No.	252	100.	
		6. Kalanja	1119	173	2	"		No	No	252	100	
		7. Bandar.	1494.	266.	2	"		No.	No.	252	100.	
		8. Kanijur	1464.	210.	2	"		No.	No	252	100	
		9. Hanthila.	1170.	190.	2	2 miles.		No.	No	252	100	
		10. Koila.	1485.	244.	10	5 miles.		No.	No	252	100	
		11. Kubrapadi.	1045	155	3	3 miles.		Temporary Building free of rent.	No	204	100	
		12. Puthula.	1602.	165	3	4 miles		No information available.	No	252	100	
		13. Thamirapanta	1884.	199	3	6 miles		No	No	252	100	
		14. Shantigodu.	1349	78	3	2 miles		No	No	252	100	
		15. Narimogru	1624.	121	3	2 miles		No.	No	252	100	
		16. Kuriya.	1396.	95	3	2 miles.		yes	No	204	100.	
		17. Markanja.	1018.	156.	2.	2½ miles.	young pupils may find it hard to attend the neighbouring School, the School in each village may serve all the population centres of the village.	Temporary Building free of rent	No.	204	100.	
		18. Alettri	1695.	348.	2	No School near.		No:	No	252	100	
		19. Billigunn.	1150.	215	1	2 miles		No.	No	252	100	
		20. Kudupadi.	1043.	204	2	4 miles.		yes.	No.	204	100.	

The District Educational Officer reports that trained teachers may not be available if Schools are to be opened in all the villages simultaneously.

Name of District	Name of Taluk Board	Name of villages	Population	Population of School age	No. of inhabited centres	Distance from the nearest aided or Board School of the important population centre	Why a Separate School is necessary to serve the village and whether such a School will serve all the population centre	Whether the villagers can provide a Building grant free	Whether a trained teacher will be available	Estimated cost: Recurring	Estimated cost: Non-Recurring	Remarks
South Kanara		1. Nidle	976	224	1	No School near		Information not available	No	252	100	
		2 Badagannuri	800	215	1	4 miles		Yes	No	204	100	
		3 Saravanku	686	206	1	4 -do-		Information not available	No	252	100	
		4 Anantadi	841	183	2	2 "		-do-	No	252	100	
		5 Balipadi	794	181	1	2 "		No	No	252	100	
		6 Padmuru	990	175	1	3 "		No	No	252	100	
		7 Mithabogil	997	170	3	8 "		No	No	252	100	
		8 Kadirudyavara	908	166	2	No School near		Yes	No	204	100	
		9 Kodigala	972	161	2	5 miles		No	No	252	100	
		10 Perur	721	161	1	4 "		No	No	252	100	
		11 Nekkilodi	681	159	1	4 "		Yes	No	204	100	
		12 Kedambady	942	153	3	3 "		No	No	252	100	
		13 Ballya	830	152	2	2 "		No	No	252	100	
		14 Nariya	885	150	4	No School near		Information not available	No	252	100	
South Kanara	Kasargod	1. Nekmuraji	2462	481	3	2 miles		No	No	252	100	
		2. Talekala	786	209	5	2 "		No	No	252	100	
		3. Bomberaune	924	188	2	2 "		No	No	252	100	
		4. Kodibail	583	162	1	3 "		No	No	252	100	

For Director of Public Instruction

The District Educational Officer reports that Trained Teachers may not be available but the Salaries may be sanctioned as the villagers are willing to provide free buildings.

87

	Initials.	Date.
Received in Fair-copying Section.		3/3
Copied ..		
Examined ..		
Signed ..		
Despatched ..		

Law (Education) Dept.

Memo. No. 106 A/25, 3-3-25

A provision of Rs 2,96,900 (Rs 1,95,200 recurring and Rs 1,01,700 non-recurring) has been included in the Civil Budget Estimate for 1925-26 for the opening from the 1st July 1925 of 1,017 elementary schools in the areas under the jurisdiction of Taluk Boards levying the education tax ~~with effect from the 1st July 1925~~. The schools in question are to be opened in villages now unprovided with schools, ~~having a population of 500 and over~~. ~~Preference should be given to~~ Villages with a population of 1,000 and over ~~and~~ the total number of schools to be opened in the area of each taluk board ~~should be~~ should be limited to ~~50~~ thirty. The D.P.I. is requested to submit by the ~~1st~~ last week of April 1925 detailed proposals for the distribution of the amount provided in the budget, basing them on the results of the Survey now being conducted in progress.

NB 2/3/25

2) It is requested that these proposals may be submitted not later than the 1st of May

2.3.25

V.T.K 3/3

Note.- The above draft is submitted in accordance with personal instructions.

To

The D.P.I.

Reminded 1-5-25.

Received 4/3.

Which should be taken up first and then, villages with a population of over 500.

at a very early date in consultation with the local boards concerned

C.F. 30—18,658—3-01-23.

LAW (EDUCATION) **DEPARTMENT.**

2

79

Received 2-MAY-1925 192 . *Registered* 192 .

L-Ed 1538A 2/5/25

Subject.

Opening of New Elementary Schools in 1925-26 in school-less villages.

E

ENCLOSURES.
No. Statement
Spare copies. 1

R.O.C. No. 1682-B/24.

Station Madras, *Dated the* 29th April, 1925.

From (Name) R.G.GRIEVE, Esq., M.A., F.R.G.S.,

(Designation) DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

LAW (EDUCATION) Department.

Sir,

The Statement is attached to the draft order.

With reference to your memo No. 106-A/25-1, dated 3-3-25, I have the honour to submit herewith proposals for the opening of elementary schools in the areas under the jurisdiction of Taluk Boards which levy the Education Cess.

2. Lists of school-less centres or groups of centres with a population of over 500 were called for from the District Educational Officers with instructions that the lists should be based on the results of the Elementary Education Survey and that copies of their proposals should be sent to Presidents of the Taluk Boards concerned so that the suggestions of the latter officers might be considered in framing the proposals.

The lists

(P.T.O)

The lists received from the District Educational Officers and the suggestions of the Presidents so far as these have been received have been examined with reference to the survey records under scrutiny and the proposals are tabulated in the accompanying statement.

3. The number of Taluk Boards included in the statement is 75 against 77 mentioned as levying the Education Cess in para 1 of my letter C.No.558-B/25, dated 10-3-25. The difference of 2 is due to the omission of the Calicut and Kaikalur Taluk Boards which have been permitted to levy the cess from 1-4-25 and 1-7-25 respectively. These two Taluk Boards will have to be treated along with the non-cess-levying Taluk Boards, in respect of which proposals for expansion were submitted in my letter quoted above. A supplemental statement relating to the areas under these two Taluk Boards is being submitted to Government separately.

4. In drawing up the lists of school-less centres, groups of population-centres with an aggregate population of 500 and above but without any facilities for Elementary Education have been selected, instead of Revenue villages with a population of 500 and above without schools. The number of school-less centres or groups of centres with a population of 1000 and above in the jursidiction of the 75 Taluk Boards as thus calculated is 558 and the number of school-less centres with a population of 500 to 1000 is 911, restricting the total number of school-less centres under each Taluk Board to 30, as desired in the Government Memo under reply. The total number of schools which will have to be opened is thus 1469. Since however provision has been made in the Budget for the opening of only 1017 schools and since priority should be given to centres with a population of 1000 and above I recommend that Government will be pleased to sanction the opening of schools,-

(1) in all the centres with a population of 1000 and above; and

(2) in 50 per cent of the centres with a population of 500 to 1000 as exhibited in the statement.

5. Lists of centres selected for starting schools will be drawn up and forwarded to the District Educational Officers, the Presidents of District Educational Councils and the Presidents of the Taluk Boards concerned so that arrangements may be made for opening the schools as soon as the proposals are sanctioned by Government. The District Educational Officers have already been instructed to arrange in consultation with the Presidents for the accommodation and staff for the new schools.

6. The cost of maintenance of the schools has been calculated at the rates adopted in G.O.No.1598 Law (Education) dated 16-10-24, viz., Rs.19 per mensem for 75 per cent of teachers who will be trained and Rs.15 per mensem for the rest who will be untrained. A sum of Rs.6 is allowed for rent and contingencies and a provision of Rs.100 is made to meet the cost of initial equipment for each school.

7. The expenditure for the current year entailed by the proposals is Rs.1,94,920 recurring and Rs.1,01,700 non-recurring a and it can be met from the provision made under "31.C(c) Education-Primary-Grants to local bodies for Primary Education (i) Local bodies" in the Civil Budget Estimate for 1925-26.

8. I request the favour of early orders so that the schools may be opened from the 1st July 1925.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

A Rama Rau

30/4/25

for Director of Public Instruction.

M.R.P.29/4.

C.F. 98—110,258—5-10-23

Law (Education) Department.

Very Urgent Branch.

I REMINDER.

REPLY.

L-Ed 1621A

Rec'd 1682 B/24 & 4.5.25

7-MAY-1925 GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT MADRAS

To THE Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

To THE Secretary to Government Law(Education)

The undersigned ~~has the honour to invite~~ is directed to invite ~~invites~~ attention to the communication noted in the margin and to request that this reminder may be returned at once with information as to—

Memo No. 106A-1, dated 3.3.25

(1) the stage at which the matter now is, and

(2) the date by which a reply may be expected, and

(3) the circumstances which have caused the delay.

2. It is requested that the reply to this reminder may be sent not later than

Proposals for the distribution of subsidies for the opening of schools in areas under the jurisdiction of Taluk Boards levying the education tax were duly submitted to Government with this office letter R.C.No. 1682 B/24 dated 29-4-25.

A Rama Rau 5/5

for Director of Public Instruction

A.R. 5/5/25

Ramanujachari 1/5 Kwe 5/5/25

Office Secretariat

Station Fort St. George Asst. Secretary. Signature. Designation.

Dated 1st May, 1925.

SUBJECT.

Elementary Education - Expansion - opening of 1017 schools - Taluk Boards levying education tax - proposals for distribution of subsidy.

8/5-5-25.

DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION
2-MAY 1925
MADRAS

1682-3/24

C F. 30—41,000—8-9-24.

Law(Education) **DEPARTMENT.**

Rw 15/5

82

Received ..... 192 . *Registered* 2. Sde 1690 192 .

14(5)25

14-MAY-1925
LAW DEPARTMENT

Subject.

A Supplemental list of school less Centres in rural areas - Submitted.

ENCLOSURES.
No. .....
.....
Spare copies .....

R. C. No. 558 B/25

Station Madras , *Dated the* 12- 5- 25 192

From (Name) R. G.Grieve Esq., M.A.,

(Designation) Ag.Director of Public Instruction.

Madras

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law(Education) Department.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter R.C.No.558 B/25, dated 10- 3- 25, I have the honour to submit herewith a supplemental list of school-less centres in rural areas under the jurisdiction of the Taluk Boards of Kaikalur and Calicut. These Taluk Boards have been permitted to levy the education cess only from the current year, ie from 1- 7- 25 and 1- 4- 25 respectively and they have, therefore, not been included in the proposals for the distribution of subsidies to the cess levying Taluk Boards for the opening of schools

2. Attention is invited in this connection to para 3 of my letter R.C.No.1682 B/24, dated

Supplemental

List of school less centres in rural areas under the jurisdiction of Taluk Boards not levying the Education cess

No	Name of District	Name of Taluk Board	Number of population centres or groups of centres without schools of any kind which can be served by a new school & which have a population of			Number of schools to be opened in 1925-26 — one fifth of the total	Annual recurring teaching grant of Rs 84/- per annum	Teaching grant for 25-26 (9 months)	Initial equipment grant at Rs 80/- per school.	Total grant for 25-26	Remarks
			1000 & above	500 & 1000	Total						
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
							Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	
1	Tirutna	Tellicherry	1	2	3	1	84	63	80	143	For the centres with a population of 1000 & above only
2	Malabar	Calicut	12	51	63	13	1092	819	1040	1859	For all the 12 centres with a population of 1000 & above & one centre with 500 & above.
			13	53	66	14	1176	882	1120	2002	

A Ramaiah
13/5
for Director of Public Instruction

D 1315

dated 29-4-25.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

A Ramaiah
13/5
for Director of Public Instruction

A.R. 13/5/25

D 1315

Expansion of Elementary Education.

In May 1923 the Ootacamund Conference recommended that "with a view to the gradual expansion of elementary education in rural areas, each village with a population of 500 inhabitants and over should have a school." In February 1924, the Finance Committee while considering the provision of funds for expansion of the elementary education made the following recommendation:--

"The Committee advocate the institution of a survey on the lines suggested by Mr. Moir but would recommend that some provision be made for an early advance, and would urge that assistance be given to Boards which have levied the cess wholly or partially but are yet unable to open schools in all villages with a population of 500 and over."

2. For this year (1924-25) we took up villages with a population of 1,000 and over in the areas in which cess is levied by taluk boards and provision has been made for opening 840 schools.

3. The survey advocated by Mr. Moir is now practically complete in most districts and the results of it are available with district educational officers. We must now formulate our line of advance for 1925-26, in time for consideration by the Finance Department.

4. Preference should, of course, be given to the villages with a population of 1,000 and over in the tax levying areas which were left out when considering the proposals for the current year. Out of 1198 villages we proposed schools only for 840 in this year. The remaining 358 schools will have to be provided for in the coming year. In addition, Polur and Sankari Taluk Boards which have received sanction for levying cess have 73 villages and Ramachandrapuram which is in the same

position) has 4. These 77 will also have to be included. This means 358 plus 77 or 435 schools.

5. Next in order should come the backward areas like the Ceded districts and Chittoor. Their economic condition is so unsatisfactory that we cannot expect them to levy the cess in the same way as other Taluk Boards. There are 4 such Taluk Boards in Bellary district, 4 in the Cudapah district and 1 in Chittoor. These have 63 villages with a population of 1,000 and over which must be provided with schools.

Bellary 6
Rayadrug 4
Hospet 1
Harpanahalli 4
Cuddapah 4
Badvel 7
Rajampet 7
Proddatur – 1
Gooty – 26
63

6. Then, we must take up the 1985 villages with a population of 500 to 1000 having no schools, in the areas in which cess is levied. In these, we must make a beginning on the lines recommended by the Finance Committee and provide schools for 1/3 of these villages in the coming year.

435
63
662
1160

B 1985
662

7. Detailed proposals with regard to all these classes should be called for from the D.P.I. As the results of the survey are not available, the report should be in the following form:-

Taluk:--

(1) Village.
(2) Population.
(3) Population of school-going age.
(4) Number of inhabited centres.
(5) Distance from the nearest school-aided or Board school-of the important population centres.
(6) Why a separate school is needed to serve the village and whether such a school will serve all the population centres.
(7) Whether the villagers can provide a building, rent free
~~(8) Whether aided agencies will start schools in them.~~
(8) Whether a trained teacher will be available.

V.E

8. Will D.P.I kindly say whether he approves of the above?

V.K
29.8

D.P.I U.O.

Up to D.P.I with the papers published

L.O. 3336 A 1 Edn
29/8/24

85

DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION
C 1682 of 24
30 AUG
MADRAS

U.O.Reply No. 1682 of/24 85-9-

I have no objection to raise to the issue of the proposed reference. It will be communicated to D.E.Os with instructions to submit details as soon as the survey operations in their districts are complete.

There has been considerable delay in the completion of these surveys. The printed instructions for issue were received from the Superintendent of the Government Press only on the 27th June last. They were sent to D.E.Os on the 28th of June. The printed 'A' forms in different languages were received from the Government Press on different dates and they were sent to the Collectors for distribution to the Tahsildars in the course of July and early part of August. Tracing papers were received, however, only on the 21st July. These were also sent to the Collectors along with the 'A' forms. Maps have, however, not yet been supplied by the Survey Department for all taluks. Only a partial supply has been made. The Director of Survey has stated that no further copies can be supplied within the time we asked as fresh originals have to be prepared and copies printed for which he has not sufficient establishment at present. The D.E.Os have consequently been asked to take tracings from the taluk maps available in the Tahsildars' offices and proceed with the work until the

Director of Survey

Director of Survey supplies the required maps. The Director of Survey has also been requested to supply the maps as soon as practicable. It will therefore probably take at least two months to complete the survey.

Incidentally the Collector of Tanjore has reported that it is doubtful whether particulars for the survey can be furnished with any degree of accuracy in a month in the case of villages affected by floods. The same is true of Malabar and may also be true of other affected areas.

L. Cu 3411 A 6/9/24

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVT. REVENUE & AGRL. DEPARTMENTS 6-SEP-1924

Special

Put up a Grant order quickly.
Each District to come up to us as ready.
Time to be fixed 15th Oct. The reports should be prepared with the materials collected for the Survey V.TK/8.9

Draft submitted
8.9.24 V.TK 8/9

M 2 To the backward areas Engine is added - though these 2 Bds raised cess, they can do nothing to free, as free re-turn is great deal of work the dues. Dept with the addl engine

8/9/24

Part II Schemes.

Subject:- Opening of new elementary schools.

31 C(b) Education-Primary-Grants to Local bodies for Primary Education.

Extract from D.P.I's letter under

Item.	Cost in 25-26. Rs.	Ultimate cost. Rs.
Grants to Local bodies for Primary Education.		
(a) Opening of 840 schools from 1-11-24 in villages with a population of 1000 and over at Rs. 276 per school. †	2,35,836	2,35,836
(b) Opening of 435 schools in villages with a population of ~~Rs~~.1000 and over in areas under the jurisdiction of Tk. Boards which levy ecudational cess.(at Rs.276 per school) ‡	(x) 1,63,560	(y) 1,20,060
(c) Opening of 617 schools in villages with a population of ~~Rs~~ 1000 and over in backward districts where no education cess is levied by the Tk. ~~Bds.~~ (at Rs. 276 per school.) ‡ ※	(x) 2,31,992	(y) 1,70,292
(d) Opening of schools in one third of 1985 villages (662) with a population, 500 to 1000 in areas under the jurisdiction of Tk. Bds. which levy educational cess.	(x) 2,48,912	(y) 1,82,712
	8,80,300	7,08,900

† Vide Government L.E. Memo No. 2940-A-3 dated 26-8-24 and No.

‡ Provided with reference to Govt. L.E. Memo No. 3336 A-2 dated 9-9-24.

※ The cases of all districts which are below the Presidency average are taken into account.

※※ For details plase see Annexure No. 12. ~~Appendix~~ infra

(x) The cost per school is calculated as follows:--

Pay of teacher per month	Rs. 17.
Recurring contingencies per month.	Rs. 6
	23

(y) Provision for initial equipment has been omitted in estimating the ultimate cost.

Annexure No 12 b/8

No. of School less. villages with a population of 1000 and over. in backward Districts, not yet provided with Schools.

No.	District.	Taluk Board.	No.	Total.
1	Bellary.	Bellary.	6.	
		Royadrug.	4.	
		Hospet.	1	
		Harpanahalli	4	15.
2.	Cuddapah.	Cuddapah.	7.	
		Badvel.	7.	
		Rajampet.	7.	
		Rowdathur.	1	22.
3.	Chittoor.	Chandragiri.	26.	26
4.	Salem.	Namakkal.	30.	
		Dharmapuri.	36.	30
		Hosur.	56.	122.
5.	Coimbatore.	Gobichettipalayam.	26.	
		Erode.	27.	53.
6.	Trichinopoly	Kulitalai.	88.	93.
7.	Vizagapatam.	Vizianagaram.	21	
		Parvatipur	9	30.
8.	Ramnad.	Sivakasi.	32.	
		Devakottai.	31	63
9.	North Arcot.	Vellore.	19.	
		Ranipet	37	
		Tiruvannamalai	19.	
		Tirupathur	26.	
		Cheyyar.	27.	128
			C.T.	551

10.	Nellore.	1	Nellore.		18.	
			Gudur.		13	
			Venkatagiri		7	
			Atmakur.		10	
		x	Kavali.		7	(17)
		x	Kandukur.		3	
		x	Kanigiri.		7.	65
			Total			617.

Annexure No. (12)

Law(Education)Department

Item(a)-provision in the B.E for 1925--26 for the schools to be opened from 1st November 1924 under G.O.No.1598 L.E dated 16th October 1924.

--

In G.O.No.1598 L.E dated 16th October 1924, the Government have sanctioned the opening from 1st November 1924, of 825 elementary school in villages with a population of 1,000 and over now unprovided with schools, in the areas of 50 taluk boards which are levying the education tax. Sanction has also been accorded to the opening of 14 schools in the area of the Saidapet Taluk Board. The annual cost of maintenance of these schools is Rs.2,44,572. Provision may be made for this amount in Part I of the Education Budget for 1925-26 under 31C(b)(iii) Education-Primary-Grants to local bodies for primary education.

Rs. 2,38,092 6480 2,44,572

V.TK

2.Item (b)-opening of additional elementary schools in villages with a population of 1000 and over in the areas under the jurisdiction of taluk boards which levy the education tax

In his letter No.248, dated the 21st July 1924, the D.P.I reported that it was not possibl for a taluk board to open more than 30 schools in the current official year. Accordingly, the maximum number of schools ordered to be opened in G.O.No.1598 Law(Edn), dated 16th October 1924 was xx fixed at 30 in the case of a taluk board. There are, however, in the areas of many taluk boards levying the education tax, more than 30 villages containing a population of 1000 and over, unprovided with schools. In accordance with the principle already accepted by Government

provision may be made in the Budget Estimate for 1925-26 for the grant of subsidies to the taluk boards concerned to enable them to open schools in the remaining villages also. No provision has been made in the current year for the opening of schools in the areas under the jurisdiction of the taluk boards of Polur, Sankari, Penukonda, Dharmavaram, Sattenapalli and Ramachandrapuram which have been permitted to levy the education tax. The number of villages with a population of 1,000 and over still unprovided with schools in the areas of all the taluk boards in question is 435 as detailed below:-

Name of taluk board	No. of villages with a population of 1,000 and over yet to be provided with schools.
Madanapalle	21
Ernad	51
Ponnani	31
Salem	23
Pollachi	10
Coimbatore	16
Cuddalore	5
Tirukkoyilur	42
Dindigul	49
Tindivanam	44
Narasapatam	11
Polur	32
Sankari	41
Penukonda	25
Dharmavaram	27
Sattenapalli	3
Ramachandrapuram	4
	435

As it has been taken that it will not be possible for a taluk board to open more than thirty schools in a year, the number of schools to be newly opened in 1925-26 will be 355. The amount required for the opening of the schools is Rs.1,02,228 as detailed below:-

Teachers' salaries(266 x 19 plus 89 x 15)	6,389	p.m.
Rent and contingencies(355 x 6)	2,130	
Total	8,519	p.m.
Annual expenditure	1,02,228	

In addition, a sum of Rs.35,500 will have be provided towards the equipment of the ools at the rate of Rs.100 per school.

3.Item(c)--Opening of schools in villages with a population of 1000 and over in backward districts where no education cess is levied.

In the debates in the Legislative Council on the supplementary grant for a sum of Rs.1:60 lakhs, many members of the council took objection to the proposal to restrict the grant of subsidies to such of the taluk boards as levy the education tax. It was contended that the inability of a taluk board to levy the education tax might be due to the heavy rate of taxation already prevailing in the area or to the poverty of the inhabitants. It was urged that backward areas like the Ceded districts where the masses are illiterat and addicted to crime, should receive special - treatment in the matter of the spread of elementary education. The consideration urged above has much force and the claims of poor and backward areas for special treatment cannot be ignored. The D.P.I has selected districts wherein the percentage of pupils under instruction is below the average for the presidency and has proposed that subsidies should be granted from provincial funds to the taluk boards in these districts to enable them to open schools in villages with a population of 1000 and over. The total number of schools proposed to be opened is 617 as detailed in the statement on pp.6 & 7 ante. There is no reason however why that districts like Trichinopoly, Coimbatore, Ramnad and North Arcot and the more prosperous taluks in Vizagapatam and Nellore should be accorded special treatment. The grant of subsidies for the opening of new schools should therefore be confined to taluk boards in the districts of Bellary, Cuddapah

Chittoor and Salem, to the Parvatipur taluk board, Vizagapatam district and to the Kavali Kandukur and Kanigiri taluk boards in the Nellore district. Taking the maximum number of schools which can be opened by a taluk board in a year to be thirty, the number of schools to be newly opened in the areas in question is 179. The annual cost of maintenance of these schools is Rs.51,540 as detailed below:-

	Rs.	
Salaries of teachers (134 x 19 plus 45 x 19/15)	38,652	per annum
Rent and contingencies at 6 p.m. for each school (179 x 6)	12,888	
Total	51,540	

In addition, a sum of Rs.17,900 will also have to be provided for the equipment of the schools.

4. Item(d) --Opening of schools in villages with a population of 500 to 1000 in areas under the jurisdiction of taluk boards which levy the education tax.

The Ely. Edn. Conference held at Ootacamund in May 1923 recommended that, with a view to the gradual expansion of elementary education in rural areas, each village with a population of 500 inhabitants and over should have a school. The Finance Committee which met on 31-1-24 - advocated that, pending the institution of an educational survey on the lines advocated by Mr. Moir, assistance should be given to taluk boards which levy the education tax to enable them to open schools in all villages with a population of 500 and over, in their areas. There are in the presidency 3749 villages with a population of 500 to 1000 still unprovided

(a) - 102.228
35.500
(c) — 57.540
17.900
(d) — 190.584
66.200
463.952

with schools. The number of such villages in the areas under the jurisdiction of taluk boards which levy the education tax is 1985 as detailed in the statement flagged (A). The Govt. have already granted subsidies to the taluk boards to enable them to open elementary schools in villages with a population of 1000 and over, in view of their inability to open the schools with the resources at their disposal. The opening of schools in villages with a population of 500 to 1000 in the areas of these taluk boards can only be brought about with similar subsidies from provincial funds. To secure rapid expansion of elementary education it is desirable to provide facilities for elementary education in all villages with a population of 500 to 1000. Provision may be made in the Budget Estimate for 1925-26 for the opening of schools in one-third of the villages in question (i.e. 662 schools) as suggested by the D.P.I., subject to a limit of 30 schools for each taluk board area. The opening of schools in the remaining villages may be spread over the two succeeding years. The cost of maintenance of the 662 schools is -- Rs.1,90,584 as detailed below:-

	Rs.	
Salaries of teachers (495 x 19 plus 167 x 15)	1,42,920	
Rent and contingencies at 6 p.m. each per school (662 x 6 x 12)	47,664	
Total	1,90,584	

In addition, a sum of Rs.66,200 may also be provided for the equipment of the schools at Rs.100 for each school.

5. The cost of items (b) (c) & (d) is Rs.3,44,352-recurring-and Rs.1,19,600 non-recurri

Total Rs.4,63,952. This department may ask for the provision of this sum in the Budget Estimate for 1925-26.

6. The file may be forwarded (u.o.) to Finance for remarks.

22.10 V.T.K. 22.10

Finance u.o.

ASSIST. SECRY. TO THE GOVT. OF MADRAS 3 OCT 1924 FINANCE DEPARTMENT

Law Dept. 3336/H-2 2/10.24.

Fin Ct. 76858 23.10.24.

PART II SCHEMES 1925-26.

Expansion of Elementary Education.

(Notes from p 8 ante may be read).

The proposals are the second instalment of the scheme initiated in the current year of grants to local bodies for expansion of Elementary Education. The recurring cost on account of the 839 schools to be opened in the current year is Rs. 2,44,572. This should be entered in Part I and mentioned in the consolidated note for the Part II demand.

2. The Finance Committee recommended at their meeting of the 31st January 1924 that assistance should be given to Boards which had levied the cess wholly or partially but were unable to open schools in all villages with a population of 500 and over. They advocated also the institution of an educational survey.

This survey has been completed only in the Saidapet Taluk and 14 schools have been or will be opened in this taluk in the current year, the whole cost being borne by Government.

The survey in other areas has not been finished. Meanwhile the opening of 825 schools has been sanctioned, the maximum number a taluk board will be able to open in a year being taken as 30.

When this scheme was ~~xxxx~~ placed before the Finance Committee in October, the Committee while agreeing to it ~~denied~~ desired to be informed as to the progress of the educational survey. It has been ascertained from the Law department that the D.P.I. has promised to send a report in December. The 839 new schools opened in

P 6980 1598 Bd (P) 16.10.24

P 6980 1598 Bd (P) 16.10.24

P 254 (P)

the current year are all in areas where the cess is levied and in villages having a population of 1,000 and over.

3. The proposals for new schools to be opened in 1925-26 fall under 3 ~~contingencies~~ categories as below:-

(i) Villages containing a population of 1,000 and over where the cess is levied.

(ii) Villages containinga population between 500 and 1,000 where the cess is levied.

(iii) Villages containing a population of 1,000 and over in the Bellary, Cuddapah, Chittoor and Salem districts, Parvatipuram of Vizagapatam and Kavali, Kandukur and Kanigiri of Nellore, where the cess is not levied.

4. Item (i). Cess levied villages with a population of over 1,000. The total number of schools required is 385. But as in some taluks there are more than 30 such villages to be opened and as the maximum capacity of a taluk board to open new schools is 30 a year the Law department proposed only 355 schools. The principles adopted in the current year after acceptance by Finance are:- pp 9 ante

(a) Taluk boards which levy the cess at the maximum to be allowed schools in all the villages containing a population of over 1,000, while taluk boards which do not levy the cess at the maximum to be allowed schools only in 90 per cent of such villages. para 6 of Finance secys note on p. 16 of (P) and para 6 of Law secys note on p. 18 of (P) (Go 1598 Ed d. 16.10.26)

(b) 75 per cent of the schools to be manned by trained teachers on Rs. 19 and 25 per cent by untrained teachers on Rs. 15. K on p. 21 of (P)

(c) Rs. 6 per mensem allowed for rent and contingencies for each school. bol. 2. p 2 of (P)

(d) An initial grant of Rs. 100 for equipment. bol 7 ibid

(e) No grant to be paid immediately or in future for buildings. para 7 of Finance Secys note on p. 16, para 7 of Law Secys note on p. 18 and para 5 of Finance Secys note on p 20 of (P)

Principle (a) seems to have been thrown overboard in the new proposals and all taluk boards are to be treated alike and not in proportion to their efforts. Finance may advise that the principle should be adhered to and may request Law to modify

their proposals.

Principle(b). It is not likely that 75 percent of the schools to be opened in 1925-26 will be manned by trained teachers, as the supply of trained teachers will be smaller next year owing to the new schools - opened in the current year. Finance may advise 50 per cent trained and 50 per cent untrained.

Principle (c) and (d) are adopted in respect of new schools to be opened in 1925-26 and may be accepted.

Principle (e) The Law department makes no mention of this. It is presumed that this principle will be followed in the present case also. It is desirable to mention this separately in the final orders sanctioning the opening of these schools.

The Law department may be advised to modify their proposals as shown above.

pp 11-12 ante

5. Item (ii). Cess levied villages with a population between 500 and 1,000. No schools were sanctioned in such villages in the current year. There are 1,985 villages and the Law department proposes to open schools in one third or 662 villages. It is not known whether the distribution of this number among the several taluks will raise the total number of new schools in a taluk including the schools in villages of 1,000--Item (i)-- to over 30. If so, as the maximum capacity of a taluk board is 30 schools in a year, the number under this class should be reduced. The Law department may be requested to examine this point.

No attempt has been made to subsidize local bodies in proportion to their efforts in the matter of the levy of cess, 90 per cent is the figure allowed under item (i) for boards which do not levy the maximum cess. A smaller percentage may be allowed in the present case. The Law department may be requested to suggest the percentage and to modify their proposals accordingly.

17

6. Item (iii). Villages containing a population of over 1,000 where cess is not levied. The Law department proposes 179 schools in such villages. As the principle adopted is to mobilize only local bodies which levy the cess, and as the needs of such local bodies have not been fully met, there is no justification for assistance to local bodies which are not prepared to meet Government half way by levying the cess.

pp 10-11 ante

7. The Law department may be requested to formulate revised proposals with reference to paras 4 and 5 ante.

RR.

CcS 13.11.24

In the present state of provincial finances Finance must advise that the scheme for the expansion of Elementary Education, which has been introduced in the current year, and which is estimated in the current year to cost Rs. 1,61,504 and in the ensuing year to cost Rs. 2,44,572, should mark the limit of expansion unless and until we have sufficient surpluses to enable further progress to be made.

2. Finance would also suggest that it is unwise, even if funds were available, to make further provision for expansion until:-

(a) the educational survey has been completed

(b) it has been proved, by the experience of the present year, how many schools can be opened in a given year.

93

3. Provision for the expansion of Elementary Education may therefore be limited to 2.44 lakhs in 1925-26.

RWD

15-11-24.

Law.

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
17 NOV 1924
LAW DEPARTMENT

Fin. No. 76 B2-1 15-11-24

Law(Education)Dept.

cf note to Go 1598 Ed (P) 16.10.24

pp 18-19 of (P)

1089 - 825 + 6 = 358

Proc 69 ant

In the current year the D.P.I. worked out proposals for opening 1,198 elementary schools in the tax-levying areas. As pointed out in my note of the 15th August, these were prepared after lengthy correspondence with D.E.Os, and taluk boards---there was in effect a special educational survey. Grant was made only for 840 schools and we have still 358 schools left over. Including the taluk boards of Polur, Sankari, Penukonda, Dharmavaram, Sethannapalli and Ramachandrapuram, which have also been permitted to levy the education tax, the total number of schools in this category comes to 435. Limiting the number to 30 (maximum) for each taluk board we get 355 schools. These are included under the second head of this department's application on page 8. In all these cases

(a) the taluk boards concerned levy the cess

(b) proposals have been worked out as a result of a careful local survey,

and (c) the schools can be started immediately funds are provided.

All of them are villages with a population of of 1000 and over having no school at present. This portion of our scheme is really an instalment of the proposals already accepted and should be sanctioned

for 1925-26.

2. Next come the proposals for villages with a population of between 500 and 1,000 in the tax-levying areas. In most of these areas the educational survey is practically complete and the results are under tabulation. So far as villages now in question are concerned the D.P.I arranged for working out detailed proposals as early as September last and such proposals have already been received from two districts. Our programme for 1925-26 is only 1/3 of to take up the villages and there will be no difficulty whatever in opening the schools with the details which we are now engaged in collecting.

In view of the recommendations of the Ootacamund Conference this department considers that this portion of the scheme is urgent and important.

3. Then comes the case of the necessitous and backward areas, which do not levy the cess. It is unnecessary to justify the case for special treatment with regard to these areas in regard to elementary Edn.

4. There will be no difficulty in starting the schools if grants are given. The Teachers are available in all the areas.

VTK

21-11-24

Finance U.O.

Lawdept. 3336A-24
22-11-24.

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVT.
12 NOV. 1924
FINANCE DEPT.

Fin. Ch. 272 B.E.I
24.11.24

The file has been returned to Finance with reference to the instructions of M.2 who saw myself and the Law Secretary on the subject on the 21st. I was then informed that the Law department would press for items (i) and (ii) (pp.15 & 16 ante), but that, in view of the fact that the policy of instituting Government Primary Schools in areas where the cess is not levied is not yet an accepted policy, item (iii) (p.17) would be dropped. Para 3 of the Law Secretary's note ignores this decision.

2. As item (i) relates to an accepted scheme, the proposal may be placed before the Finance Committee.

3. In the case of item (ii), the scheme has not yet been presented and cannot therefore be examined in Finance. The scheme was called for by Government in their memorandum of the 9th September (pp.1 & 3 c.f.). In this memorandum the Director was requested to call for detailed proposals and to submit reports in a specified form. It was stated in that G.O. that all the reports should be submitted to Government by the 15th October so that the proposals may be considered for the budget of 1925-26. As these reports have not been received, it is obvious that, in the terms of the memorandum, they cannot be examined in connection with the budget of 1925-26. This point was not brought to the notice of the Hon'ble the Minister on the 21st. Finance will therefore be in a position to examine this scheme (item ii on p.16) only when the reports have been received and the scheme has been forwarded to Finance by Law.

RSD

24-11-24.

LAW

L. Dis 4317A.

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVT.
24 NOV. 1924
LAW DEPT.

VAS

Fin. no. 272 B.E.I.
24.11.24.

21

Submitted for orders

CR
26.11.24

S

Paragraph 1 of the Finance Secretary's note:- My note was written before the discussion referred to. Item 3 is dropped.

Paragraph 2 of the note:- accepted.

Paragraph 3 of the note:- I cannot agree. The Finance Committee is not concerned with the names of the villages in which the schools are opened. We wish to open schools (the cost - non-recurring and recurring - of each school being fixed) in one-third of the villages with a population between 500 & 1000 - in the taluk levying areas. This is a definite scheme for the approval of the Committee. The names of the villages need not be specified to the Committee. The selection is to be made by the D.P.I in consultation with the local bodies and, to enable this selection to be made, certain proposals have been called for by the D.P.I. The memorandum therefore has nothing to do with the question of the grant. It is not necessary to decide on the names of the villages for the purposes of the scheme to be placed before the Committee. I therefore press this portion of the scheme.

V.T.K.
27.11

Finance U.O

DY NO 4064-1924.
EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT

and have been received from some of the districts.

Local bodies have been opening schools on this basis for over 40 years and there is experience to be gained in the matter. Teachers are available

Law Secy. 3336A-5
27-11-24
Finance Ct 28513 B S I
27.11.24.

22. Finance (B.E.I.)

I regret I must adhere to the position that I have taken up in paragraph 3 on page 20. There is no report from the D. P. I. at all in the file.

RWD
28-11-24.

Law.

D.P.I's proposals are on pp 5-7 ante. But D.P.I. has separate and separate reports for two districts only.

VAS

Fin Secy. 28513 B S I
28.11.24

Law (Edn) Dept

May be circulated to M2 and C4

CR
1.12.24

V.T.K.
2.12

M2

C4

L. Sec 4383A
1/12/24

ASSISTANT SECRETARY
1 DEC 1924
LAW DEPARTMENT

It is necessary to state the history of the case briefly before I ask my Hon. Colleague to allow the question to the Education Dept.

2 The Educational Conference recommended that Villages with a population of 500 & above should be provided with a School, where there is none at all.

3 A Scheme was drawn up (a) showing the Villages in each Taluq Board area with a population of 500 & above which have no School whatsoever. The lists were drawn up by D.P.I. and the financial liability was Statement prepared. Both the lists which are "A" & "B" Schedules

The Finance Committee accepted the Scheme while recommending that a provision 8 lacs should be made in the budget, limited the Scheme to such Taluq Board areas as have actually raised the Education Cess. The Committee suggested a survey be made as an ancillary measure to correct any mistakes in the lists. This was also recommended by the Govt.

4. The Cabinet approved the Scheme and said provision may be

made out of the Grant under the head of unforeseen charges.

5. Accordingly, all the villages with a population of 1000 & above in areas that levied Cess were first taken out from the list. Such as the year has already advanced for provision in the ~~2~~ 1st ~~2~~ if it was made & a Supplemental Grant for ~~made~~ moved.

6. The Scheme is clearly defined above. We now ask for provision in respect of those villages with a population of 500 & above. The cost for each School is an ascertained fact. The Equipment is a non-recurring factor. The Finance Dept already approved the Scheme in part. It is now desired to extend the Scheme on the same lines to other villages.

7. There are 1985 villages in the area in which Cess is raised. We propose to take up the Scheme in three parts, at the rate of 662 Schools a year.
The Cost is worked out at p. 12 & the notes.

The Finance Secretary assures
me there is no Complete Scheme
as the report of the D.I.O. is
not yet & Survey not available.
The D.I.O. should sent the
report before or with the
Scheme as drawn up and a
Supplemental Grant for a portion
of the Scheme as mined sponsored.

8. The results of the Survey will
only help us to Correct any
Errors in the lists.
That is a matter for the Depart &
the matter of any Auxiliary to
the Scheme, Supplementing it.
But not essential part of it.

9. Unless Finance has a Complete
Scheme before it.
If there are no funds at present
the proposals may be accepted &
actual allotment for this Grant Scheme
be made then while provision for
all Villages above 1000 population
~~is~~ will be made
~~has made~~ as accepted by Finance.

10. Any How Call with Unless we think
we should the other part of the
Scheme should be accepted and
funds be allotted ~~for~~ 2nd for
1/3

one-third of the Scheme is
allotted to Taluq Board areas
which have raised Cess.
I do not press for the whole Scheme which
may be financed in the course of next year.
My proposals are:

i. The Scheme of Expansion of Elementary
Education as already accepted by
Govt & finance and apart of
the Scheme in relation to villages
above 1000 population is now worked.

ii The (the remaining villages with the population)
other part of the Scheme in
relation to villages and a population of
500 & above will have to be put
into force now.
We do not ask the whole of it should
be taken up at once - One-Third of
it may be accepted this year.

iii That the balance of villages under i
~~and~~ to admitted by finance.

This is not a new Scheme but incomplete
one. Provision may be made in
part. The Survey is only entrusting to
both the departments.

3/12/24

The Finance Department is concerned with the survey only in so far as it desires an assurance that a proper and careful survey has been made before proposals are put up. When the D.P.I. is able to certify efficient that he has in his hands an ~~xxxxxxx~~ survey on the lines laid down, we shall not want anything like a list of villages.

2. I thank my Hon'ble Colleague will admit that met him Finance has ~~let us~~ fairly so far. Everybody must be anxious for the expansion of elementary education, but it has been accepted as a principle that the local bodies should do their share towards the advance. If their resources are limited, I would point out that the resources of the Government also are limited and the note which was before the Cabinet this morning must have shown what difficulty we shall have in providing money for any new schemes in the next year. Under the most favourable circumstances I can at present see no possibility of finding even as much as my Hon'ble Colleague asks for now. If we succeed in opening new schools in the remaining villages containing a population of over a thousand in the areas which levy the cess at full rates, it seems about as much as we shall be able to manage. The rest should I think be placed before the Government as a whole as a policy for adoption in the future. There will presumably be other schemes put forward by other departments similarly for adoption and it will be for the Government to decide what sort of priority shall be given as funds become available.

RSG
8/12/24

Submitted
V.T.K.
12/12

Do as advised by Minister

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
12 DEC 1924
JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
12 DEC 1924
JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT

Even adopting the advice of
the Hon-Coll. & the date of
engagement, the proposal will have to
go before the Finance Committee as
~~the matter~~ Part II Scheme & the cost
RSlt | gen. There is no objection to this.
The Govt, I mean H.E acting
with the Minister approved the
proposal already - This is not a
new Scheme. In the transferred
Department the policy was approved.
The question is one of allotment of
funds when available & this may
perhaps be considered as to what
proportion of the funds available
will be apportioned to the expansion
of Elementary Education.
The proposal made in the file was
duly accepted by Finance, H.E &
the Minister in the transferred Dept.
The only question is one of detail.
As Part II Scheme the Finance Committee
also usually accepted it before - My
Hon. Colleague's letter file -
Cy.

I think we are in substantial
agreement
RSlt
14/12/24

Laws (Edn) dept

A note for the Finance Committee is submitted. Finance may be asked to add its portion of the note.

NMS 17/12 — 17/12/24 — V.T.K 17.12.

Lawd Dam 3336A-6
15.12.24.
B.E.T

Finance U.O

Finance BE.7

[Finance noted last on p. 22 ante]

Finance agreed to the scheme being placed before the Finance Committee in so far as it related to schools in villages having a population of 1000 and over where the cess is levied - These include areas which levy the cess at the maximum and areas which do not levy the cess at the maximum rates

" b4 has accepted only the proposal for opening new schools in the remaining villages containing a population of over a thousand in the areas which levy the cess at the full rates" He does not accept the

para 2 p. 20 ante

A in p. 27 ante

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT MADRAS 18 DEC 1924 EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT MADRAS 18 DEC 1924 LAW DEPARTMENT

the proposal to enable local bodies which do not levy the cess at full rates to open schools in such areas.

3. The Law dept in its note for the Finance Committee, has not adhered to b_4's note. It would allow schools in villages of 1000 where the cess is not levied at full rates which b_4 has not accepted. It would allow also schools in villages containing a population of over 500 and below 1000 which neither Finance nor b_4 has accepted.

4. The Law dept may be requested to revise the note for the Finance Committee on the basis of b_4's orders.

Cr 18/12/24

Law.

Fin no. 285 Bdg 1

19.12.24

L. Cr 4623C

19/12/24

Bud

19/XII/24.

Submitted for orders

CR

19.12.24

C4 has o I request reference to M2's note on page 28. C4's on pp 28 & 29. I think the note for the Finance Committee is submitted for orders.

V.Tk 20-12

M2

C4

UNDER SECRETARY TO GOVT. OF MADRAS
19 DEC 1924
LAW DEPARTMENT

101

There is no doubt what was meant in the note which my Hon Colle. accepted at pp. 2809 namely the proposal relates to villages with a population of 500 under 1000 so before the Finance Committee as Part II Scheme. The allotment of funds is a matter for the Cabinet decision.

20/12/24

I have no objection to the proposal which my Hon Colleague wishes to put before the Finance Committee except that I regard it as hopeless to expect to find funds for anything like the whole of it. I doubt even the first part.

RdeS

24/12/24

Finance may be requested to add its portion of the note.

V.Tk 27.12.

Finance u.o.

Law (Edn) no 3336 A-7

28/12/24

Put to pp 28 & 29

28/12/24

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVT.
29 DEC 1924
LAW DEPARTMENT

Finance (RE I)

[Finance noted last on pp 29-30 ante]

In the note for the Finance committee the Law dept's demand includes a full years recurring charges for the 1017 schols. But it is not likely that the new schols will be established earlier than July when the schol year commences. It may be suggested to the Law dept that the recurring charges might be reduced to 6 months' expenditure.

The demand will then be reduced to Rs. 2.96.900 as follows.

Recurring : 1,95,208

Non recurring - 1.01.700

2,96,908 or 2.96.900

2. The following may be the Finance note:-

"The proposals may be placed before the Finance committee as part II schemes for 1925-26"

As
29.12.24

Law (Edn) No 4084 A
29.12.24

JMB
29.12.24
Law (Edn) No

Finance No. 404 B&I
29.12.24

102 Law (Edn) Dept

The additions made on page 5 of the note for the Finance Committee below are for approval. Twenty copies of the note will be furnished to Finance

MG.
2.1.25

V.T.K.
2.1.25

(Twenty copies furnished to Finance)

Law (Education) Department.

Part II Scheme - 1925-26 - Expansion of Elementary Education - opening of new elementary schools under the control of local bodies.

Proposals of the Director of Public Instruction.

The Director of Public Instruction proposes provision for the following items in the Budget Estimate for 1925-26 under 31 C(b) (iii) - Education - Primary - Grants to local bodies for primary education.

	Cost in 1925-26 Rs.
(1) Opening of 435 schools in villages with a population of 1000 and over in the areas under the jurisdiction of taluk boards which levy the education tax.	1,63,560
(2) Opening of schools in one-third of 1985 villages (662) with a population of 500 to 1000 in the areas of the abovementioned taluk boards	2,48,912
Total	4,12,472

Note by the Law (Education) Department

The Elementary Education Conference held at Ootacamund in May 1923 made the following recommendation:--

"With a view to the gradual expansion of elementary education in rural areas, each village with a population of 500 inhabitants and over should have a school."

2. There are in the Presidency 3749 villages with a population of 500 to 1000 and 2087 villages with a population of 1000 and over unprovided with recognised schools. A programme for opening schools in these villages spread over a period of five years was formulated and placed before the Finance Committee on the 31st January 1924. The Committee advocated the institution of an educational survey in the taluks of the presidency on the lines suggested by Mr.Moir in his note on the expansion of elementary education,

and

35

104

and at the same time, recommended that provision should be made in the current year for an early advance. The Committee also urged that assistance should be given to taluk boards which were levying the education tax but were nevertheless unable to open schools in all villages with a population of 500 and over in their areas, and that schemes should be formulated for utilising in 1924-25 a sum of Rupees two lakhs for the expansion of elementary education.

3. The Director of Public Instruction who was asked to submit detailed proposals reported that, with a provision of only two lakhs it would not be possible even to meet the needs of the villages with a population of 1000 and over unprovided with schools. He therefore suggested the opening of single-teacher schools in villages with a population of 1000 and over, within the jurisdiction of taluk boards which are levying the education tax. There are 1143 such villages in the presidency, but as it would not ordinarily be possible for a taluk board to open more than 30 schools in a year, the Director of Public Instruction proposed the payment of subsidies to such taluk boards for the opening of 825 schools from the 1st November 1924. The annual recurring cost of the schools is Rs.2,38,092 and the amount required in ~~1925~~ 1924-25, including the cost of equipment at the rate of Rs.100 for each school, is Rs.1,57,944. At its meeting held on the 8th October 1924, the Finance Committee agreed to the proposal to move the Legislative Council for a supplementary-grant of Rs.1.60 lakhs, including the amount required for the opening of 14 schools in the area of the Saidapet taluk board. The Legislative Council voted the grant at the meeting held on the 10th October 1924. The opening of the schools was sanctioned in G.O. No.1598 Law (Education) dated the 16th October 1924.

4. Opening of schools in villages with a population of 1000 and over:-- Excluding the 825 villages in which schools have been opened

36

105

opened in the current year, there are still 318 villages with a population of 1000 and over still unprovided with schools, in the areas under the jurisdiction of the taluk boards mentioned in the annexure to G.O. No.1598 Law (Education) dated the 16th October 1924. In accordance with the principle already accepted by Government, provision may be made in the Budget for 1925-26 for the grant of subsidies to the taluk boards concerned, to enable them to open schools in the remaining villages also. No provision has been made in the current year for the opening of schools in the areas under the jurisdiction of the taluk boards of Polur, Sankari, Penukonda, Dharmavaram, Sattenapalle and Ramachandrapuram which have also been permitted to levy the education tax. The number of villages with a population of 1000 and over still unprovided with schools in the areas of all the taluk boards in question is 435. As it will not be possible for a taluk board to open more than 30 schools in a year, the number of schools to be newly opened in 1925-26 will be 355. The amount required for the opening of these schools is Rs1,37,728 as detailed below:--

435
355
80

Recurring

Teachers' salaries (266 x 19 plus 89 x 15)	6389 p.m.
Rent and contingencies (355 x 6)	2130
Total	8519 p.m.
Annual recurring expenditure	102228

Non-recurring

Equipment at the rate of Rs.100 for each school	35,500
Grand total	1,37,728

5. Opening of schools in villages with a population of 500 to 1000 in the areas under the jurisdiction of taluk boards which lev the education tax.

The Finance Committee which met on the 31st January 1924 advocated that, pending the institution of an educational survey on the lines advocated by Mr.Moir, assistance should be

37

106

be given to taluk boards which levy the education tax to enable them to open schools in all villages with a population of 500 and over in their areas. There are in the presidency 3749 villages with a population of 500 to 1000 still unprovided with schools. The number of such villages in the areas under the jurisdiction of taluk boards which levy the education tax is 1985. The Government have already granted subsidies to taluk boards which levy the cess to enable them to open schools in 825 villages with a population of 1000 and over. The opening of schools in villages with a population of 500 to 1000 in the areas of these taluk boards can only be brought about with similar subsidies from provincial funds. To secure rapid expansion of elementary education, it is desirable to provide facilities for such education in all villages with a population of 500 to 1000, as suggested by the Finance Committee. As a beginning, provision may be made in the Budget Estimate for 1925-26 for the opening of schools in one-third of the villages in question (i.e., in 662 villages) as suggested by the Director of Public Instruction, subject however to a limit of 30 schools for each Taluk Board area. The opening of schools in the remaining villages may be spread over the two succeeding years. The cost of opening schools in 662 villages in 1925-26 is Rs.2,56,784 as detailedbelow:--

	Rs.
Recurring	
Salaries of teachers (495 x 19 plus 167 x 15)	1,42,920
Rent & Contingencies at Rs.6 p.m. per school (662 x 6 x 12)	47,664
Total	1,90,584
Non-recurring	
Equipment at the rate of Rs.100 for each school	66,200
Grand Total	2,56,784

6. The total provision to be made in the Budget for 1925-26 under

38

107

under both ~~xx~~ heads is Rs3,94,512. If, however, the schools are opened from the 1st July 1925, as suggested by the Finance Department the provision required in 1925-26 is Rs.2,96,908, of which Rs1,95,208 is for recurring charges and Rs1,01,700 is for equipment.

V.T. Krishnama Achariyar,
17-12-1924

Note by the Finance Department

The proposals may be placed before the Finance Committee as Part II schemes for 1925-26.

R.W. Davies,
29-12-1924.

108

Finance Department.

Extract of the proceedings of the 10th meeting of the Finance Committee held on 6-1-1925.

Expansion of Elementary Education -- opening of additional schools under local bodies.

	Estimated cost. Rs.	Cost in 1925-26. Rs.
Recurring.	2,92,800	1,95,200
Non-recurring.	1,01,700	1,01,700

The Committee recommended the provision of Rs.2,00,000 and placed the proposal in class II.* the list of Schemes that are very urgently necessary

9-1-25.

Law (Edn.)

KRC.

UNDER SECRETARY TO GOVT. OF MADRAS
10 JAN 1925
PLAN DEPARTMENT

L. Edn 106A
10/1/25

* Since raised to 2·97 lakhs by the Cabinet - Vide item 4 on page 84 of Budget memorandum for 1925-26.

Law Edn. Deptt.

The total number of Schools proposed to be opened in 1925-26 is 355 + 662 or 1,017. Of these Schools are opened on 1st July 1925 there will be in 1925-26 a recurring expenditure of Rs 1,95,200 and a non recurring expenditure of Rs 1,01,700 or Rs 2,96,900 in all. The Finance Committee however proposes to provide in the next year's Budget

40

budget only Rs 2 Lakhs. This amount will be sufficient for the working of the Schools only with effect from the 1st November 1925 as shown below:—

Recurring expenditure for 4 months (4×24,401)	Rs 97,604
Non-recurring expenditure	1,01,700
	Rs 1,99,304

or nearly Rs 2 Lakhs.

It does not seem desirable to delay the opening of the Schools till November 1925 ~~and does it seem reasonable to open Schools in fewer villages than 1/3 of July~~ Submitted this dept may press for a provision of Rs 2·97 Lakhs in the Cabinet.

CR 14.1.25 V.TK 15.1

14/1/25

MG 14/1/25

M 2

Please put up L leaflet Discussn -

15/1/25

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT 19 JAN 1925 LAW DEPARTMENT

An explanatory note for discussion in the Cabinet is submitted as directed by the Hon'ble the Minister.

3/2/25

CR 3/2/25 V.TK 4/2

5

+ Meeting on the 6th misdl

We may begin in July. The number of schools will no doubt be less but the Scheme will be in force.

15/1/25

Telephonic Message, dated 6th March, 1925.

41

From

The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

SECRETARY TO GOVT. OF MADRAS 8 MAR 1925 LAW DEPARTMENT

To

The Secretary to Government,
Law (Education) Department.

109

SUBJECT:- Expansion of Elementary Education in rural areas.

Kindly let me know whether areas in the jurisdiction of Taluk Boards which have been permitted to levy the Education cess from 1-4-25 may be included in the proposals called for in Government Memo No.106/A/25/1 dated 3-3-1925.

for Director of Public Instruction.

Law(Education)DEpartment

A.S.

The taluk boards which have recently been permitted to levy the education tax are Bapatla, Tanuku, Kaikalur and Calicut. These are not included in the list at flag A. As it is proposed to open schools only in one-third of the number of villages in the areas of taluk boards levying the education tax, and as the four taluk boards in question have not yet begun to levy the education tax, it is submitted that these need not be taken into consideration for the purpose of the present scheme. The following draft reply is submitted:-

T. M.

To
The D.P.I.

The ~~area of the~~ taluk boards in question need not be taken into account in submitting the proposals called for in Memo. No. 106 A/25-1 dated 3-3-25.

11·3·25 MG 11/3

CR 11-3-25 V.TK 12·3

5

42

Memo. to the D.P.I. No. 166 A-1 dated 3-3-1925 p. ~~80~~ c.f.

Letter from the D.P.I. No.1682-B/24 dated 29-4-25. pp. 3-4 ~~29-31~~ c.f.

A.S.

The proposals of the D.P.I. in regard to the distribution of subsidies to taluk boards to enable them to open new elementary schools in school-less centres with a population of 500 and over may be ~~appe~~ approved. A draft order on the lines of G.O. No.1598 Law(Edn) dated 16th October,1924, is submitted. It may be sent u.o. to ~~Law and~~ Finance for acceptance.

1
5.5.25
MK
6/5/25

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
18-MAY-1925
LAW DEPARTMENT

Law(Edn)no 3336A/24-9.
12.5.25

6.5.25
5

V.TK
7.5

Finance u.o

Finance (Exp)

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
23-MAY-1925
LAW DEPARTMENT

Exp

The draft order may be accepted.

14.5.25
14.5.25

The draft provides for the grant of subsidy whether the requisite number of schools are opened or not. The grant should be subject to the condition that the schools are opened

15.5.25

Law (Edn)

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVT.
8-MAY-1925
LAW DEPARTMENT

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVT.
12-MAY-1925
FINANCE DEPARTMENT

NW

Ft 18641 Sch
13.5.25

The necessary addition has been made to para 4 of the draft. The orders are to issue

MK
6/5/25
GOVERNMENT
18-MAY-1925
LAW DEPARTMENT

18.5.25
5

V.TK
20/5

Fin. Memo 18641 (Exp)-1
15-5-25

Received from order on 18.5.25

PRESS SLIP.

Sectt. II-38—1,140—11-

~~ORDINARY.~~ ~~PREFERENCE.~~ URGENT (a)

Law (Education) DEPARTMENT.

GOVERNMENT PRESS
2.45
23 MAY 1925

Current No 15284, dated ..... 192 .

G.O. No. 577 dated 23 : 5 - 1925.

Number of MS. pages sent (b) Correspondence... Seven (b1) Notes... nil

Brief subject. Subsidy : opening of elg. schools 1925-26.

	(c) Number of copies required when struck off (*not including copies for volumes and editors' table which are retained in the Press*).	E. T. copies to supply requisitions for purchase by the public.	Complete correspondence and orders.	Notes.
FOR RECORD.*				
Madras Records ...		4		
Ooty Records ...				
For filing with original ...				
Total ...			14	

FOR ISSUE.†	Letter only.	Complete G.O.	(b) Special issue copies of G.O. No.	Special issue copies of G.O. Papers.	Notes.
Signature copies		4			
India Office					
Govt. of India ... Dept.					
Revenue Department					
Public Works Department					
Local and Municipal Department					
Educational Department					
... Dept.					
Public Dept.					
Judicial Dept					
Political					
Financial Dept.	Total				
Marine Dept.					
Ecclesiastical Dept.					
				Total ...	
Spare copies					
The D. P. I.		35			
The Exam. of L. F. Acts		41			
The Mem		209			
The					
The Public		20			
The					
The					
The					
The					
The					
The					
The					
The					
The					
The					
Total ...		296			

Copies distributed on ..... ‡(Press Clerk).

Copies distributed on ..... ‡(Press Despatcher).

‡ *To be initialled and dated.*

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE SECRETARIAT.

(*a*) If a paper is marked to be printed urgently, the Superintendent of the section concerned should sign the Press slip. The Superintendent should exercise a careful discretion in the matter.

(*b*) Each letter, memorandum, or order or set of orders, in the file of correspondence should be headed in succession with the Roman numeral I, II, III, etc., the number being written in red ink or blue chalk above the item. The particular papers to be printed in the special issue-copies should be indicated by the Roman numeral (I, II, etc.) by which that item has been headed in the correspondence file. All matter, including marginal remarks or references, to be printed should be written in ink and matter which should not be printed should be encircled. Initials and signatures that are not quite legible should be re-written distinctly.

(*b*1) For rules regarding printing of notes, see rule 315 of the office Manual.

(*c*) The number of copies and the details of distribution should be filled in by the Superintendent or Referencer concerned.

(*d*) In the absence of special instructions to the Press to keep matter standing for a specified period, ordinary papers of 8 pages and under will be kept standing for *one* week, and larger papers for *two* weeks only. *The type of notes and of all confidential papers is distributed immediately after striking.*

(*e*) This slip, properly and completely filled in, should accompany every paper sent to Press; it will be returned by the Press when proofs are furnished; it should be sent to the Press when a call is made for revised proofs or when a corrected proof is sent for striking. When finally returned by Press it should be filed with the original order or letter.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE PRESS.

(*a*) If, in the opinion of the Superintendent of the Press, a paper has been marked urgent unnecessarily, he should send the Press slip to the Registrar with a remark to that effect.

(*b*) Unless a note to the contrary is made against the matter, pencil entries should not be printed. The Superintendent of the Press may bring to the notice of the Registrar any instance of incomplete or careless editing.

(*c*) Signature copies of letters to the Secretary of State or to the Government of India should be printed on hand-made paper.

(*d*) This slip should be returned by the Press to the Secretariat when proofs are furnished; it will be sent back to the Press when proofs are returned corrected and should be finally returned to the Secretariat when struck-off copies are supplied.

(*e*) The names of the Secretary and Members should be printed in full when they occur on the right hand side, only the first time when they appear on the left side, the initials alone being printed later.

[P.T.O.]

TO PRESS, MADRAS, OOTY.

A	B	C
Pleasee send proof in duplicate. The papers have been carefully edited.	Proof returned corrected. Please strike off and send number of copies as indicated.	As the matter is clear manuscript and has been carefully edited, (*b*) proof need not be sent. Please print and supply number of copies as indicated.
DI 23.5.25 Referencer. Clerk.	Type may be released after usual interval. / at once.	Type may be released after usual interval.
Supt.	Referencer. Clerk. Supl.	Referencer. Clerk. Supt.

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS.

Please send proof by Monday morning and treat this as specially urgent.

V. Kanakasabai Iyer
Upper Lower End Clerk
23/5/25

TO BE FILLED IN BY THE PRESS.

Diary.	Initials of Press official
Received in Press on	
First proof furnished on	
Call for revised proof received on	
Revised proof furnished on	
Corrected proof received for striking on	
Final copies supplied on	

Account of copies.	Complete copies.	Notes.	Issue copies.	Special E.T. edition
Supplied to Secretariat for Record				
„ „ „ Issue				
„ „ „ for Extra E.T. copies to supply requisitions for sale to the public.				4
For Volumes				
For supply to newspapers and institutions admitted to the privileges of the E.T.				
Total ...				

1565

C.F. 271.

OFFICE OF THE

Dated 191

Hours Minutes.

TELEPHONIC MESSAGE.

From The D.I.

To The A.S. Land Enquiry

Ln No. No. 1598 LE/15.10.24. Lang. the Opening of new Ele. Schools under the management certain Local Boards, the pay of teachers are being fixed at Rs 19/Rs 15/- according to as they are trained or untrained. Kindly let me know whether Local Bodies can fix a lower pay to the teachers employed in their Schools

R 24/7

Reply

If a taluk board can get efficient teachers for a pay less than the rates sanctioned by Govt in G.O no 1598 L.E. dt 15.10.24, no objection need be raised

V.T.K.
24.7.25

24/7

Issued D.M.7

Law (Edn) Deptt

(G.O. 877 / 23-5-25)

In Sanctioning Subsidies for opening new elementary Schools, the pay of each trained teacher was taken at Rs 19 a month & of each untrained teacher at Rs 15 a month. Some of the teachers in the existing Board Schools receive less & while others receive more than these rates. If any taluk board pays the teachers in the newly opened Schools the higher rates prevailing in that taluk in order to avoid invidious distinction, ~~in accordance with G.O. No. 1127, I.-23,~~ the Govt. raise no objection, provided ~~the extra cost involved is~~ no additional Subsidy is asked for on account of the extra cost involved. The Director now enquires whether it is advisable for any taluk board to pay the teachers in the newly opened Schools ~~at a~~ less than the rates Sanctioned therefor by Govt. If the taluk boards can get efficient teachers for a pay less than the rates Sanctioned by Govt. no objection need be raised as the savings effected thereby in the current & succeeding years will ~~remain in~~ form part of the E-E fund and be available for ~~utilised towards~~ the opening of ~~more~~ new Schools. For orders whether the D.P.I. may be informed as at A above.

A

(x) Savings in 1924-25 will be deducted from the salary payable in the current year

AP 22/7/25 VK 23/7/25

CR 25.7.25 S

VTK 27/7

Cyo. 2495A

Local Fds, Depts

Not to issue

Memo

The Presidents of the undermentioned ~~taluk boards~~ local bodies are informed that Subsidies have been sanctioned in G.o no. 877 L.Dg 23.5.25 for the opening of elementary Schools in their areas under their jurisdiction as detailed below:-

Name of Dt. Bd or Municipal Council	No. of Schools
Tasilampatti	15
Melur	1
Madura	2
Dindigal	12
Polur	~~16~~ 22
The D. Bd Nilgiris	4
Ramnad	23
Sivaganga	24
Salem	21
Perambalur	14
Udayarpalayam	21
Srichimpoly	15
Kalgudi	11
Karur	20
Tanjore	10
Pattukottai	21
Vizagapatam	19
Narasapatam	27

(S)

Note

A Similar memo has issued to the P.D.B. Malabar. To avoid references from others, the D.B. Nilgiris as well as all the other local bodies mentioned in p. 3 of the G.o 877 L.Dg 23.5.25 may be informed of the correct figures

AR 16/7/25 HM 16/7/25

I think it better to get the page reprinted & despatched, with all the details.

AR 17/7

Subd this has been done. Copies have been despatched to all concerned this may now be lodged & attached with 7/8/25 the original of the G.o.

HM 11/8/25 AR 11/8

2o

The P.D.B. the Nilgiris (with ref to his letter no. 481/25 dg 7.7.25)
.. P.T.Bs concerned
.. P.D.B.s concerned
.. P.D.E.Os concerned
.. Accts Genl.
.. D.P.I
.. Ex.y of L.F.A

} L.F. memo.

AR 16/7/25 HM 16/7/25

G.F. 30—41,000—8-9-24.

DEPARTMENT.

1/4

Received ..... 192 . *Registered* ..... 192 .

Subject.

d.Cr 2695 A
14/7/25

Subsidy - opening of new elementary schools-

1925 - 26 - sanctioned.

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVT.
14-JUL-1925
LAW DEPARTMENT

ENCLOSURES.
No. .....
.....
Spare copies .....

R. O. C. No. 481/25.

Station Ootacamund. , *Dated the* 7th July 1925 .

From (Name) C. D'A. Crofton Esq., I.C.S.,

(Designation) President, District Board,

The Nilgiris.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law(Education) Department.

MADRAS.

Sir.

G. O. No. 877, dated 23-5-25.

I have the honour to state that the figures noted against the Nilgiri District Board in the annexure to the above G. O. appear to be a (x) mistake for the figures noted against Ramnad District Board. It is requested that necessary correction slip be kindly issued, so that the actual grant sanctioned for the Nilgiri District Board be drawn from the - - Treasury.

(x) The Press is responsible for this mistake and the S.G.P. has taken disciplinary action in the matter. Vide linked file

for President.
11-7-25.

DESPATCHED
1 JUL

11/7/25.

V.E

Dept

Please despatch revised copies of the G.O. to all the taluk boards mentioned in page 3 of the G.O. except those in the malabar district.

i.e Revised copies shall be despatched to the taluk boards in the following districts:-

Madura — 4 taluk boards
N Arcot — 1 do
Nilgiris — 1
Ramnad — 2 do
Salem — 1 do
Trichy — 5 do
Tanjore — 2 do
Vizag — 2 do

3/8/25

DESPATCHED
3 AUG 1925

Please despatch a copy to the undermentioned officers also:-

1) & &
2) Accl Genl
& 3) Examiner of L.F.A.

DESPATCHED
6 AUG 1925

5/8/25

Name of taluk board.	Number of centres schools started in which will serve groups of centres with a population of		Number of schools proposed to be opened.	Annual cost.			Cost of maintenance in the current year, i.e., from 1st July 1925.	Cost of equipment at Rs. 100 for school.	Total amount to be paid during the current year, i.e., columns (8) and (9).
	1,000 and above.	500 to 1,000.		Pay of teachers at Rs. 19 per mensem for 75 per cent of teachers who will be trained and at Rs. 15 per mensem for the rest who will be untrained.	Rent at Rs. 4 per men sem and contingencies at Rs. 2 per men sem for school.	Total annual cost i.e., columns (5) and (6).			
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
				Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Madura district—									
Usilampatti	4	21	15	3,276	1,080	4,356	2,904	1,500	4,404
Melur	..	2	1	180	72	252	168	100	268
Madura	..	3	2	408	144	552	368	200	568
Dindigul	9	5	12	2,592	864	3,456	2,304	1,200	3,504
Malabar district—									
Kottayam (to be amalgamated with Chirakkal).	9	..	9	1,956	648	2,604	1,736	900	2,636
Walluvanad	13	2	14	3,048	1,008	4,056	2,704	1,400	4,104
Kurumbranad (to be amalgamated with Calicut).	5	9	9	1,956	648	2,604	1,736	900	2,636
Chirakkal	14	11	20	4,320	1,440	5,760	3,840	2,000	5,840
Wynaad (District Board, Malabar).	10	9	15	3,276	1,080	4,356	2,904	1,500	4,404
Ernad	18	12	24	5,184	1,728	6,912	4,608	2,400	7,008
Palghat	3	26	16	3,456	1,152	4,608	3,072	1,600	4,672
North Arcot district—									
Polur	14	16	22	4,728	1,584	6,312	4,208	2,200	6,408
The Nilgiris district—									
District Board	1	7	4	864	288	1,152	768	400	1,168
Ramnad district—									
Ramnad	15	15	23	5,004	1,656	6,660	4,440	2,300	6,740
Sivaganga	19	11	24	5,184	1,728	6,912	4,608	2,400	7,008
Salem district—									
Salem	16	10	21	4,500	1,512	6,012	4,008	2,100	6,108
Trichinopoly district—									
Perambalur	4	19	14	3,048	1,008	4,056	2,704	1,400	4,104
Udayarpalayam ..	11	19	21	4,500	1,512	6,012	4,608	2,100	6,108
Trichinopoly	6	17	15	3,276	1,080	4,356	2,904	1,500	4,404
Lalgudi	6	11	11	2,364	792	3,156	2,104	1,100	3,204
Karur	15	10	20	4,320	1,440	5,760	3,840	2,000	5,840
Tanjore district—									
Tanjore	5	11	10	2,136	720	2,856	1,904	1,000	2,904
Pattukottai	12	18	21	4,500	1,512	6,012	4,008	2,100	6,108
Vizagapatam district—									
Vizagapatam	7	23	19	4,149	1,368	5,508	3,672	1,900	5,572
Narasapatam	23	7	27	5,868	1,944	7,812	5,208	2,700	7,908
Total ..	558	911	1,017	2,19,156	78,224	2,92,380	1,94,920	1,01,700	2,96,620

Prof approved
2. Please send 40 copies of the completed Fio with a red slip affixed to each copy as instructed in para 2 of the slip below

27/7/25

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
25 JUL 1925
MADRAS

Press

The figures on page 3 of G.O no. 877 L.Sg. 23.5.25 have not been properly entered against the ~~Several~~ taluk boards to which they relate. Please reprint page 3 as shown in the accompanying proof & ~~Send~~ Send clear proof for approval. (Vide Asst. Secy's order below)

2. 40 copies of the G.O are sent herewith. After the proof of page 3 is approved, 40 copies of that page may be printed & added to the 40 copies of pp 1-2 sent herewith. They shall be returned with a red slip on each copy bearing the inscription "To be substituted for the G.O of the same number & date".

A.S. 24/7/25

MS 24/7

Manuscript to file
to Press
AS 24/7.

To

The D.P.I (with reference to his letter No 164 A-25/11.7.25)

The Commissioner Corporation of Madras thro' the President-do-

Acct. Genl

Ex. & L.F.A

P.E.O. Madras.

L.R.S

Finance

Government of Madras

LAW (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 877, 23rd May 1925

Subsidy—Opening of new elementary schools—1925-26—Sanctioned.

READ—the following paper :—

From the Director of Public Instruction, dated 29th April 1925, R.O.C. No. 1682-B/24.

Order—No. 877, Law (Education), dated 23rd May 1925.

The Government sanction the distribution of subsidies to the taluk boards mentioned in the annexure to these proceedings to enable them to open new elementary schools for boys in villages with a population of 500 and over now unprovided with schools. The total number of schools to be opened is 1,017 (558 schools in villages with a population of 1,000 and over and 459 schools in villages with a population of 500 to 1,000). The annual cost of their maintenance is Rs. 2,92,380. A sum of Rs. 100 will also be provided for the equipment of each school.

2. The presidents of the taluk boards are requested to take steps for the opening of the schools on the 1st July 1925. The location of the schools should be settled in consultation with the District Educational Officer and the President of the District Educational Council concerned.

3 The presidents of the taluk boards and the departmental inspecting officers should endeavour to obtain, if possible, from the villagers houses free of rent for the location of the schools. Charges on the equipment of schools should be kept as low as possible.

4. Sanction is accorded to the payment to the taluk boards in the current official year of the sum specified against each in column (10) of the annexure to these proceedings. The grant is subject to the condition that the full number of schools specified in column (4) of the annexure are opened. The Accountant-General is requested to arrange for the payment of the amounts. The presidents of the taluk boards should present the necessary bills at the treasury for obtaining payment or adjustment of the amounts sanctioned. The amounts are contributions to the elementary education funds and should be credited thereto.

5. The total expenditure of Rs. 2,96,620 will be met from the following sources :—

(i) Rs. 1,94,920 from the provision of Rs. 14,41,100 in the current year's budget estimate under " 31. C. (*c*) Education—Primary—Grants to local bodies for primary education—Voted—Grants-in-aid—Recurring—Teaching ; " and

(ii) Rs. 1,01,700 from the provision of Rs. 1,01,700 in the current year's budget estimate under " 31. C. (*c*) Education—Primary—Grants to local bodies for primary education—voted—Grants-in-aid—non-recurring—Equipment. "

(By order of the Government, Ministry of Education)

V. T. KRISHNAMA ACHARIYAR,
Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.
" Presidents of Taluk Boards concerned.
" Presidents of District Boards concerned.
" Presidents of District Educational Councils concerned.
" Examiner of Local Fund Accounts.
" Accountant-General (through Finance Department).
" Finance Department.

[Annexure.

ANNEXURE

Name of taluk board.	Number of centres schools started in which will serve groups of centres with a population of		Number of schools proposed to be opened.	Annual cost.			Cost of maintenance in the current year, i.e., from 1st July 1925.	Cost of equipment at Rs. 100 per school.	Total amount to be paid during the current year, i.e., columns (8) and (9).
	1,000 and above.	500 to 1,000.		Pay of teachers at Rs 19 per mensem for 75 per cent of teachers who will be trained and at Rs. 15 per mensem for the rest who will be untrained.	Rent at Rs. 4 per mensem and contingencies at Rs. 2 per mensem per school.	Total annual cost, i.e., columns (5) and (6).			
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
				Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Anantapur district—									
Dharmavaram	1	15	8	1,728	576	2,304	1,536	800	2,336
Penukonda	11	18	20	4,320	1,440	5,760	3,840	2,000	5,840
Gooty	3	27	17	3,636	1,224	4,860	3,240	1,700	4,940
Anantapur	1	23	12	2,592	864	3,456	2,304	1,200	3,504
Arcot, South district—									
Cuddalore	12	18	21	4,500	1,512	6,012	4,008	2,100	6,108
Vriddhachalam ..	7	21	17	3,636	1,224	4,860	3,240	1,700	4,940
Chidambaram	..	30	15	3,276	1,080	4,356	2,904	1,500	4,404
Tindivanam	27	3	28	6,048	2,016	8,064	5,376	2,800	8,176
Tirukoilur	24	6	27	5,820	1,944	7,764	5,176	2,700	7,876
Bellary district—									
Adoni	4	10	9	1,956	648	2,604	1,736	900	2,636
Chingleput district—									
Saidapet	14	4	16	3,456	1,152	4,608	3,072	1,600	4,672
Chingleput	23	5	25	5,364	1,800	7,164	4,776	2,500	7,276
Tiruvallur	13	17	22	4,728	1,584	6,312	4,208	2,200	6,408
Chittoor district—									
Chittoor	7	19	17	3,636	1,224	4,860	3,240	1,700	4,940
Madanapalle	3	9	7	1,500	504	2,004	1,336	700	2,036
Coimbatore district—									
Coimbatore	16	12	22	4,728	1,584	6,312	4,208	2,200	6,408
Pollachi	19	11	24	5,184	1,728	6,912	4,608	2,400	7,008
Palladam	7	1	8	1,728	576	2,304	1,536	800	2,336
Cuddapah district—									
Royachoti	1	18	10	2,136	720	2,856	1,904	1,000	2,904
Ganjam district—									
Chatrapur	6	24	18	3,864	1,296	5,160	3,440	1,800	5,240
Berhampur	4	26	17	3,636	1,224	4,860	3,240	1,700	4,940
Chicacole	2	28	16	3,456	1,152	4,608	3,072	1,600	4,672
Ghumsur	..	10	5	1,044	360	1,404	936	500	1,436
Gödävari, East district—									
Cocanada	9	3	10	2,136	720	2,856	1,904	1,000	2,904
Peddapur	..	30	15	3,276	1,080	4,356	2,904	1,500	4,404
Pittapur	6	11	12	2,592	864	3,456	2,304	1,200	3,504
Rajahmundry	10	20	20	4,320	1,440	5,760	3,840	2,000	5,840
Ramachandrapur ..	..	7	4	864	288	1,152	768	400	1,168
Gödävari, West district—									
Narasapur	Nil.								
Tanuku	Do.								
Guntur district—									
Ongole	3	9	7	1,500	504	2,004	1,336	700	2,036
Bapatla	2	21	13	2,772	936	3,708	2,472	1,300	3,772
Tenali	1	3	3	636	216	852	568	300	868
Narasaraopet	3	14	10	2,136	720	2,856	1,904	1,000	2,904
Sattenapalle	4	26	17	3,636	1,224	4,860	3,240	1,700	4,940
Guntur	4	11	9	1,956	648	2,604	1,736	900	2,636
Kanara, South district—									
Karkal	3	6	6	1,272	432	1,704	1,136	600	1,736
Udipi	11	4	13	2,772	936	3,708	2,472	1,300	3,772
Coondapur	13	13	20	4,320	1,440	5,760	3,840	2,000	5,840
Kasaragod	2	10	7	1,500	504	2,004	1,336	700	2,036
Uppinangadi	24	6	27	5,820	1,944	7,764	5,176	2,700	7,876
Mangalore	3	9	8	1,728	576	2,304	1,536	800	2,336
Kistna district—									
Bandar	6	16	14	3,048	1,008	4,056	2,704	1,400	4,104
Gudivada	6	6	9	1,956	648	2,604	1,736	900	2,636
Kurnool district—									
Kurnool	2	2	3	636	216	852	568	300	868
Dhone	2	18	11	2,364	792	3,156	2,104	1,100	3,204
Nandyal	..	4	2	408	144	552	368	200	568
Koilkuntla	..	3	2	408	144	552	368	200	568
Markapur	..	10	5	1,044	360	1,404	936	500	1,436

Name of taluk board.	Number of centres schools started in which will serve groups of centres with a population of		Number of schools proposed to be opened.	Annual cost.			Cost of maintenance in the current year, i.e., from 1st July 1925.	Cost of equipment at Rs. 100 for school.	Total amount to be paid during the current year, i.e., columns (8) and (9).
	1,000 and above.	500 to 1,000.		Pay of teachers at Rs. 19 per mensem for 75 per cent of teachers who will be trained and at Rs. 15 per mensem for the rest who will be untrained.	Rent at Rs. 4 per mensem and contingencies at Rs. 2 per mensem for school.	Total annual cost i.e., columns (5) and (6).			
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
				Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Madura district—									
Usilampatti	4	21	15	3,276	1,080	4,356	2,904	1,500	4,404
Melur	2	1	1	180	72	252	168	100	268
Madura	3	2	2	408	144	552	368	200	568
Dindigul	9	5	12	2,592	864	3,456	2,304	1,200	3,504
Malabar district—									
Kottayam (to be amalgamated with Chirakkal).	9	..	9	1,956	648	2,604	1,736	900	2,636
Walluvanad	13	2	14	3,048	1,008	4,056	2,704	1,400	4,104
Kurumbranad (to be amalgamated with Calicut).	5	9	9	1,956	648	2,604	1,736	900	2,636
Chirakkal	14	11	20	4,320	1,440	5,760	3,840	2,000	5,840
Wynaad (District Board, Malabar).	10	9	15	3,276	1,080	4,356	2,904	1,500	4,404
Ernad	18	12	24	5,184	1,728	6,912	4,608	2,400	7,008
Palghat	3	26	16	3,456	1,152	4,608	3,072	1,600	4,672
North Arcot district—									
Polur	14	16	22	4,728	1,584	6,312	4,208	2,200	6,408
The Nilgiris district—									
District Board	1	7	4	864	288	1,152	768	400	1,168
Ramnad district—									
Ramnad	15	15	23	5,004	1,656	6,660	4,440	2,300	6,740
Sivaganga	19	11	24	5,184	1,728	6,912	4,608	2,400	7,008
Salem district—									
Salem	16	10	21	4,500	1,512	6,012	4,008	2,100	6,108
Trichinopoly district—									
Perambalur	4	19	14	3,048	1,008	4,056	2,704	1,400	4,104
Udayarpalayam ..	11	19	21	4,500	1,512	6,012	4,608	2,100	6,108
Trichinopoly	6	17	15	3,276	1,080	4,356	2,904	1,500	4,404
Lalgudi	6	11	11	2,364	792	3,156	2,104	1,100	3,204
Karur	15	10	20	4,320	1,440	5,760	3,840	2,000	5,840
Tanjore district—									
Tanjore	5	11	10	2,136	720	2,856	1,904	1,000	2,904
Pattukottai	12	18	21	4,500	1,512	6,012	4,008	2,100	6,108
Vizagapatam district—									
Vizagapatam	7	23	19	4,140	1,368	5,508	3,672	1,900	5,572
Narasapatam	23	7	27	5,868	1,944	7,812	5,208	2,700	7,908
Total ..	558	911	1,017	2,19,156	73,224	2,92,380	1,94,920	1,01,700	2,96,620

118

Statement showing the proposals for opening schools in areas under cess-levying taluk boards—*cont.*

Name of taluk board.	Number of centres schools started in which will serve groups of centres with a population of		Number of schools proposed to be opened.	Annual cost.			Cost of maintenance in the current year, i.e., from 1st July 1925.	Cost of equipment at Rs. 100 for school.	Total amount to be paid during the current year, i.e., columns (8) and (9).
	1,000 and above.	500 to 1,000.		Pay of teachers at Rs. 19 per mensem for 75 per cent of teachers who will be trained and at Rs. 15 per mensem for the rest who will be untrained.	Rent at Rs. 4 per men sem and contingencies at Rs. 2 per men sem for school.	Total annual cost i.e., columns (5) and (6).			
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
				Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Madura—									
Usilampatti	4	21	15	3,276	1,080	4,356	2,904	1,500	4,404
Melur	..	2	1	180	72	252	168	100	268
Madura	..	3	2	408	144	552	368	200	568
Dindigul	9	5	12	2,592	864	3,456	2,304	1,200	3,504
Malabar—									
Kottayam (to be amalgamated with Chirakkal).	9	..	9	1,956	648	2,604	1,736	900	2,636
Walluvanad	13	2	14	3,048	1,008	4,056	2,704	1,400	4,104
Kurumbranad (to be amalgamated with Calicut).	5	9	9	1,956	648	2,604	1,736	900	2,636
Chirakkal	14	11	20	4,320	1,440	5,760	3,840	2,000	5,840
Wynaad (District Board, Malabar).	10	9	15	3,276	1,080	4,356	2,904	1,500	4,404
Ernad	18	12	24	5,184	1,728	6,912	4,608	2,400	7,008
Palghat	3	26	16	3,456	1,152	4,608	3,072	1,600	4,672
North Arcot—									
Polur	14	16	22	4,728	1,584	6,312	4,208	2,200	6,408
The Nilgiris—									
District Board	1	7	4	864	288	1,152	768	400	1,168
Ramnad—									
Ramnad	15	15	23	5,004	1,656	6,660	4,440	2,300	6,740
Sivaganga	19	11	24	5,184	1,728	6,912	4,608	2,400	7,008
Salem—									
Salem	16	10	21	4,500	1,512	6,012	4,008	2,100	6,108
Trichinopoly—									
Perambalur	4	19	14	3,048	1,008	4,056	2,704	1,400	4,104
Udaiyarpalayam ..	11	19	21	4,500	1,512	6,012	4,008	2,100	6,108
Trichinopoly	6	17	15	3,276	1,080	4,356	2,904	1,500	4,404
Lalgudi	6	11	11	2,364	792	3,156	2,104	1,100	3,204
Karur	15	10	20	4,320	1,440	5,760	3,840	2,000	5,840
Tanjore—									
Tanjore	5	11	10	2,136	720	20,856	1,904	1,000	2,904
Pattukottai	12	18	21	4,500	1,512	6,012	4,008	2,100	6,108
Vizagapatam—									
Vizagapatam	7	28	19	4,140	1,368	5,508	3,672	1,900	5,572
Narasapatam	23	7	27	5,868	1,944	7,812	5,208	2,700	7,908
Golgonda	17	3	19	4,140	1,368	5,508	3,672	1,900	5,572
Total ..	558	911	1,017	2,19,156	73,224	2,92,380	1,94,920	1,01,700	2,96,620

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Railways—									
Chelmsford	12	2,630	2,610	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Colchester	2	2,630	2,610	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Epping	1	2,630	2,610	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Harwich	1	2,630	2,610	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Saffron Walden	1	2,630	2,610	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Southend-on-Sea	2	2,630	2,610	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Waltham Holy Cross	1	2,630	2,610	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Rates—									
Borough	1	2,630	2,610	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Rural	1	2,630	2,610	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Urban	1	2,630	2,610	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Rural Sanitary Authority	1	2,630	2,610	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Sewage Disposal	1	2,630	2,610	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Sewers and Drains	1	2,630	2,610	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Small Dwellings Acquisition Acts	1	2,630	2,610	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Smallpox Hospital	1	2,630	2,610	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Stallions	1	2,630	2,610	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Steamrollers	1	2,630	2,610	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Superannuation	1	2,630	2,610	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Swimming Baths	1	2,630	2,610	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Technical Education	1	2,630	2,610	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Tramways	1	2,630	2,610	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Transfer	1	2,630	2,610	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Transport—									
Aerial Ropeways	1	2,630	2,610	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Ferries	1	2,630	2,610	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Piers	1	2,630	2,610	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Trunk Roads	1	2,630	2,610	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Tuberculosis	1	2,630	2,610	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Unemployment Insurance	1	2,630	2,610	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Vaccination	1	2,630	2,610	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Valuation—									
Assessment Committee	1	2,630	2,610	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Central Valuation Committee	1	2,630	2,610	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Valuation Committee	1	2,630	2,610	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Valuation Officer	1	2,630	2,610	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Vehicles	1	2,630	2,610	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Water	1	2,630	2,610	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Weights and Measures	1	2,630	2,610	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Wireless Telegraphy	1	2,630	2,610	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Total	118	2,630	2,610	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240

(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)
Railways—									
Chelmsford	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Colchester	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Epping	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Harwich	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Saffron Walden	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Southend-on-Sea	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Waltham Holy Cross	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Rates—									
Borough	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Rural	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Urban	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Rural Sanitary Authority	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Sewage Disposal	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Sewers and Drains	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Small Dwellings Acquisition Acts	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Smallpox Hospital	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Stallions	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Steamrollers	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Superannuation	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Swimming Baths	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Technical Education	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Tramways	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Transfer	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Transport—									
Aerial Ropeways	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Ferries	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Piers	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Trunk Roads	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Tuberculosis	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Unemployment Insurance	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Vaccination	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Valuation—									
Assessment Committee	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Central Valuation Committee	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Valuation Committee	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Valuation Officer	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Vehicles	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Water	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Weights and Measures	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Wireless Telegraphy	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240
Total	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240	2,240