

Law (Education) (1925) Department.

Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 625

DATED 28/4/1925.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Elementary Education Act - suggestions of District Educational Council, Ganjam. - Alteration in rules for submission of applications for aid and recognition - Not accepted.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

G.F. 30-11,000-8-9-24.

LAW (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT.

Received 192 . Registered 192 .

Subject.

District Educational Council, Ganjam - suggestions for the submission of applications for aid and recognition.



ENCLOSURES.	
No.	26
Spare copies	

R.C.No. 327-B/25.

Station Madras , Dated the 16th April. 1925.

From (Name) R.G.GRIEVE, Esq., M.A., F.R.G.S.,

(Designation) DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

LAW (EDUCATION) Department.

Sir.

I have the honour to re-submit herewith the letter R.C.No.24 DEC/24 dated 4-2-25 from the President, District Educational Council, Ganjam, received with the Government Endorsement 484-A-1/25 dated 10-2-25 with the following remarks:-

2. In its resolution No.1, the District Educational Council, Ganjam, suggests that applications for aid for a year may be made in September of the same year instead of September of the previous year prescribed in Rule 3 of Chapter I of the rules framed under Section 42(2) of the Madras Elementary Education Act. I am unable to support the suggestion

(P.T.O)

p 47 p 208
21-2-25
(14)

the suggestion for the following reasons:-

(i) Before recommending the admission of a school to aid, the inspecting officers have to satisfy themselves whether the stability of the school is certain and whether the conditions of recognition and aid are satisfied. To find out its stability, the school must have been working at least for a year.

(ii) Ordinarily the annual inspection of aided Elementary schools should be completed by the 31st December with a view to enabling the Councils to fix the amount of teaching grant payable to the schools. For this purpose, the Deputy Inspectors have to prepare under article 75 of the Madras Inspection Code their tour programme for the calendar year based only on the orders of the Council admitting schools to aid. The proposed change will make it difficult for the Deputy Inspectors, as they will not be able to include the schools in their tour programme.

3. The object of the resolution No.2 is not clear. There is no reason why the Manager of old schools should not submit the application for aid by the date prescribed in the Madras Elementary Education Act rules, if they are desirous of continuing ~~these~~ ^{their} schools under the Grant-in-Aid system. The submission of applications for aid by the prescribed date will serve as a check to see that the old schools continue working. As a matter of fact, closures of several schools are detected only when aid applications are not received. The District Educational Officer, Ganjam, states that the suggestion was made by the President of the Council with a view to minimising the unnecessary correspondence entailed by the existing procedure. It is also reported that applications for aid received by the Council in December are made to lie over till grants are fixed for the schools. This is not the correct procedure. The Council should have passed orders on the applications for aid under rule 4 of Chapter I of the rules for admission to aid when they are received and should not have waited till the grants are assigned to the schools.

(P.T.O)

4.

4. It is not understood what the Council means by the term 'new schools' in its resolution No.3. If it is taken to mean schools to be admitted to supplemental aid for the year,

I submit that para 2 of rule 3 of Chapter I of the rules framed under Section 42(2) of the Madras Elementary Education Act and

G.O.No.6 Mis., Law (Education) dated 3-1-24 are liberal and are more advantageous to such classes of schools than the proposal of the Council. According to the existing procedure aid is permissible to supplementally aided schools from the date of their opening and not from the date of application for aid.

The necessity for prescribing a date for such schools is not clear. The District Educational Officer, Ganjam, states that on account of the poverty of the teachers in the District, the Council considered it reasonable to place all schools, whether opened by trained teachers or not, on the same footing. It does not seem desirable to make a distinction between one District and another. Further supplemental admission to aid is one of the inducements to get teachers trained. In this connection, it may be submitted that the admission of schools opened in school-less villages, whether by trained teachers or not, to supplemental aid is under the consideration of Government.

5. The resolution No.4 of the Council does not give an opportunity either to the Council or to the inspecting officers to examine among others, the Educational needs of the locality. On the other hand it gives entire discretion to the Deputy Inspectors to recommend admission or rejection of schools for aid as they like. This does not seem to be desirable. Further under section 42(2) of the Madras Elementary Education Act, the Council has to pass orders admitting or rejecting applications for aid. It is only after such admission, the school should be examined for grant inspection. The resolution is not only of no advantage, but it involves also unnecessary amendment of the Madras Elementary Education Act.

6. In regard to resolution No.5, I submit that for reasons stated in para 2 supra, the date prescribed in the Madras Elementary Education Act rules need not be altered.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

T.R. Sundararaj

for Director of Public Instruction.

M.R.P.16/4.

DEPARTMENT.

Received.....192 . Registered *Re. 3276/15* 192

Subject.



H. En 484 A
6/2/25
Law (Edu) Dept
Ent 484 A-1/25-8 10. 2. 25
Referred to the Director of
Public Instruction for remarks
(Myr)
Ramalingam
9/2

ENCLOSURES.

No.....

Spare copies.....

R.C No. *24/D.E.C/24*
4-2-25

Station BERHAMPORE, Dated the 2nd February 192 .

From (Name) M.R.Ry.P.V.Narayanarow Patru lu gam

(Designation) President, District Educa-
tional Council, Ganjam Dt.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

LAW(EDUCATION) Department.

Sir,

I have the honour to send herewith the copy of the Resolution No. 119 of this council regarding the rules framed by the subcommittee for submission of aid application and recognition applications.

I request the Government may be ----- pleased to consider and pass these rules.

I have the honour to be,
sir,
Your most obedient servant,

T.R. Narayanarow

President
District Educational Council, Ganjam

T.R./2-2-25

For action of disposal
Heed

Extract of the Proceedings of the District Educational Council, Ganjam, at its meeting held on 24-1-25.

Present

M.R.Ry.P.V. Narayanarow Patrulu garu. President
" B.Nageswararow Pantulu garu. Vice-President.
Sriman B. Mahapatro Mahasayo
" Raghunath Padhi Mahasayo
M.R.Ry.Sidi Appalawami garu
" P.Jagannadhaswami Pantulu garu
" H.Suryanarayanarow Naidu garu
Sriman Raghunath Das Mahasayo

119. Read rules framed by the subcommittee members in the ---
subcommittee meeting held on 5-12-24 regarding the -----
submission of aid applications and recognition applica-
tions on behalf of elementary schools.

Resolution

This council recommends to the Government for sanction -
the rules framed by the subcommittee.

True Copy.

Sd. P.V.Narayanarow
President

District Educational Council, Gan.

7
Extract of the Proceedings of the District Educational Council, Ganjam, at its subcommittee meeting held on -- 6
5-12-24.

-
6. Read F.C.No. 285 mis/24 dated 28-4-24 of the District -- Educational Officer, Ganjam, regarding certain suggestions for submission of aid applications and recognition ----- applications.

Resolution.

This committee recommends to the Government:-

1. That in rule 3 under section 42(1) for ' previous ' be read ' the same Official ' The council considers that the application for aid as much as 18 months before the payment of grants, is unnecessary.
2. That aid application on behalf of old schools (i.e. ---- already recognised) be submitted to the Deputy Inspector before 1st October, who will submit them with recommendation for grant after the annual inspection of the ---- schools.
3. Aid be permissible to new schools with effect from the date of application for recognition and aid which should ~~be~~ ordinarily be submitted together to the Deputy Inspector provided that the applications are submitted to the Deputy Inspector before the 1st October in any financial year.
4. The necessity for admission of new schools to aid before grant inspection be done away with, so that the aid ----- application may be ordinarily considered along with the assignment of grant.
5. In respect of new schools, whether opened by trained --- teacher or not, the latest date for forwarding the aid application for the current and succeeding year ⁶ the ---- District Educational Council be changed from December 1st to March 1st.

True copy.

Sd. P.V.Narayanarow
President

District Educational Council,
Ganjam Dt.

When despatched

Initials

Law (Ed.) DEPARTMENT.

Law (Ed.) DEPARTMENT.
Elementary Education Act - Suggestions of the
 District Educational Council.

Gangnam - ~~Suggestions~~ ^{alteration in procedure for} for the submission
of applications to aid and recognition
~~Orders passed. Not accepted~~

READ AGAIN G.O. No., dated 192 .
 " " " " " 192

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 484A, From the D. & C. Gajjar A.C. No. 24-D-8e/34, dated 4-2- 1925

77. " 1354A " " D.P.D. P.C.R. " 327-B/25 " 16-4-1925

” ” ” ” ” ” 192

ORDER.

Mio

No. 625, dated 28-6- 1925

In the seasons given by

~~A copy of the endorsement of~~

The Director of Public Instruction
his letter

R.C. no. 327-B/2s. dated 16-4-1925

~~is communicated to the President~~

~~0.1 - 0.2 0.1 0.1 0.1~~

~~Samuel G. Edwards, Jr.,~~

~~Gangnam 1st - the version 2.0~~

~~The~~ Government are unable

accept the recommendations of the

Council. *Am.*

P.T.R.
8/14/25

145 4.25

23/1/1967 25-4 5

✓TK

~ 4.4

20 APR 1945

bb1-4c 3

To
The P. D. C., Ganjam. (with copy of
the D. P. G.'s endorsement on pp 1-4c2)
Copy to the D. P. G.

DESPATCHEL

29 APR 1925

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____ } 192
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O. No. _____ DATED _____
 192 .

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO G. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DIET.

PAPERS NOT FILED OF, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.