

Law (Educ) (1925) Department.

Ord. SERIES.

G.O. No. 467

DATED 2. 4. 1925

[Despatch Abstract.]

Grants

Building - non-European Colleges -
and schools - Part II of budget.
for 1925-26 - Notes recorded

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Law (Education) Department.

Copy of letter, R.O.P. No. A.5/24-25, dated from the
Director of Public Instruction, dated 4-10-24.

Budget Estimate for 1925-26. Part II- Schemes
submitted.

With reference to paras 19 and 20 of G.O. No. 527, Finance
dated 17-7-24, I have the honour to submit herewith Part II of
the Budget Estimate of charges for 1925-26 relating to expendi-
ture on new schemes.

In previous years it was the practice to include provision
for Building and Equipment grants ~~for~~ in Part II. But in con-
nection with the estimates for 1925-26 the Finance Department
have instructed separately that for building and equipment g
grants which are already on the standing list provision for only
those schemes for which no payment of grant has been made in
previous years or is likely to be made in the current year will
have to be included in Part II. Schemes for which Part Payment
has been made in previous years or is likely to be authorised
during the current year have to be shown in Part I. This dis-
tinction has been adopted in the preparation of the estimates
for 25-26.

Part I is shown
on p. 6 of the

Item No.	Description of Scheme	Total estimated cost	Total grant admissible	Grant already disbursed	Grant provided in the budget for the current year	Amount to be provided to in the B.E. 1925-26	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Part II - 31 A (a)(ii) Education - University - Grant to Non-Government A&B colleges - Building grant.							
1.	Sri Minakshi college, Bhidadambaram (Land Acquisition)	17013	8507			8507	G.O. No. 1021 d.E. 2.9.22
2.	— Do — (Science Block)	1,71,850	1,14,567			1,14,567	" 867 Mh. d.E. 2.8.5.24
3.	Bishop Heber college, Trichinopoly (Latrine)	1,710	855			855	Sanctioned by the Director
4.	Loyala college	4,75,000	2,37,500			1,23,929 37,500	
				Total Rs. 1,61,429		Rs. 1,61,000	

Seri No.	Description of Scheme.	Building Grants							Remarks.
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
	Approved Schemes.								
1	St. Peter's High School, Marudam (Kannur Taluk)								
2	S. M. College, Tirunelveli.								
3	Rajaji High School, Ponnai.								
4	St. Mary's School, Kumbakonam, (Kannur Taluk).								
5	Scheme under consideration.								
6	Muthaiah's High School, Madras, (Trichy)								
7	Madura College - Madras.								
8	St. George's High School, Regaiah (Kannur)								
9	Providence High Secondary School, Valparai.								
10	St. Mary's Secondary School, Ponnai.								
Total									

Annexure No. 2

Sl. No.	Description of scheme.	Total Estimated Expenditure.	Total Grants available.	Grants already disbursed.	Grants provided in the Budget for 1955-56.	Remarks.
1	Schemes under Consideration.	3	4	5	6	7
1	J. P. S. High School, Bangalore (Hostel)	26600	18300		3300	Based on total requirements.
2	K. S. Park High School, Mysore.	27000	13800		8000	Scholarship
3	San Thome High School, Mysore.	20700	10350		3000	Scholarship
4	S. S. M. High School, Kichanur	10100	5050		1700	Scholarship
Total.		84400	42200		16000	

Annexure No 6

1	J. P. S. High School, Bangalore (Hostel)	26600	18300		3300	Based on total requirements.
2	K. S. Park High School, Mysore.	27000	13800		8000	Scholarship
3	San Thome High School, Mysore.	20700	10350		3000	Scholarship
4	S. S. M. High School, Kichanur	10100	5050		1700	Scholarship
Total.		84400	42200		16000	

Part II 31. D. G. (III) Education. Special. Direct Grants to Non-Government Secondary Schools - Training Grants.

Item	Description of Scheme.	Yr. E. Estimated Cost.	Yr. E. Grant. admissible.	Grant. already disbursed	Grant provided in the Budget for the current year.	Amount to be provided for in the B.E. for 1925-26.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
<u>Schemes under Consideration.</u>							
1	Basu Malai High and Drawing School Madurai.	15,000	7500.			7500.	
2	Physical Training Class. Royakelak.	243,000	121500			42500.	
		258000	129,000.			50000.	

Physical Training Class. Royakelak. 15,000 7500.
 Physical Training Class. Royakelak. 243,000 121500.
 Physical Training Class. Royakelak. 258000 129,000.
 Physical Training Class. Royakelak. 50000.

b 67

Copy of telephonic message dated 11-10-24, from the Senior Superintendent, D.P.I.'s Office, to the Superintendent, Law (Education) Dept.

The following provision has been proposed in part I of the Education Budget for next year for building and equipment grants:--

Transferred.

(a) Building grants.

Colleges	Rs. 90,000
Secondary schools	Rs. 40,000
Training schools.	Rs. 1,35,000

**(as included in Part I.)*

Training schools. Nil.

(b) Hostel grants.

Colleges.	Nil.
Secondary schools.	... 29,500
Training schools.	Nil.

(c) Equip^{ment,} grants.

Nil.

Reserved. (European schools)

(a) Building grants.

Secondary schools.	32,800
Primary schools.	Nil.
Training schools.	21,200

(b) Equipment grants.

Secondary schools.	3,100
Primary schools.	Nil.
Training schools.	Nil.

Grants - Non European

Building and Hostel Grants

	1921-22	Actual 1921-22	1922-23	Actual 1922-23	1923-24	Actual 1923-24	1924-25	1925-26
Building Grants								
Colleges	83,570	2,724	800	14,522	75,000	75,000	150,000	40,000
Secondary	113,570	114,823	45,800	39,675	155,000	77,702	175,000	1,35,000
Special	10,000	normal	1700	1605	-	1912	12,000	nil
Hostel Grants								
Colleges	59,000	79,176	30,200	16,458	25,000	33,336	50,000	nil
Secondary	37,000	33,872	nil	3091	45,000	35,572	45,000	29,500
Special	-	-	-	-	-	-	10,000	nil

Copy of telegraphic message dated 11-10-24, from the
 Senior Superintendent, P.P. Office, to the Superintendent,
 New (Education) Dept.
 The following provision has been proposed in part I
 of the Education Budget for next year for building and equip-
 ment grants:-

(a) Building Grants.	Colleges ... 40,000	Secondary schools ... 1,35,000	Training schools ... 1,100
(b) Hostel Grants.	Colleges ... 1,100	Secondary schools ... 23,500	Training schools ... 1,100
(c) Equip. Grants.	Colleges ... 1,100	Secondary schools ... 23,500	Training schools ... 1,100
(d) Reserved (European schools)	Colleges ... 1,100	Secondary schools ... 23,500	Training schools ... 1,100
(e) Building Grants.	Colleges ... 23,800	Primary schools ... 1,100	Training schools ... 21,500
(f) Hostel Grants.	Colleges ... 2,100	Primary schools ... 1,100	Training schools ... 1,100

Building + hostel grants - Non-European
and European schools.

89

	Total amount of grant promised for approved work bill 1-10-24	Total grant paid till 1-10-24	Balance to be paid.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
<u>Non-European schools</u>			
<u>Building grants</u>			
Colleges	4,75,318	2,31,930	2,43,388
Secondary schools	9,78,156	2,65,986	7,12,170
Special ..	11,280	6,547	4,733
<u>Total</u>	14,64,754	5,04,463	9,60,291
<u>Hostel grants</u>			
Colleges	3,11,758	1,31,047	1,80,711
Secondary schools	1,70,971	90,057	80,914
Special schools	..	..	..
<u>Total</u>	4,82,729	2,21,104	2,61,625
<u>Grand total of building + hostel grants</u>	19,47,483	7,25,567	12,21,916
<u>European schools</u>			
<u>Building + hostel grants</u>			
Secondary schools	4,60,523	4,07,300	53,233
Primary ..	13,165	13,165	..
Special ..	36,125	10,000	26,125
<u>Total</u>	5,09,823	4,36,465	79,358

work that is likely to be finished and paid for in 1925-26.

Please see Government Law (Edn) Memo, 2095 B dated 4-8-24. and 19-9-24 in this connection.

(d) Scheme under consideration. Government will be addressed as soon as the scheme is ready for submission

(e) For details vide annexure No. (6). Includes provision for schemes already on the standing lists and also for those which are under consideration. In the case of the latter Government will be addressed separately for orders on such cases on which their approval is necessary.

(f) For details vide annexure No. (7). All the schemes are under consideration and orders of Government will soon be obtained on those cases in which their approval is necessary.

(g) For details, please see annexure No. (19). Against the probable demand of Rs. 1.29 lakhs a provision of Rs. 50,000 is suggested for next year. The schemes when they are ready will be submitted to Government.

Law (Education) Department

The D.P.'s estimate for building and hostel grants in Part II of the Budget for next year is on pp. 1 & 2 ante. The procedure in regard to the payment of these grants is briefly as follows:- Each work, as it is approved by Govt., is included in an approved list and grants are distributed among the works in the list with reference to their order of urgency. Ordinarily, the grant is payable only after the completion of the work; but advances may be made from time to time out of the sanctioned grant provided that the Director is satisfied that the work is proceeding satisfactorily.

2. In connection with the budget estimate for the current year, Sir Charles Todhunter decided that the question of providing funds for building and hostel grants should be treated as a Part II scheme and that the Finance Committee should enter in class I the amount they considered imperatively necessary and in class II such further sum as they considered desirable if funds were available. The Finance Committee decided that a sum of Rs. 3 lakhs might be entered in Part I and a further sum of Rs. 1.32 lakhs in part II of the Budget. The whole provision of Rs. 4.32 lakhs was finally allowed and this was distributed among the several heads--colleges, secondary schools and training schools.

3. In laying down the budget procedure for the year 1925-26, the Finance Department has proposed that schemes for which grants have already been paid in part or are likely to be paid in the course of the current year should be entered in

part I and that provision for the other schemes should be made in part II of the Budget. The Director accordingly proposes to enter Rs.2,04,500 in part I and Rs.2,81,000 in part II. The following statement shows the details of these amounts as well as the amounts provided in the budget estimate of the current and last years:-

	Provision for 1923-24	Provision for 1924-25	Provision proposed for -- 1925-1926		
			In Part I p.b. cf	In Part II p.1 ante	Total
<u>Colleges</u>					
Building grants	75,000	1,50,000	90,000	1,60,000	2,50,000
Hostel "	25,000	50,000	Nil	15,000	15,000
<u>Secondary Schools</u>					
Building grants	1,55,000	1,75,000	1,35,000	40,000	1,75,000
Hostel "	45,000	45,000	29,500	16,000	45,500
<u>Schools-special</u>					
Building grants	Nil	12,000	Nil	50,000	50,000
	3,00,000	4,32,000	2,04,500	2,81,000	4,85,500

4. The D.P.I provides in most cases for the payment of only a portion of the grants sanctioned. The provision proposed by him does not seem to be high. The file may be sent to Finance for remarks.

CR 16.10.24 VTK 17.10

Finance u.o

Law (Ed) no 2910 B-1
Mease 17-10-24
CIV 29.10.24



2910 B-1
Funct 56 Oct 17/11/24
17.10.24



The procedure followed for grants for buildings for colleges, schools and hostels is as follows:-

Applications with outline plans and probable cost are made to the Director. If he is prepared to consider the question of a grant, he calls for detailed plans and estimates. The grant is limited to half the cost except in the case of buildings for teaching scientific subjects in which case it is two-thirds.

Grants of Rs 250 and below are sanctioned by district educational officers, above Rs 250 and up to Rs. 2,500 by the Director and over Rs 2,500 by Government. When a scheme is approved, it is brought into a priority list arranged in the order of urgency.


Post payment system is followed, but advances are permissible up to the Govt share of the cost for work actually done.

Several of the items mentioned in pp. 2-5 CF have not yet been approved by the competent authority. They are said to be "under consideration" and Finance cannot

Cannot include provision for schemes which may, perhaps, be thrown over - These items should be omitted from the demand for 1925-26 unless they mature before the 1st November.

The Law Dept. may be requested to prepare a consolidated note for each class of institutions, i.e. colleges, secondary schools and special schools. There is no object in giving the actuals budget estimates of the years which have expired. The actuals should be given. The demand for 1925-26 should be so made as to avoid any lapse. Consulting the schemes referred to at A. the figures are as follows:- The linked figures of 1923-24 show the actuals and the pencil figure over each the budget estimate for the year.

	Actuals 1921-22	Actuals 1922-23	Actuals 1923-24	RE 1924-25	RE 1925-26	B. S. 1925-26 Part I. Part II Total
<u>Colleges</u>						
Building grants	2,724	14,542	75,000	1,50,000	Not Known	90,000 ✓ 40,000 + 1,23,900 = 1,63,900 details attached
Hostel grants	79,176	16,458	33,336	50,000	Not Known	Nil Nil = Nil
<u>Secondary schools</u>						
Building grants	1,14,823	39,675	77,722	1,75,000	Not Known	1,35,000 + 23,100 = 1,58,100 details attached
Hostel grants	33,872	3,091	35,572	45,000	Not Known	29,500 + Nil = 29,500
<u>Special schools</u>						
Building grants	Not Known	1,605	1,912	12,000	Not Known	Nil + Nil = Nil
Hostel grants	Not Known	Not Known	Not Known	Nil	Not Known	Nil + Nil = Nil
				4,32,000		3,51,500 4,01,500



The Law Dept may be requested to prepare consolidated notes, and to verify the figures entered in the statement above and to fill the R.E. for 1924-25, confining expenditure proposals to approved schemes. The notes may be sent to Finance & then printed up for the Finance Committee.

19/x.

Law.

Fin no. 56 B.S. 1
20-10-24

Law (Education) Dept.

Portions marked A & B on pages 5 & 6 ante.


The Finance Department suggests that the schemes which are now under consideration should not be taken into account for the purposes of framing the next year's estimate. It is doubtful whether, in making this suggestion, that Department has fully understood the exact nature of these grants and of the budget provision made for them.

In the first place, it does not follow that, because a work is approved by Government, it should be started at once and that the grant or the major portion of it should be earned in the next year. It

16 8

often happens that considerable time elapses between the date of approval of the work and the date of its commencement. This is due to various causes. The school authorities have to find in the first instance not only the amount falling to their share but also the whole or nearly the whole cost of the scheme, the burden being relieved only occasionally when grant is drawn for any definite stage of work completed. In many cases, the management begins to collect funds for the work only after it is known that the work has received approval at the hands of the Government or the Director and that it is eligible for a grant under the Grant-in-Aid Code. In some cases the acquisition of site takes time. In view of these facts, it is not possible for the Department to insist that a particular work should be begun and completed within a particular period. In fact, some years back there was a rule in the Grant-in-Aid Code under which the Government ~~used to~~ prescribed a date by which the completion certificate of each approved work was to be sent to the Director. The date so prescribed was not adhered to in most cases and the period had to be extended from time to time. Thus the rule was not of use and was omitted.

The uncertainty about the period of commencement and completion of the work makes it difficult to forecast which of the approved works will become ripe for payment in a year and what amount will be required. The procedure hitherto followed has therefore been to place a definite




[The case relating to item (b) on p. 25 has since been sent to Govt. & will shortly be sanctioned]

K

Law (Edn) 2910 B-2. cov
30-10-24 29.10.24
84
29.10.24

Fin. Ch. 134 BSE
31.10.24



B 14 9 17

sum each year at the disposal of the D.P.I., and allow him to disburse grants for works as they become ripe for payment and according to their order of urgency.

As, however, the Finance Department desired to have a list of works along with the Part II budget, the Director has sent it and has tried to indicate therein the probable amount that may be required for each work in the next year. This cannot but be a very rough forecast and, in actual disbursement, the list may not be followed at all in most cases. It is possible that the provision suggested for works which have already been included in the approved list may actually be paid for other more urgent works which may be approved in the remaining months of the current year or early in the next year. Any attempt to earmark a definite sum for a particular work is, therefore, not of much use in the case of these grants.

In consideration of these facts it is submitted that the only practical course is to frame the budget estimate for the next year on the basis of the estimates of the previous years and also with reference to the liabilities that ^{would} have ~~been~~ been incurred before the commencement of the next year.

A note for the Finance Committee is submitted below. It may be sent to Finance for the addition of that Department's note.

17K
30.10
29.10.24
Fin. Ch.

[Previous Finance Notes on pp. 5-7.]

Finance (B.E.D.)

Finance noted that only schemes which mature and are approved before 1st November should be brought forward as Part II schemes for provision of funds in 1925-26.

A. m p 6 ante

The Law Department does not agree and states that provision should be entered for all new schemes which are under consideration and which may be approved before the end of the current official year.

K m p 9 ante

2. The concession asked for by the Law Dept. is not admissible under G.O. No. 719, Finance, dated, 10th August 1923 and G.O. No. 527, Finance, dated 17th July 1924, relevant extracts from which are furnished below:-

G.O. No. 719, Finance, dated, 10th August 1923.

* * * * *

9. ...there are grants given in a lump to meet expenditure on schemes which are not ready at the time when the budget is prepared. This can only be avoided by an organised programme of work. If, roughly, the first quarter of the year is devoted to policies and programmes, the ^{second} ~~2nd~~ to the conversion of these into concrete schemes by heads of departments, the ^{third} ~~3rd~~ to the scrutiny and the final passing of the schemes by the Secretariat, and the 4th to the budget, then there is no reason why any lump grant should be provided to meet the cost of the schemes that have not been worked out or examined. It is needless to add that the entry of such schemes in the budget almost invariably results in lapse of money because experience shows that it is practically impossible to carry through the preparation and the execution of a scheme within the period of 12 months."

pp 1-3 q (B)

G.O. No. 527, Finance, dated 17th July 1924.

* * * * *

p 2 q (3)

3. ...Part II should contain all schemes of new expenditure to which effect has not been given and for which funds have not yet been provided.

* * * * *

p 5 q (3)

19. ...Part II schemes relating to grants to local and private bodies for non-recurring expenditure should specify in the case of works in progress the amount that has been entered in Part I.....

p 5 q (3)

20. Estimates for Part II schemes...should be forwarded to the Finance Dept. before the 1st November. Proposals which have not ~~xxx~~ been received.... by the Finance Department before the 1st November will be excluded from consideration in connection with the budget for the ensuing year."

The position of private schools is entirely different.

The extracts quoted above follow the principles laid down in respect of schemes relating to Government departments. We strictly adhere to the time limit of 1st November in Government departments and there is no case for according preferential treatment to non-Government institutions which is not permitted by the G.Os. quoted above.

3. The Director of Public Instruction and the Law Dept. cannot complain that the objection taken by Finance is new. The G.O. issued on August 1923 insisted on a programme being drawn up and if this had been done, all cases would probably have matured for provision of funds before 1st November 1924.

The law Department may, therefore, be requested to revise their notes for the Finance Committee,

Committee confining the expenditure proposals to approved schemes on 1st November 1924. and to send it back to Finance
 Details of the schemes making up the total Part II demand should be annexed to the note for the Finance Committee

Cor
 31.10.24

RWD
 3/11

Law.
 Fin. No. 1821-1 B.E.T.
 27-10-24

Law (Edw)

This case deals with the provision to be made in the next year's budget for 'building grants' to non-European schools, while the two files linked below deal respectively with the provision for (i) 'equipment' grants to non-European schools and (ii) 'building and equipment' grants to European schools.

2. This dept. has already pointed out that it is impracticable to accept the suggestions of the Finance dept. that the provision to be made for these grants should be earmarked for specified ~~schemes~~ ^{schemes} and that provision for only those schemes that mature before the 1st November ^(in a year) should be included in the budget for the succeeding year.

* pages 7-9 ante

RR.

VTK
 5.11

3. If necessary, the lists furnished by the D.P.D. may be annexed to the note for the Finance Committee. In the actual distribution of grants it ~~may~~ ^{will} not be possible to adhere to the distribution in the list. The D.P.D. will use his discretion in the matter of the distribution as in previous years.

4. The file may be submitted to the

M₂, C₂ + C₄.

C.V.V.
 3.11.24
 8K
 3.11.24

R
 4.11.24

VTK
 12.11


* Placed behind at flag (D)

NK I have attached a statement showing our existing commitments

M₂
 C₂
 C₄

I agree with the note above. 12/11/24
 Lodo!
 13.11.24

M
 GO. 719 Fw
 10.8.23



* Yes - please see Ch IX of the Grant-in-Aid code & Art. 44 of the code of regulations for European schools

I would not insist too strictly this year on adherence to the order of Aug 1923, though I do not quite see why the D.P.D. should not, in common with other officers, be required to conform. In the matter of equipment (if the Govt have undertaken to contribute for the equipment of schools under private management) it should be fairly easy

to forecast what payments will be necessary — I do not say what payments will be demanded


In the matter of buildings, the provision necessary in Part I should be easy to ascertain. Part II must of course depend on the funds available, but even in this respect a fairly accurate forecast should be possible.

I must point out that financial stringency still exists. In fact owing to unavoidable expenses brought on us by the floods, it is acute; & it is likely that many desirable schemes will have to be postponed

RtL
22/11/24

In view of portion M of C's note on p. 13 ante, the case of building and equipment grants may be dealt with this year as in previous years. The question of issuing instructions for the preparation of the budget estimates of these grants ~~in the next year~~ may be considered separately.

2. Notes for the Finance committee have ^{already} been put up in all the three cases. These notes give ~~account~~ information in regard to the provision



Law (Edw) no 2910 B.3.
27.11.24.
B.C.

Fincl 286 B.3.
27.11.24.

17 23
15
~~to~~ included in Part I for these grants and a fairly accurate forecast (based on the directors lists) of the funds that may be provided in Part II.

3. The cases may be sent to the Finance dept. for adding their notes in the notes for

the committee
25.11.24
26.11.24
27.11.24
V.T.
Finance no

Finance BE. I.

[Finance noted last on pp. 10-12 ante]
B.L.S. note is on pp. 13-14 ante.

In the notes for the Finance committee in this and in the two files below, the Law dept may be requested to exhibit in separate annexes the details making up the Part I provision and the Part II demand with a remark in the latter class of items showing the stage in which each scheme stands at present

2 The Finance note in each

each may be

"The proposals may be placed
before the Finance Committee"

27/11/24

RND

30/11

Law


Fin no 286 no BE 1/12/24

Law (Eds)

Paragraph 1 of Finance dept. note
on page 15 ante.

Necessary annexures
have been attached to the notes for
the Finance committee in all the
three cases.

2. The files may be
circulated to M₂, C₂ and C₄. [The first
two ~~are~~ files relate to non-European
education, and the ~~third~~ file
relates to European education.]



2 cases distinct

4.12.24
8/12.24

5.12.24
6.12.

2. B (4 notes)
15/12/24

M₂
C₂
C₄

8/12/24
9/12/24

12/12/24



Law (Education) Department.

Note for the Finance Committee.

Subject:- Provision in the Budget estimate for 1925-26 for building
grants to non-European Colleges, Secondary and Special
schools under private management.

Proposals of the Director of Public Instruction.

The Director proposes the following provision for building
grants in Part II of his budget estimate for the next year:-

	Grants for school & College build- ings.	Grants for hostel buildings.
Colleges.	1,60,000	15,000
Secondary schools	40,000	16,000
Special Schools	50,000	--

Note by the Law (Education) Department.

Building grants are sanctioned and disbursed in accordance
with the rules in the Grant-in-Aid Code. Application for the
grant is made to the Director of Public Instruction. The plans
and estimates in each case are scrutinized by the P.W.D. and
each work, as it is approved by the Director of Public Instruc-
tion or the Government, as the case may be, is included in a
list of approved works. The grant sanctioned is actually
disbursed after the completion of the work, though advances up
to the Government share of the cost may be paid by the
Director for portions of work completed. Out of the amounts
provided for building grants in the Budget, the Director
sanctions the payment of grants for works in the approved
list according to their order of urgency.

According to the Budget procedure prescribed by Govern-
ment, provision for building schemes for which a portion of the
grant due has already been paid or is likely to be paid in the
course of the current year should be entered in Part I and
provision for other schemes should be entered in Part II.

26 19

The Director has accordingly entered in Part I a sum of Rs.2,54,500 and in Part II Rs. 2,81,000 for these grants. The following statement compares the figures of expenditure on building grants in the last three years and in the current year and the amounts proposed for the next year:-

	Accounts 1921-22	Accounts 1922-23	Accounts 1923-24	Budget esti- mate 24-25.	Revised esti- mate 24-25.	Budget estimate 1925-26 Part I. Part II. Total.		
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
<u>Colleges.</u>								
Building grants.	2724	14542	75000	150000	140500	90000	160000	250000 1,57,800
Hostel	79176	16458	33336	50000	47900	..	15000	15000
<u>Secondary schools.</u>								
Building grants.	114823	39675	77722	175000	150000	135000	40000	175000 2,02,100
Hostel	33872	3091	34000	45000	45000	29500	16000	45500
<u>Special schools.</u>								
Building grants.	..	1605	1912	12000	12000	..	50000	50000 30,000
Hostel	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
				432000		254500	281000	535500 3,89,900

3 In the year 1922-23, owing to severe financial stringency, building grants were reduced to a minimum and the payment was postponed in most cases. The amount provided in Part I does not call for remarks. As regards the amount proposed in Part II the Director has sent a list showing the tentative distribution of the amount. Some of the works in the list have already been approved, while others are now under consideration. As already stated, these grants are given after completion of the work. The commencement and completion of the work depend mainly on the funds available with the management and on the urgency of the scheme. It is difficult to forecast exactly which of the schemes will become ripe for payment in a particular year and what provision will be required for them. It is not, therefore, possible to earmark the budget provision for each individual scheme.

3.

If a definite sum is placed at the disposal of the Director as hitherto, he will distribute it among the works which are completed in the course of the next year, according to their order of urgency.

4 The maximum grant admissible for all the schemes included by the Director in Part II of his Budget is Rs. 7,66,629. Against this, the Director proposes to provide only Rs.2,81,000 in the next year's budget. This provision may be allowed.

V.T.Krishnama Achariyar
30-10-24.

Note by the Finance Department.

The proposals may be placed before the Finance Committee.

R.W.Davies
30-11-24.

ANNEXURES.

I.
Schemes provided for in Part I.

Item No	Description of scheme.	Grant admissible.	Balance to be paid.	Remarks.
<u>Building grants -Colleges.</u>				
(1)	St.Joseph's college Trichinopoly - Extensions.	17,000	8,500	Only Rs.90000 proposed for these two works for 1925-26.
(2)	Women's Christian College, Madras- science block and College hall.	2,25,000	96,532	

31 B(b) Education -Secondary - Direct grants to non-Government
Secondary schools- Buildings grants.

Item No.	Description of Scheme.	Total grant admissible.	Balance to be provided for.	Remarks.
<u>Building grants-Secondary.</u>				
1.	A.V.Secondary school, Komal.	7500	1300	Only Rs.1.35 lakhs proposed for all these works for 1925-26.
2.	Edward Secondary School, Ponnur.	7200	356	
3.	Sowrashtta High School, Madura	62500	24576	
4.	A.E.L.M.College, Guntur	35000	30000	
5.	National High School, Negapatam	39338	21500	
6.	Secondary school, Chintadripet, Madras.	16175	13475	
7.	Sri Rajah's High School, Tuni.	25000	11118	
8.	S.A.V.Secondary school, Tuticorin	25500	9000	
9.	Town High School, Guntur	9250	2837	
10.	A.M.Girls' High School, Chittoor.	62500	24250	
11.	A.A.M.High school, Tindivanam.	21350	13350	
12.	St.Mary's High School, Dindigul.	8400	4000	
13.	Wesleyan Mission Girls High School, Royapettah, Madras.	35650	26360	
14.	Velasamy Chettiar Secondary school, Omalur.	11530	5000	
15.	Ramakrishna Students' Home, Mylapore, Madras.	44960	24960	
16.	St.Joseph's High School, Cuddalore.	11300	6000	
17.	Sri Rajah's High School, Mandasa.	14891	10000	
18.	Malabar Christian College.	36000	22564	
Total.....		948000 474044	250646	

Hostel grants -Secondary.

(1)	Kallikota college, Berhampore.	20000	7514	Only Rs.29500 proposed for these three items for 1925-26.
(2)	Town high school, Kumbakonam.	27468	22000	
(3)	Mayavaram Students' Hostel Association	38350	32420	

Schemes provided for in Part II.

Item No.	Description of scheme.	Grant admissible.	Grant to be provided in 1925-26.	Remarks.
		Rs.	Rs.	
<u>Building grants -Colleges.</u>				
(1)	Sri Minakshi college Chidambaram -Land acquisition.	8507	8507	} Approved by Government.
(2)	do. science block	114567	1,14,567	
(3)	Bishop Heber College, Trichinopoly-Latrline.	855	855	Sanctioned by the D.P.I.
(4)	Loyala College-buildings.	237500	37500	Under consideration.
<u>Hostel grants -Colleges.</u>				
(1)	American College, Madura.	15000	15000	Under consideration.
<u>Buildings grants-Secondary.</u>				
1.	Hindu High School, Masulipatam (Laboratory Rooms).	9543	9543	Approved. Schemes.
2.	C.M.College, Tinnevely.	46993	5000	do.
3.	Rajah's High school, Ramnad	73000	3000	do.
4.	Town High School, Kumbakonam (Library Hall).	5535	5535	do.
5.	Muthialpet High School, Madras (building)	32250 64500	2250 *	} * Probable amount that may be paid next year. Schemes under consideration.
6.	Madura college -Madura	35000	5000 *	
7.	St.Ebbas Girls' high school, Royapettah (Extension)	5310	5310 *	
8.	Providence Girls' secondary school, Calicut.	11000	3000 *	
9.	Wesleyan Mission Secondary school, Madurantakam.	825	825 *	
Total ..		219456	39463	or 40000 in round figures.

Item No.	Description of scheme.	Total grant admissible.	Amount to be provided for in the budget for 1925-26.	Remarks.
		Rs.	Rs.	

Hostel grants - Secondary.

1.	S.P.G. High School, Wandyal (hostel)	13500	3300 8300 *	* Based on probable requirements under schemes under consideration.
2.	St. Paul's High School, Vepery.	13500	8000 *	
3.	San Thome High School, Mylapore.	10350 20350	3000 *	
4.	C.S.M. Girls High School, Kilpauk.	5050	1700 *	

Building grants - special schools.

(1)	Pasumalai high and training school, Madura.	7,500	7,500	* Under consideration
(2)	Physical training class, Royapettah.	1,21,500	42,500	

16(a)

23 31

Finance Department.

Extract of the proceedings of the 10th meeting of the Finance Committee held on 6-1-1925.

The Committee recommended the grant of a sum of one lakh of rupees and placed the proposal in class II



(Schemes that are very urgently necessary)

PM

9-1-25.

Law (Edn.)

Law (Edn.)

The Finance committee recommend a provision of Rs. 1 lakh as against Rs. 2.81 lakhs asked for by this dept. If this is accepted, the total provision for the next year will be Rs. 3.54 lakhs as against the current year's provision of Rs. 4.32 lakhs.

The case may be circulated to M₂.

arr
12.1.25
8/9
12.1.25.

Q
14.1.25
V.T.
15/1
m

We should press for the least the reputation of current year's bank plus one lakh



KRC. Law Sec. 83 B.
10.1.25

(includes that in Part I)
⊗ 2.54 included in Part I
plus 1.00 " " in Part II
3.54

In the budget estimates for 1925-26, a total provision of Rs. 3,89,900 has been made as under for building grants to non-European colleges and schools :-

	Rs
colleges	1,57,800
secondary schools	2,02,100
special	30,000
<u>Total</u>	<u>3,89,900</u>

2. these papers may

be order No. 467 of 2-4-25
Mis

Recorded.

Grants. Building
- non-European
colleges + schools.
Part II of budget
for 1925-26 - Notes
recorded.

(Budget estimate)
Provision for building grants in the B.O. for 1925-1926.

Applications for building grants for aided schools and colleges, when approved by Government, are included in a list of approved works, and grants are disbursed after the works or portions thereof are completed. The total amount of grants due for works now pending in the approved list is nearly Rs. 13 lakhs. In his budget proposals for 1925-26, the D.P.I. asked for a provision of Rs. 5.35 lakhs ^(for these grants). The actual provision allowed is, however, only Rs. 3.89 lakhs. This provision is inadequate and it is desirable to provide additional funds to meet the demands for building grants that will mature in the course of the next year. A sum of Rs. 2 lakhs may therefore be asked for ^(for this purpose) now that ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~provincial contribution~~ ^{provincial contribution} of Rs. 1.26 lakhs waived by the Government of India. The file may be sent to Finance for remarks.

has been reduced

cur
30.3.25
8/4
30.3.25

30.3.25
V.T.
31.3
Finance U.O.

L. Cur 400 B-1
31/3/25



12566 B-1
1.4.25

Finance (P.D. 91)
In connection with the Budget Estimates for 1925-26, the Director of Public Instruction proposed a provision of 5.35 lakhs for building grants for aided schools and colleges made up of :-
(i) Part I Estimate ——— 2.52 lakhs
(ii) Part II Estimate ——— 2.81 "
Total: 5.35 lakhs

34 2
Against item (i) a sum of Rs 2.09 lakhs was provided, the difference of Rs (2.54 - 2.09) or .45 lakh being omitted as it was disbursed in 1924-25 itself.

Item (ii) was brought forward as a Part II scheme - vide copy of the Note for the Finance Committee at flag (P). The Finance Committee recommended a provision of Rs 1.00 lakh - vide item 19 on p. 15 of the Budget Note for the Cabinet for 1925-26 at flag (J). But the Law Deptt. pressed for a provision of Rs 1.80 lakhs i.e. Rs .80 lakh in addition - vide remarks against the item. The demand was allowed by the Cabinet - vide

item 26 on p. 82 of the Budget Memorandum at flag (H). The total provision finally included in the estimates is 2.09 + 1.80 or 3.89 lakhs. plants

2. Now, the Law Deptt. ask for a further additional provision of Rs 2.00 lakhs in view of the remission of Rs 126 lakhs from the Provincial Contribution, by the Govt. of India. The question of ^{the} surplus, if any, available due to the remission is under separate consideration. When this is settled, the Cabinet will decide what schemes of new expenditure should participate in the surplus. The item has been noted.

3. The file may be returned to the

Law (Est.) Deptt.

B. CRS
3/4/25

Law h.

3/4/25

4.4.25



L. 958B
4/4/25

35

26

Law (Est.)

Submitted for perusal.

6.4.25

6.4.25

7.4



[Not to be pressed in connection with the schemes to be financed with remissions]

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

192

READ AGAIN G.O. No. _____, DATED
 192 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DETTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED OF, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.