

Law (Education) (1925) Department.

Ord. SERIES.

G.O. No. 604

DATED 27. 4. 25

[Despatch Abstract.]

Legislative Council Budget motion

Pay + status of Dy. Inspector -
Notes Recorded.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Demand XVII.

Education - Transferred.

Mr. B. Ramachandra Reddi.

410. To reduce the amount of Rs. 11,49,400 for
Inspection-Men's Branch by Rs. 100.

(To urge the necessity of improving the pay and status of the Deputy Inspectors of Schools)

Law (Education) Deptt.

Prior to the introduction of time scales of pay for subordinate services, the subordinate inspecting agency consisted of two classes of officers,

(i) Sub-Assistant Inspectors of schools on different grades of pay ranging from Rs. 75 plus 18 $\frac{1}{2}$ temporary pay to Rs. 200 plus Rs. 40 temporary pay; and

(ii) Supervisors of elementary schools ~~in~~
in three grades of Rs. 40, 52 and 65, *vide* ~~vide~~

The Reforms Commissioner recommended a pay of Rs. 100-5-15 ^{comparative pay.}

PP 2 + 4 of Nat. m - 10-250 for the former and ~~proposed inclusion of~~
Salaries - No. X (A) Supervisors a pay of Rs. 50-5-75 for the latter.

When the question of giving effect to these rates of pay was taken up, it was found that a reorganisation of this branch of the inspecting agency was necessary. It was urged that the arrangement under which the inspection of elementary schools was conducted by two different ~~different~~ classes of officers conduced neither to economy nor to efficiency. Eventually it was decided to replace these two classes of officers by a single class of officers designated Deputy Inspectors of schools. This re-organisation though it involved the abolition of the cadre of supervisors (except in the Agency tracts) necessitated a substantial addition to the cadre so as to give each Deputy Inspector a manageable jurisdiction. The Government were also anxious that the revised arrangement should not involve ~~Government any~~ additional ~~the~~ expenditure. So a

scale of pay of Rs. 65-7-100-10-150 with a selection grade on Rs. 150-10-250 for 25 per cent of the number of officers was sanctioned. The inadequacy of this scale of pay formed the subject of a resolution at the meeting of the Legislative Council held in February 1923. In the course of the discussion ^{February} ~~Ra. Bahadur Natesa~~ [^] Mudaliar suggested a minimum pay of Rs. 75 (as a compromise between the minimum of the scale suggested by the Reforms Commissioner and that sanctioned by Government in the first instance.). The Government accepted this suggestion and a readjustment of the scale converting the previously sanctioned one to Rs. 75-5-100-10-250 with selection grade on Rs. 150-10-250 for 25 per cent of the cadre was approved in G.O.No.436 Law (Education), dated 20-3-23.


2. The pay now in force is the one mentioned immediately above. It has failed to satisfy the service. The inadequacy of the scale has been commented on in the Legislative Council and elsewhere. The members of the service themselves have petitioned Government several times asking for improved rates of pay. Last July, the D.P.I. submitted a memorandum presented by a deputation of the non-Gazetted Educational Officers' Association representing their grievances and putting forwarding certain proposals purporting to be the minimum demands of the Association in respect of the pay and prospects of the several branches of the subordinate Educational service. As it was felt that orders on the Lee Commission Report would necessitate a change in the structure of the superior and subordinate branches of the Educational Service, it was ordered that the question of the revision of the pay of the Subordinate Educational Service might be considered after the orders on the Lee Commission Report were received.

3. Again, memorials were received from school assistants asking for an improvement in the rates of pay sanctioned for them. When the question of budget provision for extra expenditure on account of the revision of

G.O. 10028
(D) 29.8.22

(B)

(Separate file)



Legislative
Council-
Budget motion-
Pay and status
of Deputy Inspectors-
Notes recorded.

of the subordinate service was raised, the Hon'ble the Minister ordered that the question might be examined so that the scheme might be ready for budget provision 1926-27.

The motion was not
discussed in the Council.
The notes may be
order 604 d/27-4-25
Recorded.

24/4
24.4.25

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____ } 192
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O. No. _____, DATED _____
 192 .

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO C. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED OF, BRING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
 A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only.