

Law (1925) Department.
(Education)

Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 413

DATED 24-3-1925

[Despatch Abstract.]

Commerce--Institute of--Assistant M.R.Ry.V.S.Ramaswami
Ayyar--excess pay--recovery--waiver--declined.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

C.F. 30-41,000-8-9-24.

LAW (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT.

Received 192 . Registered 192 .



Subject.

Recommending to Govt. to waive the excess pay drawn by Mr. V. S. Ramaswami Ayyar, Asst. Dir. Inst. of Commerce, Madras for the period from 1.10.22 to 31.7.23

1.6.642C
27/2/25

67819
was available my 19/25
20/3

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies

R.O.C. No. 1352-C/24

Station Madras, Dated the 25th February 25 192 .

From (Name) R. G. GRIEVE ESQUIRE M.A.,

(Designation) AG. DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

LAW (EDUCATION) Department.

Sir,

I have the honour to request that Government will be pleased to reconsider the case of M.R. Ry V.S. Ramaswami Ayyar, officiating Assistant, Institute of Commerce, Madras referred to as No. (1) in the last para of G.O.No. 67 Law (Education) dated 19th January 1925. Mr. Ramaswami Ayyar was not appointed to the post on the old scale of pay after 6th October 1922. He was permanent 3rd assistant, Government School of Commerce, Calicut on Rs 70 plus 18 (old scale) and was officiating as Assistant in the Institute of Commerce, Madras from 4-9-20 in the old scale of Rs 100-10-¹⁵⁰~~200~~, with temporary addition to pay. The date of his appointment to the Institute of Commerce viz. 4-9-20 was not mentioned in Col. 2 of the statement submitted with my letter

Page 3 of his
67
19.1.25
to officiating
promotions

R.C.No. 1352 C/24 dated 22-10-24 as dates of appointment were given therein only in the case of appointments made after 1-3-21.

2. The Assistant continued to officiate in the said post and drew under G.O.No. 1587 Law (Education) dated 18-12-22 officiating pay and increments in the old scale of pay attached to that post until the receipt of G.O.No. 1063 Law (Education) dated 2-8-23, in the circumstances already explained in my letter R.C.No. 1352 C/24 dated 22nd October 1924. He drew officiating pay at the rate of Rs 120/- plus 25 per mensem between 1-10-22 to 31-7-23. The initial pay in his substantive appointment in the School of Commerce was fixed at Rs 125 plus 5 personal allowance in the scale of Rs 80-120 in September 1923 after the receipt of G.O.No. 1063 Law (Education) dated 2-8-23, with retrospective effect from 1-3-22 and he was allowed to draw only his substantive pay while officiating as Assistant, Institute of Commerce, Madras from 1-8-23 onwards on the ground that acting promotion with allowances to the said post had not been declared admissible by Government. Officiating pay at the old rate was however held to be admissible up to 1-8-23 under G.O.No. 1587 Law (Education) dated 18th December 1922. But in G.O.No. 562 Law (Education) Misc., dated 11-4-24, Government have ordered that the excess drawn at the old rates from 1-10-22 should be recovered. As however M.R.Ry V.S. Ramaswami Ayyar was appointed as officiating assistant in the Institute of Commerce, Madras, long before the issue of G.O.No. 1177 Law (Education) dated 6-10-22 his name was included in the statement submitted to Government with my letter R.C. No. 1352-C/24 dated 22-10-24 with the recommendation that Government might be pleased to waive the excess drawn by him from 1-10-22 to 31-7-23 amounting to Rs 200/- which was the difference between the initial pay in the new time scale fixed for him in his substantive appointment from 1-3-22 and the officiating pay drawn in the old scale in his officiating appointment under G.O.No. 1587 Law (Education) dated 18th December 1922. The introduction of the new time scale of pay has adversely affected the teacher as he is allowed to draw only his substantive pay of Rs 125 from 1-8-1923 though he was getting an officiating pay of Rs 145/- prior to that date. As it would be a great hardship to call on him to refund the excess drawn prior to 1-8-23 under the sanction contained in G.O.No. 1587 Law (Education) dated 18-12-22, I beg to request that Government will be pleased to reconsider the orders passed in the last

para of G.O.No. 67 Law (Education) dated 18th January 1925 in so far as they affect Ramaswamy Ayyar and to sanction the waiving of the excess pay drawn.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant.

FOR DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

G.M.25.2.25

Law (2nd) Sept

C. 642C. From the D.P.I. Roc No 13529/24
111 8.25.2.28

Subject Waiver of the excess pay
drawn by Mr V. S. Rama-
swami Ayyar, Assistant
Institute of Commerce,
Madras.

Attention is solicited to
paragraph 3 of the office note
on page 10-11 of G.O. No 67 of 19.1.25
and paragraph 3 of the Honble the
Finance Member's note on page 12 of
the G.O. It was considered that the
Case of Mr V. S. Ramaswami Ayyar was
expressly excluded by G.O. No 158 of Law (2nd)
of 18.12.22. The excess pay drawn
by him ^{covered the period} ~~covered~~ from the 1st October
1922 to the 31st July 1923. The
Case of ^{five other} ~~persons~~ persons who ~~were~~ had
been appointed prior to the 1st March
pp 15-17 of 1921 was specifically considered
G.O. No 67 of 19.1.25 (R) and it was held that the Director's
action in having allowed officiating
promotions ^{in this case} after October 1922 when
the revised scales of pay were announced
was

G.O. No 117 of 6.10.22 (K)

This case is harder.
 Vide m m f. 2 of.
 Group 67 R
 19.125

George 678191.25
was put up in
anonym file and
was available
only today.

Law (Econ) DEPARTMENT.

8
~~Establis~~

Commerce

Institute of — Assistant

M Rdy V. S. Ramaswami A/z You —
Excess pay — Recovery — waiver
declined.

READ AGAIN G.O No , dated 192

” ” ” ” ” 192 .

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 142C From the D-P-I Roc, No. 1352-c dated 25-2-1925

” ” ” ” ” ” 192

“ ” “ ” “ ” “ ” 192 .

ORDER.

Mis

No. 413, dated 24 . 3 1925

The Government see no reason to reconsider the orders passed in paragraph 3 of Gov. no 67 Law (Econ.) of 19-1-25.

$\frac{9}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 25}$

$\frac{8}{20 \cdot 3 \cdot 25} \quad \frac{7}{29 \cdot 3 \cdot 25}$

V.T.F

23/0

70

He D.P.T. 82
— 82
— 82

When given for copying _____
When copied _____ } 192
When examined _____
When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O. No. _____, DATED
192 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED OF, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.