

Law (Education) (1925) Department.

Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 558

DATED

21/4/1925

[Despatch Abstract.]

Legislative Council Question

Law College Reorganisation Committee
Report — orders — notes — recorded

BACK F

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

QUESTION.—No. 39

for the meeting of the Legislative

Council to be held on the 3rd February

1924


h. 3430C
16/12/24

—:0:—

SUBMITTED that two copies of this Question may be forwarded to the

Law (Education) Department.

The Question has been admitted.



U.O. No. 4266-3 dated 16/12/24.

To

The Law (Education) Department.

starred question.

11-12-24

Council Office.

Mr. S. Satyamurthi.

THE XXXXX LAW (EDUCATION) DEPT.

* 39 Will the hon. the Minister for Education be pleased to state -

(a) the reasons why the Government passed orders on the Law College Reorganization Committee's report without reference to the Board of Studies in Law, the Faculty of Law, the Syndicate, the Academic Council, or the Senate, or the High Court or the Vakils' Association;

(b) the reasons why this new system is to be brought into force in the middle of an academic year;

(c) whether the Government accept the recommendation of the Committee with regard to the tenure and continuance of the Assistant Lecturers and if not why not;

(d) the reasons why juniors of more than six years standing at the Bar are excluded from the field of selection for the Assistant lecturerships;

(e) the appointing authority in regard to all these appointments; and

(f) the exact powers of the ~~xxx~~ College Council over the affairs of the college?

KIV

-3-

Law (Edn) Dept.

Clause (a)

Attention is solicited to clause(f) of the question asked by Mr. Satyamurthi at the last meeting of the council and the answer given thereto. It seems sufficient to draw the Hon'ble Member's attention to that answer. In this view the answer may be.-

"The Hon'ble Member's attention is invited to the answer to clause(f) of question No. ~~1000~~ 1452, asked at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 6th Dec: 1924."

Clause(b)

The answer may be.-

"The Government consider that it would be in the interests of the college to give effect to the revised arrangements as early as practicable."

Clause(c)

The Committee recommended as follows:-

"The professors and Assistant professors may, as at present, be appointed for a period of three years at the end of which period their term of service may be renewed if they do their work to the satisfaction of the Principal and the College council. We are unanimously of opinion that it will be detrimental to the efficient working of the college if their contracts are without any adequate reason terminated at the end of such period and not renewed normally."

The College council observed that the question of an Asst. Lecturer's re-appointment as against the appointment of a new applicant should be considered de novo without any bias

p. 8 at slip (T)

Paragraph - b. p. 1 of

no. 1702 E.

5-11-24

p. 27

4
in his favour or against him. The Hon'ble the Minister noted as follows:-

"The Assistant lecturers will be appointed as before for a period of three years. It is not desirable the same people should be re-appointed as fresh recruits are necessary to bring in fresh ideas and introduce new methods of work."

The G.O. on the report is silent on the point. The answer may be perhaps in the sense of the recommendation of the Law College Council which more or less the ^{represents} existing practice ^{will now} ^{adapted} "Yes, with the modification that the question of an assistant lecturers re-appointment will be considered de novo without any bias in his favour or against him".

Clause (d)

The six years' limit was put in with a view to defining who may be termed ~~junior~~ junior practitioners--vide M's note at p.79 of G.O. 1702 Edn., dated 5-11-24. This limit was commented on at the last meeting of the Legislative Council.

May the answer be:-

"~~For the nature of work required of them~~ ~~The class of men required~~ more than six years' standing at the bar is considered unnecessary ~~and if not a disadvantage~~."

Clause (e)

The answer to this is contained in the Hon'ble the Minister's answer to a supplementary question asked by Mr. Satyamurthi on the previous occasion. The answer may be:-

"The Hon'ble Member is referred to the answer to the supplementary question on the subject asked by him at the last meeting of the council".

p. 66 of (E) R

The Hon'ble member's

attention is invited to paragraph 4 of the Committee's report

The following may be the answer:-

"It is not clear what the specific recommendations are which are referred to. The Order of the Govt on this portion of the subject has been published"

Please see also Mr. Krishna Menon's written note - p. 41 of 84p (H):
"A man who has left his student life away behind him in the distance, will be a real realisation of the difficulties of the student who is fresh to the subject?"

(P. 9 of 84p (H))
We may say

"The policy is to appoint as assistant lecturers young practitioners with high academic distinctions." VTK

p. 8 of 84p (H)

VTK

Clause (f)

The following answer is suggested:-

"The Hon'ble Member's attention is invited to para 7 of G.O. No. 1702 Edn, dated 5th November 1924".

23.12.24

25.12.24

Let this lie over till we set the Law College Councils proposals VTK 23.12

The recommendations of the College Council in regard to the staff have been since received and the file has been just circulated to M₂ & H.E.

3.1.25


3.1.25

VTK 6.1.

M₂

Please put up a Candidate

MS - 20/6/25



The draft may be finally revised by the Secy before being put to the M₂ VTK 20/6/25

A clean copy of the draft

answer is asked. With reference to

clause (b) of the question, attention is solicited to the communication in the linked file just received from the D.P.O. VTK 20/6/25

Please see the Hon'ble. Mr. Minister's
note on the previous page. With
ref. to the answer proposed for clause
(c) of the question, it is respectfully
submitted that the orders in G.O. No.
1702 Ed, dt 5th Nov: 1924 do not contain
any stipulation as to
~~the tenure of office~~
of the Asst. Lecturers. The appointments
now made however are up to the end of the
academic year 1927-28. For orders whether
the answer to this clause may pass as drafted.
M₂ apparently desires that the last part
words in the draft answer to clause (d) should
go out.

②

① R

yes. I think.
A
(a.s.)clean copy
kept below

I have suggested a
slight revision, which
is for approval

V.T.K.
15/1M₂ appd.

15/1/25

clean copy.

Law (Education) Department.

Draft answer to Question No. 39

Clause (a). The Hon'ble Member's
attention is invited to the answer to
clause (f) of question No. 1452, asked at
the meeting of the Legislative Council
held on the 6th December 1924.

Clause (b). The Government consider
that it would be in the interests of the
College to give effect to the revised
arrangements as early as practicable.

Clause (c). It is not clear what the
specific recommendations are which are
referred to. Assistant lecturers will be
appointed for three years and the question
of the renewal of the term in each case
will be considered on the merits.

Clause (d). The policy is to appoint
as Assistant Lecturers junior practitioners
who can give sufficient time and attention
to the work of the College.

Clause (e). The Hon'ble Member is
referred to the answer to the supple-
mentary question asked by him at the last
meeting of the Council.

Clause (f). The Hon'ble Member's attention
is invited to paragraph 7 of G.O. No. 1702,
Law (Education), dated 5-11-24.

V.T.K. 15-1-25.

Law (Ed) no 3430 C/24.1
16.1.25

Education.

Law College Reorganization Committee's report.

* 22 Q.—Mr. S. SATYAMURTI: Will the hon. the Minister for Education be pleased to state—

(a) the reasons why the Government passed orders on the Law College Reorganization Committee's report without reference to the Board of Studies in Law, the Faculty of Law, the Syndicate, the Academic Council, or the Senate, or the High Court, or the Vakils' Association;

(b) the reasons why this new system is to be brought into force in the middle of an academic year;

(c) whether the Government accept the recommendation of the Committee with regard to the tenure and continuance of the Assistant Lecturers and if not, why not;

(d) the reasons why juniors of more than six years' standing at the Bar are excluded from the field of selection for the assistant lectureships;

(e) the appointing authority in regard to all these appointments; and

(f) the exact powers of the College Council over the affairs of the college?

A.—(a) The hon. Member's attention is invited to the answer to clause (f) of question No. 1452, asked at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 6th December 1924.

(b) The Government consider that it would be in the interests of the college to give effect to the revised arrangements as early as practicable.

(c) It is not clear what the specific recommendations are which are referred to. Assistant Lecturers will be appointed for three years and the question of the renewal of the term in each case will be considered on the merits.

(d) The policy is to appoint as Assistant Lecturers junior practitioners who can give sufficient time and attention to the work of the college.

(e) The hon. Member is referred to the answer to the supplementary question asked by him at the last meeting of the Council.

(f) The hon. Member's attention is invited to paragraph 7 of G.O. No. 1702, Law (Education), dated 5th November 1924.

Mr. S. SATYAMURTI:—"With reference to the answer to clause (c), may I ask whether the Government accepted the recommendations made by the Committee and by the College Council in regard to the names recommended for appointment as Assistant Lecturers?"

The hon. Rao Bahadur Sir A. P. PATRO:—"Names are to be recommended. The appointment is with the Local Government."

Order 558
21/4/25 Recorded.

mis
8
18-4-25 18-4-25

Ca
18/4

Legislative Council

Question —

Law College Reorga-
nisation Committee's

report — orders —

notes — recorded.