

Govt. (1925) Department.  
Education

Ordering SERIES.

G.O. No. 716

DATED

6/5/25

[Despatch Abstract.]

Ramanatha Ayyar, M.R.Sy. P.M., - Assistant Government College, Coimbatore,

Excess pay - Recovery - Waiver - Declined.



# BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |

# FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |



Coimbatore,  
3rd April 1925.

From  
P. K. Ramanatha Iyer,  
Assistant, Govt. College,  
Coimbatore.

To  
The Honourable the Minister  
for Education, Govt. of Madras,  
M a d r a s.

Honoured Sir,

Your humble memorialist respectfully  
begs to submit:--

He memorialised the Government in April 1924 (vide enclosure) to fix his pay at Rs. 60/- plus 5/- personal allowance. But the Government were pleased to inform him that they were not able to grant his request. Now about 10 months later, the Director in his Proceedings R.C.No.1853C of 1924 dated 12-2-25, has ordered the refund of Rs. 132/- drawn in excess by the Memorialist from 1-3-1922 to 31-1-1923.

He respectfully begs to submit that this is a really hard claim on the slender resources of a person of his age whose salary is only Rs. 56/- per mensem. The alleged excess was drawn by the Memorialist under proper sanction with not even the least idea that it was an objectionable claim. The Memorialist also requests Government to take into consideration the fact that the allowance now ordered to be recovered was drawn two years ago and that it is a great hardship for him to be asked

*MP4-7 info*  
*G.O. 813*  
*19-5-24*  
*(V)*  
*Please see Director's*  
*remarks under para*  
*18 mp 3 of Govt 813*  
*19-5-24*  
*(V)*

*SN*



to refund the amount now.

Your humble memorialist therefore respectfully prays that  
the Government may be pleased to waive the amount of Rs.132/-  
ordered to be recovered from him.

The Memorialist humbly begs to remain,

Honoured Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

*K. Ramaswami aiyar*



3  
H. No 72<sup>49</sup>/25.

Submitted for favourable  
consideration.

2. It appears to be really  
hard that a man on a  
small pay like <sup>memorialist</sup> ~~teacher~~ should  
be called upon to refund  
a sum of Rs 132/- now. The  
Case is one which deserves  
Generous treatment on account  
also of the good work turned  
out by the teacher in the school.  
Govt. College } D. M. S. S. S.  
Coimbatore } as Principal.  
4.4.25.

4  
Same as memorial on page 3-7 of G.O. No. 8138  
19.5.24 (V)  
Coimbatore,

Dated April 1924.

From

P. K. Ramanatha Iyer,

Assistant, Government College,

Coimbatore.

To

The Honourable the Minister for Education,

Government of Madras, Madras.

Through

The Principal,

Government College,

Coimbatore.

Sir,

Your humble memorialist respectfully begs to submit:--

He has been on the staff of the Coimbatore College  
for the past over 24 years and his work has been held by --  
successive Principals and inspecting officers to have been uni-  
formly good.

When the College was taken under Government control  
in June 1919, he was appointed sub-protom in class VI (Rs. 40/-)  
of the School assistant cadre.

In November 1920 (21-11-1920) he made a request that  
in consideration of his service and age and several other reason  
that should weigh in his favour, he might be given a higher pay  
(vide enclosure-his letter to the Principal dated 21-11-1920).

Agreeably to his request, the Director was pleased  
to consider his case deserving and give him a promotion from  
class VI (Rs. 52/- that is, Rs. 40/- plus Rs. 12/-) sub-protom  
to class V (Rs. 50/-) sub-protom  
with effect from 1-2-1921 (vide Proceedings of the D.P.I. C.No.  
816 of 1921 dated 27-1-1921).

To



To his great disappointment, this sub-protem promotion given to him in January 1921 was cancelled in April 1921 with retrospective effect from 28-2-1921, the date specially significant in the fixing of an incumbent's initial pay, (vide -- Proceedings R.C.No.816/21 dated 27-1-1921).

On 27-4-1921 he prayed that the above order cancelling his sub-protem promotion to class V (Rs.65/-) from 28-2-1921 might be reconsidered stating among other reasons, that because of the special significance of the date 28-2-1921 from which the promotion should cease, his initial pay in the time-scale would be affected (vide his letter to the D.P.I. dated 27-4-1921).

again  
Agreeably/to his request, the Director was pleased to deem his case worthy of reconsideration and modify his order in his favour and allow him to keep his sub-protem promotion to class V (Rs.65/-) with effect from 28-2-1921 (vide Proceedings of the D.P.I. Dis.No.3182 dated 18-5-21 on reference from the Principal, Government College, Coimbatore, R.C.No.356 of 21 dated 28-4-1921).

. Later on in December 1921, exactly in accordance with the above interpretation of the rule for fixing the initial pay the Director was pleased to fix his initial pay at Rs.60/- plus Rs.5/- personal allowance; the temporary pay he drew on -- 28-2-21 (vide Dis. No. 6910 of 21 dated 3-12-21 of the D.P.I.).

After being allowed to draw Rs.65/- continuously for over two years from 1-2-21, he was to his great disappointment and sorrow, informed that the Director was pleased to fix his initial pay at Rs.53/- on the basis of the lowest salary between 1st December 1920 and 28th February 1921 referred to in the rule for fixing an incumbent's pay (vide R.C.No.131.C/23 dated 3-2-23 from the D.P.I. to the A.G.).

With regard to the above order, the memorialist prayed that the Director might be pleased to reconsider and refix his



initial pay at Rs.60/- plus Rs.5 personal allowance on the basis of his temporary pay on 28-2-21 as he was pleased to do in his proceedings Dis.No.6910 of 21 dated 3-12-1921.

But the Director was pleased to reply that under the rules, the petitioner's initial pay could be fixed at Rs.53/- only (vide N.Dis.No.575-C/23 of the Director of Public Instruction dated 6-12-1923).

This, the memorialist respectfully begs to submit, is a really unexpected hard blow to him, since the Director, having more than once considered his case deserving of a special treatment gave him a promotion to Rs.65/- in January 1921, continued him to be on the same pay for two years and even fixed his -- initial pay in December 1921 at Rs.60/- plus Rs.5/- personal allowance.

Thus, he was all the while led to believe that his initial pay in the time scale could not be less than Rs.65/- and the orders passed after an interval of two years reducing his pay to Rs.53/- have placed him in a position of special disadvantage inasmuch as they have prevented him from having taken steps -- earlier to safeguard his interest.

The promotion itself was from a lower class to a higher class before the introduction of the time scale of pay and any merging of the several classes later in one cadre in the time scale could not have, the memorialist begs respectfully to add, affected the higher pay to which he was promoted on grounds of personal merit and long service.

Three years ago in January 1921 the Director was pleased to consider his case as a special one and gave him a promotion. When it was cancelled in April 1921, he was again, in May 1921 on representation, pleased to restore him to the position he was deprived of. The memorialist feels highly grateful to the Director for having been so kind to him on previous occasions and sincerely believes that but for some difficulty under the



rules, the Director would have been pleased to extend the same kindness to him on this occasion also.

The memorialist, therefore, respectfully begs to request that Government may be pleased to extend a sympathetic consideration to his case and modify the order in his favour, as -- otherwise your memorialist would become deprived of the benefit which the Director by his former acts of kindness, intended to confer on him and add that the memorialist, being now 47 years old, cannot hope to reap the full benefit of the pay of even Rs.60/- the maximum of the cadre, by the time of his retirement and the extent of the hardship will be clear if it is remembered that he was drawing Rs 65/- continuously for two years.

In the circumstances fully detailed above, your humble memorialist respectfully prays that Government may be pleased to exercise their extraordinary powers in his favour and fix his pay at Rs.65/- that is, Rs.60/- plus Rs. 5/- personal -- allowance which he was allowed to draw before.

(Sd) K. Ramaswatha Aiyar.

Your memorialist begs to remain,

S i r,

Your most obedient and humble servant,

True Copy. K. Ramaswatha Aiyar.



Самое



2  
connected with his No 1707

of 6.12.23 in which

a similar case was  
disposed of and also the

Director's remarks ~~under~~ on  
paragraph 18 of the pet's  
previous memorial, on page

3 of Govt. 813 of 19.5.24. (K) (V)

~~As~~ The Director has already  
offered his remarks, it

seems unnecessary to  
refer <sup>to him</sup> the present memorial  
~~to him~~ which has come up direct

from the Principal of  
2.5.25 2.5.25 New College (P. 3, c. 4)

CA  
2/5/25

When given for copying  
When copied  
When examined  
When signed

192

READ AGAIN G.O. No.  
192

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DETAIL.

PAPERS NOT FILED OF, BRING MEANT FOR DESPATCH  
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.  
B = Not to be copied.  
P = Copy abstract only.  
Q = Copy heading only.